

Vivekanand Education Society's Institute of Technology

Department of Computer Engineering



Subject: Software engineering Lab

Class: D12A

Semester-V

Div-A

Roll No:  <u>72</u>	Name:  Shubham Zope		
Exp  No: 5	Title:  Use of metrics to estimate the cost		
DOP:	06/09/2021	DOS:	23/09/2021
GRADE:		Lab Outcomes:  LO2,3	Signature:

## SE Expt 5

Aim: Use of metrics to estimate the cost

Theory:

# LOC:-

- 1] Line of code is simplest among all metrics available to estimate project size.
- 2] It counts the number of lines of source code in a project.
- 3] The units of LOC are:
  - a] KLOC:- Thousand lines of code.
  - b] NLOC:- Non comment lines of code.
  - c] KDSI:- Thousands of delivered source <sup>in structure</sup>

Advantages:-

- 1] Universally accepted and is used in many models like COCOMO.
- 2] Estimation is closer to developer's perspective.
- 3] Simple to use.

Disadvantages:-

- 1] Different programming languages contain different number of lines.
- 2] No proper industry standard exist for this technique.
- 3] It is difficult to estimate the size using this technique in early stages of project.



Ghoshham zope

D12A

72

Function point:-

- 1] Function point Analysis (FPA) is a method or set of rules of functional size measurement.
- 2] To measure functionality that user request and receives.
- 3] To measure software development and maintenance independently of technology used for implementation.
- 4] To minimize the overhead of the measurement process.
- 5] To be a consistent measure among various projects and organizations.

FP ATTRIBUTES:-

Measurements Parameters	Example
1. Number of External inputs (EI)	Input screens and table
2. Number of external outputs (EO)	Output screens and reports
3. Number of external inquiries (EQ)	Prompts and interrupts
4. Number of internal files (EIF)	Databases and directories
5. External interfaces (EFP)	shared classes and shared <sup>modules</sup>

computing steps

step 1: Each function point is marked according to complexity i.e. Low (simple), Average, High



Shubham 20pe

D12A

72

functional units	weighting factors		
	LOW	Average	High
EI	3	4	6
EO	4	5	7
EG	3	4	6
ILF	7	10	15
EIF	9	7	10

Step 2: calculate unadjusted function point (UFP) by manipulating each function point by its corresponding weight factor

$$UFP = \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^3 (Z_{ij} * W_{ij})$$

where  $Z_{ij}$  counting functional units and  $W_{ij}$  = weighting factor

For example if we have 5 EI and 6 EO of Low and ~~low~~ complex category respectively then

$$UFP = 5 * 3 + 6 * 7 = 57$$

Step 3: calculate CAF using 14 aspects of processing complexity

$$CAF = 0.66 + 0.01 * \sum (k_i)$$

$\sum (k_i)$  is the sum of all 14 questionnaires and show the CAF (where 1 ranges from 1 to 9. There are answered on scale of 0 to 9.



FP metrics:-

Productivity =  $FP / \text{efforts}$

Quality =  $\text{Defects} / FP$

Cost per function =  $\text{Rupees} / \text{Productivity}$

Documentation =  $\text{Pages of documentation} / FP$

Advantages:-

- 1] It is a tool which help users discover the benefit of an application package to their organization by counting functions that specifically match their requirement
- 2] It is a tool to measure the units of software product to support quality and productivity analysis.
- 3] It is a vehicle to estimate the cost and resources required for software development and maintenance.
- 4] It is a normalization factor for software comparison.



Disadvantages:

- 1] It requires a subjective evaluation and involves many judgements.
- 2] Run after creating the design spec.
- 3] Due to long learning curve, it is not easy to gain proficiency.

Form:-

- 1] It consists of a hierarchy of three increasingly detailed and accurate forms.
- 2] Any of 3 forms can be adopted according.



