Abstract

As artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics continue to evolve, the question of whether machines can make ethical decisions becomes increasingly significant. This paper explores the concept of moral agency in AI, examining whether AI systems are capable of making decisions that reflect ethical considerations. Traditional views of moral agency are centered around human traits like consciousness, empathy, and moral reasoning. The paper investigates whether AI can embody these traits, and whether robots can be programmed to understand and apply ethical principles in decision-making. Theoretical frameworks such as **utilitarianism**, **deontology**, and **virtue ethics** are discussed to assess AI's potential for moral reasoning.

The paper also analyzes the current state of AI development, highlighting real-world examples such as **autonomous vehicles** and AI in **healthcare**. These fields illustrate the challenges of programming ethical decision-making into machines, especially when decisions have significant consequences, such as life-or-death situations. However, AI systems are limited by their lack of empathy, emotional understanding, and the ability to navigate complex, human-centric scenarios. The limitations of **bias** and **data integrity** in AI models further complicate ethical decision-making, as machines may inadvertently perpetuate inequalities.

Furthermore, the paper explores the implications of granting robots ethical decision-making power, focusing on the issues of accountability and responsibility when machines make unethical decisions. The potential legal and social consequences are also considered, as AI systems are deployed in sectors such as military applications and law enforcement, where ethical decision-making can have far-reaching impacts. The paper concludes by suggesting that future research should focus on developing ethical frameworks for AI systems, fostering human-AI collaboration, and exploring the integration of moral reasoning into AI through machine learning and adaptive systems.

Index

Sr. No.	Content
1	Introduction
2	Theoretical Framework
3	Current State
4	Limitations
5	Future Directions and Research Areas
6	Conclusion
7	References

1. Introduction

The rapid advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and autonomous robotics have raised fundamental questions about the ethical and moral roles that machines can play in human society. As robots and AI systems are integrated into more critical sectors—including healthcare, transportation, military operations, and law enforcement—their ability to make decisions that directly affect human lives, safety, and overall well-being becomes an area of growing concern. Historically, ethical decision-making has been considered a distinctly human trait, influenced by consciousness, emotions, social contexts, and moral frameworks. However, as robots take on more autonomous functions, particularly in situations that require judgment about right and wrong, the question arises: Can machines, devoid of human experience and empathy, be trusted to make decisions that align with moral values?

This paper seeks to explore the concept of **moral agency** in robots and AI systems, which is the capacity of an entity to make ethical decisions based on principles of right and wrong. Moral agency is typically associated with human beings, who can assess the consequences of their actions, consider the well-being of others, and act in accordance with established ethical norms. However, the introduction of AI and autonomous robots into ethical decision-making scenarios challenges this view. Unlike humans, robots lack the fundamental human traits that guide ethical thinking—such as empathy, emotional intelligence, and moral intuitions. Thus, the central question is whether robots, through programming and algorithms, can develop a semblance of moral agency that allows them to navigate complex ethical dilemmas.

In addressing this question, the paper also examines the **extent of robots' decision-making capabilities**. While AI systems have shown remarkable proficiency in executing predefined tasks, their ability to engage in nuanced decision-making that requires ethical reasoning remains limited. For instance, self-driving cars may face scenarios where they must choose between sacrificing the life of a passenger or a pedestrian, raising ethical dilemmas akin to the famous "trolley problem." However, these decisions often rely on programmed parameters rather than true moral understanding. What does it mean for an AI to "understand" ethical decisions, and can we ever trust these systems to make morally sound judgments?

Additionally, the paper discusses the **potential risks and benefits** associated with relying on robots for decision-making in ethically complex scenarios. On the one hand, robots might offer a more objective and consistent approach to decisions, free from human biases or emotions. For instance, AI systems can be programmed to optimize outcomes based on ethical guidelines, ensuring fairness and equity. On the other hand, the lack of human empathy and the possibility of unintended consequences from AI decision-making raise significant concerns.

Key Questions:

- Can robots make ethical decisions like humans? As AI systems become more sophisticated, the possibility that robots could one day make decisions in a manner comparable to human ethical reasoning raises profound questions about the nature of moral agency.
- **Do robots have moral agency?** The ability to make decisions based on ethical considerations implies a certain level of agency and responsibility. This question challenges the current understanding of AI, questioning whether robots can truly "think" or "choose" in the way humans do when faced with moral dilemmas.
- What are the limitations of robots in ethical decision-making? Although AI systems can be programmed to follow ethical guidelines, they may still fall short when it comes to interpreting the nuances of moral situations. The limitations of robot decision-making are particularly evident in scenarios requiring empathy, intuition, or judgment based on social and emotional contexts—areas where humans excel but machines struggle.

