
ECMASCRIPT 6 ORI CALVO TRAINOLOGIC

Agenda

 Introduce interesting features in ECMAScript 6 and beyond

Bit Of History



Any application that can be written in JavaScript will eventually be written in JavaScript

Back to the future

... and, eventually, there will be no other language than JavaScript

ECMAScript Compatibility

https://kangax.github.io/compat-table/es6/

- □ Chrome 67 98%
- □ Firefox 60 98%
- □ Edge 17 96%
- □ IE11 11% ⊗

Supporting old Browsers

- □ Transpiling to the rescue ...
- Write ES6 today
- □ Compile to ES5
- Run everywhere
- Popular transpilers
 - Typescript
 - Babel

Use Typescript

8

Demo

Improving without breaking

- JavaScript does not complain about
 - Changing a string
 - Deleting global variable
 - Changing the value of undefined
- "use strict" (A.K.A strict mode)
 - Exception is thrown for all above scenarios

Block Scoped Variables

What will be printed?

```
var num = 11;
function run() {
    console.log(num);

    var num = 10;
}
run();
```

const/let don't hoist

Same example as before

```
function run() {
    console.log(num);
num is not
defined
    const num = 10;
}
```

let inside for loop

What will be printed?

```
function run() {
  for(var i=0; i<10; i++) {
    task(function() {
       console.log("Task #" + i + " completed");
    });
function task(cb) {
  setTimeout(cb, 1000);
run();
```

```
function run() {
                      for(let i=0; i<10; i++) {
                        task(function() {
                           console.log("Task #" + i + " completed");
                        });
 Use let
instead of
   var
                   function task(cb) {
                      setTimeout(cb, 1000);
                    run();
```

14

- const by default
- □ let otherwise
- □ var for legacy

Class – ES5

15

□ The simple way

x,y cannot be accessed from the outside world

```
function Point(x, y) {
  function dump() {
    console.log(x + ", " + y);
  function print(dx, dy) {
    x += dx;
    y += dy;
  return {
    print: print,
    move: move,
var pt1 = Point(5, 10);
var pt2 = Point(10, 20);
console.log(pt1 == pt2) // false
console.log(pt1.print == pt2.print) // false
```

dump, move are duplicated for every new Point object

Class – ES5 (prototype based)

16

function *Point*(x, y) { $_{\star}$ this.x = x; this.y = y; Must use this to Point.prototype.print = function() { share data $console \log(this.x + ", " + this.y);$ between constructor and prototype **var** *pt1* = **new** *Point*(5, 10); functions **var** *pt2* = **new** *Point*(10, 20); console.log(pt1 == pt2) // false console.log(pt1.print == pt2.print) // true

```
class Point {
                        constructor(x, y) {
                          this.x = x;
                          this.y = y;
 Syntactic
sugar over
                      → print() {
prototype
based code
                          console.log(this.x + ", " + this.y);
                      const pt1 = new Point(5, 10);
                      const pt2 = new Point(10, 20);
                      console.log(pt1.print == pt2.print) // true
```

this is

window/undefined

Same design bug

What will be printed?

```
let nextTimerId = 1
class Timer {
  constructor(ms) {
                                                                this is
    this.id = nextTimerId++;
                                                                 lost
    this.ms = ms;
  start() {
    this.intervalId = setInterval(this.onTick, this.ms);
  onTick() {
    console.log(this.id); // undefined
const timer = new Timer(1000);
timer.start();
```

Fat Arrow/Arrow Function

Lexically captures this from surrounding context

```
let nextTimerId = 1
class Timer {
  constructor(ms) {
    this.id = nextTimerId++;
    this.ms = ms;
  start() {
    this.intervalId = setInterval(() => this.onTick(), this.ms);
  onTick() {
    console log(this.id);
const timer = new Timer(1000);
timer.start();
```

Challenge

Let base class ctor change without breaking derived

class

```
class Point {
    constructor(x,y) {
        this.x = x;
        this.y = y;
    }

    print() {
        console.log(this.x + ", " + this.y);
    }
}

class PointEx extends Point {
}

const pt = new PointEx(5, 10);
pt.print();
```

But what if we want to run some code inside derived ctor?


Rest Parameter

```
class PointEx extends Point {
    constructor(...args) {
        super(...args);

        console.log("derived");
        }
}

const pt = new PointEx(5, 10);
    pt.print();
```

Rest Parameter & Array



Can still use Class as a function

```
function profile(cls) {
    class ProfiledClass extends cls {
        constructor(... args) {
            super(... args);

            ++ProfiledClass.objectCount;
        }
    }

    ProfiledClass.objectCount = 0;

    return ProfiledClass;
}
```

```
const Point = profile(class {
    constructor(x, y) {
        this.x = x;
        this.y = y;
    }

    print() {
        console.log(this.x + ", " + this.y);
    }
});
```

```
const pt1 = new Point(5, 10);
const pt2 = new Point(10, 20);

console.log(Point.objectCount);
```

