

Building And Managing High-Impact AI Teams

Dr. Ori Cohen

2025-07-03

Table of contents

About the Book	5
License	5
About The Author	6
Introduction	8
Team Building	9
Hiring for AI Roles	10
Why Hiring for AI Is Unique?	11
In This Chapter	11
Hiring for AI Roles	12
Why Hiring for AI Is Unique?	12
What to Look for in a Strong Data Scientist	12
Common Hiring Pitfalls	13
The Differences Between Seniority Levels	13
Key Considerations	14
Role-Specific Requirements	15
The Data Scientist	16
What to Look for in a Strong Data Scientist	16
The Differences Between Seniority Levels	17
The AI Consultant	20
Igniting Your AI Initiative: The Strategic Role of a Consultant in the Discovery Phase	20
The Right Moment for a Consultant	20
When Consultants Create Immediate Value	21
Starting Right: Common Practices for a Productive Engagement	21
Evolving the Engagement Over Time	22
Managing Expectations: Not Magic, But Expertise	22
Conclusion	22

The AI Engineer	23
The AI Team Lead	24
What to Look for in a Data Science Team Lead	24
The Machine Learning Engineer	26
Why Engineering is Critical to AI Success	26
The Case for MLEs in AI Teams	26
Bridging the Gap Between Research and Reality	27
Understanding the MLE Role	27
Key Responsibilities	27
The Infrastructure Hat	27
The ML Models Hat	28
The Professional Growth Hat	28
The Collaboration Hat	28
The Unique Expertise of the MLE	28
Cultural and Technological Shifts	29
MLEs and AI Team Dynamics	29
Conclusion	30
A Practical, Three-Step Hiring Process	31
Step 1: Initial Conversation	31
Step 2: In-Depth Technical Interview	32
Step 3: Take-Home Assignment and/or Presentation	32
Adapting the Hiring Process by Seniority Level	32
Transitioning From AI Teams To AI Squads	33
Walking Before Running: The Case for Centralized AI Teams	33
When It's Time to Decentralize	34
Key Considerations for Transitioning to AI Squads	34
Conclusion: Architecture Reflects Team Design	35
Summary and Conclusion	37
Team Operations and Methodologies	38
In This Chapter	39
Agile for AI & Data: Flexeegile	40
AI & Data Science Frameworks	42
Common Frameworks	42
The Core of the Process	43

Project Management	45
Summary and Conclusion	46
 AI Culture	 47
Effective Management	48
In This Chapter	49
 The Three Pillars of a Successful AI Team	 50
The Data Pillar	50
The Product Management Pillar	51
The Production Pillar	52
 Summary and Conclusion	 53
 Managing AI Products	 54
 References	 56

About the Book

This book is a collection of my thoughts and experiences on building and managing high-impact AI teams. It is a work in progress and will be updated regularly.

License

Building And Managing High-Impact AI Teams © 2024 by Dr. Ori Cohen. is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

About The Author

My professional journey began in academia, where I pursued a Ph.D. in Computer Science with a specialization in machine learning and brain-computer interfaces (BCI). The intersection of neural systems and intelligent algorithms captivated me, not just as a technical challenge, but as a profound opportunity to bridge human cognition and machine interpretation. That formative experience, blending theory with experimentation, continues to influence how I think about data science today.

After completing my doctoral studies, I began my transition into industry with a strong foundation in machine learning and cognitive systems. Early on, I immersed myself in applied research, focusing on natural language processing and understanding in complex, real-world environments. Working in a fast-moving startup context, I learned how to translate academic insights into practical solutions, developing systems that could interpret human language with nuance, even under noisy and unpredictable conditions. These formative years taught me how essential domain knowledge and problem framing are to the success of machine learning systems.

As my career progressed, I took on broader technical leadership responsibilities, shifting my focus toward building robust machine learning pipelines and infrastructure. I became deeply involved in operationalizing models at scale, developing tools and workflows to manage the entire machine learning lifecycle. I worked on making models observable, explainable, and adaptable to change, gaining a practical understanding of AIOps and MLOps long before these terms became widely adopted. This phase underscored for me the critical importance of reproducibility, feedback loops, and a strong alignment between engineering and data science disciplines.

In the later stages of this journey, I had the opportunity to found and lead a multidisciplinary data organization. I was responsible for building the group from the ground up, defining its vision, structure, and practices across data science, MLOps, data engineering, analytics, and BI. Beyond the technical architecture, this role demanded a focus on AI & Data strategy, team design, and organizational influence. I learned how to align data strategy with product and business goals, ensuring that data wasn't just available, but actionable, embedded in everyday decision-making. This experience shaped my perspective on leadership and made clear that sustainable impact comes not only from technical excellence, but from the systems and cultures we build around it.

Alongside these roles, I've always gravitated toward curating and organizing knowledge. In 2017, I began maintaining a private document, just a simple collection of resources I found valu-

able: articles, research papers, code snippets, frameworks, and tools. Over time, this grew into the [Machine & Deep Learning Compendium](#), which now spans a wide range of topics including machine learning algorithms, feature selection and engineering, deep learning, NLP, computer vision, audio modeling, time series forecasting, anomaly detection, experiment tracking, and strategic considerations like team building and data science management. What began as a personal reference has become a living resource shared with the wider data community.

In addition to these larger projects, I regularly write, and I've contributed to academic, and game development publications. My writing usually centers around the operational and human aspects of data science, managing teams, building effective processes, reflecting on the ever-evolving nature of our field, and innovation. The goal is always to be pragmatic: to share insights that are directly useful for people building, scaling, or navigating careers in data.

If there's a common thread throughout this journey, it's a belief in the value of applied, organized knowledge. Whether through research, systems design, or writing, I've found that the most lasting impact often comes not from isolated breakthroughs, but from the thoughtful integration of ideas, making complex things simpler, more usable, and ultimately more meaningful.

Introduction

In today's data-driven world, forming and managing successful AI teams goes far beyond simply hiring technical talent. It requires thoughtful structuring, cultural alignment, and a deep understanding of how AI integrates into broader business goals in the organization.

This chapter is organized into several key topics that collectively address the challenges and best practices of leading AI initiatives within modern organizations.

- **Team Building**, which focuses on the strategic formation of AI teams, and is aimed to help leaders make flexible, scalable decisions for long-term growth. It includes various roles and their recruitment process, when to hire AI consultants, and when to transition from a centralized team to Squads.
- **AI Team Operations and Methodologies**, which emphasize operational excellence. Applying agile principles to data science ensures iterative development, cross-functional collaboration, and faster value delivery.
- **AI Culture**, which explains that a successful application of AI culture throughout the organization allows for the success and productivity of an AI team.
- **Building AI Products**, which explains that effective AI work must tie directly to business value.

These themes are not just technical or managerial checklists, they represent the foundation of sustainable AI success. By investing in team design, operational maturity, and business-product alignment, organizations can move from experimentation to production, and from isolated efforts to business-wide transformation.

Team Building

Hiring for AI Roles

Recruiting AI talent, particularly for startups and growing teams, is a delicate balance between technical and product evaluation, understanding human potential, and structuring a fair and effective process. This chapter outlines best practices and common pitfalls in data science recruitment, drawing from observed patterns across numerous hiring processes. At the end of this section, you will be better equipped to make better hiring decisions, avoid common mistakes, and build high-functioning AI teams.

Why Hiring for AI Is Unique?

Unlike more traditional roles, data science is a field with broad definitions and diverse profiles. Candidates may come from backgrounds in mathematics, statistics, physics, computer science, or even social sciences. Some will have years of industry experience, while others bring deep academic rigor. This diversity makes it essential for recruiters to tailor the hiring process to fit the role they're filling, not to force every candidate through the same rigid funnel.

