## Exercises Hartshorne

Oriol Velasco Falguera

October 8, 2020

## 1 Sheaves

**Exercise 1.1.** Let A be an abelian group, and define the constant presheaf associated to A on the topological space X to be the presheaf  $U \mapsto A$  for all  $U \neq \emptyset$ , with restriction maps the identity. Show that the constant sheaf A defined in the text is the sheaf associated to this presheaf.

**Solution.** Let sF denote the constant presheaf. Let's first see that each stalk  $\mathscr{F}_P$  is a copy of A. Indeed, the elements of  $\mathscr{F}_P$  are represented by pairs  $\langle U, s \rangle$ , with U open neighbourhood of P and  $s \in A$ . As the restriction maps are the identity, two pairs  $\langle U, s \rangle$  and  $\langle V, t \rangle$  represent the same element if and only if s = t, so  $\mathscr{F}_P = A$ .

Let s be an application from U to  $\bigcup_{P\in U} \mathcal{F}_P$  satisfying properties (1) and (2) from the definition of associated sheaf. By (1),  $s(P) \in \mathcal{F}_P$  is an element of A, and therefore s can be regarded as an application from U to A (that we will denote s'). In addition, let  $B\subseteq A$ . For each  $P\in s'^{-1}(B)$ ,  $\exists V_P$  neighbourhood of P such that  $s'(V_P)=t\in B$ . Then  $s'^{-1}(B)=\bigcup_{P\in s'^{-1}(B)}V_P$  which is open. We have proved that the antiimage of every subset is open and therefore s' is continuos with A being given the discrete topology.

Reciprocally, any countinuous application s' from U to A can be regarded as an application s from U to  $\bigcup_{P\in U} \mathscr{F}_P$ , defining  $s(P)=s'(P)\in \mathscr{F}_P$ . This assignation guarantees that s satisfies (1). In addition, for each  $P\in U$ , the set  $V=s'^{-1}(s'(P))$  is an open neighbourhood of P (by continuity of s'), and every  $Q\in V$  has the same image s'(P), which proves that s satisfies (2).

In conclusion,  $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$  is the group of continuous maps from U into A, and therefore  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is indeed the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in the text.

- **Exercise 1.2.** a) For any morphism of sheaves  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  show that for each point P,  $(\ker \varphi)_P = \ker(\varphi_P)$  and  $(im\varphi)_P = im(\varphi_P)$ .
  - b) Show that  $\varphi$  is injective (respectively surjective) if and only if the induced map on the stalks  $\varphi_P$  is injective (respectilevy surjective) for all P.
  - c) Show that a sequence  $\ldots \to \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \to \ldots$  of sheaves and morphisms is exact if and only if for each  $P \in X$  the corresponding sequence of stalks is exact as a sequence of abelian groups.
- **Solution.** a)  $(\ker \varphi)_P = \{(U, s), s \in \ker(\varphi(U))\}$ , modulo the usual germ equivalence relationship. Thus it can be regarded as a subset of  $\mathcal{F}_P$  as  $\ker(\varphi(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(U)$ . On the other side  $\ker(\varphi_P)$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}_P$ . To see that the two sets are equal it's enough to check the double inclusion. Let  $\overline{(U,s)} \in (\ker \varphi)_P$ . Then,  $\varphi_P(\overline{(U,s)}) = \overline{(U,(\varphi(U))(s))} = \overline{(U,0)} = 0 \Rightarrow \overline{(U,s)} \in \ker(\varphi_P)$ . Reciprocally, given  $\overline{(U,s)} \in \ker(\varphi_P) \Rightarrow \exists V \subset U$  such that  $\varphi(U)(s)|_V = 0$ . As restrictions commute with morphisms of sheaves,  $\varphi(V)(s|_V) = \varphi(U)(s)|_V = 0$ . Then,  $\overline{(U,s)} = \overline{(V,s|_V)} \in \ker(\varphi_P)$ . In conclusion,  $(\ker \varphi)_P = \ker(\varphi_P)$ .

As  $\mathscr{F}_P = \mathscr{F}_P^+$ ,  $(\operatorname{im}\varphi)_P$  is equal to the stack of the presheaf image at point P.  $\operatorname{im}(\varphi_P) = \{\overline{(U,s)} \in \mathscr{G}_P \text{ such that } \exists \overline{(V,t)} \in \mathscr{F}_P | \varphi_P(\overline{(V,t)} = \overline{(U,s)}\}$ . But as  $\varphi_P(\overline{(V,t)}) = \overline{(V,\varphi(V)(t))}$  then  $\overline{(U,s)} \in \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P) \iff \exists W$  neighbourhood of  $P, W \subseteq V \cap U$  such that  $\varphi(V)(t)|_W = s_W \iff \varphi(W)(t|_W) = s|_W \iff \overline{(U,s)} = \overline{(W,\varphi(W)(t|_W))} \iff \overline{(U,s)} \in (\operatorname{im}\varphi)_P$ .

b)  $\varphi$  injective  $\Rightarrow \ker \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow (\ker \varphi)_P = 0 \forall P$ . Using part a) of the problem,  $\ker \varphi_P = (\ker \varphi)_P = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi_P$  is injective  $\forall P$ . Reciprocally, let  $x \in \ker \varphi(U)$ .  $\forall P \in U, (\ker \varphi)_P = 0$  so the image of x in the stalk  $(\ker \varphi)_P$  is zero, which means that  $\exists W_P \subseteq U$  neighbourhood of P such that  $x|_{W_P} = 0$ . But open sets  $W_P$  cover U and therefore, by property (3) of the definition of shieves, x = 0. In conclusion,  $\ker \varphi(U) = 0 \ \forall U \Rightarrow \ker \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi$  injective.

