

1

2

Universal Kindness

3

A decentralized monetary policy and
unconditional universal income
guarantee, implemented using
networks of social trust and
cryptocurrency – an attempt at
redistribution of wealth, and the total,
direct and immediate abolition of
poverty.

4

Will Breaden Madden

5

DRAFT

6

2019-07-05T1637

7

8

License/copywrite: GPLv3 with attribution

Acknowledgements

10 The name *Universal Kindness* was suggested by Karl Nordström, an occasional turn of
11 phrase was suggested by Gavin Kirby, I was introduced to Murray Bookchin by Liam Moore,
12 and Stephen Ogilvy has advised on the merits of linen.

Contents

14	Acknowledgements	i
15	Contents	ii
16	1 Introduction, motivations, caveats	2
17	2 What: some terminology	8
18	2.1 Terminology	8
19	3 Why and how	9
20	3.1 Imperial capitalism and the class system	9
21	3.2 Artificial scarcity, technologies of decentralization and income	11
22	3.3 Decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee system	23
23	3.3.1 Blockchain	25
24	3.3.2 Decentralized/distributed social trust graph as Sybil defense	26
25	3.3.3 Demurrage, inflation and deflation	28
26	3.3.4 Existence in tandem with other currencies	29
27	3.3.5 Exchange rates	29
28	3.3.6 National credit	30
29	3.3.7 Revolution	30
30	3.4 Monetary policies with guaranteed income	33
31	4 Other uses	36
32	4.1 Reparations, debt forgiveness and wealth redistribution	36

33	4.2	Direct democracy	37
34	4.3	Surveillance and privacy, and proletariat control over the admissability of	
35		evidence into court	38
36	4.4	Credit	38
37	4.5	Similar, good ideas that may not be implementable using the proposed system	38
38	4.6	Fair use of resources intended for people as opposed to bots	39
39	5	Dangers	40
40	5.1	Borders	40
41	5.2	Tyranny of the majority	40
42	5.3	Transparency	41
43	5.4	Unintended consequences	41
44		References	42

Chapter 1

Introduction, motivations, caveats

If a lot of people love each other,
the world would be a better place to live.

Johnny



Figure 1.1

49 Pierre-Joseph Proudhon, the first anarchist and yet deeply problematic person, rejected all
50 political action as a form of class collaboration and argued instead that the working class
51 can achieve its salvation through economic action alone.

52 This document presents some ideas that are in pursuit of a society that values fairness
53 and caring, intrinsically involving care of non-human nature, perhaps via some methods of
54 communalist anarchy, and that has at its core the ideas that monetary policy should not be
55 under the sole control of the wealthy, and that no one should have to work just to survive
56 and have their fundamental rights protected.

57 Described in this document is a tool of social coordination to direct progress towards such
58 a society: a monetary policy controlled by dictatorship of a proletariat. Decentralized
59 networks of social trust and decentralized networks of cryptocurrency could possibly be
60 used to create this decentralized form of coordination, a prioritised function of which would
61 be a *decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee*. In short, we're talking about:



Figure 1.2

To each according to their needs.

Here, the slogan popularized by Marx has its symmetry broken because it could be interpreted as implying that there is scarcity of the resources required to ensure the needs of the people of the world, when this is likely not the case, as suggested by global food production capabilities, shown in Figure 1.3. This is with existing food production methods, which involve the atrocity called the animal industry. Leaving aside the horror of this industry, its inefficiency is remarkable: 16 kg of plants are needed to produce 1 kg of meat. A move to veganism would make the unreality of food scarcity even more clear.




Figure 1.3: World food production from 1961 to 2009 [1]

Often socialists view an ideal economy as one in which remuneration is at least somewhat proportional to the degree of effort and personal sacrifice expended by individuals in the productive process. I argue that remuneration, or otherwise provision of fundamental needs, is a right (which is a need a person gets to demand) that should not be coupled with ability or desire to commit effort or sacrifice. I have in mind here the benefit particularly for the most vulnerable in society.

If there are scarcities of resources needed for protection of fundamental needs (and that is a big “if”), then we do at least have guidelines on what some of the most important needs are, such as the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* [2] (UDHR), and on how needs are prioritized, an example of which is the *Corte Suprema di Cassazione* ruling on Roman

80 Ostriakov [3], who stole some food in an action that was ruled a necessity for life; “il diritto
81 alla sopravvivenza prevale su quello di proprietà” [the right to survival prevails over that of
82 property] [4], which was based on the Italian legal doctrine ‘Ad impossibilia nemo tenetur’
83 [‘No one is held to do the impossible’].

84 Poverty exists even in the wealthiest nations. For instance, Philip Alston, the United Na-
85 tions Special Rapporteur offered a statement in 2018 on extreme poverty and human rights
86 violations in the contemporary UK [5].

British compassion for those who are suffering has been replaced by
a punitive, mean-spirited, and often callous approach apparently
designed to instill discipline where it is least useful, to impose a rigid
order on the lives of those least capable of coping with today’s
87 world, and elevating the goal of enforcing blind compliance over a
genuine concern to improve the well-being of those at the lowest
levels of British society.

Philip Alston, 2018 [5]

88 If you are anarcho-curious (phrase stolen from Nathan Schneider), an introduction to the
89 topic of socialist versions of anarchism is provided by the Philosophy Tube video *Intro to*
90 *Anarchy: Power & Violence* [6]. A more full introduction to anarchism is offered by Noam
91 Chomsky in *On Anarchism* [7]. One aspect of anarchic thinking is its power to show as
92 unjustified the various forms of power, domination and hierarchy that exist in society, as
93 Chomsky puts it, “exposing power to the sunlight and seeing it evaporate” [8]. An early
94 comment on balanced anarchy yielded by computer networks was given by James Burke in
95 the 1985 documentary series *The Day the Universe Changed* [9].

In describing this utopia as “anarchism,” I might have also used an equivalent expression – “anarcho-communism.” Both terms denote a stateless, classless, decentralized society in which the splits created by propertied society are transcended by new, unalienated human relationships. An anarchist or anarcho-communist society presupposes the abolition of private property, the distribution of goods according to individual needs, the complete dissolution of commodity relationships, the rotation of work, and a decisive reduction in the time devoted to labor. As this description stands, however, we have little more than the anatomy of a free society. The description lacks an account of the physiology of freedom – of freedom as the process of communizing. The description, in effect, lacks those subjective dimensions that link the remaking of society to the remaking of the psyche.

Murray Bookchin, *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*

97 Strict self-sufficiency approaches like Mao’s backyard pig iron and Angka’s isolationism
 98 have proven to have a high likelihood of failure, and don’t conceptually work well in a
 99 world of diverse location-based resources. Scotland has wind; Sudan has sunlight. So a
 100 cautious implementation, perhaps a gradual one with voluntary groups of people choosing to
 101 coordinate, while also dealing strongly and carefully with the predatory forces of capitalism,
 102 corporatism and the like.

103 There is a tendency for human-created ideas to vastly prioritise human needs over the needs
 104 of other people and creatures and systems of life. I want to emphasise that the use in this
 105 document of words such as ‘person’ are to be extended beyond humans to others in nature,
 106 including those created by human designs. I want to emphasise also that a fairer society
 107 can be conceptualized only if there is a strong response to the climate emergency [10], and
 108 that the climate emergency has been caused to varying degrees by projects concerned with
 109 domination, profit, growth, structural violence, and general unconsidered unfairness in how
 110 humans treat other humans and life generally.

111 The book *Utopia for Realists* by Rutger Bregman makes the statistical, economic and his-
 112 torical case for open borders and implementation of a universal income guarantee. At a panel
 113 session in Davos 2019 (which was popularized by Bregman emphasising the need for vastly

114 greater taxation on extreme wealth), Bregman links the socio-economic benefits of war-time,
115 such as reducing wealth inequality, with the framing of responses to the climate emergency
116 as “the moral equivalent of a war”. This is an inventive approach that should be investi-
117 gated, because it offers the possibility of addressing the climate emergency in tandem with
118 the possibility of improving social wellbeing [11]. The *Global Green New Deal*, as supported
119 by Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez and others, might be used for this purpose [12].

