Norge

Yvette Peters, Coordinator, University of Bergen
Troy Saghaug Broderstad, University of Bergen
Arjan Schakel, University of Bergen

The Panel of Elected Representatives 2020

Study Documentation

August 10, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Olav Bjørnebekk , ideas2evidence , Project team member Amund Eikrem , ideas2evidence , Project team member
Production Date	August 6, 2020
Identification	R2020

Table of Contents

The Panel of Elected Representatives 2020

Overview

Identification	R2020

Abstract

The Panel of Elected Representatives is an internet-based survey of elected representatives, at all political levels, in Norway. The survey deals with matters that are important to society, representation and democracy. All elected politicians are invited to participate.

The first survey was fielded in spring 2018, the second winter 2019, and the third winter 2020. We are planning on approximately annual or biannual surveys. The Panel of Elected Representatives is part of The Digital Social Science Core Facility (DIGSSCORE) at the University of Bergen (UiB). The Panel of Elected Representatives is also affiliated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel. The University of Bergen is the owner and treatment manager of the Panel of Elected Representatives. Ideas2Evidence deals with the practical implementation of the survey, and is responsible for recruiting participants, as well as sending and receiving surveys to and from respondents. The data is stored encrypted and secure in UiB's solution for safe processing of sensitive personal data in research, 'SAFE'. The panel has been reported to and processed by the Data Protection Official for Research at the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) pursuant to the Personal Data Act. More information can be found at www.uib.no/representant <http://www.uib.no/representant>.

Unit of Analysis	Elected representatives.

Scope & Coverage

Countries	Norge

Universe
All elected representatives in Norway.

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Yvette Peters, Coordinator, University of Bergen Troy Saghaug Broderstad, University of Bergen Arjan Schakel, University of Bergen
Other Producer(s)	Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Olav Bjørnebekk , ideas2evidence , Project team member Amund Eikrem , ideas2evidence , Project team member

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
All elected representatives at all political levels in Norway - municipal councils, county councils, the Storting (parliament) and the Sami Parliament of Norway - are invited to participate in the Panel of Elected Representatives. The contact information has been collected through Kommuneforlaget AS's registers, as well as public information from the websites of municipalities, county municipalities, the Storting and the Sami Parliament of Norway.

Data Collection

Data Collection Mode	The survey is based on a online questionnaire where the panel members are invited by email. The panel members were recruited to the panel in wave 1, and due to the 2019 municipal election a new wave of recruitment was conducted in wave 3. Representatives who where not re-elected

	was omitted from the panel, while newly elected and re-elected representatives where invited to participate. For a more detailed description of how the members were recruited, please see the documentation report from wave 1 and 3. Wave 3 was fielded from 12th of Feburary 2020 to 1th of May 2020.

Depositor(s)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2	
# Cases	3748
# Variable(s)	88

Variables List

Dataset contains 88 variable(s)

File Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	responseid	responseid	discrete	numeric-8.0	3748	0	-
2	r03inter ..	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	3748	-	-
3	r03inter ..	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	3599	-	-
4	r03brows ..	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-16	3748	-	-
5	r03brows ..	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	character-4	3748	-	-
6	r03mobil	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
7	r03opplo ..	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-8	3748	-	-
8	r03enhet ..	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
9	r03per_g ..	[Sub-group in wave 3. Partially randomized.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
10	r03rekru ..	[Recruitment round of the representative]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
11	r03per36	Elected representative for how many years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	For how many years have you been an elected representative (regardless of where and when you were elected)?
12	r03per40	Which party do you represent?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3748	0	Which party do you represent?
13	r03per40 ..	Other: Which party do you represent? [Data witheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-45	0	-	Which party do you represent?
14	r03per35	Is your party in government or opposition?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Is your party currently in government or in opposition at your level of government?
15	r03per100	County of upbringing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3748	0	In which county did you spend most of your upbringing?
16	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Neighbourhood or village	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The neighbourhood or village you live in
17	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Municipality	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The municipality you live in
18	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: County	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The county you live in
19	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The region (part of the country) you live in
20	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Norway
21	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Nordic countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The Nordic countries

File Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	r03per10 ..	How strong connection to: Europe	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Europe
23	r03per9	Agree/disagree: Further reduce number of municipalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertions: Norway currently has 356 municipalities compared to 422 in the last election period. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
24	r03per4	Agree/disagree: Police more visible and accessible with police reform	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The Police reform contributes to a more visible and accessible Police.
25	r03per6	Agree/disagree: Norway should halve today's meat and dairy production by 2050.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: Norway should halve today's meat and dairy production by 2050.
26	r03per8	Agree/disagree: Anti terror measures at expense of privacy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of protection of privacy.
27	r03per7	Agree/disagree: Should not allow oil and gas in LoVeSe	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: We should not allow oil and gas exploration in the area around Lofoten, Vesterålen, and Senja.
28	r03per134	Agree/disagree: Too little consideration for rural Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Government authorities show little consideration for non-urban/rural Norway.
29	r03per135	Agree/disagree: Even out economic inequalities betwen different parts of country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Government authorities should intervene with measures to even out economic inequalities that exist between different parts of the country.
30	r03per136	Agree/disagree: Transfer resources from rich to not so rich parts of country in order to secure public services in every part of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Financial resources should be transferred from the rich parts of the country to parts that are not so rich to ensure that everyone receives public services of the same quality.
31	r03per50a	Agree/disagree: More land-based wind turbines	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: More land-based wind turbines should be built in Norway.
32	r03per50b	Agree/disagree: More sea-based wind turbines	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: More sea-based wind turbines should be built in Norway.
33	r03per51	How concerned are you about climate change?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	How concerned are you about climate change?
34	r03per52_1	Share of population for Norway to accept fewer refugees?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3748	0	In your opinion, what percentage of the Norwegian people believe that it is a good proposal for Norway to accept fewer refugees?

File Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
35	r03per53_1	Share of politicians for Norway to accept fewer refugees?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3748	0	In your opinion, what percentage of politicians believe that it is a good proposal for Norway to accept fewer refugees?
36	r03per54	Agree/disagree: The people, not the politicians, should make the most important political decisions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The people, not the politicians, should make the most important political decisions.
37	r03per55	Agree/disagree: Possible for ordinary people to take initiative for national referendums	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	It should be possible for ordinary people to take the initiative regarding national referendums.
38	r03per56	Good or bad proposal: Accept fewer refugees	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Do you think it is a good or bad proposal that Norway accepts fewer refugees?
39	r03per57	How important is the refugee question for you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	How important is the refugee question for you?
40	r03per109	Do you support the merger of county authorities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Generally speaking, do you support the merger of county authorities?
41	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (county): Punish or reward county politicans	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The voters use their vote to reward or punish county authority politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past four years.
42	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (county): Punish or reward national politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The voters use their vote to reward or punish national politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past two years.
43	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (county): The best interest of the county	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The voters use their vote to ensure that the county authority's elected representatives act in the best interests of their county over the next four years.
44	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (county): Ensure support for government policies	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The voters use their vote to ensure that government policies have support among elected representatives in my county authority over the next two years.
45	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Merger of county authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The merger of county authorities
46	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: School/education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	School/education
47	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Road tolls	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Road tolls
48	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Business/industry/economic development	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Business and industry- and economic development policy
49	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Jobs
50	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Culture/sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Culture/sports
51	r03per12 ..	Important in county election: Environmant/climate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Environment/climate
52	r03per3	Agree/disagree: Government should work to significantly reduce the income differences in society	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The government should work to significantly reduce the income differences in society.

File Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
53	r03per108	Do you support the merger of municipalities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Generally speaking, do you support the merger of municipalities?
54	r03per110	Economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is:
55	r03per111	Economic situation in your region compared to rest of Norway	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	If you compare the economic situation [in your region of the country] with the rest of Norway, do you consider the situation [in your region of the country] as better, worse or the same?
56	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (municipality): Punish or reward municipality politicans	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Voters use their vote to reward or punish local politicians regarding their behaviour or decisions they made over the past four years.
57	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (municipality): Punish or reward national politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Voters use their vote to reward or punish national politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past two years.
58	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (municipality): The best interest of the municipality	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Voters use their vote to ensure that the municipality's elected representatives act in the best interests of their municipality over the next four years.
59	r03per11 ..	Reason to vote (municipality): Ensure support for government policies	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Voters use their vote to ensure that government policies have support among elected representatives in their municipality over the next two years.
60	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: School	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	School
61	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Care for the elderly	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Care for the elderly
62	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: The merger of municipalities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The merger of municipalities
63	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Property tax	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Property tax
64	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Culture/sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Culture/sports
65	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Road tolls	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Road tolls
66	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Environment/ climate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Environment/climate
67	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: Public transport	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	Public transport
68	r03per11 ..	Important in municipality election: The merger of county authorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	The merger of county authorities
69	r03rpse1 ..	[Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses between r03rpse1_accept and r03rpse1_propose]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1699	2049	-
70	r03rpse1 ..	[Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1699	2049	-

File Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
71	r03rpse1 ..	[Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1699	2049	-
72	r03rpse1 ..	Experiment: Lowest acceptable offer	continuous	numeric-6.0	715	3033	Please specify the lowest offer of the NOK 100,000 that you would have been willing to accept. The remaining amount is retained by the other representative.
73	r03rpse1 ..	Experiment: Offer	continuous	numeric-6.0	719	3029	Please indicate how much of the NOK 100,000 you would have given to the other representative. You keep the remaining amount yourself.
74	r03rpse1 ..	Experiment: Offer	continuous	numeric-6.0	781	2967	What would your offer have been? Please indicate how much of the NOK 100,000 you would have given to the other representative. You keep the remaining amount yourself.
75	r03rpse1 ..	Experiment: Lowest acceptable offer	continuous	numeric-6.0	777	2971	Please specify the lowest offer of the NOK 100,000 that you would have been willing to accept.
76	r03per34	What is your highest completed education?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	What is your highest completed education?
77	r03per34 ..	Other: What is your highest completed education?	discrete	character-1	0	-	What is your highest completed education?
78	r03per37	In which year were you born?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3579	169	In which year were you born?
79	r03per39	If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-56	0	-	If you have any comments or suggestions about the survey, you can enter them here.
80	r03P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
81	r03P2_1	Municipality where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
82	r03P2_2	County where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
83	r03P2_3	Region where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
84	r03P3	Administrative level to which the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
85	r03P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
86	r03P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
87	r03P5_1	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-
88	r03P5_2	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 88 variable(s)

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

responseid: responseid

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8804514.945 /-] [StdDev=1848.019 /-]

r03interview_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-]

r03interview_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3599 /-]

r03browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		1736	46.3%
Firefox		97	2.6%
InternetExplorer		288	7.7%
Safari		1612	43.0%
Unknown		15	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-]

r03mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	2704	72.1%
1	Mobile	1044	27.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-]

r03enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	2711	72.3%
2	Touch	1000	26.7%
3	Generic	37	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per_group: [Sub-group in wave 3. Partially randomized.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Subgroup in round 3. Partially randomized. Municipal representatives are randomized into either of the two groups. Representatives from other administrative levels are assigned to group 2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Municipal half	1725	46.0%
2	Mixed half	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03rekruttert: [Recruitment round of the representative]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Round 1	1191	31.8%
3	Round 3	2557	68.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per36: Elected representative for how many years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	For how many years have you been an elected representative (regardless of where and when you were elected)?