A well-rounded AI candidate bridges the gap between business, product, AI, and engineering. They possess a strong foundation in mathematics and machine learning, enabling them to design robust models with a deep understanding of their limitations and assumptions.

Equally, they have the engineering skills to build and deploy scalable solutions in real-world environments, ensuring that models solve a business problem and reach production.

They should possess a product-oriented mindset, they focus on delivering value, not just accuracy, understanding user needs, constraints, and trade-offs. Finally, their business acumen allows them to align data work with strategic goals, translating insights into impact and helping organizations solve business problems.

In This Chapter

- Hiring for AI Roles - Understanding the unique aspects of hiring for AI positions and what makes these roles different from traditional software development
- The AI Consultant - When and how to effectively engage AI consultants for maximum value
- The AI Engineer - Core responsibilities and skills needed for this critical role
- The AI Team Lead - What to look for in data science team leadership
- The Machine Learning Engineer - Understanding the catalyst role in AI production
- The Hiring Process - A practical, three-step approach to hiring AI talent

Let's begin by examining the specific considerations when hiring for AI roles.

Hiring for AI Roles

Why Hiring for AI Is Unique?

Unlike more traditional roles, data science is a field with broad definitions and diverse profiles. Candidates may come from backgrounds in mathematics, statistics, physics, computer science, or even social sciences. Some will have years of industry experience, while others bring deep academic rigor. This diversity makes it essential for recruiters to tailor the hiring process to fit the role they're filling, not to force every candidate through the same rigid funnel.

A well-rounded AI candidate bridges the gap between business, product, AI, and engineering. They possess a strong foundation in mathematics and machine learning, enabling them to design robust models with a deep understanding of their limitations and assumptions. Equally, they have the engineering skills to build and deploy scalable solutions in real-world environments, ensuring that models solve a business problem and reach production. They should possess a product-oriented mindset, they focus on delivering value, not just accuracy, understanding user needs, constraints, and trade-offs. Finally, their business acumen allows them to align data work with strategic goals, translating insights into impact and helping organizations solve business problems.

What to Look for in a Strong Data Scientist

While every role has unique needs, certain signals consistently correlate with strong data science performance, the best tip is don't just test technical skill:

- Theoretical foundations: A good grasp of statistics, algorithms, and modeling strategies.
- Fundamental understanding of machine learning principles, including awareness of challenges like class imbalance, evaluation metrics (e.g., precision-recall tradeoffs), and model interpretability.
- Business understanding: the ability to solve business problems in a product context.
- Domain adaptability: The ability to apply techniques across various domains and to quickly get up to speed with business-specific challenges and ever-evolving technology.
- Effective communication: The ability to explain complex ideas, teach others, and present findings clearly, especially through storytelling and visualization.
- Team and cultural fit: Emotional intelligence, curiosity, and a collaborative attitude go a long way in a cross-functional setting.

Common Hiring Pitfalls

Biases can creep into the hiring process in subtle ways. Always evaluate the whole person, not just their alignment with a checklist. These are some of the traps you should try to avoid:

- Over-valuing academic credentials: While advanced degrees can indicate depth, they are not the only marker of capability. Many excellent professionals have hands-on experience without a formal MSc or PhD.
- Underestimating academic professionals: Those coming from academia often bring skills like grant writing, long-term project management, and deep research expertise, especially valuable in early-stage companies where such versatility is rare.
- Tool tribalism: Rejecting a candidate solely for using a certain tool is shortsighted. Good data scientists adapt quickly.

A frequent mistake in data science interviews is asking questions that don't map to the job requirements, i.e., design questions that test practical ability to do the job at hand.

Here are some notable examples:

- Probability riddles and brainteasers, while popular, generally assess puzzle-solving ability rather than applied data science competence.
- Confusing statistical orientation with all of data science, if the role is primarily machine learning engineering, don't test like it's a pure statistics job.

And many processes still rely on archaic or counterproductive assessment tools:

- Using untested, synthetic, or flawed datasets in take-home assignments undermines the candidate's ability to demonstrate meaningful work.
- Testing on unfamiliar environments or hacked-together systems adds unnecessary stress and confusion. Candidates should work in familiar tools unless platform-specific expertise is core to the job.

The Differences Between Seniority Levels

In many organizations, data scientists are expected to grow not only in scientific rigor and business impact but also in engineering proficiency. As they progress into more senior roles, the responsibilities shift toward delivering robust, scalable solutions, often merging into what's now commonly referred to as the AI Engineer role. Senior and lead professionals are expected to combine data science expertise with software engineering best practices to deploy, maintain, and scale AI systems in production environments.

The following is a comparative table that organizes expectations across Junior Data Scientists, Senior- and Lead- AI Engineers for each key competency area:

Category	Junior Data Scientist	Senior AI Engineer	Lead / Principal AI Engineer
Personal Qualities	Eager to learnNeeds directionBegins to take ownership	Independent and proactiveOffers constructive feedback with alternativesSeen as a reliable, go-to person	Role model for personal conductPromotes culture of ownership and continuous improvementMentors others
Scientific Thinking	Applies known methods with guidanceLearns to validate and question assumptions	Thinks criticallyRevisits assumptionsReads and integrates researchShares knowledge and explains methods clearly	Guides scientific direction of teamsEvaluates complex tradeoffsApplies cutting-edge research strategically
Technical Skills	Uses programming tools with guidanceContributes to the codebaseLearning best practices	Delivers end-to-end solutionsWrites efficient, testable codeUnderstands CI/CD and packages codeIntegrates open-source tools	Design scalable, reusable architectures

Key Considerations

When hiring for AI roles, several key factors need to be considered:

1. Technical Expertise

- Strong foundation in machine learning algorithms and frameworks
- Proficiency in programming languages commonly used in AI (Python, R, etc.)
- Experience with AI/ML tools and platforms

2. Problem-Solving Skills

- Ability to break down complex problems
- Experience in developing end-to-end solutions
- Strong analytical thinking

3. Business Acumen

- Understanding of how AI solutions impact business objectives
- Ability to communicate technical concepts to non-technical stakeholders

- Experience in translating business requirements into technical solutions

4. Collaboration Skills

- Experience working in cross-functional teams
- Strong communication abilities
- Adaptability and willingness to learn

Role-Specific Requirements

Different AI roles require different combinations of these skills. In the following sections, we'll explore specific requirements for:

- Data Scientists
- AI Consultants
- AI Engineers
- AI Team Leads
- Machine Learning Engineers

Each role has its unique requirements and responsibilities, which we'll discuss in detail in their respective chapters.

The Data Scientist

Also known as The AI Engineer, The Machine Learning Engineer, The Deep Learning Engineer, The GenAI Engineer

What to Look for in a Strong Data Scientist

While every role has unique needs, certain signals consistently correlate with strong data science performance, the best tip is don't just test technical skill:

- **Theoretical foundations:** A good grasp of statistics, algorithms, and modeling strategies.
- **Fundamental understanding of machine learning principles:** Including awareness of challenges like class imbalance, evaluation metrics (e.g., precision-recall tradeoffs), and model interpretability.
- **Business understanding:** the ability to solve business problems in a product context.
- **Domain adaptability:** The ability to apply techniques across various domains and to quickly get up to speed with business-specific challenges and ever-evolving technology.
- **Effective communication:** The ability to explain complex ideas, teach others, and present findings clearly, especially through storytelling and visualization.
- **Team and cultural fit:** Emotional intelligence, curiosity, and a collaborative attitude go a long way in a cross-functional setting.

Biases can creep into the hiring process in subtle ways. Always evaluate the whole person, not just their alignment with a checklist. These are some of the traps you should try to avoid:

- **Over-valuing academic credentials:** While advanced degrees can indicate depth, they are not the only marker of capability. Many excellent professionals have hands-on experience without a formal MSc or PhD.