120 Caveat: if the mere existence of a form of commodification, such as the system proposed
121 herein, impedes progress towards a better world, perhaps by supporting surveillance capi-
122 talism in some way, then this tool and others like it should be dismissed;

The examined life is actually not worth living.

123

Natalie Wynn [13]

124 There is a tendency for the powerful to attempt to claim nice-sounding phrases, such as
125 “Universal Credit”. The UK Universal Credit system is a welfare payments system that
126 features sanctions and resulting deaths. It is possible that the use of this terminology by
127 the UK Tory government combined with the disastrous effects of its implementation will
128 scare people that have been subjected to it into fearing any change to the existing welfare
129 system and may even induce fear of terms like “universal” because they can represent
130 oppressive and painful changes. Even terms like “benefits” are manipulative and imply that
131 welfare is not a right, but a privilege. So, I make no apologies for the use of the laborious,
132 redundancy-high, term

133 *decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee*

134 because it is more difficult to change the meaning of a larger number of words than a smaller
135 number. I explicitly exclude terms like “citizen” because an income is demandable by anyone
136 who needs it.

137

Chapter 2

138

What: some terminology

139

2.1 Terminology

140

Some slightly non-standard terminology is used in this document, so some of it is qualified

141

in Figure 2.1.

Term	Description
Guaranteed income	A guarantee of money given to a person universally and unconditionally and reliably of an amount defined by some monetary policy.
Guaranteed basic income	A guaranteed income of an amount sufficient to cover basic needs/rights.
Guaranteed median income	A guaranteed income of an amount corresponding to the median income of the relevant population.

Figure 2.1: Terminology

142 Chapter 3

143 Why and how

144 3.1 Imperial capitalism and the class system

145 The current monetary system is both impractical and unfair. Taken in political context, it
146 concentrates a disproportionate power in a tiny minority of people. This promotes inequality
147 and injustice and a wealth of other problems, and is readily abused for the purposes of
148 manipulation and control. The current system rewards and encourages greed and selfishness.

149 There are many, many ways by which the capitalism system enables wealthy individuals
150 and groups to gain political and legal and otherwise dominative and coercive power, some
151 of which are lobbying, corruption, disinformation, manipulation and threats, and many of
152 which involve the undermining of both thinking and wellbeing via stress and poverty. In
153 societies that permit capitalism (or in those that do not sandbox it), there ends up being
154 a working class – that is the people who work for someone else – and a capitalist class –
155 who are the people who have people work for them – and a middle class, which is usually
156 comprised of working class people who live comfortably with noticeable social privileges
157 relative to working class people, and those who manage the working class for the capitalist
158 class (and someone self-employed may be a member of the petite bourgeoisie).

The United States is deeply in debt – that was part of the whole Reagan/Bush program, in fact: to put the country so deeply in debt that there would be virtually no way for the government to pursue programs of social spending anymore. And what “being in debt” really means is that the Treasury Department has sold a ton of securities – bonds and notes and so on – to investors, who then trade them back and forth on the bond market. Well, according to the Wall Street Journal, by now about \$150 billion a day worth of U.S. Treasury securities alone is traded this way. The article then explained what this means: it means that if the investing community which holds those securities doesn’t like any U.S. government policies, it can very quickly sell off just a tiny signal amount of Treasury bonds, and that will have the automatic effect of raising the interest rate, which then will have the further automatic effect of increasing the deficit. Okay, this article calculated that if such a “signal” sufficed to raise the interest rate by 1 percent, it would add \$20 billion to the deficit overnight – meaning if Clinton (say in someone’s dream) proposed a \$20 billion social spending program, the international investing community could effectively turn it into a \$40 billion program instantly, just by a signal, and any further moves in that direction would be totally cut off.

Noam Chomsky, *Understanding Power*

160 This scenario suggested by Chomsky, considered with sufficient economic skepticism, is just
 161 one way by which a coordinated campaign by the wealthy can be formed against a social
 162 program in order to make it prohibitively expensive.

163 In almost all countries, income and wealth inequalities have increased vastly, partially de-
 164 fended and concealed from traditional legal systems and economics by wealth stashed in
 165 tax havens. We have changed from a post-war time of liberalized financial markets to one
 166 of rentier capitalism, wherein more and more wealth and income is siphoned by owners of
 167 financial, tangible and intellectual property, leaving even wage labour incomes to stagnate
 168 and reduce. Governments, often under the financial control of the wealthy, have increased
 169 subsidies and tax cuts for the wealthy while reducing the welfare states and making such
 170 things as welfare payments difficult to obtain, often to a deadly extent. Even more alarm-

171 ingly, we see capitalist growth destroying the environment.

172 3.2 Artificial scarcity, technologies of decentralization 173 and income

I am now convinced that the simplest approach will prove to be the
most effective – the solution to poverty is to abolish it directly by a
174 now widely discussed measure: the guaranteed income

Martin Luther King, Jr.,

Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community (1967) [14]

175 The *Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008* became law on 3 October 2008 for the
176 purpose of bailout of banks in the 2007–2008 sub-prime mortgage fraud.

177 While development of ideas like it took place over many years, the original *Bitcoin* paper [15]
178 was then released on 31 October 2008, in possible response to this bailout, with the coinbase
179 field of the genesis block stating “The Times 03/Jan/2009 Chancellor on brink of second
180 bailout for banks.” [16]. While the bailout act of 2008 was followed up by the *Dodd–Frank*
181 *Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act* in 2010 to reduce fraudulent and risky
182 behaviour, Bitcoin has continued to grow and has resulted in the development of a vast
183 collection of other cryptocurrencies.

184 Just as Napster and BitTorrent led to a global decentralized mechanism of opposition to
185 artificial scarcity of easily-duplicable data, and a decentralized mechanism of protection of
186 certain rights, such as the UDHR Article 27(1) right to cultural participation and artistic
187 enjoyment, so too has Bitcoin become a global decentralized mechanism that bypasses au-
188 thoritarian mechanisms of enforcement of monetary policy, and a mechanism of protection
189 of certain rights, such as the UDHR Article 12 right to privacy (applicable in the case of
190 being able to access drugs currently called illegal by authoritarian regimes), the UDHR
191 Article 22 right to economic participation (of particular benefit to people in poverty who
192 cannot access banking systems and who are excluded because of risks of fraud) and the
193 UDHR Article 19 right to freedom of expression (I will accept an IOU from anyone I per-
194 sonally deem fit, thanks very much). It also assists in decreasing economic borders, such

195 as country-level sanctions and the forced sale of work for unfair rates. We see explorations
 196 of blockchain social networking with Memo [17]. We see the Bitcoin blockchain used as a
 197 notary with Satoshi Proof [18], whereby data can be reliably timestamped. We saw M-Pesa
 198 change from a measure of phone air-time to being a proxy used for money transfer. With
 199 government viewed as being substantially a form of technology, many other decentralized
 200 systems hint at other ways of forming and operating a decentralized government. One is the
 201 gradual migration of people away from brand loyalty to ratings loyalty. One is the usage
 202 of VPN servers in various countries. As seen in the VPN server loads per country shown in
 203 Figure 3.1 and Table 3.2, perhaps certain inferences could be made about the popularities
 204 of various countries in terms of their privacy, legal or government climates.




Figure 3.1: Load on VPNs of various countries in April 2019

Country	Load (%)
Austria	53
Netherlands	44
Germany	41
Canada	38
Switzerland	37
Belgium	35
Czech Republic	33
Norway	29
Romania	28
Ukraine	28
Sweden	24
United Kingdom	23
United States	23
Spain	18
Bulgaria	16
Singapore	16
Lithuania	12
Japan	9
Hong Kong	8
Latvia	4

Figure 3.2: Ordered load on VPNs of various countries in April 2019

205 Another measurement of possible interest is the degree of punishment vetted out by states
206 for various transgressions. The sheer weight of penal danger, and advertisement of such
207 punishments, to those like Chelsea Manning and Julian Assange who exposed information
208 on illegitimate power is perhaps someday guiding.