By addressing these questions, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the ethical challenges that arise when robots are entrusted with decision-making power. It will explore the theoretical foundations of moral agency, evaluate the current capabilities and limitations of robots in making ethical decisions, and consider the broader societal implications of introducing moral agency to machines. In doing so, the paper will explore the potential benefits and dangers of allowing robots to participate in ethical decision-making, offering insights into how humanity might responsibly navigate this new frontier in technology.

2. Theoretical Framework

As robots and AI systems become more autonomous and integrated into various sectors of society, understanding the **theoretical foundations of moral agency** is essential for evaluating whether these machines can make ethical decisions. This section explores the concept of moral agency and examines the ethical theories that could apply to AI and robots. It also delves into whether robots can truly possess moral agency in the same way humans do and what criteria must be met for this to be possible.

2. Theoretical Framework

As robots and AI systems become more autonomous and integrated into various sectors of society, understanding the **theoretical foundations of moral agency** is essential for evaluating whether these machines can make ethical decisions. This section explores the concept of moral agency and examines the ethical theories that could apply to AI and robots. It also delves into whether robots can truly possess moral agency in the same way humans do and what criteria must be met for this to be possible.

2.1 Moral Agency and Ethics

- Moral Agency refers to the ability to make decisions based on moral principles, considering consequences, societal norms, and ethical guidelines.
- **Humans** are considered moral agents because they can reason about actions, feel empathy, and reflect on their choices.

Can robots possess moral agency?

Moral agency traditionally involves **reasoning**, **self-awareness**, and an **understanding of consequences**. While humans naturally possess these qualities, robots and AI systems are devoid of emotional and cognitive attributes that influence moral decision-making. The core question is whether AI systems, despite their advanced algorithms, can genuinely understand and apply ethical principles in a human-like way or if they are merely following pre-set instructions.

The idea of **robotic moral agency** challenges the conventional understanding of ethics. While Al can process data and make decisions, it lacks emotional intuition, consciousness, and the ability to experience moral dilemmas. This raises significant questions about the appropriateness of trusting machines to make moral decisions, especially in high-stakes situations.

2.2 Ethical Theories Applicable to AI and Robots

Several ethical frameworks could guide robotic decision-making. Each approach provides a different lens through which robots can be programmed to make decisions, and each has its strengths and weaknesses:

Utilitarianism:

- Focuses on maximizing overall well-being or happiness.
- Key principle: The right decision leads to the greatest good for the greatest number.
- In the context of robots, this could mean programming them to make decisions that minimize harm or maximize benefits, even if it means sacrificing individual well-being.

Deontological Ethics:

- Focuses on the adherence to rules or principles, regardless of the outcome.
- Key principle: The rightness or wrongness of actions is determined by whether they conform to a moral rule, rather than by the results they produce.
- For robots, this might mean programming them to follow specific ethical guidelines, such as respecting human rights or avoiding harm, even in situations where the outcomes are less than ideal.

• Virtue Ethics:

- Focuses on the character and intentions of the decision-maker rather than the consequences of actions.
- Key principle: A virtuous agent embodies qualities such as compassion, fairness, and wisdom.
- This approach is challenging for robots due to their lack of emotional intelligence and the inability to genuinely experience or understand the virtues they are expected to emulate.

Each of these ethical theories provides a unique approach to programming robots to act ethically, but challenges exist in translating these human-centered ethical frameworks into machine-readable formats.

2.3 Can Robots Have Moral Agency?

The key question this paper seeks to address is whether robots can have moral agency. To be considered a moral agent, an entity typically needs to fulfill several criteria:

- **Reasoning Capacity**: The ability to assess the consequences of actions and understand their ethical implications.
- **Self-awareness**: A sense of one's identity and actions, enabling reflection on one's choices.
- **Moral Values**: The ability to understand and apply ethical principles such as fairness, justice, and empathy.

Can robots meet these criteria?