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - 3 years	1184	31.6%
2	4 – 7 years	872	23.3%
3	8 – 11 years	597	15.9%
4	12 – 15 years	379	10.1%
5	16 – 19 years	228	6.1%
6	20 years or more	485	12.9%
97	Not answered	3	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per40: Which party do you represent?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party do you represent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	1038	27.7%
2	Democrats in Norway	3	0.1%
3	People's Action No to More Road Tolls	16	0.4%
4	The Progress Party	234	6.2%
5	The Conservative Party	646	17.2%
6	The Christian Democrats	194	5.2%
7	Coastal Party	0	
8	The Green Party	158	4.2%
9	The Christians Party	5	0.1%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per40: Which party do you represent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Pensioners' Party	29	0.8%
11	The Red Party	104	2.8%
12	The Centre Party	794	21.2%
13	The Socialist Left Party	244	6.5%
14	The Liberal Party	130	3.5%
15	Other	153	4.1%
97	Not answered	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per40_15_other: Other: Which party do you represent? [Data witheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party do you represent?
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r03per35: Is your party in government or opposition?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Is your party currently in government or in opposition at your level of government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Government	2136	57.0%
2	Opposition	1609	42.9%
97	Not answered	3	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per100: County of upbringing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In which county did you spend most of your upbringing?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus (Viken from 2020)	301	8.0%
2	Aust-Agder (Agder from 2020)	111	3.0%
3	Buskerud (Viken from 2020)	180	4.8%
4	Finnmark (Troms and Finnmark from 2020)	98	2.6%
5	Hedmark (Innlandet from 2020)	189	5.0%
6	Hordaland (Vestland from 2020)	331	8.8%
7	Møre og Romsdal	312	8.3%
8	Nord-Trøndelag (now Trøndelag)	146	3.9%
9	Nordland	306	8.2%
10	Oppland (Innlandet from 2020)	190	5.1%
11	Oslo	99	2.6%
12	Østfold (Viken from 2020)	170	4.5%
13	Rogaland	321	8.6%
14	Sogn og Fjordane (Vestland from 2020)	164	4.4%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per100: County of upbringing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Sør-Trøndelag (now Trøndelag)	192	5.1%
16	Telemark (Vestfold and Telemark from 2020)	166	4.4%
17	Troms (Troms and Finnmark from 2020)	151	4.0%
18	Vest-Agder (Agder from 2020)	134	3.6%
19	Vestfold (Vestfold and Telemark from 2020)	122	3.3%
20	Other	65	1.7%
97	Not answered	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_1: How strong connection to: Neighbourhood or village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	The neighbourhood or village you live in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	1494	39.9%
2	Strong connection	1510	40.3%
3	Some connection	544	14.5%
4	Little connection	130	3.5%
5	No connection	12	0.3%
97	Not answered	58	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_2: How strong connection to: Municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	The municipality you live in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	1501	40.0%
2	Strong connection	1752	46.7%
3	Some connection	416	11.1%
4	Little connection	52	1.4%
5	No connection	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	22	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_3: How strong connection to: County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	The county you live in

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per101_3: How strong connection to: County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	667	17.8%
2	Strong connection	1557	41.5%
3	Some connection	1024	27.3%
4	Little connection	385	10.3%
5	No connection	67	1.8%
97	Not answered	48	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_4: How strong connection to: Region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	The region (part of the country) you live in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	749	20.0%
2	Strong connection	1579	42.1%
3	Some connection	1000	26.7%
4	Little connection	312	8.3%
5	No connection	48	1.3%
97	Not answered	60	1.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_5: How strong connection to: Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	1945	51.9%
2	Strong connection	1521	40.6%
3	Some connection	220	5.9%
4	Little connection	21	0.6%
5	No connection	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	40	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_6: How strong connection to: Nordic countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	The Nordic countries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	348	9.3%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per101_6: How strong connection to: Nordic countries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Strong connection	1382	36.9%
3	Some connection	1492	39.8%
4	Little connection	403	10.8%
5	No connection	58	1.5%
97	Not answered	65	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per101_7: How strong connection to: Europe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How strong is your connection to:
Literal question	Europe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong connection	372	9.9%
2	Strong connection	1176	31.4%
3	Some connection	1447	38.6%
4	Little connection	592	15.8%
5	No connection	107	2.9%
97	Not answered	54	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per9: Agree/disagree: Further reduce number of municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertions: Norway currently has 356 municipalities compared to 422 in the last election period. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	389	10.4%
2	Agree	291	7.8%
3	Slightly agree	337	9.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	104	2.8%
5	Slightly disagree	183	4.9%
6	Disagree	316	8.4%
7	Strongly disagree	402	10.7%
97	Not answered	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per4: Agree/disagree: Police more visible and accessible with police reform

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The Police reform contributes to a more visible and accessible Police.

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per4: Agree/disagree: Police more visible and accessible with police reform

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	3.2%
2	Agree	333	8.9%
3	Slightly agree	358	9.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	312	8.3%
5	Slightly disagree	464	12.4%
6	Disagree	870	23.2%
7	Strongly disagree	1272	33.9%
97	Not answered	19	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per6: Agree/disagree: Norway should halve today's meat and dairy production by 2050.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Research suggests that livestock husbandry may be negative for the environment. At the same time, meat and dairy products are an important source of food.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: Norway should halve today's meat and dairy production by 2050.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	64	1.7%
2	Agree	71	1.9%
3	Slightly agree	163	4.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	108	2.9%
5	Slightly disagree	205	5.5%
6	Disagree	430	11.5%
7	Strongly disagree	675	18.0%
97	Not answered	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per8: Agree/disagree: Anti terror measures at expense of privacy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of protection of privacy.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	145	3.9%
2	Agree	390	10.4%
3	Slightly agree	470	12.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	144	3.8%
5	Slightly disagree	275	7.3%
6	Disagree	215	5.7%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per8: Agree/disagree: Anti terror measures at expense of privacy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7	Strongly disagree	68		1.8%
97	Not answered	18		0.5%
98	Not asked	2023		54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per7: Agree/disagree: Should not allow oil and gas in LoVeSe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: We should not allow oil and gas exploration in the area around Lofoten, Vesterålen, and Senja.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	1328		35.4%
2	Agree	635		16.9%
3	Slightly agree	355		9.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	289		7.7%
5	Slightly disagree	392		10.5%
6	Disagree	379		10.1%
7	Strongly disagree	343		9.2%
97	Not answered	27		0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per134: Agree/disagree: Too little consideration for rural Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Government authorities show little consideration for non-urban/rural Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	826		22.0%
2	Agree	521		13.9%
3	Slightly agree	311		8.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	65		1.7%
5	Slightly disagree	123		3.3%
6	Disagree	105		2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	66		1.8%
97	Not answered	6		0.2%
98	Not asked	1725		46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per135: Agree/disagree: Even out economic inequalities between different parts of country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Government authorities should intervene with measures to even out economic inequalities that exist between different parts of the country.