209 Article 25 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* states:

210 1. Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being
211 of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and
212 necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment,
213 sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances
214 beyond his control.

215 2. Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children,
216 whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection.

217 One way to ensure these UDHR Article 25 rights is through a decentralized mechanism
218 of protection offered by a *decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee*. The de-
219 centralization aspect is critical because it ensures the global and universal nature of the
220 protection, distancing it from implementation by an authority (which could change or re-
221 scind it, as has happened for other welfare measures in semi-welfare states like the UK) and
222 reducing all borders (helping to progress to a point where no one is forced to sell their work
223 at an unfair price).

Those at the lowest economic level, the poor white and Negro, the
aged and chronically ill, are traditionally unorganized and therefore
have little ability to force the necessary growth in their income.
224 They stagnate or become even poorer in relation to the larger
society.

Martin Luther King, Jr.,

Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community (1967) [14]

225 A decentralized system such as that described here *is* a means of organizing the poor.

226 It is here suggested that an unconditional universal income guarantee is a possible way to
227 undermine the inherent features of the capitalist system of oppression, domination, coercion
228 and artificial scarcity, and it is also suggested that even if an effort to undermine those

229 systems were not successful, so making the artificial scarcity an intractable problem, that
230 the unconditional universal income guarantee could be a plug-in economic mechanism that
231 acts as a constant mitigation to the capitalist system (and other oppressive systems). As
232 MLK put it, “*We have come to the point where we must make the nonproducer a consumer*
233 *or we will find ourselves drowning in a sea of consumer goods.*” [14]. The Marx/Engels
234 concept of *withering away of the state* is used to suggest that realization of the ideals of
235 socialism using the social institutions of a state makes the realized socialism self-sustaining
236 without the need for the state. In a complimentary way, though in the same spirit, the
237 unconditional universal income guarantee could be a method of withering those mechanisms
238 of oppression, both private and state, that impede both greater protection of known rights
239 and the exploration that is in pursuit of unknown rights. Use of a decentralized income
240 could be a *Propagande par le fait* [*propaganda by the deed*], a political action which is an
241 example to others and serves as a catalyst for revolution. The idea is both to inspire a spirit
242 of revolt by demonstrating that the authorities are not omnipotent, and to offer hope to the
243 downtrodden. It is an approach that was approved in 1881 at the *International Anarchist*
244 *Congress of London* [19].

245 It has been suggested that a guaranteed income is possibly best seen as a reform to the
246 existing monetary distribution systems and as something that exists in a free market econ-
247 omy. It could modestly reduce economic inequality in a number of ways, the least of which
248 is likely to be because a flat-rate regular payment represents a larger share of the income
249 of a poor person than it would for a wealthy person. It could reduce economic insecurity
250 and chronic economic uncertainty by strengthening social insurance against flexible labor
251 markets and technological disruption, particularly the continued rise of intelligent automa-
252 tion [20]. It, particularly as a decentralized, borderless system, could mitigate the global
253 pandemic of stress and depression. Indeed the evidence suggests that even the guarantee as-
254 pect, rather than the exact size, of the income reduces the intensity and prevalence of stress,
255 with that in itself giving people more control. Both the Ontario 2017 and Finland 2017 ba-
256 sic income studies (both of which were ended prematurely) suggested a sharp decline in
257 domestic violence and depression. A guaranteed income would also mitigate the disgusting
258 “targeting” approach for welfare payments inflicted on poor people by governments through
259 means-testing and behavior testing, often implemented by for-profit private companies with

260 a deadly conflict of interest. So in some senses an unconditional universal income guarantee
261 is protection of the right to economic security. It also slightly disincentivises high-profit,
262 low-effort activities.

263 Another important consideration is that insecurity, inequality, stress and precarity may be
264 associated with neo-fascism.

265 Global warming, climate change, pollution, and falling life expectancy rates all can be
266 collected under one term: extinction. As was seen with les gilets jaunes in France, unfair
267 distributions of taxation are unpopular because the poor are made to pay proportionately
268 more of their income than the wealthy. Steps in the right direction are seen in Canada and
269 Switzerland, where high fuel and other eco-taxes are imposed with proceeds returned to the
270 people in the form of “carbon” or common dividends. A cross-party group in the USA has
271 advocated a similar approach with the support of 27 Nobel Prize economists. Basic income
272 studies have shown that those that receive it tend to do more useful work that is ecologically
273 and socially desirable, as opposed to resource-depleting labor (as Bregman calls it “bullshit
274 jobs”).

275 The 2019 paper *Basic Income for All: From Desirability to Feasibility* [21] suggests that, in
276 the UK, a basic income would:

- 277 • pay a significant, no-questions-asked amount of 10,400 GBP per year to a family of
278 four,
- 279 • cut child poverty by more than a third and pensioner poverty by almost a third,
- 280 • narrow the inequality gap,
- 281 • lead to gains for three quarters of all households, with the largest gains among the
282 poorest households,
- 283 • strengthen the universal element of the benefits system and reduce dependency on
284 means testing and
- 285 • would take the UK back to the level of social security spending of 2010, but with much
286 more progressive and universal outcomes.

287 The paper considers a few options for the introduction of a universal income guarantee,

288 seeking to address particularly the usual criticism: that the income amount is either too
289 small to make much of a difference or too generous to afford. I wish to emphasise that
290 the exact amount of the income, which is distinct from other forms of social aid, such as
291 disability support, *must* change such that it provides enough for fundamental needs. The
292 10,400 GBP number is merely a guide number that is suggested by a paper considered in
293 the context of the current political climate.

294 The 2019 paper *A Larger Us* [22] suggests three transitions that are needed for happier,
295 healthier, more resilient democracies:

- 296 • from fight-or-flight to self-awareness,
- 297 • from powerlessness to agency, and
- 298 • from disconnection to belonging.

299 I feel it's possible that the guaranteed income system proposed here could assist with the
300 second and possibly the third transitions.

301 The Stockton Economic Empowerment Demonstration (SEED) [23] is a city-led guaranteed
302 income project which involves providing at least 100 people of Stockton with a guaranteed
303 income of 500 USD for 18 months using prepaid debit cards. It plans to make a number
304 of measurements, such as changes in financial wellbeing, psychological distress and physical
305 functioning. For the case of financial wellbeing, measurements of income volatility and
306 unsecured debt will be made. In similar experiments, it has been observed that individuals
307 tend to use surplus income to pay down debt. For the case of the health indicators of
308 psychological distress and physical functioning, measurements are to be made using the
309 SF-36 and the Kessler 10 and through qualitative interviews.

310 The paper *No Strings Attached: The Behavioral Effects of U.S. Unconditional Cash Transfer*
311 *Programs* [24] summarizes the empirical evidence from three major U.S. guaranteed income
312 experiments. In these experiments, educational achievement increased, health outcomes
313 substantially improved, and there was little effect on labor market supply.

Two conditions are indispensable if we are to ensure that the guaranteed income operates as a consistently progressive measure. First, it must be pegged to the median income of society, not at the lowest levels of income. To guarantee an income at the floor would simply perpetuate welfare standards and freeze into the society poverty conditions. Second, the guaranteed income must be dynamic; it must automatically increase as the total social income grows. Were it permitted to remain static under growth conditions, the recipients would suffer a relative decline. If periodic reviews disclose that the whole national income has risen, then the guaranteed income would have to be adjusted upward by the same percentage. Without these safeguards a creeping retrogression would occur, nullifying the gains of security and stability.

