

# Hall Evolution

Pablo Marchant Campos

October 25, 2011

I will consider a magnetic field that evolves according to the eq.

$$\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} = -\nabla \times \left( \frac{c}{4\pi ne} [\nabla \times \mathbf{B}] \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  is the electron density and  $\eta \equiv c^2/(4\pi\sigma)$  (where  $\sigma$  is the electrical conductivity) is the magnetic diffusivity. For simplicity i will consider both of these quantities to be radial functions, and time independent.

Restricting this to an axisymmetric field,  $\mathbf{B}$  can be written in terms of two scalar functions as

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla\alpha(r, \theta) \times \nabla\phi + \beta\nabla\phi, \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi$  is the azimuth in spherical coordinates. Thus,  $\alpha(r, \theta)$  describes the poloidal field and  $\beta(r, \theta)$  the toroidal field. The curl of  $\mathbf{B}$  can be expressed in terms of these functions,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\Delta\alpha\nabla\phi + \nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi, \quad \Delta \equiv \varpi^2 \nabla \cdot (\varpi^{-2} \nabla) = \partial_r^2 + \frac{\sin\theta}{r^2} \partial_\theta \left( \frac{\partial_\theta}{\sin\theta} \right) \quad (3)$$

Using this, and defining  $\chi \equiv c/(4\pi en\varpi^2)$ , where  $\varpi \equiv r \sin\theta$  is the radial coordinate, eq. (1) gives

$$\nabla \left( \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t} \right) \times \nabla\phi + \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t} \nabla\phi = \nabla \times (\varpi^2 \chi [\Delta\alpha\nabla\phi - \nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi] \times [\nabla\alpha \times \nabla\phi + \beta\nabla\phi] - \eta [-\Delta\alpha\nabla\phi + \nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi]). \quad (4)$$

After expanding the cross product inside the curl, each term in this equation is either poloidal or toroidal, and taking this into account, i write two equations which together are equivalent to the previous one,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t} \right) \times \nabla\phi &= \nabla \times (\varpi^2 \chi [\nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi] \times [\nabla\alpha \times \nabla\phi] + \eta \Delta\alpha \nabla\phi), \\ \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t} \nabla\phi &= \nabla \times (\varpi^2 \chi \Delta\alpha \nabla\phi \times [\nabla\alpha \times \nabla\phi] + \varpi^2 \chi \beta \nabla\phi \times [\nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi] - \eta \nabla\beta \times \nabla\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

With a couple of changes, these equations can be transformed into equations for the scalar functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t} &= \varpi^2 \chi [\nabla\alpha \times \nabla\beta] \cdot \nabla\phi + \eta \Delta\alpha \\ \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t} &= \varpi^2 (\nabla[\chi \Delta\alpha] \times \nabla\alpha + \beta \nabla\chi \times \nabla\beta) \cdot \nabla\phi + \varpi^2 \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\eta \nabla\beta}{\varpi^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

I will consider an adimensional form of this equation, in which each quantity is expressed in terms of characteristic values  $B_0$  for the magnetic field,  $\chi_0$  for  $\chi$  and the radius of the star  $R$  for the radial coordinate  $r$ . These characteristic values define two timescales

$$t_h \equiv (\chi_0 B_0)^{-1}, \quad t_d \equiv \frac{R^2}{\eta} \quad (7)$$

and if I consider my unit of time to be  $t_h$ , the equations for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (considering all the quantities in their adimensional versions) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} &= \varpi^2 \chi [\nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta] \cdot \nabla \phi + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \eta \Delta \alpha \\ \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t} &= \varpi^2 (\nabla [\chi \Delta \alpha] \times \nabla \alpha + \beta \nabla \chi \times \nabla \beta) \cdot \nabla \phi + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \varpi^2 \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\eta \nabla \beta}{\varpi^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

## 1 Toroidal Field

To begin, I will consider a toroidal field. It is clear from eq. (8) that a purely toroidal field will remain toroidal. Although in this section I take  $\alpha = 0$ , I will show first that the eq. for  $\beta$  in (8) can be written as a conservative eq.,

$$\frac{1}{\varpi^2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\chi \Delta \alpha \nabla \alpha + \chi \beta \nabla \beta) \cdot \phi + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\eta \nabla \beta}{\varpi^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{\varpi^2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \times [\chi \Delta \alpha \nabla \alpha + \chi \beta \nabla \beta]) + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\eta \nabla \beta}{\varpi^2} \right), \quad (10)$$

so I expect the quantity

$$I_1 = \int_V \frac{\beta}{\varpi^2} dV \quad (11)$$

to remain constant unless the "fluxes" in eq. (10) are not zero in the boundaries. Since  $\beta/\varpi = B_{tor}$ , where  $B_{tor}$  is the toroidal component of the magnetic field,  $I_1$  can also be written as

$$I_1 = 2\pi \int_0^\pi \int_0^R B_{tor} r dr d\theta, \quad (12)$$

which is simply the magnetic flux that crosses a half cut of the star that crosses the symmetry axis. So, when considering the evolution of the system under axial symmetry, the toroidal flux is a conserved quantity.