- Reasoning: All systems can evaluate options based on predefined algorithms, but their
 reasoning is limited to computational analysis. They lack the human capacity for
 emotional judgment or moral intuition, which often guide ethical decisions in complex
 scenarios.
- Self-awareness: While some robots may simulate self-monitoring and feedback loops, true self-awareness—being conscious of one's existence and the ethical impact of decisions—is a trait that machines currently do not possess.
- **Moral Values**: Programming robots to understand abstract moral concepts like fairness or dignity is a major hurdle. All systems can be designed to prioritize certain outcomes, but they do not "understand" these values in a human sense; they simply follow algorithms based on input data.

3. Current State of AI and Robots in Ethical Decision-Making

As AI systems and autonomous robots become more integrated into various fields, ethical decision-making becomes a critical area of focus. These systems are increasingly placed in situations where they must make complex decisions that could impact human lives, safety, and well-being. The following subsections explore real-world examples of AI systems making ethical decisions and the challenges they face in doing so.

3.1 Autonomous Vehicles and Ethical Dilemmas

- **Self-Driving Cars**: Autonomous vehicles, including self-driving cars, present one of the most debated scenarios in Al's ethical decision-making.
- Trolley Problem: The "trolley problem" is frequently used to illustrate ethical dilemmas
 faced by autonomous vehicles. The problem involves a situation where a vehicle must
 choose between two harmful outcomes, such as swerving to avoid pedestrians but
 risking harm to the passengers, or continuing on the current path and injuring
 pedestrians.
- Ethical Questions: The key questions here are whether AI can be trusted to make the "right" ethical decision and whether it can fully understand the moral weight of its choices. Does the AI simply follow pre-programmed rules that prioritize safety, or does it evaluate and consider all potential outcomes in a nuanced way?

Challenges and Considerations:

- Autonomous vehicles must be designed with ethical decision-making frameworks in place, but there is no clear agreement on which ethical approach (utilitarianism, deontology, etc.) should guide these decisions.
- Moreover, ethical guidelines need to consider cultural differences, as what is considered "ethical" can vary across regions and societies.
- Another challenge is accountability: If an autonomous vehicle makes a wrong decision, who is responsible? The manufacturer, the software developers, or the vehicle itself?

These dilemmas highlight how autonomous vehicles, though driven by advanced algorithms, may not fully grasp the deeper moral implications of their choices, raising questions about the limits of Al's moral agency.

3.2 AI in Healthcare

- Al in Medical Decision-Making: Al systems are increasingly being used in healthcare to support medical decision-making, such as diagnosing diseases, recommending treatments, and even performing surgeries.
- **Life-or-Death Decisions**: Healthcare decisions made by AI can directly affect patient outcomes, sometimes making life-or-death decisions. These systems must balance risks, consider the patient's condition, and predict potential outcomes.
- Accountability in Medical Decisions: A critical issue in healthcare AI is accountability. If
 an AI system makes a decision that harms a patient—such as recommending an
 incorrect treatment—who is responsible? The doctors who rely on the AI? The
 developers of the AI system? Or the AI itself?

Challenges and Considerations:

- Al systems, though powerful, cannot truly understand human suffering, empathy, or the unique circumstances that affect patients, which are important aspects of medical decision-making.
- Ethical frameworks in AI must ensure **informed consent** and that AI tools are used as supportive rather than replacement mechanisms for healthcare professionals.
- Ensuring transparency in how AI systems make decisions is essential, as patients and healthcare providers must be able to trust and understand how conclusions are reached.

3.3 AI in Military Applications

- Autonomous Weapons: Al-driven robots, drones, and autonomous weapons systems
 are increasingly being deployed in military contexts. These machines are tasked with
 making decisions related to warfare, such as identifying targets, engaging in combat, or
 even conducting reconnaissance missions.
- Ethical Dilemmas in Warfare: The question arises: should autonomous systems have the authority to make life-and-death decisions in warfare? Can AI effectively weigh the ethical considerations of military engagements, such as minimizing civilian casualties, avoiding unnecessary destruction, and adhering to international humanitarian laws?

 Lack of Human Oversight: In some cases, military robots are designed to operate with minimal human oversight, which raises concerns about accountability and ethical control. Can we trust AI to make decisions that align with international laws and ethical guidelines in warfare, or would such systems operate solely based on efficiency and operational goals?