- **Underestimating academic professionals:** Those coming from academia often bring skills like grant writing, long-term project management, and deep research expertise, especially valuable in early-stage companies where such versatility is rare.
- **Tool tribalism:** Rejecting a candidate solely for using a certain tool is shortsighted. Good data scientists adapt quickly.

A frequent mistake in data science interviews is asking questions that don't map to the job requirements, i.e., design questions that test practical ability to do the job at hand.

Here are some notable examples:

- **Probability riddles and brainteasers:** While popular, generally assess puzzle-solving ability rather than applied data science competence.
- **Confusing statistical orientation with all of data science:** If the role is primarily machine learning engineering, don't test like it's a pure statistics job.

And many processes still rely on archaic or counterproductive assessment tools:

- **Using untested, synthetic, or flawed datasets in take-home assignments:** Undermines the candidate's ability to demonstrate meaningful work.
- **Testing on unfamiliar environments or hacked-together systems:** Adds unnecessary stress and confusion. Candidates should work in familiar tools unless platform-specific expertise is core to the job.

The Differences Between Seniority Levels

In many organizations, data scientists are expected to grow not only in scientific rigor and business impact but also in engineering proficiency. As they progress into more senior roles, the responsibilities shift toward delivering robust, scalable solutions, often merging into what's now commonly referred to as the **AI Engineer** role. Senior and lead professionals are expected to combine data science expertise with software engineering best practices to deploy, maintain, and scale AI systems in production environments.

The following is a comparative table that organizes expectations across Junior Data Scientists, Senior- and Lead- AI Engineers for each key competency area:

Junior Data Scientist			
Category	Scientist	Senior AI Engineer	Lead / Principal AI Engineer
Personal Qualities	Eager to learn - Needs direction - Begins to take ownership	- Independent and proactive - Offers constructive feedback with alternatives - Seen as a reliable, go-to person	- Role model for personal conduct - Promotes culture of ownership and continuous improvement - Mentors others
Scientific Thinking	Applies known methods with guidance - Learns to validate and question assumptions	- Thinks critically - Revisits assumptions - Reads and integrates research - Shares knowledge and explains methods clearly	- Guides scientific direction of teams - Evaluates complex tradeoffs - Applies cutting-edge research strategically
Technical Skills	Uses programming tools with guidance - Contributes to the codebase - Learning best practices	- Delivers end-to-end solutions - Writes efficient, testable code - Understands CI/CD and packages code - Integrates open-source tools	- Designs scalable, reusable architectures - Oversees technical quality across projects - Sets and maintains technical standards
Project & Self Management	Works on tasks with support - Learning to manage time and expectations	- Independently delivers complex projects - Breaks down tasks and prioritizes - Writes documentation - Manages risks and expectations	- Oversees multiple projects or teams - Delegates and aligns with business goals - Ensures timely, high-quality delivery across initiatives
Product Understanding	Learning how the product fits with data science - Executes tasks as scoped by others	- Collaborates with PMs - Suggests product improvements from a DS perspective - Understands the impact on users and metrics	- Aligns data science efforts with product strategy - Foresees needs and proposes new capabilities - Bridges product and technical thinking
Business Acumen	Learns company metrics and business model	- Frames problems in business terms - Understands how AI works and contributes to growth and success	- Shapes AI strategy in alignment with business goals - Identifies high-impact opportunities - Influences leadership with data insights

<hr/>			
Category	Junior Data Scientist	Senior AI Engineer	Lead / Principal AI Engineer
Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AI (focus on self-development and contribution) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mentors juniors - Supports decision-making across the team 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Leads teams and projects - Grows and mentors talent - Makes strategic decisions and influences organization-level direction
<hr/>			

The AI Consultant

Igniting Your AI Initiative: The Strategic Role of a Consultant in the Discovery Phase

In the earliest days of forming an AI or data science team, when you're still navigating the fog of possibility, collecting ideas like driftwood, and seeking quick wins that prove value fast, there's often a moment where you realize you need more than just internal willpower. You need expertise. You need momentum. And you need it yesterday.

This is where the AI consultant steps in, not as a long-term hire, not yet, but as a catalyst. A force multiplier. The consultant becomes your compass and your engine in one, bringing clarity, acceleration, and direction to an otherwise sprawling and chaotic discovery phase.

The Right Moment for a Consultant

When founding a team from scratch, you're often confronted with a paradox: you need to deliver meaningful insights, prototype features, or show AI-driven progress to stakeholders, yet you lack the internal resources or experience to move quickly. A consultant, especially one with hands-on experience in applied machine learning or algorithmic systems, can be your fastest route to a working prototype, an MVP, or even a hiring framework.

This phase is characterized by a hunger for action. You might be:

- Exploring whether a product idea is feasible.
- Building a basic model to validate assumptions.
- Sketching an architecture for an ML pipeline.
- Unsure how to source or label data.

Considering how to pitch your company as AI-enabled during a funding round.

Rather than be slowed by organizational dependencies or bureaucratic cross-department approvals, bringing in an external consultant allows you to bypass these hurdles. They're not tied to your org chart, they're tied to outcomes.

When Consultants Create Immediate Value

In this formative stage, a consultant is not just a doer, they're an advisor, architect, and accelerator. You don't just need a model; you need someone to help define what you should model. You don't just need predictions; you need frameworks for measuring success, for interpreting uncertainty, for mapping the data terrain ahead of you.

The consultant brings a sharp focus to three areas:

- **Strategic Guidance:** Whether it's preparing a research plan for investors or helping your executive team speak about AI with credibility, consultants can help you shape your company's message and technology direction. This is especially relevant if you're positioning yourself as a data-first or AI-enabled organization.
- **Technical Acceleration:** If you need a baseline model to prove feasibility with minimal investment, a consultant can design and even implement it. If you're working with unstructured data or facing unfamiliar technical domains, their experience can help you avoid reinventing the wheel. They bring architectures, ideas, tools, and even battle-tested scripts that help you start coding instead of theorizing.
- **Knowledge Infusion:** Consultants are also educators. They introduce your team to new technologies, explain research paradigms, and help define meaningful metrics that align with your product goals. If your current team is junior or lacks depth in a particular area, this external influence builds both capability and confidence.

Starting Right: Common Practices for a Productive Engagement

Bringing in a consultant doesn't mean abdicating ownership, it means working smartly and collaboratively. Here are a few practices that set up both sides for success:

- **Define clear goals:** Are you trying to test a product hypothesis? Validate a dataset? Prove lift over a heuristic method? Write it down.
- **Set relevant KPIs:** Not all goals need to be accuracy-related. Think about business or product metrics like user engagement, automation coverage, or reduction in manual work.
- **Agree on process-based deliverables:** Don't expect a "95% model" in three weeks. Instead, aim for: "initial data assessment," "proof of concept model," or "labeling strategy."
- **Align meeting cadence with work rhythms:** Weekly syncs are common but should be focused on strategic checkpoints, not micromanagement. Let the consultant integrate with your pace, not disrupt it.

- **Structure the collaboration:** Use light project planning: tasks, timelines, and milestones. This keeps the momentum visible and progress tangible.

Evolving the Engagement Over Time

While this chapter focuses on the discovery phase, many consultant relationships evolve naturally. After the MVP, they might assist in building the first permanent team. Later, they may provide specialized knowledge to an established team or take on a fractional leadership role until a full-time head is hired.

Even companies with mature ML capabilities benefit from the targeted input of a consultant, for example, reviewing strategic research initiatives, onboarding new paradigms (like LLMs or graph-based ML), or mentoring junior teams during critical transitions.

Managing Expectations: Not Magic, But Expertise

Early-stage founders and product leads often hope for a consultant who can “bring the AI.” But what you’re really getting is someone who understands how to apply intelligence. Machine learning models are not oracles, they are trained on data, and only as good as the signals you provide. A good consultant will demystify this process and shift your team’s mindset from magical thinking to measurable impact.

