OBJECT ORIENTED JAVASCRIPT

Agenda

- Understand how to simulate major Object Oriented concepts
- Class
- Instance and Static members
- Inheritance
- Polymorphism
- Namespace
- altJS

From Module to Class

- Previous chapter suggested a technique to implement a module
- A module is essentially a collection of global methods that manage some global state
- A module cannot be duplicated
 - The self executing function can only be invoked once
- However, if we use regular function we can invoke it multiple times
 - Each time a new "module" is created

Function as a Factory

4

```
function Point(x, y) {
   var_x = x;
   var_y = y;
   function dump() {
      console.log(_x + ", " + _y);
   return {
      dump: dump
   };
```

```
var pt1 = Point(5, 5);
var pt2 = Point(10, 10);
pt1.dump();
pt2.dump();
```

Note the naming convention (Pascal casing)

Pros & Cons

- Same syntax (almost) as module definition
- Encapsulation is supported
- Hard to support inheritance
 - State is hidden and cannot be shared with derived class
- No use of keyword new when instantiating objects
- Every time Point is invoked a new dump function is created
 - May have performance and memory impact
 - Can a method be defined once and shared between different objects?

Function as Constructor

Any JavaScript function can serve as a constructor

```
function F() {
}

var f1 = new F();

var f2 = new F();
```

 During function invocation this points to the newly created object

```
function Point(x, y) {
    this.x = x;
    this.y = y;
}

var pt1 = new Point(5, 5);
```

Function as Constructor

□ The new keyword can be understood as

```
function Point(x, y) {
    this.x = x;
    this.y = y;
}

var pt1 = new Point(5, 5);

var pt1 = {};
Point.call(pt1, 5, 5);
```

- Does it mean that new is just a syntactic sugar?
 - No, look at next slide

Behind the scene

 An object created by a constructor is "linked" back to the constructor's prototype

```
var pt1 = new Point(5, 5);

var pt1 = {};
pt1.__proto__ = Point.prototype;
Point.call(pt, 5, 5);
```

- Once created, an object is bound to its prototype for its whole lifetime
- Some browsers support the __proto__ reference
 - Chrome, Firefox, IE11

Prototype

- Every object is linked to its prototype
- An object "inherits" all the fields and methods specified by the prototype

```
function Point(x, y) {
    this.x = x;
    this.y = y;
}

Point.prototype.dump = function () {
    console.log(this.x + ", " + this.y);
}

var pt = new Point(5, 10);
pt.dump();
```

Prototype (more ..)

- When accessing an object's member the browser first looks at the object itself
- If not found, the prototype is considered
 - Continues in a recursive manner
 - Stops when Object.prototype is reached
- The prototype is being used only for read operations
- Write operations effect the object itself and not its prototype

Prototype Chaining

- Constructor's prototype is empty by default and is linked to Object.prototype
 - That means that custom object inherits all methods from Object.prototype

```
var pt = new Point(5,10);
pt.dump();
console.log(pt.toString());
console.log(pt.hasOwnProperty("x"));
```

Extension Methods

- Every built-in type has its own prototype
 - For example, Function.prototype
- We can "extend" built-in data types by manipulating their prototype

```
String.prototype.format = function (arg1, arg2, arg3) {
...
}

var str = "Hello {0}";
str.format("World");
```

Why is that considered a bad practice?

Class

- Using constructor and prototype we can simulate a class
- Methods go into the prototype
- Fields go into the this (during ctor invocation)
- Encapsulation is not supported
 - Since prototype's methods need access to the object state
- What about static members ?
 - They are attached to the constructor

Class

```
function Account(name, email) {
   this.id = Account.generateId();
   this.name = name;
   this.email = email;
Account.prototype.dump = function () {
   console.log(this.id + ": " + this.name);
Account.nextld = 1000;
Account.generateId = function () {
   return Account.nextld++;
```

```
var acc = new Account("Ori", "ori@g.com");
acc.dump();
```

Inheritance

- Inheritance is a bit tricky
- Object level
 - Derived object should contain both base and derived fields
 - Achievable by calling the base ctor from the derived ctor
- Prototype level
 - Base class methods should be accessible through derived objects
 - Achievable by chaining the prototype of the derived class to the prototype of the base class

Inheritance - Object Level

- Derived ctor should invoke base ctor and let it manipulate the object being created
- Assuming Programmer derives from Employee what is wrong with below implementations?

```
function Employee(name) {
    this.name = name;
}
```

```
function Programmer(name, progLang) {
    Employee(name);

this.progLang = progLang;
}
```

```
function Programmer(name, progLang) {
    new Employee(name);

this.progLang = progLang;
}
```

Inheritance – Calling base ctor

- We need to explicitly send the this pointer when invoking the base ctor
- Function.call and Function.apply can do that

```
function Employee(name) {
    this.name = name;
}

function Programmer(name, progLang) {
    Employee.call(this, name);

    this.progLang = progLang;
}
```

Inheritance - Class Level

- A derived object inherits all methods defined in its own prototype
 - But what about methods from the base prototype?
- By default a prototype object is linked to Object.prototype
 - Remember that once an object is created you cannot change its prototype
- Need to create a new prototype object
 - Which is linked to base class prototype
 - Any idea?