Martin Luther King, Jr.,

Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community (1967) [14]

As a decentralized plug-in, or virus, for existing political systems, an unconditional universal income system might broadly be intended to move things towards equality of outcomes – for people, species and ecosystems generally (where equality of outcomes is here supposed to mean broadly a fair distribution of satisfaction with life, including satisfaction with degree of autonomy and perhaps including equality of perception), and towards non-competitive behaviours. One candidate form of politics for pursuit of this is Murray Bookchin’s communalism. Shortcomings in the anarchic approach, however, concern tyranny of the majority; specifically, the author suspects that a more protective approach should be considered in order to ensure the needs of minorities instead of assuming that the anarchy system perfectly undermines tyrannical, dominative and oppressive behaviours. Welfare should be a part of whatever system is implemented to attempt to ensure that there is a social safety net that ensures that rights such as those listed in the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* cannot be denied. An example of tyranny under a purported anarchic system is the pogroms, banditry and sexual abuse in the Free Territory of Ukraine [25].

The feature of the proposed system of a network of social trust also has the possibility of not just protecting the UDHR Article 27(1) right to cultural participation, but could also increasing the extent of that right by encouraging people to participate more fully in

society. However, as the income is unconditional, universal and guaranteed, there should be protections for those that do not wish to participate in the cultural life of society or are excluded from society, such as has been the case of despised minorities, examples of which have been Jewish people, sexuality minorities and gender minorities. Fully addressing such social exclusion is beyond the scope of this document, but the decentralized system should feature some protections against it and the system itself should not feature social exclusion capabilities, the likes of which are seen in the *Black Mirror* 2014 Christmas special *White Christmas* [26].

Rutger Bregman’s *Utopia for Realists* has a chapter *Why It Doesn’t Pay to Be a Banker* which [spoiler] compares a strike of bankers and bank employees in Ireland with a strike of sanitation workers in New York City. In 1968, the sanitation workers took the illegal option of striking, resulting – in a matter of six days – in a declaration of a state of emergency and – in a matter of nine days – in the sanitation workers getting their way. The bank employee strike of 1970 lasted six months, and the Central Bank of Ireland concluded that “The Irish economy continued to function for a reasonably long period of time with its main clearing banks closed for business” and, indeed, the economy grew. During this strike, Irish people continued writing cheques, effectively printing their own cash, with the only difference in the character of the cheques being that they couldn’t be cashed at banks. Instead, dealers such as publicans could act as verifiers of trust and could accept the cheques. It was a decentralized monetary system and it seems to have worked because of social cohesion. I myself recall that this sort of fractional reserve banking certainly did not stop after this strike and was implemented in my home town of Longford via Doyle’s pub, seen in Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3: Doyle's pub [27]

354 Bregman suggests that the fact that the Irish people implemented this decentralized banking
355 makes it clear that the society needed some form of financial sector.

356 It is beyond the scope of this document to describe and evaluate past implementations of
357 basic income and past implementations of anarchism, but the book *Utopia for Realists* gives
358 the former and histories of the Spanish anarchists, the peak implementation of modern an-
359 archism, give the latter. However, I can mention that the slogan of the CNT/FAI anarchists
360 was “Ni dios, ni estado, ni patrón” [No god, no state, no boss], widespread by the Spanish
361 anarchists since 1910.

362

I had dropped more or less by chance into the only community of any size in Western Europe where political consciousness and disbelief in capitalism were more normal than their opposites. Up here in Aragon one was among tens of thousands of people, mainly though not entirely of working-class origin, all living at the same level and mingling on terms of equality. In theory it was perfect equality, and even in practice it was not far from it. There is a sense in which it would be true to say that one was experiencing a foretaste of Socialism, by which I mean that the prevailing mental atmosphere was that of Socialism. Many of the normal motives of civilized life—snobbishness, money-grubbing, fear of the boss, etc. — had simply ceased to exist. The ordinary class-division of society had disappeared to an extent that is almost unthinkable in the money-tainted air of England; there was no one there except the peasants and ourselves, and no one owned anyone else as his master.

George Orwell, *Homage to Catalonia*


363 As summarized in the documentary about Rojava *Accidental Anarchist*, contemporary move-
364 ments such as Occupy Wallstreet, Occupy Sandy and, more recently, Extinction Rebellion
365 are collectives of direct democracy, mutual aid and, arguably, socialist forms of anarchy.

366

The opposite of government-imposed order is not chaos: there's a deeper order concealed within human society which relies not upon coercion but cooperation and trust, built not by governments or politicians, but by people who realise at last their own true power.

Carne Ross, *Accidental Anarchist* [28]

Disconnect between productivity and a typical worker's compensation, 1948–2014



Note: Data are for average hourly compensation of production/nonsupervisory workers in the private sector and net productivity of the total economy. "Net productivity" is the growth of output of goods and services minus depreciation per hour worked.

Source: EPI analysis of data from the BEA and BLS (see technical appendix for more detailed information)

Economic Policy Institute

Figure 3.4

367 With technological, educational, and medical improvements, productivity has increased mas-
 368 sively over at least the last century, however, compensation has not been increasing to match
 369 it, as shown in Figure 3.4. This is plausibly something of a result of a fundamental problem
 370 described by Marx: ownership of the means of production, and likely many other sneaky
 371 methods besides. While taxation of automation is one approach to address this disparity,
 372 decentralized ownership of the means of production, perhaps by means of decentralized
 373 income and wealth redistribution, and it is an approach that does not (at least at face
 374 evaluations) require much battle with existing power structures.

375 In all of the unconditional universal income guarantee experiments (run everywhere from
 376 New Jersey to Pennsylvania to Iowa to North Carolina to Indiana to Seattle to Denver
 377 to Finland, Mincome in Manitoba, as well as some smaller ones in Africa) the approach
 378 improved health and education, boosted the economy, and ended poverty. Apart from
 379 new mothers, people didn't tend to work less when they received this income. In fact,
 380 particularly as seen in the African unconditional universal income guarantee experiments,

381 having a reliable income enabled people to have more free time to educate themselves so
382 that they could advance to a new form of work, perhaps more enjoyable or meaningful, or
383 to start businesses or generally to take more risks. Even the likes of Nixon and Rumsfeld
384 were pretty much convinced by it [29]. *Utopia for Realists* by Rutger Bregman presents a
385 referenced analysis of the idea as it has been implemented through history.

386 While climate emergency and denial of fundamental needs are powerful justifications for
387 efforts on caring methods to undermine capitalism, there is another concern: Yuval Noah
388 Harari emphasized the urgency of building decentralized information processing systems
389 that are more efficient than fascist information processing systems in the 2018 TED talk
390 *Why fascism is so tempting – and how your data could power it* [30].

We are witnessing the gradual disappearance of the postwar British
welfare state behind a webpage and an algorithm. In its place, a
391 digital welfare state is emerging. The impact on the human rights of
the most vulnerable in the UK will be immense.

Philip Alston, 2018 [5]

392 **3.3 Decentralized unconditional universal income guar-** 393 **antee system**

A hundred times every day I remind myself that my inner and outer
life are based on the labors of other men, living and dead, and that I
394 must exert myself in order to give in the same measure as I have
received and am still receiving...

Einstein

395 It is possible that the emergence and rise to cultural prominence of cryptocurrency and
396 networks of trust, which may be viewed as an extension of the free culture movement, offers
397 a possibility of escape from the current systems of oppression and better ideas that it to
398 improve the wellbeing of creatures of this world. A combined system of a social trust graph
399 together with crypto verification is here referred to as a *crypto-trust* system.

400 Government, politics and monetary systems all can be viewed as technologies. Viewed
401 as such, societal problems are technological in origin. Most of the ideas in the current

402 monetary and political systems were designed centuries ago, and they feature limitations
403 which suggest that the imaginations of their creators were limited by the comparatively
404 primitive technology of their times. The limits of our language, so to speak, are the limits
405 of our world.