They will help your organization reframe success: away from dreams of artificial general intelligence, and toward tangible, interpretable, and useful solutions. That mindset shift alone can be transformational.

Conclusion

There’s immense value in the right consultant at the right time. During the chaotic and hopeful days of team formation and early validation, a consultant can provide not just expertise but direction, speed, and confidence. They make your organization feel larger than it is, more capable, more focused, and more grounded in real, deliverable results.

You don’t always need to “hire a data scientist” right away. Sometimes, what you need first is someone who’s seen the road ahead, and can help you start walking it.

The AI Engineer

Note

This chapter is scheduled for a complete rewrite to provide more comprehensive coverage of the AI Engineer role, including updated responsibilities, technical requirements, and industry best practices.

The AI Team Lead

What to Look for in a Data Science Team Lead

Note

check for duplicates against referenced in the culture section, “managing Ai teams” article

Hiring or identifying an effective data science team lead is critical for any organization aiming to unlock the full potential of its data initiatives. A great team lead does more than manage projects, they inspire, empower, and drive innovation while fostering a collaborative and productive environment. This chapter outlines the key qualities, values, and practices to look for in a data science team lead, applicable to a variety of industries and team sizes.

An effective data science team lead treats team members as collaborators rather than subordinates. They **empower data scientists** to own their projects and encourage autonomy and creativity. The ideal leader avoids micromanagement, understanding that excessive control inhibits motivation and innovation.

Instead, they create an atmosphere where team members feel trusted and valued, enabling them to take initiative and contribute meaningfully.

Data science is inherently experimental, involving uncertainty and iterative development. A strong team lead fosters **a culture that embraces mistakes as learning opportunities** rather than failures. This approach reduces fear and stress, encouraging openness and rapid problem-solving.

Candidates for this role should demonstrate the ability to nurture psychological safety, allowing team members to share challenges and setbacks without fear of judgment.

Trust is essential. The best data science leaders show genuine respect for their team’s expertise, **listen actively to ideas and feedback**, and recognize that leadership does not mean having all the answers.

They also act as protectors of the team, shielding them from unnecessary criticism and distractions, so the team can focus on delivering value. Recognition is another important trait, these leaders consistently **credit the contributions of their team members**, boosting morale and engagement.

Data science projects often have uncertain outcomes and require flexible planning. Look for leaders skilled in **agile principles tailored for research-oriented workflows**. They should promote regular communication through daily stand-ups or check-ins, keeping the team aligned and aware of progress.

A good lead knows when to **practice early stopping** on approaches that don't yield promising results, conserving resources and refocusing efforts. They also encourage incremental deliverables to enable parallel work with other teams, such as data engineering, enhancing efficiency.

When facing competing ideas from stakeholders, an effective leader suggests objective validation methods like A/B testing to maintain alignment and foster data-driven decision-making.

Given the fast pace of change in data science, the ideal team lead prioritizes ongoing education, for themselves and their team. This includes:

- Staying current with the latest research, tools, and methodologies.
- Integrating new techniques through experiments or proof-of-concepts.
- Maintaining accessible knowledge resources to avoid redundant work.
- Allocating dedicated time for learning and exploration within project cycles.
- Encouraging team-wide adoption of proven advancements.

Furthermore, strong leaders actively **promote transparency by sharing outcomes** publicly or internally, including code, to build collective expertise and contribute to broader communities.

Data science thrives in an ecosystem of collaboration. A successful lead proactively fosters relationships across teams and departments, seeking opportunities for joint projects and knowledge exchange.

They understand product management principles deeply enough to align data science efforts with business goals and user needs. They also engage with external experts, mentors, or communities to bring fresh perspectives and keep the team inspired.

A vital quality in a data science team lead is a commitment to mentorship. They invest time in guiding junior team members, building internship programs, or creating learning opportunities that sharpen both technical and interpersonal skills.

This not only accelerates individual growth but also strengthens the team's overall capacity and culture.

Finally, such a leader not only drives successful project outcomes but also builds a resilient, motivated, and innovative team capable of tackling the complex challenges inherent in data science

The Machine Learning Engineer

Note

The Machine Learning- or MLOps- Engineer (MLE) is a keystone role in modern AI teams. Far from a peripheral support function, the MLE is the driver of production maturity, the enabler of AI independence, and the accelerator of business impact.

Why Engineering is Critical to AI Success

As AI becomes a foundational pillar of modern digital products, the demand for high-functioning, production-ready data science teams has skyrocketed. However, a persistent challenge continues to limit their potential: production independence. While data scientists are hired to build intelligent solutions that solve real business problems, they often struggle to bring their work to production environments without heavy engineering support. This is where the Machine Learning Engineer enters the scene.

The Case for MLEs in AI Teams

AI teams are typically assembled to fulfill a business objective, whether it be customer personalization, fraud detection, or supply chain optimization. However, organizations often underestimate the engineering depth required to take machine learning (ML) models from prototype to production. Without embedded engineering expertise, data scientists end up depending on external DevOps or backend teams, leading to delays, mismatched priorities, and brittle deployments.

MLEs solve this by embedding deep engineering capabilities directly into the AI team. They ensure that the transition from research to production is smooth, scalable, and maintainable. MLEs are not a luxury; they are a necessity for organizations that seek to build robust ML systems.

Bridging the Gap Between Research and Reality

The rise of the Machine Learning Engineer role is not coincidental, it is a direct response to a systemic gap in how AI systems are built and deployed. The truth is stark: many data science teams cannot reach production independently. Their training is rooted in experimentation and analysis, not in infrastructure or scalability. And while their work is essential, it often stalls at the edge of the production cliff.

This is why the MLE function has become indispensable. Rather than falling back on outdated workflows, where models are handed off for others to figure out, MLEs develop the tools, frameworks, and environments that empower data scientists to own the entire ML lifecycle. With MLEs in place, researchers no longer rely on backend teams for deployment, they become production-capable themselves.

The role of the MLE is not simply a bridge between research and deployment, it is the very infrastructure that enables AI teams to scale. In a world where data scientists are increasingly expected to be full-stack, the MLE function becomes the center of that transformation.

Understanding the MLE Role

The MLE occupies a unique and powerful space between the world of data science and software engineering. On one side, they understand the theoretical underpinnings of models, statistics, probability, optimization. On the other hand, they bring a passion for engineering excellence, mastering tools for orchestration, deployment, monitoring & observability, and scalability.

Key Responsibilities

The Infrastructure Hat

- Develop reusable and intuitive infrastructure for rapid model deployment
- Design and maintain scalable architecture that accommodates various ML model requirements
- Build tools for debugging, observability, and monitoring of ML pipelines

The ML Models Hat

- Optimize pipeline and model performance
- Create assessment tools for pre-deployment evaluation of model impact
- Understand and monitor for probability drift, data and label drift, precision, recall, and other critical metrics

The Professional Growth Hat

- Mentor and upskill DS team members
- Lead workshops on ML infrastructure and best practices
- Identify and address weaknesses in process, validation, code quality, and testing

The Collaboration Hat

- Interface with data engineers, BI developers, and DevOps to align infrastructure needs
- Advocate for and implement better organizational standards around ML development

The Unique Expertise of the MLE

The Machine Learning Engineer brings a multifaceted skill set that goes far beyond traditional backend engineering. While there may be some shared tools and concepts with DevOps and software engineering, the MLE is defined by their deep understanding of machine learning models, their lifecycle, and the production infrastructure that supports them.

An MLE is well-versed in ML, DL and LLM technology stacks and understands the nuanced implications those stacks have on model performance in real-world environments. This includes optimizing preprocessing workflows, designing scalable and resilient pipelines, and implementing monitoring strategies to detect issues like data drift, concept drift, and model degradation.

