Phase 4: Vacuum-to-FRW Consistency and Scale Sanity

A corridor-style test of the Phase 3 global-amplitude mechanism

Origin Axiom Program

January 7, 2026

Abstract

Phase 4 tests whether the canonical global-amplitude mechanism defined in Phase 3 can be connected, in a structurally reasonable way, to FRW-style dynamics and vacuum-energy-like observables. The goal is to probe whether the non-cancellation floor that stabilises the toy vacuum can also support scale-sane behaviour when mapped into simple cosmological modules, without claiming a full cosmological fit or a theory of everything.

1   Introduction

Phase 4 sits between the mechanism-level vacuum work of Phase 3 and any future attempts to build a unified picture of vacuum, matter, and geometry. Its mission is narrow:

- take the canonical Phase 3 global-amplitude mechanism and non-cancellation floor as given;

- define simple, explicit mappings from the floor-enforced amplitude or residue into FRW-like dynamics and vacuum-energy-like observables; and

- study the resulting behaviour of the Origin-Axiom phase parameter θ in the corridor / ledger framework of Phase 0.

The guiding question is not whether we can reproduce the full ΛCDM model or fit precise cosmological parameters, but whether the Phase 3 mechanism can be made compatible with toy FRW modules in a way that is numerically stable, structurally sane, and expressible as a θ-filter in the sense of Phase 0.

Throughout this phase we distinguish carefully between:

- *binding* outputs, which may eventually define a Phase 4 θ-filter; and

- *non-binding* diagnostics and figures, which serve only as intuition and internal checks.

The present rung does not define any concrete mappings or filters. It only provides a minimal paper skeleton so that future rungs can add well-documented mechanisms and experiments without restructuring the front matter.

2   Mapping families: first pass (F1)

Phase 4 takes as input the Phase 3 global-amplitude mechanism: a toy vacuum with an unconstrained observable $A_0(\theta)$, a non-cancellation floor ε, and a floor-enforced amplitude $A(\theta) = \max(A_0(\theta), \varepsilon)$ defined on a grid $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The present paper introduces a first, explicit mapping family, denoted **F1**, from this structure to a toy vacuum-energy-like scalar.

2.1 F1: direct scalar mapping from $A(\theta)$

The F1 family is intentionally simple. For a fixed Phase 3 vacuum configuration and floor ε (taken from the Phase 3 baseline diagnostics), we define a scalar

$$E_{\text{vac}}(\theta) \; = \; \alpha\, A(\theta)^{\beta}, \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are explicit, configurable parameters. At this rung we adopt a conservative default, $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2$, and focus on structural behaviour rather than numerical normalisation.

Operationally, Phase 4 reuses the Phase 3 baseline configuration `baseline_v1` and the floor ε recorded in `phase3/outputs/tables/mech_baseline_scan_diagnostics.json`. We then evaluate $A(\theta)$ and $E_{\text{vac}}(\theta)$ on a uniform grid of $N_\theta = 2048$ points in $[0, 2\pi)$. The per-grid values and summary diagnostics are written to

$$\text{phase4/outputs/tables/phase4\_F1\_sanity\_curve.csv,}$$

together with metadata describing the mapping parameters and the underlying Phase 3 diagnostics.

At this stage F1 is a *non-binding* mapping family: it does not yet define a θ-corridor or a Phase 4 θ-filter. Instead it serves as a concrete, auditable bridge between the Phase 3 mechanism and simple scalar observables that later rungs can connect to FRW-like toy modules and corridor construction.

3 Diagnostics, corridors, and failure modes

Later rungs will populate this section with:

- diagnostics for the FRW-like or vacuum-energy-like observables derived from the Phase 3 mechanism;

- the resulting θ-dependence and any induced corridors;

- explicit descriptions of non-empty, non-trivial corridors (if found); and

- structured descriptions of empty or pathological corridors, treated as informative negative results.

The Phase 0 ledger semantics require that Phase 4 either:

- contributes a well-defined θ-filter; or

- records a clearly documented failure mode that future phases can build on.

At this rung we do not yet present results or filters; we only identify the role this section will play once mechanisms and experiments are in place.

4 Limitations and outlook

Phase 4 is intentionally narrow in scope. Even once the mappings and diagnostics are implemented, the phase will not claim:

- a full derivation of cosmological parameters;

- a proof that the Origin Axiom is realised in nature; or

- a unique mechanism for connecting vacuum structure to FRW dynamics.

Instead, the goal is to provide a clean yes-or-no style test for a specific question:

> Can the Phase 3 global-amplitude mechanism support scale-sane FRW-like behaviour, in at least one simple mapping family, without producing a degenerate or empty θ-corridor?

If the answer is "no" for all tested mapping families, Phase 4 will record this as a structured negative result, signalling that either the Phase 3 mechanism or the mapping strategy needs revision before further unification attempts.

Appendix A: Phase 4 claims table (draft)

Table 1 summarises the intended Phase 4 claims. At this rung all entries are draft and non-binding.

Table 1: Draft Phase 4 claims. Binding status will be updated once the phase is complete and audited.

ID	Binding?	Summary
C4.1	no	Existence of at least one explicit mapping from the Phase 3 global amplitude or residue into an FRW-like or vacuum-energy-like observable with numerically stable behaviour.
C4.2	no	Existence of a non-empty, non-trivial θ-corridor for at least one such mapping.
C4.3	no	Structured negative result if all tested mappings yield empty or pathological corridors.

Appendix B: Reproducibility notes (draft)

This appendix will eventually document:

- the Phase 4 directory and workflow structure;

- the gate levels (paper-only vs. paper+artifacts);

- the commands required to rebuild the Phase 4 paper and any binding θ-filters; and

- the run manifests and configuration files that define the tested mapping families.

At this rung, Phase 4 only provides a minimal paper skeleton and no mapping implementations. Reproducibility therefore reduces to rebuilding the present PDF via the Phase 4 gate script.

References