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per135: Agree/disagree: Even out economic inequalities between different parts of country

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	651	17.4%
2	Agree	649	17.3%
3	Slightly agree	389	10.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	113	3.0%
5	Slightly disagree	115	3.1%
6	Disagree	68	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	26	0.7%
97	Not answered	12	0.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per136: Agree/disagree: Transfer resources from rich to not so rich parts of country in order to secure public services in every part of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: Financial resources should be transferred from the rich parts of the country to parts that are not so rich to ensure that everyone receives public services of the same quality.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	429	11.4%
2	Agree	677	18.1%
3	Slightly agree	494	13.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	155	4.1%
5	Slightly disagree	122	3.3%
6	Disagree	76	2.0%
7	Strongly disagree	45	1.2%
97	Not answered	25	0.7%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per50a: Agree/disagree: More land-based wind turbines

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: More land-based wind turbines should be built in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	101	2.7%
2	Agree	286	7.6%
3	Slightly agree	356	9.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	189	5.0%
5	Slightly disagree	255	6.8%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per50a: Agree/disagree: More land-based wind turbines

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	335	8.9%
7	Strongly disagree	485	12.9%
97	Not answered	16	0.4%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per50b: Agree/disagree: More sea-based wind turbines

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the assertion: More sea-based wind turbines should be built in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	467	12.5%
2	Agree	680	18.1%
3	Slightly agree	403	10.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	166	4.4%
5	Slightly disagree	92	2.5%
6	Disagree	90	2.4%
7	Strongly disagree	102	2.7%
97	Not answered	23	0.6%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per51: How concerned are you about climate change?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all concerned	88	2.3%
2	Not particularly concerned	242	6.5%
3	Somewhat concerned	481	12.8%
4	Concerned	678	18.1%
5	Very concerned	518	13.8%
97	Not answered	16	0.4%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per52_1: Share of population for Norway to accept fewer refugees?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, what percentage of the Norwegian people believe that it is a good proposal for Norway to accept fewer refugees?

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per52_1: Share of population for Norway to accept fewer refugees?

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0%	1	0.0%
1	10%	76	2.0%
2	20%	227	6.1%
3	30%	352	9.4%
4	40%	293	7.8%
5	50%	308	8.2%
6	60%	327	8.7%
7	70%	237	6.3%
8	80%	137	3.7%
9	90%	44	1.2%
10	100%	5	0.1%
97	Not answered	16	0.4%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per53_1: Share of politicians for Norway to accept fewer refugees?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, what percentage of politicians believe that it is a good proposal for Norway to accept fewer refugees?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0%	0	
1	10%	125	3.3%
2	20%	335	8.9%
3	30%	385	10.3%
4	40%	350	9.3%
5	50%	336	9.0%
6	60%	232	6.2%
7	70%	137	3.7%
8	80%	56	1.5%
9	90%	13	0.3%
10	100%	3	0.1%
97	Not answered	51	1.4%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per54: Agree/disagree: The people, not the politicians, should make the most important political decisions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please consider the following assertions:
Literal question	The people, not the politicians, should make the most important political decisions.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per54: Agree/disagree: The people, not the politicians, should make the most important political decisions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	167	4.5%
2	Somewhat agree	288	7.7%
3	Both agree and disagree	643	17.2%
4	Somewhat disagree	613	16.4%
5	Completely disagree	291	7.8%
97	Not answered	21	0.6%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per55: Agree/disagree: Possible for ordinary people to take initiative for national referendums

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please consider the following assertions:
Literal question	It should be possible for ordinary people to take the initiative regarding national referendums.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	226	6.0%
2	Somewhat agree	353	9.4%
3	Both agree and disagree	477	12.7%
4	Somewhat disagree	575	15.3%
5	Completely disagree	372	9.9%
97	Not answered	20	0.5%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per56: Good or bad proposal: Accept fewer refugees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you think it is a good or bad proposal that Norway accepts fewer refugees?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	170	4.5%
2	Good proposal	281	7.5%
3	Neither a good nor a bad proposal	434	11.6%
4	Bad proposal	725	19.3%
5	Very bad proposal	391	10.4%
97	Not answered	22	0.6%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per57: How important is the refugee question for you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important is the refugee question for you?

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per57: How important is the refugee question for you?

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	335	8.9%
2	Quite important	1030	27.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	397	10.6%
4	Not so important	227	6.1%
5	Not at all important	7	0.2%
97	Not answered	27	0.7%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per109: Do you support the merger of county authorities?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, do you support the merger of county authorities?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	823	22.0%
2	No	1174	31.3%
97	Not answered	26	0.7%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per116_1: Reason to vote (county): Punish or reward county politicans

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific county council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last county council election?
Literal question	The voters use their vote to reward or punish county authority politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past four years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	114	3.0%
2	Important	517	13.8%
3	Fairly important	692	18.5%
4	Not very important	512	13.7%
5	Not important at all	141	3.8%
97	Not answered	47	1.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per116_2: Reason to vote (county): Punish or reward national politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per116_2: Reason to vote (county): Punish or reward national politicians

Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific county council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last county council election?
Literal question	The voters use their vote to reward or punish national politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past two years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	293	7.8%
2	Important	796	21.2%
3	Fairly important	607	16.2%
4	Not very important	232	6.2%
5	Not important at all	47	1.3%
97	Not answered	48	1.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per116_3: Reason to vote (county): The best interest of the county

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific county council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last county council election?
Literal question	The voters use their vote to ensure that the county authority's elected representatives act in the best interests of their county over the next four years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	433	11.6%
2	Important	892	23.8%
3	Fairly important	463	12.4%
4	Not very important	143	3.8%
5	Not important at all	46	1.2%
97	Not answered	46	1.2%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per116_4: Reason to vote (county): Ensure support for government policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific county council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last county council election?
Literal question	The voters use their vote to ensure that government policies have support among elected representatives in my county authority over the next two years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	198	5.3%

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per116_4: Reason to vote (county): Ensure support for government policies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Important	555	14.8%
3	Fairly important	553	14.8%
4	Not very important	520	13.9%
5	Not important at all	150	4.0%
97	Not answered	47	1.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_1: Important in county election: Merger of county authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
Literal question	The merger of county authorities
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	460	12.3%
2	Important	540	14.4%
3	Fairly important	456	12.2%
4	Not very important	366	9.8%
5	Not important at all	158	4.2%
97	Not answered	43	1.1%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_2: Important in county election: School/education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
Literal question	School/education
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	589	15.7%
2	Important	1016	27.1%
3	Fairly important	311	8.3%
4	Not very important	48	1.3%
5	Not important at all	15	0.4%
97	Not answered	44	1.2%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_3: Important in county election: Road tolls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per127_3: Important in county election: Road tolls