Rather than simply facilitating deployment, the MLE plays an integral role in designing the right environment for models to thrive. Their alignment is deeply rooted in the data science mission: to accelerate experimentation, ensure model robustness in production, and reduce time to market for AI-powered features.

Organizational Impact of an MLE

The presence of an MLE on an AI team can be transformative. They act as hyper-catalysts, enabling:

- **Faster Time to Market:** By reducing dependency on external teams and enabling rapid iteration, MLEs significantly shrink the model development and deployment cycle.
- **Production Independence:** DS teams can own their lifecycle end-to-end, from data ingestion to model deployment, debugging, and retraining.
- **Higher Quality Products:** With robust infrastructure in place, models are more resilient, observable, and scalable.
- **Team Uplift:** MLEs serve as mentors and upskillers, spreading production literacy across the team and reducing key-person dependencies.
- **Organizational Alignment:** When MLEs are embedded within AI teams, managers gain a clear line of sight into priorities, reducing misalignment and unnecessary bottlenecks.

Cultural and Technological Shifts

Modern data science is undergoing a full-stack revolution. No longer is it acceptable to throw a Jupyter notebook over the wall and wait for someone else to productionize it. The explosion of MLOps tools, experiment management, model monitoring, feature stores, orchestration frameworks like Metaflow, demands that AI teams become fully self-sufficient.

MLEs are essential in navigating this evolving landscape. They bring clarity to the toolset, implement best practices, and integrate the latest advancements into daily operations. They enable experimentation with complex strategies like active learning, retraining triggers, or self-healing deployments.

MLEs and AI Team Dynamics

MLEs sit at the heart of the AI team, facilitating productive pair-programming sessions with DS colleagues. They lead by example, writing clean, optimized, testable code and educating peers on best practices. They become the go-to figures during production incidents, not just to fix problems, but to build tools that prevent them from happening again.

Their role ensures that model deployment is not treated like application deployment. When an ML model misbehaves in production, it often takes an MLE or DS to diagnose the issue, whether it's bad data, concept drift, data pipeline inconsistencies, or shifts in label distributions.

Scaling with the Right Stack

The MLE is uniquely equipped to choose and configure the right ML stack. They understand preprocessing constraints, orchestrate testing environments, and scale deployment pipelines. Their impact is felt in every decision that balances engineering rigor with data science agility.

Conclusion

The Machine Learning Engineer is a keystone role in modern AI teams. Far from a peripheral support function, the MLE is the driver of production maturity, the enabler of DS independence, and the accelerator of business impact.

By embedding MLEs directly into data science teams, organizations unlock a powerful force for efficiency, innovation, and resilience. They shorten the path from research to production, align technical efforts with strategic goals, and future-proof their AI initiatives.

For any company serious about operationalizing AI, investing in MLEs is not optional, it is imperative

A Practical, Three-Step Hiring Process

Hiring data scientists is both an art and a science. Avoiding formulaic processes and focusing on thoughtful evaluation ensures that the best candidates, those with real-world skills, curiosity, and collaborative potential, can rise to the top.

Remember:

- Adapt your process to the position, not the other way around.
- Design interviews to test how someone thinks and solves problems, not just what they've memorized.
- Always look for growth potential, not just credentials.
- Respect candidates' time, ideas, and effort.

While no hiring process is perfect, the following structure provides a good starting point for most data science roles:

Step 1: Initial Conversation

Start with a 30–45 minute phone call or video chat to discuss the candidate's past experience. Focus on:

- Projects they've worked on
- Decisions they made and why
- Trade-offs considered and lessons learned

This phase is about mutual understanding, not interrogation.

Step 2: In-Depth Technical Interview

Bring the candidate in for a deeper discussion. Let them pick a past project to present and discuss, preferably on a whiteboard or shared screen. Ask follow-up questions such as:

- How did they handle changes in data distribution?
- What alternatives would they consider if a certain model or feature were unavailable?
- How did they balance model complexity with interpretability?

This allows you to test both depth and adaptability.

Step 3: Take-Home Assignment and/or Presentation

Give the candidate a thoughtfully designed home assignment. It should:

- Be product-focused and realistic
- Be something you've done yourself
- Include all necessary information to complete the task

Ensure candidates have access to someone to clarify questions. For senior roles or final-stage candidates, consider a presentation where they explain a project to your team. This tests communication, stakeholder alignment, and confidence.

Adapting the Hiring Process by Seniority Level

A one-size-fits-all hiring process rarely works across different experience levels. Junior candidates often need to demonstrate strong technical fundamentals, hands-on proficiency in tools, and the ability to follow structured guidance, making technical assignments and detailed problem-solving tasks especially useful.

For senior candidates, the focus should shift toward assessing broader AI skills, data intuition, and experience managing end-to-end projects, including trade-offs between technical solutions and business goals.

When hiring for lead roles, the process should emphasize leadership, mentorship, and technical oversight. This includes reviewing pull requests for code quality, data validity, algorithmic soundness, system design, and engineering best practices, as well as explaining how to resolve issues in a collaborative, scalable way. Tailoring the process in this way ensures you're evaluating the right competencies for the level you're hiring.

Transitioning From AI Teams To AI Squads

Enhancing Collaboration Without Sacrificing Agility

In the early stages of AI adoption, most organizations face a common dilemma: how to structure their teams to balance innovation, efficiency, and responsiveness to the business. As AI evolves from experimental prototypes to mission-critical systems, the question becomes increasingly urgent: **When should a company evolve from a centralized AI team to fully integrated, cross-functional AI squads?**

The answer, as with many organizational design questions, lies not in dogma but in deliberate progression. Successful AI adoption is not just about technical capability, it's also about how teams collaborate, how knowledge is shared, and how quickly insights can be turned into action.

Walking Before Running: The Case for Centralized AI Teams

Most organizations begin their AI journey with a centralized team. This structure allows companies to walk before they run, building capabilities incrementally, managing risk, and avoiding premature entanglement with complex organizational dynamics.

Initially, the AI function might be a single data scientist or a small group of specialists. Over time, this centralized unit becomes a focal point for technical excellence. It provides a safe, controlled environment for developing capabilities, building infrastructure, and defining the workflows and processes necessary to support AI development. Crucially, this team also plays an educational role: upskilling staff, helping business stakeholders understand the implications of AI, and advocating for sound data governance.

The centralized model brings clear advantages. It consolidates expertise, enables standardization of tools and methodologies, and provides flexibility in allocating resources to high-priority initiatives. Centralized data scientists develop deep specializations and are positioned to work on strategically impactful problems across domains.

But as the demand for AI capabilities grows across the business, cracks begin to show. The centralized team risks becoming a bottleneck. Handoffs to engineering slow progress. Domain-specific knowledge may be lacking. And without close connection to product teams, data scientists may feel isolated from the real-world impact of their work.

When It's Time to Decentralize

This is where many organizations consider transitioning to a distributed model, embedding data scientists in cross-functional AI squads.

AI squads integrate backend engineers, data scientists, analysts, machine learning, DevOps, and product managers into autonomous units capable of delivering AI-powered features end-to-end. These squads are closely aligned to specific product lines or business areas, enabling tighter feedback loops, reduced handoffs, and faster iteration.

The benefits are compelling: deeper business context, greater ownership, and more rapid deployment of insights into production systems. Teams build empathy for each other's challenges and foster a shared sense of mission. AI stops being something "over there" and becomes a core capability within every product experience.

But this model, too, introduces complexity. Distributed teams risk inconsistency in engineering practices, duplicated effort, and fragmented knowledge. Data scientists may work in isolation, missing the cross-pollination that comes from working with peers. Business goal alignment may falter if squads are pulled in different directions.

