


DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH-ORDER $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ -CONFORMING APPROXIMATION SPACES FOR PHOTONIC WAVEGUIDE ANALYSIS

Francisco T. Orlandini¹, Hugo E. H. Figueroa¹ and Philippe R. B. Devloo²

¹School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Campinas, Campinas-SP 13083-852, Brazil

²School of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Urban Design, University of Campinas, Campinas-SP 13083-852, Brazil



LABMEC



ABSTRACT

The advances in the fabrication of photonic waveguides in the past twenty years have led the scientific community to seek for numerical methods that could assist in the process of design of such devices. The design photonic waveguides often require relative errors of 10^{-14} on the dispersion parameters. In this context, a hierarchical strategy for constructing $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ -conforming elements is introduced, for application in a Finite Element Method (FEM) scheme for modal analysis of electromagnetic waveguides. The hierarchical $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ -conforming elements are used for the transversal component of the electric field, coupled with scalar $H^1(\Omega)$ elements for its longitudinal component. The Nédélec elements of the first kind were chosen for this work, and the ease of integration with p-adaptivity schemes motivated the hierarchical construction of the FE basis. The scheme is assessed by means of the analysis of well-known waveguides. As a real-world scenario, the modal analysis of a Photonic Crystal Fiber illustrates the accuracy and the generalized eigenvalue problem size when dealing with a design process requiring high precision on the dispersion parameters.

1. FEM FORMULATION

From Jin [1]: Find non-trivial $(\beta^2, e_t, e_z) \in (\mathbb{C} \times [\mathbb{C}]^N \times [\mathbb{C}]^M)$ such that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_j^N e_{tj} [\mu_{zz}^{-1} (\nabla_t \times \varphi_j) \cdot (\nabla_t \times \varphi_i)^* - k_0^2 \epsilon_{xy} \varphi_j \cdot \varphi_i^*] + \beta^2 \sum_l^M e_{zk} \left[\sum_j^N e_{tj} \mu_{xy}^{-1} (\nabla_t \varphi_k + \varphi_j) \cdot (\nabla_t \varphi_k + \varphi_i)^* - k_0^2 \epsilon_{zz} \varphi_k \varphi_i^* \right] \right\} d\Omega = 0, \quad (1)$$

$\forall \varphi_i \in B_{U_h}, \varphi_k \in B_{V_h},$

where B_{U_h} and B_{V_h} denote the FEM basis for the finite-dimensional subspaces of $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$, respectively.

2. EFFECTS OF GEOMETRICAL REPRESENTATION

Step-index optical fiber: convergence results for polynomial order $k = 4$.




Figure 1: Comparison of convergence rates for the real part of the effective index of a step-index optical fiber. The polynomial order $k = 4$ was used in all three approximations. The geometry was described with elements obtained by linear mapping(blue curve), quadratic mapping(green curve) and exact mapping(red curve).

On a last note regarding implementation choices, there is the matter of scaling. The electromagnetic quantities present in a computation of the generalized eigenvalue problem obtained in the discretization of Equation (1) vary widely in magnitude. The k_0 factor, in the context of photonics, can easily reach orders of magnitude around 10^6 , while the cross-sectional area of waveguides such as optical fibers can be as small as $10^{-14} m^2$. In order to reduce the floating-point errors, Equation (1) was scaled by the factor k_0 , so the resulting eigenvalue of the algebraic problem is now $-n_{\text{eff}}^2$, where n_{eff} given that the effective refractive index is calculated by $n_{\text{eff}} = \beta/k_0$.




Figure 2: Plot step

3. HP-ADAPTIVITY CAPABILITIES




Figure 3: Mesh holey




Figure 4: Picture of animals

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. Jin, *The Finite Element Method in Electromagnetics*. Wiley-IEEE Press, 2014.