Challenges and Considerations:

- The use of AI in military applications introduces significant **accountability** issues. If a robot or drone causes unintended harm, who is responsible? The military command that deployed it? The developers who designed it? Or is the machine itself to blame?
- The potential for **autonomous lethal force** raises moral concerns about whether Al should be allowed to make decisions that result in human harm, even in the context of warfare.
- Ethical decision-making in warfare also requires considerations of discrimination and proportionality—ensuring that force is used only when necessary and is proportional to the threat. Robots may lack the human judgment required to make such nuanced decisions.

4. Limitations of Robots in Ethical Decision-Making

As AI and robots become more capable of making decisions in complex scenarios, several limitations arise when it comes to ethical decision-making. These limitations stem from the intrinsic differences between robots and humans in terms of cognition, emotional understanding, and accountability. This section explores the key limitations that hinder robots from making truly ethical decisions.

4.1 Lack of Human Emotion and Empathy

- **Human Emotions**: Emotions, such as compassion, empathy, and sympathy, are central to human ethical decision-making. They help us evaluate situations not just logically but with an emotional understanding that guides moral choices.
- Role of Empathy: Empathy, in particular, allows humans to understand and share the
 feelings of others, which is often essential in making ethical decisions. For example,
 when deciding how to allocate resources in healthcare, humans may consider the
 emotional and personal factors of patients, which influence their decisions.
- Robotic Limitations: Robots and AI systems, on the other hand, lack emotions. While
 they can be programmed to recognize patterns, calculate risks, or assess benefits, they
 cannot feel or emotionally connect with the individuals involved in their decisions. This
 absence of emotional understanding can result in decisions that are technically optimal
 but morally insufficient, as they may fail to consider the human suffering or emotional
 costs involved.

Key Questions:

- Can robots understand the full social and emotional context of a decision, such as the grief of a family losing a loved one or the psychological impact of a treatment decision?
- Without emotional intelligence, can a robot make truly humane decisions, particularly in morally complex scenarios where human feelings are involved?

4.2 Bias and Data Integrity

Bias in Data: Al systems learn from data, and if the training data is biased, the decisions
made by robots will reflect these biases. This is a significant limitation in ethical decisionmaking, as biased systems can perpetuate existing societal inequalities.

- Types of Bias: Bias can emerge in various ways:
 - Sampling Bias: If the data used to train AI systems does not adequately represent all demographics, the system might make decisions that unfairly disadvantage certain groups.
 - Cultural Bias: Ethical standards vary significantly across cultures, and AI systems trained on data from one culture may not make decisions that are morally acceptable in another culture.
 - Historical Bias: If AI systems are trained on historical data that reflects past prejudices or injustices, the decisions they make may inadvertently reinforce these issues.
- Al's Blind Spot: Al systems are inherently "blind" to the context beyond the data they
 are given. They cannot recognize when biases in the data lead to morally problematic
 decisions, such as denying healthcare or job opportunities to certain groups based on
 biased historical data.

Key Questions:

- How do we ensure AI systems are trained on unbiased data that represents diverse populations, perspectives, and cultures?
- Can AI systems be designed to "learn" ethical standards in a way that prevents reinforcing negative biases?

4.3 Accountability and Responsibility

- **Responsibility for AI Decisions**: One of the most significant challenges in robot ethics is determining who is responsible when an AI or robot makes an unethical decision. If a robot makes a decision that results in harm—whether physical, emotional, or societal—who should be held accountable?
 - Developers: If the system's programming is flawed or biased, should the developers be held responsible for the harm caused by the robot?
 - Manufacturers: If a robot is malfunctioning due to hardware issues or a failure in its construction, should the manufacturer be liable for the robot's actions?
 - The Robot Itself: As AI and robots become more autonomous, questions arise about whether a machine itself can be held accountable for its actions or whether accountability will always fall on human agents.

Legal and Ethical Frameworks: Currently, there is no clear legal framework that defines
the accountability of robots or AI systems. In the case of harm, existing laws tend to hold
humans accountable—the developers, manufacturers, or operators of the AI system.
However, as robots become more autonomous and capable of making decisions on their
own, these frameworks may need to be reevaluated.

Key Questions:

- Should robots have a form of accountability for their actions, or is human oversight always required?
- Can an AI system's ethical decisions be subject to legal and ethical scrutiny in the same way as human decisions?

5. Implications of Ethical Decision-Making by Robots

As robots and AI systems become more capable of making ethical decisions, it is essential to examine the broader **legal**, **social**, **and philosophical implications** of granting machines the responsibility of making decisions that could impact human lives. This section explores the consequences of such advancements, focusing on three key areas: legal and social implications, the impact on human agency, and trust and transparency in AI systems.