To succeed with AI squads, organizations must be deliberate. They must know when they're ready, and what's required to make the transition work.

Key Considerations for Transitioning to AI Squads

Before making the leap to distributed squads, organizations should evaluate five critical dimensions:

1. **Core Business Focus:** Is AI central to the company's product or business model? If AI is peripheral or exploratory, centralization may remain more effective. But if AI is foundational, shaping product strategy and customer experience, embedding it closer to product development teams can unlock speed and value.
2. **Integration Efficiency:** Will data scientists embedded in engineering squads contribute meaningfully to daily operations? If their inclusion in standups, sprint planning, and architectural discussions drives real value, integration makes sense. But if meetings become distractions or if workflows remain disjointed, a hybrid or collaborative model may be more productive.

3. **Unified KPIs:** Do engineering and data science share measurable goals? Misalignment in incentives and success metrics can fracture team cohesion. Establishing unified KPIs that bridge model quality, business impact, and engineering performance is essential for coherent squads.
4. **Infrastructure Maturity:** Can AI capabilities be deployed to production efficiently? The time to market for models depends heavily on the underlying infrastructure. Mature platforms, automated deployment pipelines, reproducible model packaging, monitoring tools, and scalable infrastructure are critical, especially for distributed teams. Without these, the promise of end-to-end delivery collapses under technical friction. Robust infrastructure accelerates time to market by reducing bottlenecks, enabling faster iterations, and ensuring smooth transitions from development to production.
5. **Organizational Commitment:** Is the company ready to invest in sustaining a strong data science culture even in a distributed structure? Without intentional effort, regular knowledge-sharing rituals, community-of-practice forums, shared libraries and tools, data scientists in squads may feel isolated, underdeveloped, and disconnected from the broader mission.

Transitioning to AI squads doesn't mean dismantling central capabilities. In many cases, a hybrid approach works best: key product lines are supported by embedded AI squads, while a core centralized team maintains standards, drives research, and incubates new ideas.

This compromise leverages the strengths of both models. The centralized team becomes a center of excellence, advancing tooling, ensuring governance, and mentoring talent, while squads deliver localized impact at speed.

Conclusion: Architecture Reflects Team Design

Melvin E. Conway was the first to describe the relationship between organizational structure and system design, i.e., the architecture of a product or system tends to mirror the communication patterns, silos, and organizational structure of the company that built it.

Organizations which design systems are constrained to produce designs which are copies of the communication structures of these organizations. — Melvin E. Conway, How Do Committees Invent?

In practice, a company with three isolated teams might end up delivering a system with three loosely connected modules, whether that was intentional or not, and consequently monolithic organizations often produce monolithic architectures. When companies that adopt cross-functional, autonomous teams tend to produce microservices or modular, decoupled systems.

There are many modern examples such as: * **Team Topologies** — explicit use of Conway’s Law to design team structures that enable desired software architecture (e.g., microservices, platforms, stream-aligned teams).

- **DevOps & Agile** — breaking down silos to produce more integrated, resilient systems.
- **Data Mesh** — treats data as a product owned by cross-functional domain teams, directly leveraging the principle of Conway’s Law to decentralize data ownership.
- **Agentic Systems and AI Ops** — mirroring organizational autonomy into autonomous, decoupled software agents.

These days, companies tend to choose a reverse Conway maneuver, i.e., intentionally design team structures to influence the desired system architecture (e.g., microservices). This is heavily embraced in DevOps, Agile, and Team Topologies models.

In the context of AI, this means that companies may choose to design their AI teams to be more autonomous and cross-functional, and their AI systems to be more modular and decoupled.

The choice between centralized teams and distributed squads is not just a question of reporting structure. It is a question of **operating philosophy**.

If your AI efforts are nascent or exploratory, start with centralization. Focus on building capabilities, developing repeatable processes, and nurturing talent. As you scale, evaluate your readiness across infrastructure, culture, and governance. Only then should you consider embedding AI deeply into your product teams.

And when you do, don’t go all in at once. Start small. Pilot AI squads in critical business areas. Learn from those experiments. Let success stories drive broader adoption.

This measured, thoughtful approach echoes the principles laid out in **Team Topologies** by Matthew Skelton and Manuel Pais. The “Complicated Subsystem” team in their model directly maps to centralized AI teams, structured around rare expertise and complex problem-solving. As maturity increases, organizations can evolve toward “Stream-Aligned Teams,” which reflect the structure and intent of AI squads: delivering value directly to customers, owning the full lifecycle of features, and working with autonomy and purpose.

By understanding the strengths and tradeoffs of each topology and applying them with intention, leaders can design organizations that are not just agile or efficient, but **resilient, responsive, and ready for the future of AI**.

Summary and Conclusion

Hiring for AI roles is a nuanced and strategic process, one that blends technical evaluation, product thinking, and an understanding of human potential. As this chapter highlights, building strong AI teams requires more than identifying smart individuals; it demands a thoughtful, adaptable approach that aligns your hiring process with the real needs of the role and the level of seniority you're targeting, i.e., an approach that balances technical expertise, product thinking, and cultural alignment. Rather than relying on outdated methods or rigid filters, the most effective teams look for adaptable, curious individuals who can thrive in complex, evolving environments.

By recognizing the unique demands of AI work, its interdisciplinary nature, rapid evolution, and impact across product and business, you can avoid common traps like over-indexing on academic credentials, testing irrelevant skills, or undervaluing communication. Instead, focus on candidates' ability to solve meaningful problems, collaborate across teams, and grow with your organization.

Equally important is setting up hiring expectations that evolve with seniority. Junior candidates should be assessed on their grasp of fundamentals and eagerness to learn. Mid- to senior-level hires should be evaluated for their ability to deliver business value through scalable, well-engineered AI solutions. Lead candidates must demonstrate not only technical excellence but also mentorship, strategic thinking, and the ability to elevate those around them.

We discussed a practical, three-step hiring process, anchored in real-world problem-solving, candidate-driven discussions, and respectful engagement that helps surface the qualities that truly matter: critical thinking, adaptability, communication, and product sense. Rigid credential-checking or one-size-fits-all assessments often obscure these traits. Instead, design interviews to uncover how candidates think, collaborate, and learn.

Above all, building a strong AI team isn't just about finding top talent, it's also about creating a hiring process that's clear, fair, and well-aligned to your company's goals and doesn't just identify talent, it creates the foundation for long-term success, innovation, and a high-performing AI culture.

Team Operations and Methodologies

Agile software development has become the standard in the tech industry. Whether implemented as Scrum, Kanban, or Scrumban, each is tailored to different team dynamics and project needs. These frameworks were designed to support flexibility and rapid iteration through short work cycles. While Agile methodologies are highly effective for software development, they don't always align neatly with the nature of research work.

To effectively manage research projects, especially in data science, we must adopt and extend Agile principles rather than adopt them wholesale. This means embracing the core values of Agile while tailoring the practices to suit the exploratory and uncertain nature of research. The goal is to create an approach that maintains agility but is specifically optimized for research contexts.

In This Chapter

- Agile for AI & Data: Flexeegile - A proposed extension to Agile that addresses the unique challenges of AI & Data projects
- AI & Data Science Frameworks - Understanding different project management frameworks and when to use them
- Project Management - Best practices for managing AI and data science projects
- Team Structure and Collaboration - How to organize and coordinate AI teams effectively

Let's begin by exploring how Agile principles can be adapted for AI and data science work.

Agile for AI & Data: Flexeegile

Flexeegile is my proposed extension to the well-established Agile framework, it aims to address the unique challenges posed by AI & Data projects in today's complex computing environments. It is not a replacement for Agile, but rather an observation and adaptation of Agile principles to suit the modern era of computing.