Literal question	Road tolls
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	340	9.1%
2	Important	542	14.5%
3	Fairly important	533	14.2%
4	Not very important	431	11.5%
5	Not important at all	129	3.4%
97	Not answered	48	1.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_4: Important in county election: Business/industry/economic development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
Literal question	Business and industry- and economic development policy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	673	18.0%
2	Important	848	22.6%
3	Fairly important	347	9.3%
4	Not very important	87	2.3%
5	Not important at all	21	0.6%
97	Not answered	47	1.3%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_5: Important in county election: Jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
Literal question	Jobs
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	580	15.5%
2	Important	858	22.9%
3	Fairly important	389	10.4%
4	Not very important	127	3.4%
5	Not important at all	24	0.6%
97	Not answered	45	1.2%
98	Not asked	1725	46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Panel of Elected Representatives - wave 3 - dataset 2

r03per127_6: Important in county election: Culture/sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
Literal question	Culture/sports
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	86		2.3%
2	Important	519		13.8%
3	Fairly important	851		22.7%
4	Not very important	463		12.4%
5	Not important at all	51		1.4%
97	Not answered	53		1.4%
98	Not asked	1725		46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per127_7: Important in county election: Environmant/climate

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the county council election in your county?
	Literal question	Environment/climate
	Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	195		5.2%
2	Important	759		20.3%
3	Fairly important	730		19.5%
4	Not very important	259		6.9%
5	Not important at all	35		0.9%
97	Not answered	45		1.2%
98	Not asked	1725		46.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per3: Agree/disagree: Government should work to significantly reduce the income differences in society

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following assertion: The government should work to significantly reduce the income differences in society.
	Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	573		15.3%
2	Agree	362		9.7%
3	Slightly agree	289		7.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	142		3.8%
5	Slightly disagree	131		3.5%
6	Disagree	148		3.9%
7	Strongly disagree	57		1.5%
97	Not answered	23		0.6%
98	Not asked	2023		54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per108: Do you support the merger of municipalities?

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	Generally speaking, do you support the merger of municipalities?
	Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	860		22.9%
2	No	844		22.5%
97	Not answered	21		0.6%
98	Not asked	2023		54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per110: Economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation in Norway? Do you believe it is:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	538	14.4%
2	Good	891	23.8%
3	Somewhat good	178	4.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	47	1.3%
5	Somewhat poor	38	1.0%
6	Poor	15	0.4%
7	Very poor	1	0.0%
97	Not answered	17	0.5%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per111: Economic situation in your region compared to rest of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you compare the economic situation [in your region of the country] with the rest of Norway, do you consider the situation [in your region of the country] as better, worse or the same?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	524	14.0%
2	Worse	382	10.2%
3	The same	796	21.2%
97	Not answered	23	0.6%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per112_1: Reason to vote (municipality): Punish or reward municipality politicans

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific municipal council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last municipal council election?
Literal question	Voters use their vote to reward or punish local politicians regarding their behaviour or decisions they made over the past four years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	209	5.6%
2	Important	684	18.2%
3	Fairly important	564	15.0%
4	Not very important	199	5.3%
5	Not important at all	37	1.0%
97	Not answered	32	0.9%

r03per112_1: Reason to vote (municipality): Punish or reward municipality politicans

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per112_2: Reason to vote (municipality): Punish or reward national politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific municipal council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last municipal council election?
Literal question	Voters use their vote to reward or punish national politicians for their behaviour or decisions they made over the past two years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	204	5.4%
2	Important	640	17.1%
3	Fairly important	599	16.0%
4	Not very important	209	5.6%
5	Not important at all	40	1.1%
97	Not answered	33	0.9%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per112_3: Reason to vote (municipality): The best interest of the municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific municipal council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last municipal council election?
Literal question	Voters use their vote to ensure that the municipality's elected representatives act in the best interests of their municipality over the next four years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	596	15.9%
2	Important	791	21.1%
3	Fairly important	251	6.7%
4	Not very important	51	1.4%
5	Not important at all	9	0.2%
97	Not answered	27	0.7%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per112_4: Reason to vote (municipality): Ensure support for government policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There may be many reasons why voters vote for specific municipal council representatives. Below you will find some of these reasons. How important do you think these reasons were for voters when they voted in the last municipal council election?

r03per112_4: Reason to vote (municipality): Ensure support for government policies

Literal question	Voters use their vote to ensure that government policies have support among elected representatives in their municipality over the next two years.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	99	2.6%
2	Important	332	8.9%
3	Fairly important	503	13.4%
4	Not very important	586	15.6%
5	Not important at all	168	4.5%
97	Not answered	37	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_1: Important in municipality election: School

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	School
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	653	17.4%
2	Important	768	20.5%
3	Fairly important	225	6.0%
4	Not very important	38	1.0%
5	Not important at all	4	0.1%
97	Not answered	37	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_2: Important in municipality election: Care for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Care for the elderly
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	578	15.4%
2	Important	819	21.9%
3	Fairly important	247	6.6%
4	Not very important	38	1.0%
5	Not important at all	6	0.2%
97	Not answered	37	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_3: Important in municipality election: The merger of municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	The merger of municipalities
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	266	7.1%
2	Important	384	10.2%
3	Fairly important	349	9.3%
4	Not very important	417	11.1%
5	Not important at all	272	7.3%
97	Not answered	37	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_4: Important in municipality election: Property tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Property tax
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	275	7.3%
2	Important	504	13.4%
3	Fairly important	509	13.6%
4	Not very important	328	8.8%
5	Not important at all	70	1.9%
97	Not answered	39	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_5: Important in municipality election: Culture/sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Culture/sports
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	128	3.4%
2	Important	696	18.6%
3	Fairly important	606	16.2%
4	Not very important	228	6.1%
5	Not important at all	21	0.6%

r03per118_5: Important in municipality election: Culture/sports

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	46	1.2%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_6: Important in municipality election: Road tolls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Road tolls
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	209	5.6%
2	Important	226	6.0%
3	Fairly important	268	7.2%
4	Not very important	430	11.5%
5	Not important at all	553	14.8%
97	Not answered	39	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_7: Important in municipality election: Environment/climate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Environment/climate
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	152	4.1%
2	Important	526	14.0%
3	Fairly important	683	18.2%
4	Not very important	288	7.7%
5	Not important at all	38	1.0%
97	Not answered	38	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_8: Important in municipality election: Public transport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	Public transport
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

