## STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT

Think about a moblem in machine learning as the examples we saw.

Typically, one har a random variable X distributed according to some mobability distribution (unknown). The model created depends on parameters wilk. The loss is, in fact, an expected loss:

E(L(W;X))

This cannot be computed, so it is estimated. Data is observed, i.e.,  $1 \times 1 = 0, ..., N-1$ . There are observations of the i.i.d. random variables  $\{X_i\}_{i=0,...,N-1}$  (distributed or X).

Since  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} L(w_i X_i)$ 

is an unbiosed estimator of  $\mathbb{E}[L(w;X)]$ we are the restiration of this estimator on the dots to estimate the expected 1 × 1=0 L(w; x;) Let us call Li(w)=L(w;xi). Then the function to be optimized is of the form: 1 × 1 L; (w) vouille GD for this Implementing a loss means: T 1/N = VLi(W) WK+1 = WK a lot of gradients must be computed, one for each

this is computationally expensive.

The idea behind Stochestic Gradient Descent (SGD) is to use only one of the function Li, sampling randomly uniformly in the dataset. The algorithm generates a Markov chain of updated weights W<sup>K</sup> as follows:

- · W° is initialized randomly (e.g. uniformly or Gauman)
- Assuming that we have observed  $W^{\kappa} = w^{\kappa}$ , we sample a random

  variable  $I_{\kappa}$  uniformly in  $\{0,...,N-1\}$ and we get

Ale result is a random voniable because this is a random voniable.

We can prove a convergence result.

$$\widehat{L}(w) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} L_i(w)$$

Runarle: If 
$$0 < \lambda \le D^2 L_i \le \Lambda$$
  
for  $i = 0, ..., N-1$ , then  $0 < \lambda \le D^2 \widehat{L} \le \Lambda$ .

Indued

$$D^{2}\widehat{L}(w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D^{2}L_{i}(w)$$

$$\xi^{T}D^{2}\hat{L}(w)\xi = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\xi^{T}D^{2}L_{i}(w)\xi$$
this satisfies

this satisfier the inequality for i=0,...,N-1.

Theorem: Assume that  $0<\lambda \leq D^2Li \leq \Lambda$  for i=0,...,N-1. Let (WK) KEN the sequence of rondom variables generated by the SGD. Let  $w^*$  be the unique minimum point of  $\hat{L}$ . Assume that  $0 < \tau \le \frac{1}{2\Delta}$ .  $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathsf{W}^{\mathsf{K}}-\mathsf{W}^{\mathsf{*}}\right|^{2}\right]\leq\left(1-\mathsf{T}\lambda\right)^{\mathsf{K}}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathsf{W}^{\mathsf{O}}-\mathsf{W}^{\mathsf{*}}\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{2\sigma^{\mathsf{*}}}{\lambda}\,\mathsf{T}$  $(\sigma^* = Var[\nabla L_{J_k}(w^*)])$ Proof: WK+1 = WK - T VLIR (WK)  $|W^{K+1} - w^*|^2 = |W^{K+1} - W^K + W^K - w^*|^2 =$  $= |W^{k+1} - W^{k}| + 2(W^{k+1} - W^{k}) \cdot (W^{k} - w^{*})$ + |WK-W\* |2 = = |WK-W\*|2-2T 7LIK(WK).(WK-W\*) + + + 1 Drix (MK) 15.

Take expectations:

$$E[|W^{K+1} - w^*|^2] = E[|W^K - w^*|^2] - 2\tau E[\nabla L_{I_K}(W^K) \cdot (W^K - w^*)] + \tau^2 E[|\nabla L_{I_K}(W^K)|^2].$$

Let us analyze the second term.

Assuming that W=wx, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla L_{\mathbf{I}_{K}}(\mathbf{W}^{K})\cdot (\mathbf{W}^{K}-\mathbf{w}^{*})\right|\right| \mathbf{W}^{K}=\mathbf{w}^{K}\right]=$$

$$=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\nabla L_{i}(w^{k})\cdot(w^{k}-w^{*})=$$

$$= \nabla \hat{L}(w^k) \cdot (w^k - w^*)$$

Hunce

As we did in the case of vamilla 60,

$$\widehat{L}(w^*) \ge \widehat{L}(w^k) + \nabla \widehat{L}(w^k) \cdot (w^* - w^k) + \frac{1}{2} |w^k - w^*|^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow -2\tau \quad \nabla \widehat{L}(w^k) \cdot (w^k - w^*) \le$$

$$\le 2\tau \quad (\widehat{L}(w^*) - \widehat{L}(w^k)) + \frac{1}{2} |w^k - w^*|^2$$
We have obtained:
$$\mathbb{E}[|W^{k+1} - w^*|^2] \le (1 - \lambda \tau) \mathbb{E}[|W^k - w^*|^2] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|\nabla L_{I_k}(W^k)|^2]$$
We want to absorb this positive term in the previous

Let us study it in detail.

1a-6/5/1a/+/6/ 1a-612 5 1 a 12 + 1 6 12 + 2 1 a 1 1 6 1 E[IVLIK(WK)|2] < 2 |a| |b| \le |a|2+|b|2.

 $\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \nabla L_{I_{\kappa}}(W^{\kappa}) - \nabla L_{I_{\kappa}}(w^{*}) \right|^{2} \right] +$ 

+2 [[ | VLIx (w\*)|2]

This term is a number

 $\sigma^* = \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla L_{J_k}(w^*)\right|^2\right] =$ 

= [[ [ | VLIk (W\*) - V[(W\*)|2]

= E[IVLIK(W\*)-E[VLIK(W\*)]K]

= Var[VLIx(W\*)] it's the gradient noise around the minimum.