Flexeegile represents an abstraction layer that comes before project management and development methodologies, it aims to be suited for data and AI leaders in data-centric projects. By embracing uncertainty, valuing data-driven decisions, and focusing on simplicity and clarity in production, Flexeegile offers new core values for navigating the challenges of modern AI and data projects. As the tech world continues to evolve, Ideas like Flexeegile will play a crucial role in helping teams adapt and thrive in an increasingly data-driven future.

Like Agile, Flexeegile is designed to be intentionally vague to remain future-proof as technology advances, and much like Agile, it recognizes the value of the items on the right but considers the items on the left to be of higher value.

- **Uncertainty over Predictability:** Embracing the inherent unpredictability in complex data & AI systems.
- **Data and Validation over Intuition and Belief:** Prioritizing evidence-based decision-making.
- **Simplicity and Clarity over Complexity and Noise:** Striving for clear, understandable solutions in a world of increasing complexity.

The concept of embracing **uncertainty over predictability** in AI and data systems reflects a paradigm shift from deterministic systems to probabilistic ones. While traditional engineering was focused on precise, repeatable outputs. AI tools such as Large Language Models (LLMs) embrace uncertainty to deliver transformative capabilities, in which the focus is on maximizing utility while effectively managing and mitigating risks associated with uncertainty.

This approach acknowledges that achieving groundbreaking results often requires accepting a degree of unpredictability. For example, in the evolving landscape of LLMs, where prompts play a central role, unit tests for prompts often produce variable outputs. Traditionally, unit tests have relied on deterministic results, which creates a need for new evaluation methods that account for variability. This shift requires accepting that outputs may only approximate a desired result and that not all tests will consistently produce the same outcome.

The concept of **Data & Validation over Intuition & Belief**, in which Intuition is invaluable at the start of any journey, guiding initial exploration and shaping potential paths. Belief helps set the course, providing direction and purpose. However, to make meaningful progress, we need insights derived from trusted AI systems. Testing and **validating both the data and AI systems we use** are core essentials for ensuring accuracy and reliability. Balancing quality and utility is crucial, and managing them enables us to unlock AI's full potential and make informed, impactful decisions that we can trust.

The principle of valuing **simplicity and clarity over complexity and noise** becomes increasingly critical as AI systems grow more sophisticated. There's a fundamental need to ensure that their design and functionality remain transparent, understandable, and accessible. This approach means creating solutions that can be readily comprehended by both technical experts and general users, avoiding the pitfalls of opaque "black box" systems that obscure their inner workings.

By prioritizing clear, comprehensible architectures and decision-making processes, we can build AI technologies that are not just powerful but also trustworthy and debuggable. When complexity inevitably arises, the goal is to maintain a core of simplicity that allows for quick root cause analysis, effective troubleshooting, and a genuine understanding of how and why an AI system produces its outputs, thereby preserving human agency and insight in an increasingly automated world.

AI & Data Science Frameworks

In data science, the core idea of iterative, value-driven work has led to the emergence of multiple project management frameworks, each addressing similar challenges through the lens of a particular use case or organizational context.

Common Frameworks

Framework	Stages / Phases	Primary Use Case	Created By
CRISP-DM	Business Understanding, Data Understanding, Data Preparation, Modeling, Evaluation, Deployment	Traditional data mining and analytics projects	Industry Consortium (1996)
OSEMN	Obtain, Scrub, Explore, Model, Interpret	Hands-on, fast-paced data science work	Hilary Mason (approx. 2010)
TDSP	Business Understanding, Data Acquisition, Modeling, Deployment, Customer Acceptance	Team-based enterprise ML/AI development	Microsoft
DataOps	Continuous Integration, Automated Testing, Monitoring, Deployment	Scalable, production-grade ML pipelines	DJ Patil et al. (emerging ~2014)
KDD	Selection, Preprocessing, Transformation, Data Mining, Interpretation	Academic-style discovery and exploratory analysis	Academic Community (1989–1996)
SEMMA	Sample, Explore, Modify, Model, Assess	SAS-centric analytical workflows	SAS Institute
Agile Data Science	Iterative cycles of exploration, modeling, evaluation, and deployment	Startups and product teams delivering data-driven features	Jurney, R. (2017). <i>Agile Data Science 2.0</i> . O'Reilly Media.

Framework	Stages / Phases	Primary Use Case	Created By
Flexeegile Implementation	Literature Review, Data Exploration, Algorithm Development, Result Analysis, Review, Deployment	Research-oriented, innovation-led data science in applied settings	Dr. Ori Cohen

The Core of the Process

All the major data science project frameworks ultimately converge on the same fundamental lifecycle: understanding a problem, exploring data, building solutions, evaluating performance, and deploying results. Whether formalized as CRISP-DM, TDSP, or Agile Data Science, the heart of the process remains iterative learning and value creation through experimentation.

Additionally, all these frameworks were developed in response to the same foundational challenge: how to manage complexity, uncertainty, and iteration in data-driven projects. Each was born out of a specific context, academic research, enterprise product development, fast-moving startups, but they all converge around the same core idea: creating a structured way to move from ambiguity to impact. While the terminology may differ - “Modeling” vs “Data Mining” vs “Algorithm Development”, the intent is the same: to break research down into manageable stages, while enabling learning and adaptation. The real difference lies not in what they do, but in the lens through which they approach project management.



Figure 1: Framework Comparison

I also created a methodology and implementation that fits well within this paradigm: it adds needed structure to research without constraining it. By defining clear stages starting from Business Understanding, Literature Review, Data Exploration, Algorithm Development, Result Analysis, Review, and Deployment. These stages bridge the gap between exploratory efforts and actionable outcomes, enabling a flexible and adaptable process. They also encourage the scientific mindset to deliver working products, while aligning with business impact, making it an effective framework for modern R&D leadership.

From a product management perspective, although some of these methodologies predate the build-measure-learn loop. The process begins with defining a hypothesis (or business need), proceeds through experimentation or prototyping (build), evaluates results (measure), and then iterates based on insights (learn). In other words, at their core, they echo the same principles: rapidly building a product, measuring user response, and learning from the results to iterate, emphasizing fast feedback and continuous improvement.

Research, product, and engineering are three sides of the same coin - they are structured problem-solving frameworks that seek to create value, just using different tools and language.

In practice, we typically deal with three categories of projects, distinguished by their time-frames and objectives. Each one of the AI project management frameworks can be adapted for each category :

1. **Long-Term Projects** Typically conducted in academia or in corporate research labs (e.g., IBM, Meta). These projects aim to push the boundaries of science or technology and often span months. They emphasize flexible milestones and open-ended exploration, with frameworks supporting iterative hypothesis testing and knowledge sharing.
2. **Medium-Term Research** Strategic initiatives that are expected to deliver value to the organization in the foreseeable future. These may support future products or capabilities. They apply structured agile cycles with clear checkpoints to balance innovation with deliverable progress toward strategic goals.
3. **Short-Term Research** Applied research that directly supports product features, client projects, or internal needs, such as proof-of-concepts (POCs) or reusable APIs. These are usually time-sensitive and tightly scoped. They use lean, fast-execution frameworks focused on rapid prototyping, quick feedback loops, and clear alignment with immediate business impact.

Project management

i Note

This section is under construction.

Project Management

i Note

This Chapter is under construction.

Summary and Conclusion

Effective team operations and methodologies are critical for managing the unique demands of AI and data projects, which often involve uncertainty, experimentation, and evolving goals. While Agile frameworks like Scrum and Kanban provide valuable foundations for flexibility and rapid iteration, they must be adapted to fit the exploratory nature of research work.

To address these challenges, concepts like Flexeegile extend Agile principles by emphasizing the importance of embracing uncertainty, relying on data-driven validation, and prioritizing simplicity in complex AI systems. Practical implementation involves flexible sprint cycles, collaborative cross-functional teams, and evaluation methods that accommodate non-deterministic outcomes.