r03per118_8: Important in municipality election: Public transport

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	155	4.1%
2	Important	441	11.8%
3	Fairly important	543	14.5%
4	Not very important	418	11.2%
5	Not important at all	130	3.5%
97	Not answered	38	1.0%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per118_9: Important in municipality election: The merger of county authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How important would you say the following issues were for the voters' voting in the municipal election in your municipality?
Literal question	The merger of county authorities
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if r03PER_group == 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	143	3.8%
2	Important	228	6.1%
3	Fairly important	306	8.2%
4	Not very important	608	16.2%
5	Not important at all	400	10.7%
97	Not answered	40	1.1%
98	Not asked	2023	54.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03rpse1_ran: [Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses between r03rpse1_accept and r03rpse1_propose]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background data for R03RPSE1. Randomly assigns one of the question variants]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R03RPSE1_accept	810	47.7%
2	R03RPSE1_propose	889	52.3%
Sysmiss		2049	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03rpse1_treatment1: [Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment if r03PER_group == 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BLANK	576	33.9%
2	You also know that this is the politician's first term in office	544	32.0%
3	You also know that the politician has been in office for 12 years	579	34.1%
Sysmiss		2049	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03rpse1_treatment2: [Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1699 /-] [Invalid=2049 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background data for r03rpse1. Randomly chooses text element for experiment if r03PER_group == 1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	.	558		32.8%
2	[and that they have a reputation for being cooperative and trustworthy. / You also know that they have a reputation for being cooperative and trustworthy.]	592		34.8%
3	[and that they have a reputation for adversarial behaviour and being untrustworthy. / You also know that they have a reputation for adversarial behaviour and being untrustworthy.]	549		32.3%
Sysmiss		2049		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03rpse1_accept_1_1: Experiment: Lowest acceptable offer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=715 /-] [Invalid=3033 /-] [Mean=43569.594 /-] [StdDev=17726.568 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to know what you think about an imaginary situation. Although this imaginary situation may seem a little unusual, we would still ask you to imagine yourself in it and answer some questions related to this situation. These questions aim to survey how people negotiate in different situations. The questions are used in international research and are often asked of diplomats, business leaders and elected representatives in other countries. The instructional text that follows is a little longer than the other questions in the survey, but we hope you will take the time to answer the question. Your responses will remain anonymous and are very valuable for researchers. Imagine that as part of your work as an elected representative, you are asked to share NOK 100,000 in non-restricted municipal income between yourself and another elected representative in your municipality. Once the money has been shared between the both of you, each of you can decide which public purposes in your municipality you want to spend the money on. For example, you can use the money to improve education provision, welfare schemes you think are important, improvements to the local health services, and so on. The other representative can do the same with his share of the money. You don't know who the other elected representative is, but you know that the person is a political opponent who will probably use his share of the money on causes you disagree with. [R03RPSE1_treatment1][R03RPSE1_treatment2] In this scenario, the other representative will first suggest to you how the money should be distributed. Then you decide whether to agree to the suggested distribution. The other representative can distribute the money between you as he wants: the representative may keep the full amount for himself, give the full amount to you, or split the amount between you in a different way. The other representative can only make one suggestion, and you can't negotiate with that person. Once the other representative has made his proposal on how to share the money, decide whether to accept or reject the offer. If you accept the offer, the money will be shared between you according to the proposal of the other party and each of you may spend their share on public purposes at will. If you reject the offer from the other representative, neither of you will get anything.
Literal question	Please specify the lowest offer of the NOK 100,000 that you would have been willing to accept. The remaining amount is retained by the other representative.
Post-question	Enter entire numbers without delimiters
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. See background variable. Asked if r03PER_group == 1 & R03RPSE1_ran ==1]

r03rpse1_accept_2_1: Experiment: Offer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=719 /-] [Invalid=3029 /-] [Mean=47915.949 /-] [StdDev=14265.664 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that you are going to decide the distribution of the money and that the other representative should either accept or reject your proposal. Keep in mind that if the representative accepts, the money will be distributed according to your proposal. If the other party declines, neither of you will get anything. What would your offer be?

r03rpse1_accept_2_1: Experiment: Offer

Literal question	Please indicate how much of the NOK 100,000 you would have given to the other representative. You keep the remaining amount yourself.
Post-question	Enter entire numbers without delimiters
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. See background variable. Asked if r03PER_group == 1 & R03RPSE1_ran ==1]

r03rpse1_propose_1_1: Experiment: Offer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=781 /-] [Invalid=2967 /-] [Mean=45545.224 /-] [StdDev=16403.029 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to know what you think about an imaginary situation. Although this imaginary situation may seem a little unusual, we would still ask you to imagine yourself in it and answer some questions related to this situation. These questions aim to survey how people negotiate in different situations. The questions are used in international research and are often asked of diplomats, business leaders and elected representatives in other countries. The instructional text that follows is a little longer than the other questions in the survey, but we hope you will take the time to answer the question. Your responses will remain anonymous and are very valuable for researchers. Imagine that as part of your work as an elected representative, you are asked to share NOK 100,000 in non-restricted municipal income between yourself and another elected representative in your municipality. Once the money has been shared between the both of you, each of you can decide which public purposes in your municipality you want to spend the money on. For example, you can use the money to improve education provision, welfare schemes you think are important, improvements to the local health services, and so on. The other representative can do the same with his share of the money. You don't know who the other elected representative is, but you know that the person is a political opponent who will probably use his share of the money on causes you disagree with. [R03RPSE1_treatment1][R03RPSE1_treatment2] In this scenario, you first suggest to the other representative how the money should be distributed. Then this person decides whether to agree to the proposed distribution. You can distribute the money between yourselves as you please: you can keep the full amount, give the full amount to the other representative, or split the amount between you in a different way. You can only make one suggestion, and you can't negotiate with them. Once you have made your proposal on how to share the money, the other representative can decide whether to accept or reject the offer. If the representative accepts the offer, the money will be shared between you according to your proposal and each of you may spend their share on public purposes at will. If the representative rejects your offer, neither of you will get anything.
Literal question	What would your offer have been? Please indicate how much of the NOK 100,000 you would have given to the other representative. You keep the remaining amount yourself.
Post-question	Enter entire numbers without delimiters
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. See background variable. Asked if r03PER_group == 1 & R03RPSE1_ran ==2]

r03rpse1_propose_2_1: Experiment: Lowest acceptable offer

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=777 /-] [Invalid=2971 /-] [Mean=38485.134 /-] [StdDev=18257.005 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the other representative is going to decide the distribution of the money and that you should either accept or reject their proposal. Keep in mind that if you accept, the money will be distributed according to the other representative's proposal. If you decline, neither of you will get anything.
Literal question	Please specify the lowest offer of the NOK 100,000 that you would have been willing to accept.
Post-question	Enter entire numbers without delimiters
Interviewer's instructions	[Experiment. See background variable. Asked if r03PER_group == 1 & R03RPSE1_ran ==2]

r03per34: What is your highest completed education?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?
Post-question	If you have obtained multiple qualifications of the same level, select the one that is the most relevant to you.