5.1 Legal and Social Implications

- Legal Personhood for Robots: The concept of granting robots legal personhood is a significant debate in the field of robot ethics. Legal personhood typically grants an entity the rights and responsibilities of a human being, such as the ability to own property or enter into contracts. Should robots, particularly autonomous systems with ethical decision-making capabilities, be considered legal persons? If robots are held accountable for their actions, should they be entitled to legal rights, and how would this affect existing legal frameworks?
 - Liability: If a robot makes an unethical or harmful decision, who is responsible? Is it the developer, the manufacturer, or the operator of the robot? Alternatively, can the robot itself be held liable, or does the responsibility always fall on the human agents behind the robot's design and operation? The issue of liability is crucial in determining how to handle ethical breaches caused by Al systems.
- Regulations and Accountability: Governments and regulatory bodies will need to
 establish frameworks to address the accountability of robots. Clear guidelines on the
 ethical responsibilities of robots and the penalties for unethical decisions are necessary
 to ensure that robots do not cause harm to individuals or society.

5.2 Impact on Human Agency

• Erosion of Human Autonomy: Over-reliance on robots to make ethical decisions could lead to the erosion of human agency in sectors like healthcare, law enforcement, and the military. For instance, in healthcare, relying on AI systems to make life-or-death decisions about treatment options could undermine the role of healthcare professionals, reducing their involvement in critical decision-making processes. The same applies to the military, where autonomous drones and robots might take the place of human judgment in warfare.

- Decision-Making Authority: The delegation of decision-making authority to robots could diminish human involvement in morally sensitive decisions. This shift may be problematic, especially in situations where human empathy, intuition, or understanding of social context is crucial. For instance, a robot may optimize treatment options based solely on medical data but may overlook the personal and emotional needs of the patient, which a human doctor would typically consider.
- Balancing Autonomy and Oversight: A key challenge will be to strike a balance between robot autonomy and human oversight. While robots can execute tasks more efficiently and make data-driven decisions, human oversight is critical to ensure that ethical considerations, social contexts, and emotional nuances are not overlooked. A collaborative approach between robots and humans could offer the best of both worlds—leveraging the efficiency of AI while maintaining the compassion and ethical responsibility of human involvement.

6. Future Directions and Research Areas

As we continue to explore the ethical dimensions of AI and robots, it's important to consider the future of ethical decision-making in autonomous systems. The following sections outline key research areas and directions for ensuring that AI and robots can operate responsibly and in alignment with human values. The development of comprehensive ethical frameworks, collaborative AI systems, and the creation of moral AI will be essential for the future integration of robots into ethically sensitive domains.

6.1 Ethical Frameworks for Al

- Development of Comprehensive Ethical Guidelines: One of the most pressing research
 areas is the creation of ethical frameworks that govern AI and robot behavior. These
 frameworks would set guidelines for what is considered morally acceptable for robots in
 various contexts, such as healthcare, law enforcement, and military operations. The goal
 is to establish consistent and transparent ethical guidelines that can be applied
 universally across different industries.
 - Integration with Existing Ethical Theories: Ethical frameworks for AI should incorporate traditional ethical theories such as utilitarianism, deontology, and virtue ethics, while also addressing the unique challenges posed by AI and robotics. These systems must account for complex, real-world scenarios where ethical decisions are not always black and white.
 - Regulatory Bodies: Along with the development of ethical frameworks, there is a need for regulatory bodies to oversee the implementation and enforcement of these guidelines. The establishment of such bodies would help ensure that robots' ethical decision-making is aligned with public policies and social norms.

Key Challenges:

- How can ethical guidelines be universally applied across different types of AI systems?
- What role should governments and international organizations play in regulating robot ethics?

Research in this area would involve multidisciplinary collaborations among ethicists, AI researchers, and lawmakers to build guidelines that keep pace with rapid advancements in technology.