Shubham 20p

D12A

72

There are types of COCOMO model:

- 1) Basic COCOMO Model
- 2) Intermediate COCOMO model
- 3) Detailed COCOMO model

Basic model

Basic COCOMO model takes the form

$$E = a_0 (KLOC)^{b_0}$$

$$D = c_0 (E)^{d_0}$$

where  $E$  is effort in Person-month

$D$  = Development time

when effort and development time are known,  
average staff size (SS)

$$SS = \frac{E}{D} \text{ Persons}$$

Project size is known productivity level is

$$P = \frac{KLOC}{E} \text{ KLOC/PM}$$

Modes:

- 1) organic: small size project, experienced developer in familiar environment.
- 2) semidetached: medium size project, medium size team, average previous exp-on similar project.



Shubham Zope

012A

72

3] Embedded: large project, real time systems, complex interfaces. very little previous experience.

COCOMO II :-

It is revised version of original COCOMO (constructive cost model) and is developed at University of Southern California. It is model that allows one to estimate the cost, effort and schedule when planning a new software activity:-

It consists of 3 models:-

- 1] End user programming
- 2] Intermediate sector
- 3] In structure sector

calculation based on our case study:

LOC: 8.3 KLOC

EFFORT COST: Rs 30

Pages of documentation: 83

Errors: 6

Defects: 2

Size oriented software metrics:

$$\text{Productivity} = \text{KLOC} / \text{Effort} = 8.3 / 0.25 = 33.2$$

$$\text{Effort} = \text{Person/month} = 3 / 12 = 0.25$$

$$\text{Quality} = \text{No. of faults} / \text{KLOC} = 6 / 8.3 = 0.723$$

$$\text{Cost} = \text{Effort cost} / \text{KLOC} = 30 / 8.3 = 3.61$$

Shubham zope

D12A

72

$$\text{Documentation} = \text{Pages of documentation} / 1000 \\ = 8318 - 3 = 10$$

FP:

Step 1:

EO = 15 (high)

EI = 10 (high)

EQ = 6 (avg)

ILF = 8 (high)

EIF = 2 (avg)

Step 2:

$$\text{UFP} = (15 * 9) + (10 * 6) + (6 * 4) + (8 * 19) + (2 * 7)$$

$$\text{UFP} = 293$$

Step 3:

Questions:

1] 3

2] 4

3] 2

4] 1

5] 4

6] 5

7] 3

8] 2

9] 3

10] 4

11] 3

12] 2

13] 3

14] 4

$$\rightarrow \Sigma f_i = 43$$



Shubham 20pe

D12A

72

Step 3i

$$\begin{aligned}CAF &= 0.65 + 0.01 * 243 \\ &= 0.65 + 0.01 * 43 \\ &= 1.08\end{aligned}$$

Step 5

$$\begin{aligned}FP &= UFP * CAF \\ &= 293 * 1.08 \\ FP &= 316.44\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Productivity} = FP / \text{EFFORT} = 316.44 / 0.25 = 1265.76$$

$$\text{Quality} = \text{Defects} / FP = 2 / 316.44 = 0.006$$

$$\text{Cost per function} = RS / P = 30 / 1265.76 = 0.02$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Documentation} &= \text{Pages of doc} / FP = 83 / 316.44 \\ &= 0.262\end{aligned}$$

COGMO

FOR OUR SYSTEM - ORGANIC

$$E = ab(KLOC)^{b_0}$$

$$a = 2.4$$

$$KLOC = 8.3$$

$$b_0 = 1.09$$

$$E = 2.4 * (8.3^{1.09}) = 22.14$$

$$D = 2.5 * (22.14^{0.38}) = 8.11$$

$$\text{Average staff size} = E / D = 22.14 / 8.11 = 3$$

$$\begin{aligned}P &= KLOC / E = 8.3 / 22.14 = 0.375 \text{ KLOC / PM} \\ &= 375 \text{ LOC / PM}\end{aligned}$$

conclusion: In this experiment, we learned about the software metrics, how to calculate LOC, how to calculate FP and also how to calculate COCOMO. we learned the steps of calculation of VFP, CAF, effort, productivity etc and successfully ~~completed~~ completed this experiment.