### CHECK-LIST U/S 138 R/W 142 N.I. Act

# POLICE STATION – DISTRICT –

_			
		Name & Address of Complainant	
		Name & Address of Accused(s)	1.
		Name of the Police Station	
	4	Total Number of Cheques	
		Total Cheque's Amounting to	
		Details regarding any other cases U/s 138 N.I. Act pending against same Accused(s)	
	6(A)	Name of the Courts where such case is pending.	
	6(B)	Complaint case number	
	6(C)	Next date of hearing before such Courts	

7.	Any other information (example Agreements of loan etc.) with date and other particulars.	
8	Whether any legal notice was served to the accused	

## IN THE COURT OF LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,

	DISTRI	CT COURT, _	•	
	Complaint (	Case No	of 2020	
IN THE MATTER	R OF:			
XYZ			Complainant	
		Versus		
ABC	•	•••	Accused	

### INDEX

SL.No	PARTICULARS	PAGES
1	MEMO OF PARTIES	
2	COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 138 READ WITH SECTION 142 OF THE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS ACT 1881 (AS AMENDED UPTO DATE).	
3	LIST OF WITNESSES	
4	LIST OF DOCUMENTS ALONGWITH DOCUMENTS	
5	EVIDENCE BY WAY OF AFFIDAVIT	
6	VAKALATNAMA	

CO	MPI	[.Δ.]	VΔI	NΊ

Place:	
riact.	

Dated:

Through NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE COUNSEL

# IN THE COURT OF LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE, DISTRICT COURT, \_\_\_\_\_

Complaint (	Case No of 2020
IN THE MATTER OF:	
	Complainant
	Versus
	 Accused
	Offences: u/s 138 of the
	Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881
	Police Station: District :
PROCEDURE, 1973 FOR T PUNISHABLE UNDER SE	ON 200 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL THE COMMISSION OF THE OFFENCES CTION 138 OF THE NEGOTIABLE EAD WITH SECTION 141 & 142 OF THE
Most Respectfully Showeth:	
1. That the complainant	is an authorized signatory at
2. That accused No. 2	& 3 are also authorized signatory at

3. That the accused approached the Complainant for availing the
services ofthe
complainant accordingly provided the said services and raised the invoices
accordingly.
4. That at the time of availing the said services, the billing amount
raised by the complainant for the services they provided to the accused
was Rs/
5. That the accused as per the terms and conditions paid the amount
of Rs/- through cheque and balance of Rswas left .
6. That the accused thereafter issued various cheques in favour of the
complainant to pay the balance amount all of which were dishonoured on
the account of funds insuffisient.
7. That the complainant again and again requested the accused to pay
them for their services, every time in good faith, though the cheques issued
by the accused were dishonoured again, for which the accused was served
with a legal notice dated
5. That the accused company in discharge of liabilities towards the
complainant, issued a cheque bearing No datedfor a sum
of Rsonly), drawn on
Bank LTD., (address), in favour of the complainant. The accused

assured the complainant that the said cheque will be honoured on its presentation.

- 6. That the complainant, within the validity of the aforesaid cheque, presented it for the proceeds, in his account through its banker \_\_\_\_\_\_ Bank, (address) but the said cheque was received back by the complainant as dishonoured and unpaid through cheque returning memo dated \_\_\_\_\_ with the remarks "Funds Insufficient (or mention any other reason)".
- 7. That the accused had dishonestly and fraudulently issued the aforesaid cheque to and in favour of the complainant, which the accused knew that on presentation would never be honoured. Had the complainant known that the accused had no intentions to make the payment, the complainant would not have accepted the said cheque, which the accused issued in discharge of his debts and liabilities towards the complainant.
- 8. That thereafter the complainant, through his lawyers, served a legal notice dated \_\_\_\_\_ through postal services/registry, to the accused, thereby calling upon the accused to pay the amount of the cheque to the complainant within 15 days from the receipt of the said notice. Through the said notice, the accused was warned before-hand that in the event of theirfailure to make the payment of the amount of the aforesaid cheque to

the complainant within the stipulated period, the accused would commit an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 as amended upto date, and in that case the complainant would be left with no alternative but to take legal proceedings against the accused at the costs and risks of the accused.

- 9. That the notices sent to the accused on their respective addresses. The notice was sent at the correct address, but the accused is intentionally avoiding the service of the notice, by not adhering to it and replying. It shows the mala fide intention of the accused that the accused is not willing to make the payment of dishonorued cheque to the complainant, hence this complaint.
- 10. That in the aforesaid manner, the accused has committed the offences punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, as amended up to date, read with section 141 and section 142 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
- 11. That this Hon'ble Court has the competent jurisdiction to entertain and try the present complaint as the cheque in question was drawn on and also handed over to the complainant by the accused at \_\_\_\_\_\_.

  The said cheque was presented by the complainant at his bank \_\_\_\_\_\_.