6.2 Al and Human Collaboration

- Complementing Human Judgment: The future of ethical decision-making by robots may
 not solely rely on autonomous systems making independent decisions but rather on
 collaborative decision-making between humans and AI. AI can serve as a tool to
 enhance human judgment, providing decision-makers with insights, data, and
 recommendations, but leaving the final ethical choices to humans.
 - Augmented Decision-Making: In sectors like healthcare, AI systems can assist
 doctors by offering treatment suggestions based on patient data, but the human
 doctor would still make the final call. The integration of AI into decision-making
 processes could ensure that ethical choices are informed by data-driven insights,
 but not made entirely by machines.
 - Human-Al Symbiosis: Research could explore how human-Al collaboration can lead to more ethical outcomes, with Al providing support while still respecting human moral values and judgment. For example, Al could help identify ethical dilemmas, suggest possible courses of action, and highlight potential consequences, but humans would retain the ultimate responsibility for making decisions.

Key Questions:

- How can we ensure that AI complements human decision-making without diminishing human responsibility?
- What safeguards should be put in place to preserve human oversight in critical ethical decisions?

This line of research would focus on creating systems that foster collaboration, ensuring that both AI and humans can work together to achieve morally sound decisions.

6.3 Development of Moral Al

Creating Moral AI: One of the more ambitious goals for future AI research is the
development of moral AI, which would be capable of learning and adapting to ethical
principles over time. This would involve creating algorithms and learning systems that
not only process data but also consider moral reasoning in their decision-making
processes.

- Ethical Reasoning in AI: Moral AI systems would need to incorporate ethical reasoning capabilities, such as understanding the consequences of actions, empathy, and a sense of moral responsibility. These systems would not merely follow pre-programmed rules but would also be able to reflect on the moral implications of their actions and adapt based on the evolving ethical landscape.
- Empathy and Moral Values: A key challenge in developing moral AI is teaching machines to understand human emotions, empathy, and social values. Machine learning models could be trained to recognize emotional cues or ethical dilemmas and make decisions that consider the broader human context.

This area of research requires a multidisciplinary approach, drawing from fields like **philosophy**, **neuroscience**, and **computer science**, to create AI systems that are capable of making ethical decisions in complex, real-world situations.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, while robots and AI have made significant advancements in autonomous decision-making, their ability to make ethical decisions remains limited. Despite their capabilities in processing data, navigating environments, and performing complex tasks, these systems still lack the nuanced understanding of human emotions, social contexts, and moral reasoning that are crucial for ethical decision-making. Robots currently rely on algorithms and rules designed by humans, which limits their ability to engage in the deep moral reasoning necessary for ethically sound choices.

The limitations of robots in ethical decision-making are particularly evident in fields like healthcare, law enforcement, and autonomous transportation, where decisions can have profound consequences for human lives. All systems may be able to follow programmed guidelines, but they cannot truly evaluate the emotional, social, and ethical implications of their actions in the way that humans can. This gap raises important questions about the reliability and accountability of robots in making life-altering decisions.

Future research must focus on the development of **moral AI systems** that can adapt and learn ethical principles over time. Such systems would need to go beyond rigid rule-following and incorporate learning mechanisms that allow them to assess the moral weight of decisions, understand context, and align with societal values. However, creating AI with true moral agency presents substantial challenges, including the need for transparency, fairness, and accountability in the decision-making process.

In addition to moral AI, the creation of ethical frameworks is essential for guiding the behavior of AI systems. These frameworks would set clear guidelines for how robots should operate in different ethical contexts, ensuring that their decisions are in line with human values and societal expectations. Such frameworks would need to be dynamic, adapting to new ethical challenges as technology evolves.

Finally, the future of ethical decision-making in robotics may lie in **human-Al collaboration**. Rather than giving robots full autonomy in decision-making, a balanced approach where Al supports human judgment and ethical reasoning is likely to be more effective. In this way, Al systems can enhance human decision-making while ensuring that humans retain ultimate responsibility for ethical outcomes. This collaborative approach can help mitigate the risks of over-reliance on autonomous systems and ensure that ethical considerations remain at the forefront of decision-making.

References:

- 1. Borenstein, J., Herkert, J. R., & Herkewitz, P. (2017). *The ethics of autonomous cars*. The Atlantic. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com
- 2. Gunkel, D. J. (2018). Robot rights. The MIT Press.
- 3. Lin, P., Abney, K., & Jenkins, R. (2017). *Robot ethics 2.0: From autonomous cars to artificial intelligence*. Oxford University Press.
- 4. Nyholm, S., & Smids, J. (2016). *The ethics of autonomous cars*. In *The moral machine experiment* (pp. 98–114). Springer.
- 5. Winsberg, E. (2018). *Ethics in the age of artificial intelligence*. Science and Engineering Ethics, 24(3), 1029–1045.