406 The speed of light appears to be an important constraint of computational efficiency; a
407 galaxy size computer would be slower than a microchip computer because of the distance over
408 which computational elements must communicate. So, computational efficiency requires
409 that we explore methods of decentralizing and distributing computations such that as much
410 local computation as possible is accomplished. A decentralized or distributed system for
411 monetary and welfare and history policies is needed for computational efficiency and will
412 become more necessary as we expand throughout the cosmos.

413 Algorithms like Bellman-Ford can be used to determine arbitrage paths and flows of value
414 between people, business, countries, city states and other entities. This, together with other
415 considerations such as the number of users to which income is due, might be used by an
416 automated decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee system to determine the
417 income amount attributed to users per unit time, and might be used for funding and bailout
418 calculations in order to improve economies.

419 An attempt has been Grantcoin, now called Manna [31]. Manna is administered by a
420 US non-profit organization. While perhaps well-intentioned and inherently against borders
421 (due to its use of a decentralized blockchain), Manna features a number of flaws, one of
422 which is the organization acting as a gatekeeper, another being the organization acting as
423 a centralized control over the monetary policy, and another being the possibility to invest
424 in the currency. It intends to model itself on the Alaska Permanent Fund, which is a form
425 of income paid to all Alaskans which arise from profits from a capital reserve fund. Its
426 emphasis is more on altruism and charity than on rights. Fundamental rights shouldn't be
427 subject to the whims of charity – that's why they're called rights. So, I view the emphasis of
428 the Manna approach as fundamentally flawed. However, the opening of the Manna mission
429 statement is perhaps worth repeating:

We, the people of the world, recognize and declare that money is a social invention which can be changed by the people according to our values. As we witness the growing scourge of inequality and its consequences, we see that the existing system is unjust and unsustainable. Recognizing and accepting the moral obligation that arises from such knowledge, we stand united in the decision to create a better alternative: a currency of conscience designed to facilitate the emergence of a more just and sustainable global economic system.

Money By the People, For the People: The Manna Vision and Mission [32]

A decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee system might be defined by the following ideas:

- Blockchain is used to ensure the integrity of the currency (well, currencies) via distributed consensus, in the manner of Bitcoin and Ethereum.
- A social trust graph is used to attempt to ensure that there is a one-to-one relationship between a user and a wallet, in other words a defence against Sybil attack. This can be supplemented by gatekeepers of various forms.
- An income rate definition or inflation/deflation algorithm, a basic version of which sets the income rate in proportion to the number of users in the system.

3.3.1 Blockchain

Blockchain is a reusable proof-of-work (effort/energy/work-based) approach to decentralized/distributed ensurance of integrity of a record. Similar approaches that are energy-efficient are under exploration currently.

The decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee system features individualized colored cryptocurrencies [33], with a specific color of currency corresponding to a purported user, which is defined when the user joins the system. The user receives income in the form of their colored currency over time, at a variable open source rate, which might be calculated by reference to the number of users in the system and by reference to more complicated

449 ideas, such as open source economic analyses to lower the time it might take to reach societal
450 economic prosperity or wellbeing more generally.

451 The Austrian school of economics, which arguably features shortcomings like pure logical de-
452 duction and a lack of scientific rigor, underlies the design of Bitcoin, with Bitcoin resembling
453 gold.

454 3.3.2 Decentralized/distributed social trust graph as Sybil defense

455 The social network Facebook has a security facility that enables a user to nominate a number
456 of friends to vouch for them when they lose access to their account, as shown in Figure 3.5.
457 This vote of confidence is a network of trust.




Figure 3.5: Facebook trust network

458 Graph-based Sybil defences can use social network graph properties to distinguish Sybil
459 users from legitimate users. Some assumptions in a Sybil defense strategy could be that the
460 legitimate social network graph features fewer edges between user vertices than the Sybil
461 social network graph and that a Sybil user cannot produce meaningful interactions in the
462 legitimate social network graph, even if it may infiltrate it. Other strategies have been
463 considered [34].

464 In this proposed social trust graph Sybil defense, in a sense, a social contract is being
465 created wherein a user receives money unconditionally to engage with their community. It
466 is hoped that the social trust graph will be secured fundamentally by a careful population
467 and population scale ideas like “six degrees of separation” [35], with analyses and gatekeepers
468 as supplementary protections. New users are given a currency that is worthless by default

469 and they must take steps to increase its value. These social steps are the formal barrier to
470 participation.

471 The canton of Zug is a region of Switzerland that has a low tax rate and a startup culture.
472 In 2017 Zug started to use uPort [36] for its Zug ID [37]. uPort is decentralized by storing
473 identity data on IPFS and by using the Ethereum blockchain, but the Zug ID involves
474 centralized gatekeeping by in-person verification by a city administrator. It was scheduled
475 to be used to test non-binding voting in 2018. This Sybil defense could be used but only as
476 a supplement to decentralized/distributed Sybil defences. This is because such a centralized
477 gatekeeper could make people beholden to it. Consider a bad, authoritarian government
478 implementing income using a gatekeeper. It could wait until people are familiar with this
479 form of income and dependent on it, and then could start making demands on people to
480 comply with it in order to keep receiving the income. Defences against such authoritarian
481 control must be present in the system. The idea of a basic income arises from rights, not
482 from a gatekeeper, whether that gatekeeper be a government office or a charity.

483 Gatekeepers *are* permitted in the system – one could imagine businesses, governments,
484 social groups, living groups *etc.* having specific validation requirements or other logics to
485 determine group membership. This would be a level of validation above the basic validation
486 provided by the social trust graph, a level of validation which must be reasonably achievable
487 by all users.

488 In this proposed decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee system, users have
489 the ability to trust the personal currencies of other users, which requires them to treat this
490 personal currency identically to any other currencies that they trust. As the social trust
491 graph becomes more interconnected, it is hoped that these personal currencies converge
492 on a single universal monetary system. All users receive income at the same open source
493 calculated rate, meaning that new money is distributed equally to all users at all times.
494 This income generation is the only way new money is created.

495 Strangers wanting to exchange money automatically search for a transitive chain of trusted
496 currencies between each other. The payer trades along this chain of trusted currencies, one
497 after the other, until they have one that the recipient needs. Such exchanges working in
498 practice require a reasonable number of users in the system. Up to that point, the system is

499 little more than passing IOUs between trusted users. Something like this routing capability
500 exists in the form of the Bitcoin Lightning network.

501 3.3.3 Demurrage, inflation and deflation

502 Demurrage, or negative interest, is the cost associated with holding a store of value over
503 a time [38]. For commodities such gold, demurrage is the cost of storing and securing it.
504 For currency, it can take the form of a periodic tax, such as stamp tax, on currency hold-
505 ings. The goal of demurrage currency is to ensure that cash is *cash flow safe*, wherein
506 money is sure to return into the cash flow and this is accomplished not through inflation
507 or deflation (though these are not excluded from the currency) but through periodic scrip
508 payment to ensure that the money remains valid. The idea was suggested by Silvio Gesell,
509 a German theoretical economist and anarchist libertarian socialist, as a way to undermine
510 the privileged position held by currency compared with capital goods and to undermine
511 entrenchment of the financial elite [39]. The concept has been tested in the Bavarian cur-
512 rency *Chiemgauer* which requires an effective payment of 2 % of the value of a currency
513 note every three months in order to maintain the validity of an individual bill in order to
514 undermine hoarding and also has been tested in the short-lived local Schilling currency of
515 the city of Wörgl, which appears to have protected the city from the economic depression
516 in Germany of the 1930s [40, 41]. The Swiss WIR Frank (Wirtschaftsring-Genossenschaft
517 [economic circle cooperative]) initially also featured ideas from Gesell [42]. A cryptocur-
518 rency that implements a form of demurrage is Freicoin, though Freicoin has the demurrage
519 fee paid to the developers as opposed to all other users [43]. The Freicoin documentation
520 suggests that Freicoin is an implementation of Bitcoin which loses approximately 5 % of its
521 value per year, with destroyed money taken out of circulation and minted coins created and
522 distributed to miners, with the intention that the real capital required to secure the network
523 is comparable to the payments of newly minted coins.