Ultimately, success in AI and data projects hinges on adopting adaptable, transparent methodologies that balance rigor with flexibility, enabling teams to innovate effectively while maintaining clarity and alignment throughout the development process.

AI Culture

As an AI leader, your team operates at the intersection of business, engineering, data, AI, and Product. The end-to-end process begins with the business problem, engaging with stakeholders or clients to clarify the challenge, and aligning the work with key objectives and measurable outcomes (OKRs/KPIs). From there, the team should dive into the data, build models, and understand how those models function within the product context. Ownership shouldn't stop at modeling: deployment, user experience, copy, documentation, and marketing are all part of the product lifecycle. Every build should be grounded in product value and driven by clear impact.

Effective Management

Effective management starts with empathy and trust. Great managers often learn from both good and bad leadership examples. Building a team culture that treats people as equals rather than subordinates encourages ownership, creativity, and independence. Micro-management, on the other hand, can limit growth and innovation. Creating a safe environment where mistakes are part of the learning process helps reduce fear and leads to better long-term outcomes.

Strong communication and alignment are essential to project success. Daily check-ins, clear goals, and open dialogue keep teams in sync and allow for seamless collaboration. It's important to recognize when a project is no longer adding value and pivot when needed. Deliverables should be reliable, production-ready, and, where possible, released incrementally to enable early feedback and parallel development.

Encouraging continuous learning strengthens the team. Staying current with industry research, tools, and methodologies helps teams remain innovative and effective. Maintaining a shared knowledge base makes it easier to revisit concepts and scale collective learning. Giving team members time and space to read, explore, and experiment with data and new ideas can significantly enhance their skills and the quality of their work.

Innovation and collaboration go hand in hand. Being proactive about business problem understanding and how the projects fit within a product, fostering cross-functional relationships, and staying connected with company direction can elevate both personal and team impact. Engaging with peers, mentoring others, and sharing your work externally not only builds expertise but also strengthens your presence as a leader. A culture that values curiosity and mentorship drives long-term success.

In This Chapter

- Leadership & Management - Essential principles for leading AI teams effectively
- The Three Pillars - Core foundations that support successful AI teams:
 - Data
 - Product Management
 - Reaching Production

The Three Pillars of a Successful AI Team

Building an AI team from the ground up is one of the most exciting and strategically impactful investments a company can make. Yet, it's also one of the most misunderstood. Success in this domain rarely hinges solely on hiring brilliant individuals. It depends instead on the foundation you build around them.

In this section, we explore the three essential pillars that must be in place for an IA team to not only function but to thrive:

- **Data**
- **Product Management**
- **Reaching Production**

If you want to build an AI team that truly succeeds, you must plan for the three pillars, keeping in mind that each one reinforces the others. Together, they turn AI from a speculative investment into a powerful driver of business impact.

A high-performing AI team is rarely a solo act. It's a cross-functional team embedded with data engineers, MLOps specialists, and a dedicated product manager. It's guided by a deep understanding of the business and fueled by high-quality data and infrastructure.

These three pillars form the scaffolding of a mature, value-generating AI organization. Ignore any one of them, and you risk creating a team that delivers research instead of results, one that generates models but not business impact. Prepare for these pillars in advance, and your data science efforts will be positioned not only to start strong but to endure and scale.

Let's examine each of these pillars in depth.

The Data Pillar

The most obvious, and most overlooked, requirement of any AI (or a data science) team is access to quality data. This may sound self-evident, given the name data science, but too often, companies bring on their first data scientist (or AI Engineer) without ensuring that they have something to work with.

Ask yourself:

- Do we have usable data?
- Is it accessible?
- Do we have the right infrastructure?
- Do we have roles and permissions in place to allow someone to work with the data?

If the answer is “no,” then hiring a Data Scientist is premature, and you may need another type of function first, for example, a data engineer.

A common misstep occurs when a company enthusiastically hires its first data scientist, only for that person to discover, weeks in, that the data they need doesn’t exist, or exists in a completely unusable form. At that point, progress grinds to a halt. Requests must be sent to engineering for access or pipeline development, which can take weeks or months, depending on priorities and available resources. In extreme cases, large parts of the company must re-architect their data collection systems, delaying any actual data science work indefinitely.

The result? unmet expectations and frustration on the management and employee sides, not to mention missed opportunities.

To avoid this, organizations should either ensure data readiness before hiring a data scientist or consult a specialist to assess the current data landscape. Conversely, data scientists considering a new role should ask pointed questions during interviews about the state and accessibility of the company’s data. A mature company will be prepared with answers and with data. **In short: No data, no data science.**

The Product Management Pillar

Great data science doesn’t begin with models. AI teams need to be tightly aligned with the business problems they aim to solve. It begins with the right questions. And those questions almost always originate from a clear understanding of the business context, the end user, and the desired impact.

This is the domain of a product manager (PM); however, there are AI teams without a product manager role. These teams usually will have an executive or a team member filling the PM function. For example, in early-stage startups, it’s common for founders or CTOs to fill this role and for data scientists to ask business questions for the project they are working on.

But Product management is its own Why? Because neither data scientists nor technical executives typically have the full toolkit or bandwidth of a trained PM. As a result, projects move forward without proper validation, KPIs may be ill-defined, and the path to productization becomes murky. It’s not uncommon to find DS teams stuck in cycles of low-impact research,

turning into “ML feature factories”, because no one is gatekeeping what’s worth pursuing in the first place.

A dedicated PM embedded within or closely partnered with the AI team changes everything. They bring structure: project pre-validation, value assessment, prioritization frameworks, and a clear connection to user needs. With the support of a PM, DS teams can avoid building elegant solutions to low-priority problems and instead focus their energy on initiatives with real business impact.

Given the cost and complexity of running an AI function, this pillar is not optional. Product management is the amplifier that turns business requirements and capabilities into strategic value.

The Production Pillar

There’s a saying in the field: “Most data science projects never make it to production.” While the oft-quoted figure of 87% may be outdated, the core truth remains: building a model is only half the journey. Deploying it is what makes the work count.

Reaching production is where the real-world impact of a data science team is realized. However, the path to deployment is paved with challenges, such as organizational, technical, and operational. A AI team cannot, and should not, do it alone.

To bring projects into production, data scientists require strong collaboration with data engineers, machine learning engineers, and MLOps teams. Whether it’s building robust data pipelines, ensuring scalable infrastructure, or embedding models into products, these support functions are critical. Yet in many organizations, data scientists are left waiting: for backend teams to integrate APIs, for UI/UX teams to design interfaces, or for infrastructure teams to set up containers.

When delays occur, the blame often falls unfairly on the DS team. This is a structural failure, not a personnel one.

Organizations must plan for production readiness from the outset. This includes providing end-to-end support, investing in MLOps practices, and designing systems that allow data scientists to deliver value autonomously whenever possible.

It’s also worth noting that production doesn’t always mean embedding a model into a digital product. Production can take other forms: generating decision-support insights, producing automated reports, or creating models that are used behind the scenes by operations teams. What matters is that the DS output is connected to a business process, and that it is used.

Production is the finish line. Without crossing it, no project can claim victory.

Summary and Conclusion

Note

This section is under construction.

This chapter explored the essential principles for leading AI teams effectively. We discussed the importance of effective management, strong communication and alignment, continuous learning, and innovation and collaboration. We also examined the three pillars that support successful AI teams: data, product management, and reaching production.

By understanding and applying these principles, you can build a strong AI culture that drives innovation, collaboration, and long-term success.

Managing AI Products

i Note

This section is under construction.

This chapter explores the key principles and practices for successfully managing AI-driven products.

References

i Note

This section is a work in progress.