We proceed similarly with the surjectivity.  $\operatorname{im}\varphi = \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow (\operatorname{im}\varphi)_P = \mathcal{G}_P \Rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P) = \mathcal{G}_P \Rightarrow \varphi_P$  surjective. To prove the other implication, First we will prove a fact that is stated but not proved in the text:  $\mathcal{F}^+ \cong \mathcal{F}$  if  $\mathcal{F}$  is already a sheaf. Given an open set U, let  $V_P$  be the

neighbourhood of P contained in U such that  $\exists t \in \mathcal{F}(V_P)$  such that  $t_Q = s(Q) \, \forall Q \in V_P$ . The sets  $V_P$  cover U, and given two of these sets, V, V' and the respective elements t, t' we have that  $\overline{(V', t')} = \overline{(V, t)}$  in every stalk  $\mathcal{F}_Q \Rightarrow \exists W_Q$  such that  $t'|_{W_Q} = t|_{W_Q} \, \forall Q \in V \cap V'$ . Then these  $W_Q$  cover  $V \cap V'$ , and by property (3) applied to  $t'|_{V \cap V'} - t_{V \cap V'}$ , we have that  $t_{V \cap V'} = t'_{V \cap V'}$ . Then, by property (4) applied to the sets  $V_P, \, \exists t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $t_Q = s(Q) \, \forall Q \in U$ , which means that each application s is uniquely determined by  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , and then  $\mathcal{F}^+(U) \cong \mathcal{F}(U)$ . Now it's easy to check that  $\varphi$  is surjective. We have that  $(\operatorname{im}\varphi)_P = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P) = \mathcal{G}_P$  and so we have that  $\operatorname{\mathfrak{m}}\varphi(U)$  is the set of functions s from U to  $\bigcup_{P \in U} \mathcal{G}_P$ , which means that  $\operatorname{im}\varphi$  is in fact  $\mathcal{G}^+ \cong \mathcal{G}$  as  $\mathcal{G}$  is already a sheaf.

c) Given a sequence of sheaves and morphisms  $\ldots \to \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \to \ldots$ , it is exact  $\iff \ker \varphi^i = \operatorname{im} \varphi^{i-1}$ . If the sequence is exact, taking direct limits at both sides and using section a) we have that  $\ker(\varphi_P^i) = (\ker \varphi^i)_P = (\operatorname{im} \varphi^{i-1})_P = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P^{i-1})$ , and so the sequence of stalks at each point P is exact.

The other implication is consequence of a more general result that we will prove now: Two subsheaves are equal  $\iff$  the corresponding stalks at each point are equal. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be two subsheaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $(\mathcal{F}_1)_P = (\mathcal{F}_2)_P$ . Let  $t \in \mathcal{F}_1(U)$ . For every  $P \in U \exists V_P$  neighbourhood of P and  $s \in \mathcal{F}_2(V)$  such that  $s_P = t_P$ . The sets  $V_P \cap U$  cover U, and given two of these sets, V, V' and the respective elements s, s' we have that  $\overline{(V', s')} = \overline{(V, s)} = \overline{(U, t)}$  in every stalk  $\mathcal{F}_Q \Rightarrow \exists W_Q$  such that  $s'|_{W_Q} = s|_{W_Q} \forall Q \in V \cap V'$ . Then these  $W_Q$  cover  $V \cap V'$ , and by property (3) applied to  $s'|_{V \cap V'} - s|_{V \cap V'}$ , we have that  $s|_{V \cap V'} = s'|_{V \cap V'}$ . Then, by property (4) applied to the sets  $V_P \cap U$ ,  $\exists r \in \mathcal{F}_2(U)$  such that  $t_P = r_P \forall P$ . By property (3) applied to r - t we get s = t and so  $t \in \mathcal{F}_2(U)$ . So  $\mathcal{F}_1(U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2(U)$  and by symmetry  $\mathcal{F}_1(U) = \mathcal{F}_2(U)$ .

- **Exercise 1.3.** a) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves on X. Show that  $\varphi$  is surjective if and only if the following condition holds: for every open set  $U \subseteq X$ , and for every  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , there is a covering  $\{U_i\}$  of U, and there are elements  $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ , such that  $\varphi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$ , for all i.
  - b) Give an example of a surjective morphism of sheaves  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  and an open set U such that  $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(U)$  is not injective.

**Solution.** a) From problem 1.2,  $\varphi$  is surjective  $\iff$  the induced morphism on every stalk is. Suppose  $\varphi_P$  is surjective, then given  $U \subseteq X$  open set,  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ ,  $\forall P \in U \exists V$  neighbourhood of P and  $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that  $\overline{(U,s)} = \overline{(V,\varphi(t))} \Rightarrow \exists W_P \subseteq U \cap V$  such that  $\varphi(t|_{W_P}) = s|_{W_P}$ , and so  $\{W_P\}$  is the covering that satisfies the desired property. Reciprocally, let  $\overline{(U,s)} \in \mathcal{G}_P$ . Then  $\forall P \in U \exists i$  such that  $P \in U_i \Rightarrow \overline{(U,s)} = \overline{(U_i,\varphi(U_i)(t_i))} = \varphi_P(\overline{(U_i,t_i)})$ .

b)