[Template:Other uses](/wiki/Template:Other_uses" \o "Template:Other uses) [Template:EngvarB](/wiki/Template:EngvarB) {|[Template:Infobox aircraft begin](/wiki/Template:Infobox_aircraft_begin)[Template:Infobox aircraft type](/wiki/Template:Infobox_aircraft_type) |} **Aérospatiale/BAC Concorde** [Template:IPAc-en](/wiki/Template:IPAc-en) is a [turbojet](/wiki/Turbojet)-powered [supersonic](/wiki/Supersonic_aircraft) passenger [jet](/wiki/Jet_airliner) that was operated until 2003. It had a maximum speed over twice the [speed of sound](/wiki/Speed_of_sound) at Mach 2.04 ([Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) at cruise altitude), with seating for 92 to 128 passengers. First flown in 1969, Concorde entered service in 1976 and continued flying for the next 27 years. It is one of only two [supersonic transports](/wiki/Supersonic_transport) to have been operated commercially; the other is the Soviet-built [Tupolev Tu-144](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-144), which was operated for a much shorter period of time.

Concorde was jointly developed and manufactured by [Aérospatiale](/wiki/Aérospatiale) and the [British Aircraft Corporation](/wiki/British_Aircraft_Corporation) (BAC) under an Anglo-French treaty. Concorde's name, meaning harmony or union, reflects the co-operation on the project between the United Kingdom and France. In the UK, any or all of the type are known simply as "Concorde", without an article. Twenty aircraft were built including six prototypes and development aircraft. [Air France](/wiki/Air_France) (AF) and [British Airways](/wiki/British_Airways) (BA) each received seven aircraft. The research and development failed to make a profit and the two then state-owned airlines bought the aircraft at a huge discount.

Among other [destinations](/wiki/#Scheduled_flights), Concorde flew regular [transatlantic flights](/wiki/Transatlantic_flight) from [London Heathrow](/wiki/London_Heathrow_Airport) and [Paris Charles de Gaulle Airport](/wiki/Charles_de_Gaulle_Airport) to [New York-JFK](/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_International_Airport), [Washington Dulles](/wiki/Washington_Dulles_International_Airport) and [Barbados](/wiki/Grantley_Adams_International_Airport); it flew these routes in less than half the time of other airliners. Over time, the aircraft became profitable when it found a customer base willing to pay for flights on what was, for most of its career, the fastest commercial airliner in the world. The aircraft is regarded by many as an aviation icon and an engineering marvel[[1]](#cite_note-1) while it was also criticised for being uneconomical, and lacking a credible market.

Concorde was retired in 2003 due to a general downturn in the commercial aviation industry after the type's [only crash in 2000](/wiki/Air_France_Flight_4590), the [September 11 attacks](/wiki/September_11_attacks) in 2001, and a decision by [Airbus](/wiki/Airbus), the successor to Aérospatiale and BAC, to discontinue maintenance support.[[2]](#cite_note-2)
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## Development[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=1)]

### Early studies[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=2)]

The origins of the Concorde project date to the early 1950s, when [Arnold Hall](/wiki/Arnold_Hall), director of the [Royal Aircraft Establishment](/wiki/Royal_Aircraft_Establishment) (RAE) asked [Morien Morgan](/wiki/Morien_Morgan) to form a committee to study the supersonic transport (SST) concept. The group met for the first time in February 1954 and delivered their first report in April 1955.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

At the time it was known that the drag at supersonic speeds was strongly related to the span of the wing.<ref group=N>In particular, R. T. Jones' work at NACA demonstrated this in depth.</ref> This led to the use of very short-span, very thin rectangular wings such as those seen on the control surfaces of many missiles, or in aircraft like the [Lockheed F-104 Starfighter](/wiki/Lockheed_F-104_Starfighter) or the [Avro 730](/wiki/Avro_730) that the team studied. The team outlined a baseline configuration that looked like an enlarged Avro 730.