r03per34: What is your highest completed education?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	3	0.1%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, upper secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	107	2.9%
3	Secondary education – general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School, business college)	288	7.7%
4	Secondary education – vocational line of study/education programme (e.g. VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam, business college)	442	11.8%
5	Craft training/shorter education programmes (e.g. vocational school, technical school/college, maritime vocational school, officer candidate school, pilot training, secretary school, home industry school/handicrafts school, master craftsman certificate tr	327	8.7%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (e.g. university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	359	9.6%
7	College – 3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, maritime subjects, military subjects etc.)	739	19.7%
8	University – 3-4 year education (BA, cand.mag.)	312	8.3%
9	University college – 5-6 year education (MA, hovedfag or longer vocational education on a university college level)	376	10.0%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors or a longer professional education (e.g. MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economics)	564	15.0%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	62	1.7%
12	None of the above (please enter):	26	0.7%
97	Not answered	143	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03per34_12_other: Other: What is your highest completed education?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-]
Literal question	What is your highest completed education?
Post-question	If you have obtained multiple qualifications of the same level, select the one that is the most relevant to you.

r03per37: In which year were you born?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3579 /-] [Invalid=169 /-]
Literal question	In which year were you born?

r03per39: If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you have any comments or suggestions about the survey, you can enter them here.
Interviewer's instructions	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

r03P1: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]

r03P1: Gender

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	Male	2235		59.6%
2	Female	1513		40.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
301	Oslo		
1101	Eigersund		
1103	Stavanger		
1106	Haugesund		
1108	Sandnes		
1111	Sokndal		
1112	Lund		
1114	Bjerkreim		
1119	Hå		
1120	Klepp		
1121	Time		
1122	Gjesdal		
1124	Sola		
1127	Randaberg		
1130	Strand		
1133	Hjelmeland		
1134	Suldal		
1135	Sauda		
1144	Kvitsøy		
1145	Bokn		
1146	Tysvær		
1149	Karmøy		
1151	Utsira		
1160	Vindafjord		
1505	Kristiansund		
1506	Molde		
1507	Ålesund		
1511	Vanylven		
1514	Sande		
1515	Herøy		
1516	Ulstein		
1517	Hareid		
1520	Ørsta		
1525	Stranda		
1528	Sykkylven		
1531	Sula		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1532	Giske		
1535	Vestnes		
1539	Rauma		
1547	Aukra		
1554	Averøy		
1557	Gjemnes		
1560	Tingvoll		
1563	Sunndal		
1566	Surnadal		
1573	Smøla		
1576	Aure		
1577	Volda		
1578	Fjord		
1579	Hustadvika		
1804	Bodø		
1806	Narvik		
1811	Bindal		
1812	Sømna		
1813	Brønnøy		
1815	Vega		
1816	Vevelstad		
1818	Herøy		
1820	Alstahaug		
1822	Leirfjord		
1824	Vefsn		
1825	Grane		
1826	Hattfjelldal		
1827	Dønna		
1828	Nesna		
1832	Hemnes		
1833	Rana		
1834	Lurøy		
1835	Træna		
1836	Rødøy		
1837	Meløy		
1838	Gildeskål		
1839	Beiarn		
1840	Saltdal		
1841	Fauske		
1845	Sørfold		
1848	Steigen		
1851	Lødingen		
1853	Evenes		
1856	Røst		
1857	Værøy		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1859	Flakstad		
1860	Vestvågøy		
1865	Vågan		
1866	Hadsel		
1867	Bø		
1868	Øksnes		
1870	Sortland		
1871	Andøy		
1874	Moskenes		
1875	Hamarøy		
3001	Halden		
3002	Moss		
3003	Sarpsborg		
3004	Fredrikstad		
3005	Drammen		
3006	Kongsberg		
3007	Ringerike		
3011	Hvaler		
3012	Aremark		
3013	Marker		
3014	Indre Østfold		
3015	Skiptvet		
3016	Rakkestad		
3017	Råde		
3018	Våler (Viken)		
3019	Vestby		
3020	Nordre Follo		
3021	Ås		
3022	Frogn		
3023	Nesodden		
3024	Bærum		
3025	Asker		
3026	Aurskog-Høland		
3027	Rælingen		
3028	Enebakk		
3029	Lørenskog		
3030	Lillestrøm		
3031	Nittedal		
3032	Gjerdrum		
3033	Ullensaker		
3034	Nes		
3035	Eidsvoll		
3036	Nannestad		
3037	Hurdal		
3038	Hole		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3039	Flå		
3040	Nesbyen		
3041	Gol		
3042	Hemsedal		
3043	Ål		
3044	Hol		
3045	Sigdal		
3046	Krødsherad		
3047	Modum		
3048	Øvre Eiker		
3049	Lier		
3050	Flesberg		
3051	Rollag		
3052	Nore Og Uvdal		
3053	Jevnaker		
3054	Lunner		
3401	Kongsvinger		
3403	Hamar		
3405	Lillehammer		
3407	Gjøvik		
3411	Ringsaker		
3412	Løten		
3413	Stange		
3414	Nord-Odal		
3415	Sør-Odal		
3416	Eidskog		
3417	Grue		
3418	Åsnes		
3419	Våler (Innlandet)		
3420	Elverum		
3421	Trysil		
3422	Åmot		
3423	Stor-Elvdal		
3424	Rendalen		
3425	Engerdal		
3426	Tolga		
3427	Tynset		
3428	Alvdal		
3429	Folldal		
3430	Os (Innlandet)		
3431	Dovre		
3432	Lesja		
3433	Skjåk		
3434	Lom		
3435	Vågå		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3436	Nord-Fron		
3437	Sel		
3438	Sør-Fron		
3439	Ringebu		
3440	Øyer		
3441	Gausdal		
3442	Østre Toten		
3443	Vestre Toten		
3446	Gran		
3447	Søndre Land		
3448	Nordre Land		
3449	Sør-Aurdal		
3450	Etnedal		
3451	Nord-Aurdal		
3452	Vestre Slidre		
3453	Øystre Slidre		
3454	Vang		
3801	Horten		
3802	Holmestrand		
3803	Tønsberg		
3804	Sandefjord		
3805	Larvik		
3806	Porsgrunn		
3807	Skien		
3808	Notodden		
3811	Færder		
3812	Siljan		
3813	Bamble		
3814	Kragerø		
3815	Drangedal		
3816	Nome		
3817	Midt-Telemark		
3818	Tinn		
3819	Hjartdal		
3820	Seljord		
3821	Kviteseid		
3822	Nissedal		
3823	Fyresdal		
3824	Tokke		
3825	Vinje		
4201	Risør		
4202	Grimstad		
4203	Arendal		
4204	Kristiansand		
4205	Lindesnes		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4206	Farsund		
4207	Flekkefjord		
4211	Gjerstad		
4212	Vegårshei		
4213	Tvedestrand		
4214	Froland		
4215	Lillesand		
4216	Birkenes		
4217	Åmli		
4218	Iveland		
4219	Evje Og Hornnes		
4220	Bygland		
4221	Valle		
4222	Bykle		
4223	Vennesla		
4224	Åseral		
4225	Lyngdal		
4226	Hægebostad		
4227	Kvinesdal		
4228	Sirdal		
4601	Bergen		
4602	Kinn		
4611	Etne		
4612	Sveio		
4613	Bømlo		
4614	Stord		
4615	Fitjar		
4616	Tysnes		
4617	Kvinnherad		
4618	Ullensvang		
4619	Eidfjord		
4620	Ulvik		
4621	Voss		
4622	Kvam		
4623	Samnanger		
4624	Bjørnafjorden		
4625	Austevoll		
4626	Øygarden		
4627	Askøy		
4628	Vaksdal		
4629	Modalen		
4630	Osterøy		
4631	Alver		
4632	Austrheim		
4633	Fedje		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4634	Masfjorden		
4635	Gulen		
4636	Solund		
4637	Hyllestad		
4638	Høyanger		
4639	Vik		
4640	Sogndal		
4641	Aurland		
4642	Lærdal		
4643	Årdal		
4644	Luster		
4645	Askvoll		
4646	Fjaler		
4647	Sunnfjord		
4648	Bremanger		
4649	Stad		
4650	Gloppen		
4651	Stryn		
5001	Trondheim		
5006	Steinkjer		
5007	Namsos		
5014	Frøya		
5020	Osen		
5021	Oppdal		
5022	Rennebu		
5025	Røros		
5026	Holtålen		
5027	Midtre Gauldal		
5028	Melhus		
5029	Skaun		
5031	Malvik		
5032	Selbu		
5033	Tydal		
5034	Meråker		
5035	Stjørdal		
5036	Frosta		
5037	Levanger		
5038	Verdal		
5041	Snåsa		
5042	Lierne		
5043	Røyrvik		
5044	Namsskogan		
5045	Grong		
5046	Høylandet		
5047	Overhalla		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5049	Flatanger		
5052	Leka		
5053	Inderøy		
5054	Indre Fosen		
5055	Heim		
5056	Hitra		
5057	Ørland		
5058	Åfjord		
5059	Orkland		
5060	Nærøysund		
5061	Rindal		
5401	Tromsø		
5402	Harstad		
5403	Alta		
5404	Vardø		
5405	Vadsø		
5406	Hammerfest		
5411	Kvæfjord		
5412	Tjeldsund		
5413	Ibestad		
5414	Gratangen		
5415	Lavangen		
5416	Bardu		
5417	Salangen		
5418	Målselv		
5419	Sørreisa		
5420	Dyrøy		
5421	Senja		
5422	Balsfjord		
5423	Karlsøy		
5424	Lyngen		
5425	Storfjord		
5426	Kåfjord		
5427	Skjervøy		
5428	Nordreisa		
5429	Kvænangen		
5430	Kautokeino		
5432	Loppa		
5433	Hasvik		
5434	Måsøy		
5435	Nordkapp		
5436	Porsanger		
5437	Karasjok		
5438	Lebesby		
5439	Gamvik		