524 Keynes considered demurrage currencies and concluded that having currencies experience a
525 reasonable rate of inflation is preferable, and has the same effect of promoting investment
526 and spending [44].

527 Inflation is used to disincentivize hoarding and to encourage economic activity. In a basic

inflation scheme, all user currencies issue progressively larger amounts of income per unit time, and this includes new users (ensuring that some mitigation of the Monopoly problem is built into the system – new players have a fair chance in the game).

3.3.4 Existence in tandem with other currencies

In the case of the *Chiemgauer*, there are different rules for nonprofits and businesses. Nonprofits are incentivized to purchase Chiemgauer by adding 3 % to the value on converting from Euros to Chiemgauer and businesses are incentivized to stay in the Chiemgauer system by taking a 5 % commission from the value on converting from Chiemgauer to Euros.

3.3.5 Exchange rates

The default system enforces a one-to-one exchange rate between all personal currencies, but less trusted users have currencies that are less valuable than established user currencies. This might be addressed by empowering users to limit the amounts they are willing to accept from other users. Such an approach could cautiously be suggested as a way for some majority of the population (perhaps as defined democratically in code) to limit the economic power of certain powerful people. Investigations resulting in the Panama Papers and the Paradise Papers tell us of wealthy people of the world (often people who have that wealth through little expended time or effort, sometimes called inheritance or siphoning) that are part of an enormous criminal conspiracy to dodge taxes and to hoard wealth in offshore accounts, yet such conspiracies are resilient to traditional economic and legal mitigations. A global, decentralized monetary policy might offer a mitigation to these methods that is not currently offered by existing systems of law and economics.

Methods of preventing bad mob rule (e.g. a population generally distrusting and disconnecting from a user for reasons other than trustworthiness) should be considered. Perhaps there is a gatekeeper that represents rights (as opposed to tyranny of the majority) that can enforce verification of users or anonymisation of a user personal currency, though there should not be a gatekeeper that can exclude a user from the decentralized unconditional universal income guarantee.

555 **3.3.6 National credit**

556 This could be a part of the system that stores a small amount when it has beyond the
557 resources needed to pay all guaranteed incomes. This amount is used to ensure guaranteed
558 incomes when there are economic downturns. Could the system feature the ability to loan
559 to itself in certain circumstances? This would be decided by economists.

560 **3.3.7 Revolution**

561 The system should be reliable and resilient. Bitcoin and Ethereum have been subject to
562 intense hacking attempts and scrutiny so these should likely feature as the codebase, with
563 Ethereum being the more likely candidate because it is more easily programmable.

564 How can the proposed system draw power from the existing systems? A group of people
565 could agree to trial the system. The group will likely feature a mix of incomes and none. In
566 participating, one approach could be for participants to give some fraction of their income
567 to the system. The group should be designed such that the combined income divided
568 equally between all participants is sufficient for a income, perhaps of median value, for all
569 participants.

570 On a larger scale, the enticement of the ‘free’ currency accessible to people for a one-time
571 small degree of effort (comprising solely of installation of a program) could be enough. To
572 use the currency there is then the effort of building a few social connections/verifications.
573 Outreach in order to educate about the system would be straightforward. Perhaps people
574 like Ash Sarkar and Owen Jones could be helpful at popularisation. A contact for political
575 association could be Michael Tubbs (SEED). Contacts for technical evaluation could be
576 Stacia West (SEED) and AmyCastro Baker (SEED).

577 One noteworthy point raised in documentation on the Stockton Economic Empowerment
578 Demonstration (SEED) [23] is that a declared income, such as a guaranteed income, could
579 impact existing social welfare payments because these often are means-tested. Secrecy or at
580 least legal waivers would be needed to address this system if the groups implementing the
581 system were not able to have the guaranteed income at a basic income level. Happily tech-
582 nologies for financial privacy exist in the forms of Zcash and Monero and perhaps Ethereum

583 and private social trust authentication is a totally separate system which is merely used by
584 the cryptocurrency system.

585 Cryptocurrencies tied to the value of traditional fiat currencies such as the Euro may be
586 of assistance at the boundaries between the system proposed here and the existing capital-
587 ist world, perhaps by providing a means of uneasily-censorable use of the traditional fiat
588 currency. One suggestion is the stablecoin xEURO [45].

589 Publically demolishing the arguments of the protectors of the wealthy could involve empha-
590 sis such as that used by MLK on consumerism: “*We must create full employment or we*
591 *must create incomes. People must be made consumers by one method of the other*” [14]. I
592 suggest, having seen video of people employed to cut grass using scissors in the Democratic
593 People’s Republic of Korea and having heard reports from my parents of USSR Moscow
594 toilet attendants (one employed to greet, one to open the cubicle door, one to hand the
595 single pieces of toilet tissue and so on) that the latter must be the emphasis.

596 GEORGE:
597 You know, this used to be a hell of a good country. I can't understand what's gone wrong with
598 it.
599 BILLY:
600 Man, everybody got chicken, that's what happened. Hey, we can't even get into a, like, a second-rate
601 hotel. I mean, a second-rate motel, you dig? They think we're gonna cut their throat or somethin',
602 man. They're scared, man.
603 GEORGE:
604 Oh they're not scared of you. They scared of what you represent to 'em.
605 BILLY:
606 Hey man, all we represent to them, man, is somebody who needs a haircut.
607 GEORGE:
608 Oh, no. What you represent to them is freedom.
609 BILLY:
610 What the hell's wrong with freedom, man? That's what it's all about.
611 GEORGE:
612 Oh yeah, that's right. That's what it's all about, alright. But talking about it and being it...
613 that's two different things. I mean it's real hard to be free when you are bought and sold in
614 the marketplace.
615 'Course, don't ever tell anybody that they're not free, 'cause they gonna get real busy killin'
616 and maimin' to prove to you that they are. Oh yeah, they gonna talk to you and talk to you and
617 talk to you about individual freedom -- but they see a free individual, it's gonna scare 'em.
618 BILLY:
619 Yeah well it don't make them runnin' scared.
620 GEORGE:
621 No. It makes 'em dangerous.

622 Conversation between alcoholic ACLU lawyer George Hanson,
played by Jack Nicholson, and drug-running biker Billy, played by
Dennis Hopper, in the film *Easy Rider* (1969)

I believe we oughta to do all we can, and seek to lift ourselves by our own bootstraps but it's a jest to say to a bootless man that he oughta lift himself by his own bootstraps.

Martin Luther King, Jr.

3.4 Monetary policies with guaranteed income

A 2017 paper [46] emerged from the *New England Complex Systems Institute*, which was founded by theoretical physicist Yaneer Bar-Yam, who is known for having predicted aspects of the Arab Spring by using the observation that violence and riots occur at peaks of the global food price index. The paper describes a capitalist economic system featuring two main cycles that are balanced against one another, one for wages and consumption (the labor cycle), and one for investment and returns (the capital cycle), while treating the role of banks and government as providing monetary injections into those cycles.

The first cycle is that of workers receiving income and consuming goods and services. The second cycle is that of the wealthy investing in production and receiving returns on their investments. For growth to happen in this capitalist system, the two must be in a certain balance. If there is too much money in the worker cycle then there are not enough things to purchase. If there is too much money in the investment cycle then consumers don't have enough money to buy things so investment doesn't happen. The paper suggests that prior to 1980 there was too much money in the worker/consumer cycle, with money chasing too few products, giving rise to inflation. After 1980, the balance changed the other way, likely due to the Reganomics tax changes. There was too much money in the investor cycle and the result has been a series of recessions, perhaps mitigated by the likes of the Federal Reserve intervening by lowering interest rates in order to increase borrowing ability, in order to increase consumption – while income continued to be too low.