As for the other term, we prove an inequality.

$$L_{i}(z) \leq L(w^{K}) + \nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) \cdot (z - w^{K}) + \frac{1}{2} |z - w^{K}|^{2}$$

$$L_{i}(z) \geq L_{i}(w^{*}) + \nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) \cdot (z - w^{*}) + \frac{1}{2} |z - w^{*}|^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow L_{i}(w^{*}) - L_{i}(w^{K}) \leq \nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) \cdot (z - w^{K})$$

 $L_i(w^*) - L_i(w^k) \leq \nabla L_i(w^k) \cdot (z - w^k) +$ 

+ 7Li(w\*).(w\*-z) + 1/2 |z-wK|2

Minimizing with respect to Z:

 $z = w^{k} - \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left( \nabla L_{i}(w^{k}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) \right)$ we obtain the tight bound  $Li(w^*) - Li(w^K) \leq$ 

7L, (wk) - 7L, (w\*) + 1 (z-wk)=0 < DL; (WK). (- 1 DL; (WK) + 1 DL; (W\*))+ + Dri(m\*). (m\*-mk+1 Dri(mk)-1 Dri(m\*)) + 1/2 / \range / \lange / \lange / \range / \ran

$$= -\frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) \cdot (w^{*} - w^{K})|^{2}$$
It follows that
$$\frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{*}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K}) - \nabla L_{i}(w^{K})|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} |\nabla L_{i}(w^{K}$$

 $\leq \mathbb{E}[\hat{L}(W_{k})-\min \hat{L}]$ 

We insert this in the inequality we derived, estimating T2 [[VL] (WK) |2] <  $\leq 2\tau^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ |\nabla L_{I_k}(W^k) - \nabla L_{I_k}(w^*)|^2 \right] +$ +27 [[ | VLIx (w\*)|2] <  $\leq 4\tau^2 \Lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{\Gamma}(W_K) - \min \hat{\Gamma} \right] + 2\tau^2 \sigma^*$ and thus

and thus
$$E[|W^{K+1}-w^*|^2] \leq (1-\lambda \tau) E[|W^K-w^*|^2] + 12\tau E[\min \hat{L} - \hat{L}(W^K)] + 12\tau E[|V^K-\hat{L}_{\infty}(W^K)|^2] \leq 12\tau^2\sigma^* + 12\tau^2$$

We have shown that E[|WK+1-w\*|2] = < (1-t x) [[|WK-WK|2] + 2+20 × <  $\leq (1-\zeta\lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[|W^{k-1}-W^*|^2] + (1-\zeta\lambda)2\zeta^2\sigma^*$ +22° 0 \* 5 ... 5 + (1-て) 2で~=  $\leq (1-\epsilon\lambda)^{\kappa+1} \mathbb{E} \left[ |W^0 - w^*|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{1-(1-\epsilon\lambda)} 2t^2 \sigma^*$ < glometric revies  $= (1 - \zeta \gamma)^{\kappa+1} \mathbb{E} \left[ |W_0 - w_{\star}|^3 \right] + \frac{2\zeta \sigma_{\star}}{2}$ Remorte: When implemented, for each epoch SGD shuffles the dotset and runs through the whole dataset. This is called Rondon Reshuffling.

## MINI-BATCH GRADIENT DESCENT

This is the varient of SGD typically used in machine learning.

It is a compromise between the validles GD, which computer the gradient on the whole dataset, and SGD, which user a tingle sample of the dataset.

We fix a batch size b∈ N, b≥1. Given B ⊂ {0,1,...,N-1} such that #B=b, ve set:

$$L_B(w) := \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i \in B} L_i(w)$$

The Mini-botch SGD algorithm is:

- · Initialize W° randomly (uniform or Gaumin distribution)
- Given that  $W = w^{\kappa}$ , sample  $B \subset \{0,1,...,N-1\}$ , #B = b uniformly among all possible subsets of size B and set  $W^{\kappa+1} = w^{\kappa} \tau \nabla L_B(w^{\kappa})$ .

To prove anvergence of mini-botch SGD, the steps are the same: we write the main différences. Step 1: Show that  $E[|W^{K+1}-w^*|^2] = E[|W^K-w^*|^2] -$ -2~ E[VLB(WK)·(WK-W\*)]+ +t2 E[IVLB(WK)|2]. For the second term: E[VLB(WK)|WK=WK]=E[VLB(WK)]= = \( \sum\_{\text{BC}} \frac{1}{\pm B} \sum\_{\text{ieB}} \frac{7L\_{\text{i}}(w^{\text{K}}) \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)} = \end{array} #B=6  $= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{BC_{i}^{10,-1N-1}} \frac{1}{BC_{i}^{1$ 

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} {N-1 \choose b-1} \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{{N \choose b}} \nabla L_{i}(w^{k}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(N-1)!}{(b-1)!(N-b)!} \cdot \frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{b!(N-b)!}{N!} \cdot \nabla L_i(W^k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \nabla L_i(W^k) = \nabla \hat{L}(W^k).$$
Step 3: For the third term

Define
$$\sigma_b^* := \text{Vor} \left[ \nabla L_B(W^*) \right]$$
and estimate
$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |\nabla L_B(W^k)|^2 \right].$$

The final result is:

 $0 < \lambda \leq D^2 L_B \leq \Lambda$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|W^{k}-w^{*}|^{2}\right] \leq (1-\tau\lambda)^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[|W^{0}-w^{*}|^{2}\right] + \frac{2\sigma_{b}^{*}}{\lambda} \tau$$

$$+ \frac{2\sigma_{b}^{*}}{\lambda} \tau$$