  Bank, (address). Further, the said cheque was processed by the bank of

the complainant. Also, the bank of the accused dishonoured the cheque of
the accused at only. The paying bank of the accused intimated the
fact of dishonour of cheque to the collecting bank of the complainant at
only. The intimation of the dishonour of cheque was also received
by the complainant at Also, the Legal Notice to the accused was
sent from requiring the accused to make good the payment to the
complainant. Therefore, entire cause of action in the present complaint
has arisen in Therefore, this Hon'ble Court has the jurisdiction
to try and entertain the present complaint.

- 12. That the complaint is well within its limitation as per the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
- 15. That the Complainant specifically states that no other similar petition/complaint has been filed in this Court or any other Court of Law relating to the cheque and/or transactions in question.

<u>PRAYER</u>

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble court may

graciously be pleased to:

1) Order for the payment of compensation equivalent to double the

amount of cheque out of the fine amount U/s 357 Cr.P.C. r/w Sec.

117 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, to the complainant.

2) Summon both the accused, try them according to law and award

deterrent punishment in accordance with letter and spirit of the

provisions of Sec. 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act r/w 141 & 142

of the NI Act, 1881.

3) Pass any other/further order(s) which this Hon'ble Court may deem

fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

COMPLAINANT

Place: New Delhi

Dated: Through NAME OF THE COUNSEL AND ADDRESS

IN 7	THE COURT OF LD. CHIEF METROPO DISTRICT COURT,	•
IN THE	E MATTER OF:	
XYZ		Complainant
	Versus	
ABC		Accused
	LIST OF DOCUMENTS	5
S.NO.	PARTICULARS	PAGES
1.	Original cheque bearing No d	
2.	a sum of Rs/- Original cheque returning memo dated	
3.	Office Copy of legal notice dated	
4.	Copy of the proof of sending legal notice dated	e through mail
5.	Any other document with prior permiss Hon'ble Court.	sion of this
DI		COMPLAINANT
Place: _ Dated:	NAME OF THE	Through  COUNSEL AND ADDRESS
IN '	THE COURT OF LD. CHIEF METROPOL DISTRICT COURT,	•
IN THE	E MATTER OF:	
XYZ	Co	omplainant

ABC ... Accused

### LIST OF WITNESSES

ELDI OI WIIIEDDED
1. The Complainant;
<ol> <li>Bank., (ADDRESS), through its Manager, and/or concerned officer to produce or cause the production of statement of accounts/ extract of ledger duly certified under the Bankers Books Evidence Act in respect of account of the accused for the period of March 2020 to April 2020;</li> </ol>
3Bank., (ADDRESS), through its Manager or concerned official to produce or cause the production of statement of accounts/extract of ledger certified under the Bankers Books Evidence Act in respect of the account of the Complainant for the period of March 2020 to April 2020.
4. Any other person/ witness with the permission of this Hon'ble Court.
COMPLAINANT
Place:  Dated:  NAME OF THE COUNSEL AND ADDRESS

IN THE COURT OF LD. CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE, DISTRICT COURT, \_\_\_\_\_.

IN THE MATTER OF:

XY	ZZ Complainant
	Versus
AE	BC Accused
	EVIDENCE BY WAY OF AFFIDAVIT
	Shriat, (address), aged 50 years, the deponent erein, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:
1.	That I am the authorized signatory for, complainant in the
	above noted case and am fully conversant with the facts of the case and
	competent to depose the present affidavit.
2.	That the accused no 2 & 3 are the authorized signatory at
3.	That the accused approached the deponent for availing the services of
	, the complainant accordingly provided the said services and raised
	the invoices accordingly, <b>Ex.CW-1/1</b> .
4.	That at the time of availing the said services, the billing amount raised
	by the deponent for the services they provided to the accused was Rs.
	/-, Ex.CW-1/2.
5.	That the accused as per the terms and conditions paid the amount of
	Rs,/- through cheque and balance of Rs/- was left

- 6. That the accused thereafter issued various cheques in favour of the complainant to pay the balance amount all of which were dishonoured on the account of funds insuffisient.
- 7. That the deponent again and again requested the accused to pay them for their services, every time in good faith, though the cheques issued by the accused were dishonoured again, for which the accused was served with a legal notice dated \_\_\_\_\_\_, Ex.CW-1/3.
- 8. That the accused company in discharge of liabilities towards the complainant, issued a cheque bearing No\_\_\_\_\_\_dated \_\_\_\_\_for a sum of Rs. \_\_\_\_\_/- (Rupees \_\_\_\_\_\_\_only), drawn on \_\_\_\_\_Bank LTD., (address), in favour of the complainant. The accused assured the deponent that the said cheque will be honoured on its presentation, **Ex.CW-1/4**.
- 9. That the deponent, within the validity of the aforesaid cheque, presented it for the proceeds, in his account through its banker

  Bank, (address) but the said cheque was received back by the deponent as dishonoured and unpaid through cheque returning memo dated \_\_\_\_\_\_ with the remarks "Funds Insufficient",

  Ex.CW-1/5.
- 10. That the accused had dishonestly and fraudulently issued the aforesaid cheque to and in favour of the complainant, which the

accused knew that on presentation would never be honoured. Had the deponent known that the accused had no intentions to make the payment, the complainant would not have accepted the said cheque, which the accused issued in discharge of his debts and liabilities towards the complainant.