The paper suggested that the way government is regulating the economy is analogous to driving a car using only the accelerator and without using the steering wheel, where steering corresponds to keeping the balance between the two cycles in some good proportion. While Federal Reserve interventions may have helped overcome the recessions, today we are up against the roadside guard rail and need to rebalance the economy by shifting money back

649 to the worker/consumer cycle.

650 The paper suggests that current approaches to correcting economic problems by reducing
651 government spending (austerity), while decreasing taxes for the wealthy in order to promote
652 investment, are wrong. They may have been somewhat helpful in 1980 but they are long
653 outdated today. Economic inequality is not simply a social justice problem, but also an
654 economic problem. Fixing economic inequality will have dramatic benefits for economic
655 growth.

656 The analysis suggested that the current USA regulation of economic activity that focuses on
657 monetary policy has missed the problem of regulating the relative strength of flows in the
658 two cycles. Simply, the presence of two flows and one control variable cannot be expected
659 on general principle to function well.

660 The paper recommends a shift towards policies that inject money into the wages and con-
661 sumption cycle in order to increase economic growth. The authors note that this is the
662 same recommendation that would arise from considering the problem of income inequal-
663 ity. So, the research supports advocates of greater income and/or government support for
664 the poor, who use a larger fraction of income for consumption. Even within this capitalist
665 thinking, the conclusion is that wages must be increased in order to create more investment
666 opportunities for the wealthy, thus advancing to a stronger economy.

667 The paper acknowledges the notable contributions of the Federal Reserve as a mitigating
668 and stabilizing influence, but notes that its abilities have reached their limits, because
669 the effectiveness of using monetary policy in and of itself as a mechanism of regulation of
670 economic growth has reached its limits. The paper goes on to predict another recession
671 on the time scale of a few years. It concludes that a new policy that redirects money for
672 consumption is needed to increase economic activity: “Traditional wealth redistribution
673 appears to be essential for renewed economic growth”.

674 Most people are workers, not investors. Since more workers are impacted when there are
675 problems with the economic systems at play, at the very least, workers should have control
676 over this balance. If workers and investors are the same thing you have a form of Marxism.

677 The system proposed here enables a rebalancing of these cycles by guaranteed income and

678 also enables members of the system to demonetize the investor class by reducing the weight-
679 ing that is the exchange rate accepted for the currencies of members of the existing investor
680 class.

Chapter 4

Other uses

4.1 Reparations, debt forgiveness and wealth redistribution

Large groups within social trust networks could conclude that they are due reparations and the system could feature some reweighting of all currencies in the system such that a large group that is due reparations is granted the reparations in the form of, say, preferential exchange rates. An ideal example would be an attempt to bring people today to the economic state they would have been at were slavery not to have happened. Conversely, large groups within social trust networks could mitigate or cancel debt of others if the debt is deemed unfair.




Figure 4.1

692 Economic models acknowledge the relationship between labor income and wealth inequality,
693 and also conclude that a redistributive tax (of the form of a Robin Hood tax) is successful
694 at reducing income inequality [47].

695 At smaller scales than large, perhaps country-level, reparations and debt forgiveness, other
696 debts and expenses could be mitigated. For example, in the time before landlords are
697 abolished, the exchange rates of landlords could be defined by society to be of a worth that
698 means that any rents they have received are immediately redistributed back to the renter.

699 4.2 Direct democracy

700 Switzerland is a country with one of the more direct democracies. A crypto-trust system
701 could make the running of direct democracy, popular initiatives, voting, power of recall, and
702 so on much less laborious.

4.3 Surveillance and privacy, and proletariat control over the admissability of evidence into court

Soussurveillance is personal surveillance, for example by way of a camera worn by a person. Inverse surveillance is a subset of soursurveillance and is surveillance of surveillance, usually surveillance of the surveillance activities or technologies of an authority. Soussurveillance or other small-scale surveillance systems could record to encrypted databases which are decryptable using only crypto-trust systems. For example, courts could be limited from permitting surveillance recordings to be admitted as evidence unless they have been decrypted by a majority of people in a crypto-trust system.

4.4 Credit

Smart contracts, similar to the form seen in Ether, implemented in the crypto-trust system could be used to implement forms of mutual credit bank, an interest-free loan system with credit provided voluntarily by users of the crypto-trust system.

4.5 Similar, good ideas that may not be implementable using the proposed system

While private decentralized organisations of humans and private decentralized contracts systems have been implemented to some degrees, this may be beyond the capabilities of the system proposed here. For privacy, say in purchasing delicious 1P-LSD, systems like Zcash and Monero have more developed methods of privacy than Bitcoin.

722 4.6 Fair use of resources intended for people as opposed 723 to bots

724 There is a contemporary problem with dark net markets running on Tor in the form of an
725 ongoing distributed denial-of-service attack. A network of social trust could identify the user
726 of a resource as an authentic person, while maintaining anonymity, such that the resources
727 are provided with priority given to people.

Chapter 5

Dangers

5.1 Borders

Everyone has the UDHR Article 13(2) right to leave any country and the complimentary right to free migration. In *Utopia for Realists*, Rutger Bregman argues that country borders (which have been enforced largely only since WWI, when border enforcement was introduced as a war-time emergency measure) are the greatest form of unfair discrimination today. For the case of the European migrant crisis of 2015, a protection against the tyranny of the majority that was the opposition to and oppression of migrants, another protection is open borders.

5.2 Tyranny of the majority

The framers of the United States Constitution did not envisage direct democracy because they saw a danger in the tyranny of the majority. A direct democracy, monetary policy, unconditional universal income guarantee and so on implemented using a crypto-trust system must have protections against tyranny of the majority. One protection for this is a population educated on oppression such that it is less likely to oppress. Another protection is representatives that are subject to the scrutiny of anarchy.

745 5.3 Transparency

746 Ivan Krastev said in his talk *Can democracy exist without trust?* [48] that there are un-
747 intended consequences associated with transparency in government systems that feature
748 humans, that transparency can be used as a way to silence humans in government. While
749 the proposed system should plausibly not intrude on this (particularly given such economic
750 privacy techniques as Zcash), it might have some unintended consequences relating to this.

751 5.4 Unintended consequences

752 Copyright was defined originally in the USA in the context of improving society; it was
753 categorically not a recognition of some form of right to censor ideas. It was designed to
754 mitigate the bad effects of individuals and groups keeping innovative ideas secret. While
755 perhaps this was laudable, the system has become subject to mass manipulation enabled by
756 monetary power and technologies such as *Content ID* [49].

757 An unconditional universal income guarantee is direct and promising but potentially as
758 complex in implementation as the current social welfare systems. A naïve approach would
759 set an income amount in stone at the lowest levels of income and would have the consequence
760 of perpetuating poverty; the dynamic approach of changing the amount to match the median
761 income is more promising. However, any of the ideas of this proposal could have many
762 unintended consequences. They should be modified or supplanted as is reasonable.

763 The curse of poverty has no justification in our age. It is socially as
cruel and blind as the practice of cannibalism at the dawn of
civilization, when men ate each other because they had not yet
learned to take food from the soil or to consume the abundant
animal life around them. The time has come for us to civilize
ourselves by the total, direct and immediate abolition of poverty.

