

AAAI 2023 Optimization with Constraint Learning Lab

Part III: Solution Quality

Orit Davidovich¹

¹IBM Research Lab, Haifa

AAAI 2023

Overview

1 Decision Optimization

2 Solution Quality

3 Pol and PoCS

4 Use Case

Mathematical Decision Optimization (DO)

Mathematical Decision-Optimization (DO) Model $M(\mathbf{w})$ (*):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{w}) \in \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad & f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega(\mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ – fixed uncontrollable.

$\Omega(\mathbf{w})$ – polytope (possibly unbounded).

(*) Maragno*, D., Wiberg*, H., Bertsimas, D., Birbil, S. I., Hertog, D. d., and Fajemisin, A. (2021). *Mixed-Integer Optimization with Constraint Learning*.

Mathematical Decision Optimization (DO)

Learned DO Model $\hat{M}(\mathbf{w})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{x}}^*(\mathbf{w}) \in \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad & \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) && \Leftarrow \text{learn} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) && \Leftarrow \text{learn} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega(\mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ – fixed uncontrollable.

$\Omega(\mathbf{w})$ – polytope (possibly unbounded).

- UN World Food Programme (INFORMS Edelman Award 2021): Food palatibility prediction in food basket cost minimization.
- Louisville Metropolitan Sewer District and Tetra Tech (INFORMS Edelman Award 2019 Finalist): Rainfall prediction in wastewater storage maximization.

What We **Want**:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{x}}^* &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}^*(\mathbf{w}), & \hat{\mathbf{y}}^* &= \mathbf{h}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \mathbf{w}) \\ \mathbf{x}^* &= \mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{w}), & \mathbf{y}^* &= \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{w})\end{aligned}$$

(a) close to optimum

$$f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{y}}^*, \mathbf{w}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{w}) \leq \epsilon$$

(b) feasible

$$\mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{y}}^*, \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}$$

What We **Can**:

known policy: $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{w})$

(a) improve upon policy

$$\Pr[f(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{w}) - f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w}) \geq \epsilon] \geq (1 - \delta_1)$$

(b) likely feasible

$$\Pr[\mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}] \geq (1 - \delta_2)$$

What We **Can**:

known policy: $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{w})$

(a) improve upon policy: **Probability of Improvement (PoI)**

$$\Pr[f(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{w}) - f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w}) \geq \epsilon] \geq (1 - \delta_1)$$

(b) likely feasible: **Probability of Constraint Satisfaction (PoCS)**

$$\Pr[\mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^*, \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{0}] \geq (1 - \delta_2)$$

Gaussian Process: f as a “random variable”.

$$f|D \sim GP$$

⇒ Value @ point $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w})$

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{GP}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}), \sigma_{GP}^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}))$$

⇒ Value @ 2 points $(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{w}'), (\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{y}'', \mathbf{w}'')$

$$(f(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{w}'), f(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{y}'', \mathbf{w}'')) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{GP}, \Sigma_{GP})$$

⇒ Pol and PoCS estimation

Hands-on demo: Go to `ocl_lab/POI/poi.ipynb`.

World Food Program (WFP) food basket optimization problem. (*)

Model \widehat{WFP} (**):

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{optimal basket} & \hat{\mathbf{x}}^* \in \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} & \text{minimize cost} \\ & \text{s.t.} & \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{r} & \text{nutritional reqs} \\ & & y \geq t & \text{palatability constraint} \\ & & y = \hat{h}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{learned palatability} \\ & & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega & \text{non-negativity} \end{array}$$

$n = 25$ - number of foods in basket.

$V_{i,j}$ - value of nutrient i in food j ; \mathbf{r}_i - nutrient i requirement.

(*) Peters et al. (2021). *The Nutritious Supply Chain: Optimizing Humanitarian Food Assistance*.

(**) Maragno, Wiberg (2021). *OptiCL: Mixed-integer Optimization with Constraint Learning*.

Comparing Learned DO Models

Model ($t = 0.5$)	Objective	Platibility	PoCS
OptiCL baseline	3212.5	0.01	0
OptiCL w/ Trust Region	3431	0.55	0.99

Use Case

Objective values (Obj), ground truth palatability scores (GT), and PoCS of solutions \hat{x}^* for palatability thresholds $t = 0.6, 0.7, 0.75$.

t	OptiCL \hat{x}^*			OptiCL + TR \hat{x}^*		
	Obj	GT	PoCS	Obj	GT	PoCS
0.6	3227	0.03	0.0	3446	0.55	0.11
0.7 (I)	3380	0.61	0.0	3531	0.67	0.25
0.7 (II)	3398	0.64	0.0	3542	0.7	0.99
0.75	3492	0.71	0.37	3678	0.65	0.0

	Accuracy	FP	FN
PoCS ≥ 0.8	93.9%	0.36%	5.71%
PoCS ≥ 0.5	94.2%	2.62%	3.21%