r03P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5440	Berlevåg		
5441	Tana		
5442	Nesseby		
5443	Båtsfjord		
5444	Sør-Varanger		
9999	Not relevant		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P2_2: County where the representative is elected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Oslo	31	0.8%
11	Rogaland	313	8.4%
15	Møre og Romsdal	296	7.9%
18	Nordland	287	7.7%
30	Viken	765	20.4%
34	Innlandet	397	10.6%
38	Vestfold og Telemark	284	7.6%
42	Agder	278	7.4%
46	Vestland	486	13.0%
50	Trøndelag	345	9.2%
54	Troms og Finnmark	262	7.0%
99	Not relevant	4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P2_3: Region where the representative is elected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo	31	0.8%
2	Østlandet	1446	38.6%
3	Sørlandet	278	7.4%
4	Vestlandet	1095	29.2%
5	Trøndelag	345	9.2%
6	Nord-Norge	549	14.6%
99	Not relevant	4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P3: Administrative level to which the representative is elected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Municipality	3560	95.0%
2	County	157	4.2%
3	Parliament	27	0.7%

r03P3: Administrative level to which the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	The Sami Parliament	4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P4_1: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	110	2.9%
2	Upper secondary education	1057	28.2%
3	University/University college	2412	64.4%
97	Not answered	169	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P4_2: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	3	0.1%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, upper secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	107	2.9%
3	Secondary education – general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School, business college)	288	7.7%
4	Secondary education – vocational line of study/education programme (e.g. VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam, business college)	442	11.8%
5	Craft training/shorter education programmes (e.g. vocational school, technical school/college, maritime vocational school, officer candidate school, pilot training, secretary school, home industry school/handicrafts school, master craftsman certificate tr	327	8.7%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (e.g. university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	359	9.6%
7	College – 3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, maritime subjects, military subjects etc.)	739	19.7%
8	University – 3-4 year education (BA, cand.mag.)	312	8.3%
9	University college – 5-6 year education (MA, hovedfag or longer vocational education on a university college level)	376	10.0%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors or a longer professional education (e.g. MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economics)	564	15.0%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	62	1.7%
12	None of the above (please enter):	26	0.7%
97	Not answered	143	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P5_1: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r03P5_1: Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1939 or sooner	5	0.1%
2	1940 - 1949	214	5.7%
3	1950 - 1959	733	19.6%
4	1960 - 1969	1045	27.9%
5	1970 - 1979	849	22.7%
6	1980 - 1989	435	11.6%
7	1990 or later	298	8.0%
97	Not answered	169	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r03P5_2: Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1959 or earlier	952	25.4%
2	1960 - 1989	2329	62.1%
3	1990 or later	298	8.0%
97	Not answered	169	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.