Martin Luther King, Jr.,

Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community (1967) [14]

References

- [1] D. Lam, *How the World Survived the Population Bomb: Lessons From 50 Years of Extraordinary Demographic History*, *Demography*, 48 (4), 1231–1262 (November 2011), doi:10.1007/s13524-011-0070-z, URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3777609/pdf/nihms335409.pdf>
- [2] United Nations, *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, URL <https://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights>
- [3] S. Kirchgaessner, *Theft of sausage and cheese by hungry homeless man ‘not a crime’*, *The Guardian* (May 2016), URL <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/may/03/theft-sausage-cheese-hungry-homeless-man-not-crime-italy>
- [4] M. Gramellini, *Il diritto di avere fame*, *La Stampa* (May 2016), URL <https://www.lastampa.it/2016/05/03/cultura/opinioni/buongiorno/il-diritto-di-avere-fame-rNMKcewcPiKTU9FvY7C0nK/pagina.html>
- [5] P. Alston, *Statement on Visit to the United Kingdom, by Professor Philip Alston, United Nations Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights* (November 2018), URL https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Poverty/EOM_GB_16Nov2018.pdf
- [6] Philosophy Tube, *Intro to Anarchy: Power & Violence* (January), URL <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bCAUmh99hMI>
- [7] N. Chomsky, *On Anarchism* (2013)
- [8] Noam Chomsky, *Chomsky explaining real anarchism*, URL <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AkvPDx2qNjM&t=11m24s>

- 785 [9] J. Burke, *Worlds Without End: Changing Knowledge, Changing Reality*, The Day
786 the Universe Changed (May 1985), URL https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mB8_wPei2ZM
787
- 788 [10] *Climate Change: A Cross-Party Consensus for Action*, URL
789 [https://data.oireachtas.ie/ie/oireachtas/committee/dail/32/
790 joint_committee_on_climate_action/reports/2019/2019-03-28_
791 report-climate-change-a-cross-party-consensus-for-action_en.pdf](https://data.oireachtas.ie/ie/oireachtas/committee/dail/32/joint_committee_on_climate_action/reports/2019/2019-03-28_report-climate-change-a-cross-party-consensus-for-action_en.pdf)
- 792 [11] R. Bregman, World Economic Forum (January 2019), URL [https://www.youtube.
793 com/watch?v=r5LtFnmPruU&t=14m40s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r5LtFnmPruU&t=14m40s)
- 794 [12] Wretched of The Earth, *An open letter to Extinction Rebellion* (May 2019), URL
795 <https://www.redpepper.org.uk/an-open-letter-to-extinction-rebellion>
- 796 [13] N. Wynn, XOXO Festival (November 2018), URL [https://www.youtube.com/watch?
797 v=0Ix9jxid2YU&t=3m10s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Ix9jxid2YU&t=3m10s)
- 798 [14] J. Martin Luther King, *Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community* (1967)
- 799 [15] S. Nakamoto, *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System* (October 2008), URL
800 <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
- 801 [16] S. Nakamoto, *The Times 03/Jan/2009 Chancellor on brink of second bailout for banks.*
802 (January 2009), URL https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Genesis_block
- 803 [17] *Introducing Memo* (April 2018), URL <https://memo.cash/introducing-memo>
- 804 [18] *Satoshi Proof*, URL <https://github.com/ligi/SatoshiProof>
- 805 [19] M. Abidor, *Death to Bourgeois Society: The Propagandists of the Deed* (2016)
- 806 [20] S. Santens, *Deep Learning Is Going to Teach Us All the Lesson of Our Lives:
807 Jobs Are for Machines* (March 2016), URL [https://medium.com/basic-income/
808 deep-learning-is-going-to-teach-us-all-the-lesson-of-our-lives-jobs-are-for-machines-7c64](https://medium.com/basic-income/deep-learning-is-going-to-teach-us-all-the-lesson-of-our-lives-jobs-are-for-machines-7c64)
- 809 [21] *Basic Income for All: From Desirability to Feasibility*, Compass (2019)
- 810 [22] T. C. P. Project (May 2019), URL [https://www.collectivepsychology.org/
811 wp-content/uploads/2019/05/A-Larger-Us.pdf](https://www.collectivepsychology.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/A-Larger-Us.pdf)

- [23] S. Martin-West, A. C. Bake, S. Balakrishnan, K. Rao and G. Y. Tan, *Pre-Analysis Plan: Stockton Economic Empowerment Demonstration* (December 2018), URL https://static1.squarespace.com/static/59d55793268b96ccff4ff70e/t/5c0eb980575d1fbc6d9996ed/1544468865166/SEED_PaP_V2+%281%29.pdf
- [24] I. Marinescu, *No Strings Attached: The Behavioral Effects of U.S. Unconditional Cash Transfer Programs* (May 2017), URL http://www.marinescu.eu/Marinescu_UBI_review_2017.pdf
- [25] *Free Territory*, URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Free_Territory#Criticism
- [26] C. Brooker, *White Christmas*, Black Mirror (December 2016), URL <magnet:?xt=urn:btih:49f2d942c054cd5bbf932e1e921642eebe110bf7>
- [27] *Doyle's Bar and grocery* (September 2017), URL <https://www.facebook.com/Doyles-Bar-and-grocery-1060055107349764>
- [28] *Accidental Anarchist: Life Without Government* (2017), URL <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XudWK8ua2WA>
- [29] R. Bregman, *Nixon's Basic Income Plan* (May 2016), URL <https://www.jacobinmag.com/2016/05/richard-nixon-ubi-basic-income-welfare>
- [30] Y. N. Harari, *Why fascism is so tempting – and how your data could power it* (April 2018), URL https://www.ted.com/talks/yuval_noah_harari_why_fascism_is_so_tempting_and_how_your_data_could_power_it
- [31] (2015), URL https://drive.google.com/file/d/1uRTC_hjabk_Ps1C4qLyGDFV8yTHYLj3W/view
- [32] *Money By the People, For the People: The Manna Vision and Mission* (2015), URL <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1issWZCMhPJYFoOrABpCcSxkYfA3WPBaj/view>
- [33] *Colored Coins whitepaper*, URL https://docs.google.com/document/d/1AnkP_cVZTCMLIzw4DvsW6M8Q2JC01IzrTLuoWu2z1BE
- [34] M. Al-Qurishi, M. Al-Rakhani, A. Alamri, M. Alrubaian, S. M. M. Rahman and M. S. Hossain, *Sybil Defense Techniques in Online Social Networks: A Survey* (January 2017),

- doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2656635, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7828091>
- [35] *Six degrees of separation*, URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Six_degrees_of_separation
- [36] *uPort*, URL <https://www.uport.me>
- [37] D. Cummings, *uPort Announces Zug Digital Ethereum ID Pilot* (July 2017), URL <https://www.ethnews.com/uport-announces-zug-digital-ethereum-id-pilot>
- [38] *Demurrage (currency)*, URL [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demurrage_\(currency\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demurrage_(currency))
- [39] *Silvio Gesell*, URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silvio_Gesell
- [40] *Chiemgauer*, URL <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chiemgauer>
- [41] *Wörgl*, URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/W%C3%B6rgl#The_W.C3.B6rgl_Experiment
- [42] *WIR Bank*, URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WIR_Bank
- [43] *Freicoin: a peer-to-peer digital currency delivering freedom from usury*, URL <http://freico.in>
- [44] J. M. Keynes, *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money* (1936), URL <https://www.marxists.org/reference/subject/economics/keynes/general-theory/ch23.htm#vi>
- [45] *xEURO: most transparent and legally compliant stablecoin automatically convertible to fiat* (2019), URL <https://xeuro.online>
- [46] Y. Bar-Yam, J. Langlois-Meurinne, M. Kawakatsu and R. Garcia, *Preliminary steps toward a universal economic dynamics for monetary and fiscal policy*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1710.06285 (October 2017), URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.06285>
- [47] T. Fischer, *Can Redistribution by Means of a Progressive Labor Income-Taxation Transfer System Increase Financial Stability?*, Journal of Artificial Societies and So-

- 865 cial Simulation, 20 (2), 3 (2017 2017), ISSN 1460-7425, doi:10.18564/jasss.3405, URL
866 <http://jasss.soc.surrey.ac.uk/20/2/3.html>
- 867 [48] I. Krastev, *Can democracy exist without trust?* (2012), URL [https://www.ted.com/](https://www.ted.com/talks/ivan_krastev_can_democracy_exist_without_trust)
868 [talks/ivan_krastev_can_democracy_exist_without_trust](https://www.ted.com/talks/ivan_krastev_can_democracy_exist_without_trust)
- 869 [49] *Content ID (algorithm)*, URL [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Content_ID_](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Content_ID_(algorithm))
870 [\(algorithm\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Content_ID_(algorithm))