Inheritance - Class Level

- Create a new base class object
- Use it as the prototype for derived class
 - Quite strange (from OOP perspective)
 - But it works (at least from Prototyping perspective)

```
function Programmer(name, progLang) {
    Employee.call(this, name);
    this.progLang = progLang;
}

Programmer.prototype = new Employee();

var prog = new Programmer(123, "Ori", "JavaScript");
```

Inheritance - Prototype Chaining

- Previous technique works most of the time
- But still it feels wrong
 - Why do we need to create a new base class object just to fix prototype chaining
 - What parameters should we send to the base class ctor?
- It would be better to create empty object that does nothing but is still linked to the base class prototype

Inheritance – The Right Way

```
function Programmer(name, progLang) {
   Employee.call(this, name);
   this.progLang = progLang;
function Dummy() { }
Dummy.prototype = Employee.prototype;
Programmer.prototype = new Dummy();
Programmer.prototype.changeLang = function (progLang) {
   this.progLang = progLang;
var prog = new Programmer(123, "Ori", "JavaScript");
```

Inheritance - Reuse

 The Dummy trick can be encapsulated by inherit function

```
function inherit(derived, base) {
   function Dummy() { }
   Dummy.prototype = base.prototype;

   derived.prototype = new Dummy();
}
```

```
function Programmer(name, progLang) {
    Employee.call(this, name);
    this.progLang = progLang;
}
inherit(Programmer, Employee);
```

Polymorphism

- How can a derived class override methods from the base class?
 - Just add the function to the derived prototype
 - Prototype chaining ensures that derived prototype has higher precedence than base prototype
- Actually, you can override the method in the object itself
 - No equivalent concept from static OO languages
 - Although possible, not so common in JavaScript

Polymorphism – Full Sample

```
function Shape(x, y) \{...\}
Shape.prototype.draw = function() {
   console.log("shape");
function Rect(x, y, width, height) {
   Shape.call(this, x, y);
   this.width = width;
   this.height = height;
inherit(Rect, Shape);
Rect.prototype.draw = function () {
   console.log("rect");
```

```
var shapes = [
    new Shape(5, 10),
    new Rect(5, 10, 100, 200),
];

for (var i = 0; i < shapes.length; i++) {
    var shape = shapes[i];
    shape.draw();
}</pre>
```

Calling base method

```
function Shape(x, y) \{...\}
Shape.prototype.dump = function() {
   console.log("x = " + this.x);
   console.log("y = " + this.y);
function Rect(x, y, width, height) {...}
inherit(Rect, Shape);
Rect.prototype.dump = function () {
   Shape.prototype.dump.call(this);
   console.log("width = " + this.width);
   console.log("height = " + this.height);
```

instanceof

- JavaScript offers a keyword named instanceof
- Allows you to query an object regarding its runtime type
- instance of returns true if the specified object is linked to specified constructor (directly or indirectly)

```
var r = new Rect();
console.log(r instanceof Rect); // true
console.log(r instanceof Shape); // true
console.log(r instanceof Object); // true
console.log(r instanceof String); // false
```

Namespace

- Declaring constructors at the global scope might create name conflicts with other programmers/libraries
- We can reduce the chances for conflicts by declaring global variable and attach to it all constructors
- As long as the global variable has non conflicting name we are safe
 - Usually your product name will do the work

Namespace

Declaring the namespace

```
var MyProduct = {};
```

Attach the constructor to the namespace variable

```
MyProduct.Shape = (function () {
    function Shape(x, y) {
        this.x = x;
        this.y = y;
    }

Shape.prototype.dump = function () {
        ...
    }

    return Shape;
})();
```

```
var s = new MyProduct.Shape(5, 10);
s.dump();
```

Namespace Cross Multiple Files

- Previous technique is problematic if repeated cross multiple JavaScript files
 - Each file overwrites the namespace variable
- You can move the namespace variable declaration into a single file and include it first inside the HTML
- Better solution

```
var MyProduct = MyProduct | | {};
```

This line of code can be repeated multiple times

Complete Sample

Shape.js

```
var PaintApp = PaintApp | | {};

PaintApp.Shape = (function () {
    function Shape(x, y) {
        this.x = x;
        this.y = y;
    }

Shape.prototype.dump = function () {
        console.log("x = " + this.x);
        console.log("y = " + this.y);
    }

    return Shape;
})();
```

Rect.js

```
var PaintApp = PaintApp | | {};
PaintApp.Rect = (function () {
   var Shape = PaintApp.Shape;
   function Rect(x, y, width, height) {
      Shape.call(this, x, y);
      this.width = width;
      this.height = height;
   inherit(Rect, Shape);
   Rect.prototype.dump = function () {
      Shape.prototype.dump.call(this);
      console.log("width = " + this.width);
      console.log("height = " + this.height);
   return Rect;
})();
```

Common.js

```
function inherit(derived, base) {
   function Dummy() { }
   Dummy.prototype = base.prototype;
   derived.prototype = new Dummy();
}
```

App.js

```
var s = new PaintApp.Rect(5, 10, 20, 20);
s.dump();
```

Too much details?

- At first glance you might be thinking that we are trying too much
- After all, JavaScript is not a real object oriented programming language
- □ Good news
 - You are not alone
 - □ It takes time to get used to it
 - Many programmers think that is quite fun
 - Other prefer "Compile to JavaScript" languages

altJS Languages

- There are many
 - CoffeeScript
 - Dart
 - Typescript
 - GWT
 - SharpKit
- Others
 - https://github.com/jashkenas/coffee-script/wiki/Listof-languages-that-compile-to-JS

altJS - How to choose?

- Probably a matter of style
- Need to think about
 - Whether significant ramp up is required
 - Integrating with JavaScript libraries
 - Tooling support
 - Debugging
 - Future ECMAScript standard
 - Native browser support
 - Extensive class library

Summary

- Many say that JavaScript is a prototype based language
- It has object oriented capabilities
- But requires the programmers to understand major JavaScript concepts like
 - Closure
 - Constructor
 - Prototype