Now, let us consider the case  $\alpha = 0$ . Expressing eq. (10) in terms of spherical coordinates gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\beta}{\varpi^2} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \chi \beta \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta r^2}{\varpi^2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{\varpi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( -\frac{\chi \beta}{r} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\sin \theta \eta}{r \varpi^2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad (13)$$

which after multiplication by  $r^2 \sin \theta$  gives an equation for which a conservative code can be readily constructed,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \chi \beta \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( -\chi \beta \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} \right). \quad (14)$$

In order to perform the simulation, I will consider a discretization on a grid with spacing  $\Delta r$  in the radial coordinate and  $\Delta\theta$  in the angular coordinate, and a timestep  $\Delta t$ . In this way, I will have  $\beta(r, \theta, t) \rightarrow \beta_{i,j}^n$ , where the indexes  $i, j, n$  represent steps in radius, angle and time. The conservative scheme will then be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_{i,j}^{n+1} - \beta_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t \sin(j\Delta)\theta} = \frac{1}{\Delta r} & \left[ \left( \chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} \right)_{i+1/2,j} - \left( \chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} \right)_{i-1/2,j} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta\theta} \left[ \left( -\chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{r^2 \sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} \right)_{i,j+1/2} - \left( -\chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{r^2 \sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} \right)_{i,j-1/2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_{i,j}^{n+1} - \beta_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t \sin(j\Delta)\theta} = \frac{1}{\Delta r} & \left[ \frac{1}{8\Delta\theta} (\beta_{i+1,j} + \beta_{i,j})(\beta_{i,j+1} + \beta_{i+1,j+1} - \beta_{i,j-1} - \beta_{i+1,j-1}) \chi([i+1/2]\Delta r, j\Delta\theta) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{8\Delta\theta} (\beta_{i,j} + \beta_{i-1,j})(\beta_{i,j+1} + \beta_{i-1,j+1} - \beta_{i,j-1} - \beta_{i-1,j-1}) \chi([i-1/2]\Delta r, j\Delta\theta) \\ & + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta r \sin(j\Delta\theta)} \eta([i+1/2]\Delta r, j\Delta\theta) (\beta_{i+1,j} - \beta_{i,j}) \\ & \left. - \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta r \sin(j\Delta\theta)} \eta([i-1/2]\Delta r, j\Delta\theta) (\beta_{i,j} - \beta_{i-1,j}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta\theta} \left[ -\frac{1}{8\Delta r} (\beta_{i,j+1} + \beta_{i,j})(\beta_{i+1,j+1} + \beta_{i+1,j} - \beta_{i-1,j} - \beta_{i-1,j+1}) \chi(i\Delta r, [j+1/2]\Delta\theta) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{8\Delta r} (\beta_{i,j} + \beta_{i,j-1})(\beta_{i+1,j-1} + \beta_{i+1,j} - \beta_{i-1,j} - \beta_{i-1,j-1}) \chi(i\Delta r, [j-1/2]\Delta\theta) \\ & + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta\theta (i\Delta r)^2} \frac{\eta(i\Delta r, [j+1/2]\Delta\theta) (\beta_{i,j+1} - \beta_{i,j})}{\sin([j+1/2]\Delta\theta)} \\ & \left. - \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta\theta (i\Delta r)^2} \frac{\eta(i\Delta r, [j-1/2]\Delta\theta) (\beta_{i,j} - \beta_{i,j-1})}{\sin([j-1/2]\Delta\theta)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This scheme is implemented in the code `as_toroidal.cpp`, using as boundary conditions  $\beta = 0$  in the axis, the inner radius, and the surface.

## 2 Toroidal plus poloidal field

A purely poloidal field, unless it is in precise equilibrium, will produce a toroidal component. Thus, it is not possible to treat the evolution of a purely poloidal field without including the toroidal component.

The modified form of eq. (14), including the effect of the poloidal field, is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\beta}{\sin\theta} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \chi\Delta\alpha \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\theta} + \chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left( -\chi\Delta\alpha \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial r} - \chi\beta \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{t_h}{t_d} \right) \frac{\eta}{r^2 \sin\theta} \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} \right), \quad (17)$$

where the evaluation of the term  $\Delta\alpha$  at midpoints of the grid is not a trivial affair.

Regarding the equation for  $\alpha$ , it can be written in a form appropriate to be numerically solved by a

backwards "characteristics" method<sup>1</sup>,

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \left( -\sin \theta \chi \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r} + \left( \sin \theta \chi \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} \right) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta} = \eta \Delta \alpha \quad (18)$$

where  $\alpha$  will be advected in the  $r - \theta$  grid with a velocity

$$\mathbf{v} = \left( -\sin \theta \chi \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \theta}, \sin \theta \chi \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r} \right). \quad (19)$$

The use of this method will then impose a necessary stability condition, given by (with an abuse of the  $<$  operator)

$$\mathbf{v} \Delta t < (\Delta r, \Delta \theta). \quad (20)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>I believe this is not the appropriate translation...Is it finite moving element?