- 11. That thereafter the complainant, through its lawyer, served a legal notice dated \_\_\_\_\_\_\_through courier, thereby calling upon the accused to pay the amount of the cheque to the complainant within 15 days from the receipt of the said notice. Through the said notice, the accused was warned before-hand that in the event of their failure to make the payment of the amount of the aforesaid cheque to the complainant within the stipulated period, the accused would commit an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 as amended up to date, and in that case the complainant would be left with no alternative but to take legal proceedings against the accused at the costs and risks of the accused, Ex.CW-1/6.
- 12. That the notices sent to the accused was sent at the correct address, but the accused is intentionally avoiding the service of the notice, by not adhering to it and replying. It shows the mala fide intention of the accused that the accused is not willing to make the payment of dishonorued cheque to the complainant, hence this complaint.

- 13. That in the aforesaid manner, the accused has committed the offences punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, as amended up to date, read with section 141 and section 142 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
- That this Hon'ble Court has the competent jurisdiction to entertain 14. and try the present complaint as the cheque in question was drawn on and also handed over to the complainant by the accused at \_\_\_\_\_. The said cheque was presented by the complainant at his bank \_\_\_\_\_Bank, (address). Further, the said cheque was processed by the bank of the complainant. Also, the bank of the accused dishonoured the cheque of the accused at \_\_\_\_\_ only. The paying bank of the accused intimated the fact of dishonour of cheque to the collecting bank of the complainant at \_\_\_\_ only. The intimation of the dishonour of cheque was also received by the complainant at \_\_\_\_\_. Also, the Legal Notice to the accused was sent from \_\_\_\_\_ requiring the accused to make good the payment to the complainant. Therefore, entire cause of action in the present complaint has arisen in \_\_\_\_\_. Therefore, this Hon'ble Court has the jurisdiction to try and entertain the present complaint.
- 15. That the complaint is well within its limitation as per the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

- 16. That the deponent specifically states that no other similar petition/complaint has been filed in this Court or any other Court of Law relating to the cheque and/or transactions in question.
- 17. That the complaint is correct, is signed by the deponent being the Karta of the Complainant and is exhibited as **Ex.CW-1/7**.

**DEPONENT** 

### **VERIFICATION:**

Verified at \_\_\_\_\_\_on this day of , 2020, that the contents of the aforesaid affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

**DEPONENT** 

#### **VAKALATNAMA**

IN THE COURT OF	
Suit/Appeal No.	JURISDICTION OF 201
In re:-	
	Plaintiff(s) or Petitioner(s)
	Appellant(s) Complainant(s)
VERSUS	
	Defendant (s)/ Respondent(s) / Accused Know all to whom
these Present shall come that I/we	
The above named.	
	do hereby appoint
Operate after called the advanta/c) to be any faur	Advocate in the above – noted case authorize him:
CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONTRACTOR ANALYSIS OF	
	sted case in this court or in any other court in which the same ourt including High Court subject to payment of fees separately
for each court by me/us.	
To sign file, verify and present pleading	s, appeals cross-objection or petitions for executions review,
	s or affidavits or other documents as may be deemed necessary its stages subjects to payment of fees for each stage.
To file and take back documents, to admit	and/or deny the documents of opposite party.
To withdraw or compromise the said case that may arise touching or in any manner relating to	or submit to arbitration any differences of disputes of the said case.
To take execution proceedings on paying s	eparate fee.
	eques, cash and grant receipts hereof and to do all
other acts and things which may be necessary to prosecution on the said case.	be done for the progress and in the course of the
	actitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and
power of attorney on our behalf.	thenever he may think fit to do so and to sign the
And I/we undersigned to hereby agree to	ratify and confirm all acts done by the Advocate or
his substitute in the matter as my/our own acts, as	if done by me/us to all intents and purpose.
And I/we undertake that I/We or my/our hearings and will inform the Advocate for appearan	duly authorized agent would appear in court on all ice when the case is called.
	not to hold the advocate or his substitute responsible for the
result of the said case. The adjournment costs who shall receive and retain for himself.	enever ordered by the court shall be of the Advocate which he
And then understanded do basely agree the	at in the event of the whole or part of the fee agreed by me/us
to be paid to the advocate remaining unpaid he sha	all be entitled to withdraw from the prosecution of the said case
until the same is paid up. The fee settle is only for	the above case and above Court. I/We hereby agree that once
the fee is paid, I /We will not be entitled for the prolongs for more than 3 years the original fee shall	e refund of the same in any case whatsoever and if the case I be paid again by me/us.
	set my/our hand to these presents the contents of which have
IN WITNESS WHERE OF I/We do hereunto	set my/our manu to these presents the contents of winer mire
	Day of
been understood by me/us on this	

Signed in My Presence. The Client.