Strings

- JavaScript has no character data type
- Therefore we can use " or ' to represent a string
- Starting ES6 we can use backtick `

```
function run() {
   const name = "Ori"
   const str = `Hello ${name}, how are you ?`;

   console.log(str);
}

run();
```

Default Parameter

□ Boring ...

```
function add(num1, num2 = 10) {
  return num1 + num2;
}

console.log(add(5));
```

Object Destructing

```
const contact = {
  id: 1,
  name: "Ori",
  email: "ori@trainologic.com",
};
const {email} = contact;
function log({id, name, email}) {
  console.log(id + ", " + name + ", " + email);
log(contact);
```

Array Destructing

□ Same idea ...

```
function getDetails() {
   const arr = [1, "Ori", "ori@trainologic.com"];
   return arr;
}

const [id, name, email] = getDetails();
   console.log(id + ", " + name + ", email");
```

for ... of

□ ES5 and below

```
const arr = ["A", "B", "C"];

for(let i=0; i<arr.length; i++) {
    console.log(arr[i]);
}

for(let index in arr) {
    console.log(index + ": " + arr[index]);
}

arr.forEach(function(value, index) {
    console.log(index + ": " + value);
});</pre>
```

□ ES6

```
for(const value of arr) {
  console.log(value);
}
```

Iterable

- Any object can be iterated by for .. Of
- As long the object "implements" the Symbol.iterator behavior

```
const obj = {
  [Symbol.iterator]() {
    let next = 0;
    return {
       next: function() {
         return {
           done: next == 10,
           value: next++,
for(const val of obj) {
  console.log(val);
```

Generator

 Implement an iterable without messing with the Symbol.iterator behavior

```
function *getData() {
  for(let i=0; i<10; i++) {
    yield i;
  }
}

for(const num of getData()) {
  console.log(num);
}</pre>
```

Mapping Object to Object

■ What is wrong?

```
const ori = {
  id: 1,
  name: "Ori"
};
const roni = {
  id: 2,
  name: "Roni"
};
const map = {};
map[ori] = true;
console.log(map[roni]);
```

Better Solution

Need to implement getHashCode by modifying the incoming object

```
const ori = {
  id: 1,
  name: "Ori"
};
const roni = {
  id: 2,
  name: "Roni"
};
const map = {};
map[getHashCode(ori)] = true;
console.log(map[getHashCode(roni)]);
```

getHashCode

□ Tricky - Can't do that in C#/Java

```
const getHashCode = (function() {
  let nextHash = 1;
  const MAGIC FIELD = "##magic field##";
  function getHashCode(obj) {
    let hash = obj[MAGIC FIELD];
    if(!hash) {
      hash = obj[MAGIC FIELD] = nextHash++;
    return hash;
  return getHashCode;
})();
```

ES6 Map

- No need for ugly tricks any more
- □ Any object can be used as a key

```
const map = new Map();
const ori = {
  id: 1,
  name: "Ori",
map.set(ori, 1);
const likeOri = {
  id: 1,
  name: "Ori",
console.log(map.has(likeOri)); // false
```

WeakMap

- Imagine an infrastructure that needs to attach additional information for every application's object
- We don't want to modify the object
- □ We can use a Map
- However this means that the infrastructure holds application's objects alive
- Use WeakMap instead

WeakMap

```
const map = new WeakMap();
class Contact {
  constructor(name) {
    this.name = name;
let ori = new Contact("Ori");
let roni = new Contact("Roni");
map.set(ori, roni);
setTimeout(function() {
  ori = null;
  console.log("Ori can now be GC'ed");
}, 1000);
```

Modules

□ At the beginning there was a pattern

```
const network = (function() {
                                   let lastRequestTime;
                                   let requestCount;
                                   function get() {
   Data is
encapsulated
and cannot be
                                   function post() {
accessed by
external code
                                   function put() {
                                   return {
                                     get,
                                     last,
                                     put,
                                   };
                                 })();
```

CommonJS

□ The CommonJS initiative has its own way

```
function doSomething() {
   console.log("lib");
}
exports.doSomething = doSomething;
```

```
const lib = require("./lib");
lib.doSomething();
```

But no browser implemented that specification

- AMD does not require browser help
- □ It is implement by the require.js library

□ Too much details ... take me to the interesting part

ES6 Modules

- Standard ECMAScript syntax based on import/export keywords
- Experimental status under NodeJS

```
export function run() {
   console.log("run")
}
```

```
import {run} from "./lib.js";
run();
```

Tree Shaking

 Smart bundlers like Rollup and Webpack can "shake" your code and keep only relevant parts

```
export function run1() {
   console.log("run1");
}

export function run2() {
   console.log("run2");
}
```

Generated bundle does not include function run2

```
import {run1} from "./lib";
run1();
```

```
(function () {
    'use strict';

function run1() {
    console.log("run1");
    }

run1();
}());
```

Summary

- const/let
- □ Fat arrow
- □ class syntax
- Destructing object/array
- ES6 Modules
- Collections