This same short span produced very little lift at low speed, which resulted in extremely long take-off runs and frighteningly high landing speeds.[[3]](#cite_note-3) In an SST design, this would have required enormous engine power to lift off from existing runways, and to provide the fuel needed, "some horribly large aeroplanes" resulted.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Based on this, the group considered the concept of an SST unfeasible, and instead suggested continued low-level studies into supersonic aerodynamics.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

### Slender deltas[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=3)]

Soon after, [Johanna Weber](/wiki/Johanna_Weber) and [Dietrich Küchemann](/wiki/Dietrich_Küchemann) at the RAE published a series of reports on a new wing planform, known in the UK as the "slender delta" concept.[[4]](#cite_note-4)<ref name=aerosoc>[Template:Cite web](/wiki/Template:Cite_web)</ref> The team, including Eric Maskell, worked with the fact that delta wings can produce strong [vortexes](/wiki/Vortex) on their upper surfaces at high [angles of attack](/wiki/Angle_of_attack).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) The vortex will lower the air pressure and cause lift to be greatly increased. This effect had been noticed earlier, notably by [Chuck Yeager](/wiki/Chuck_Yeager) in the [Convair XF-92](/wiki/Convair_XF-92), but its qualities had not been fully appreciated. Weber suggested that this was no mere curiosity, and the effect could be deliberately used to improve low speed performance.<ref name=aerosoc/>[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Küchemann's and Weber's papers changed the entire nature of supersonic design almost overnight. Although the delta had already been used on aircraft prior to this point, these designs used planforms that were not much different from a [swept wing](/wiki/Swept_wing) of the same span.<ref group=N>Consider especially the [English Electric Lightning](/wiki/English_Electric_Lightning), whose wing can be considered either a highly swept rectangle, or a delta with a notch cut out of the root.</ref> Weber noted that the lift from the vortex was increased by the length of the wing it had to operate over, which suggested that the effect would be maximised by extending the wing along the fuselage as far as possible. Such a layout would still have good supersonic performance inherent to the short span, while also offering reasonable take-off and landing speeds using vortex generation.<ref name=aerosoc/> The only downside to such a design is that the aircraft would have to take off and land very "nose high" to generate the required vortex lift, which led to questions about the low speed handling qualities of such a design.<ref name=brown/> It would also need to have long [landing gear](/wiki/Landing_gear) to produce the required angles while still on the runway.

Küchemann presented the idea at a meeting where Morgan was also present. Test pilot [Eric Brown](/wiki/Eric_Brown_(pilot)) recalls Morgan's reaction to the presentation, saying that he immediately seized on it as the solution to the SST problem. Brown considers this moment as being the true birth of the Concorde project.<ref name=brown>Eric Brown, ["Wings On My Sleeve"](https://books.google.com/books?id=MMEK1jwD03AC&pg=PT121), Hachette UK, 2008, end of Chapter 12</ref>

### Supersonic Transport Advisory Committee[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=4)]

[thumb|right|The HP.115 tested the low-speed performance of the slender delta layout.](/wiki/File:HP.115.gif)

On 1 October 1956 the [Ministry of Supply](/wiki/Ministry_of_Supply) asked Morgan to form a new study group, the *Supersonic Transport Advisory Committee* (*STAC*),[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) with the explicit goal of developing a practical SST design and finding industry partners to build it. At the very first meeting, on 5 November 1956, the decision was made to fund the development of a test bed aircraft to examine the low speed performance of the slender delta, a contract that eventually produced the [Handley Page HP.115](/wiki/Handley_Page_HP.115).<ref name=brown/> This aircraft would ultimately demonstrate safe control at speeds as low as 69 mph, about ⅓ that of the F-104 Starfighter.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

STAC stated that an SST would have economic performance similar to existing subsonic types.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Although they would burn more fuel in cruise, they would be able to fly more [sorties](/wiki/Sorties) in a given period of time, so fewer aircraft would be needed to service a particular route. This would remain economically advantageous as long as fuel represented a small percentage of operational costs, as it did at the time. STAC suggested that two designs naturally fell out of their work, a transatlantic model flying at about Mach 2, and a shorter-range version flying at perhaps Mach 1.2. Morgan suggested that a 150 passenger transatlantic SST would cost about £75 to £90 million to develop to production, and be in service in 1970. The smaller 100 passenger short-range version would cost perhaps £50 to £80 million, and be ready for service in 1968. To meet this schedule, development would need to begin in 1960, with production contracts let in 1962.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Morgan strongly suggested that the US was already involved in a similar project, and that if the UK failed to respond it would be locked out of an airliner market that he believed would be dominated by SST aircraft.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

In 1959, a study contract was awarded to [Hawker Siddeley](/wiki/Hawker_Siddeley) and [Bristol](/wiki/Bristol_Aeroplane_Company) for preliminary designs based on the slender delta concept,[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) which developed as the [HSA.1000](/wiki/Hawker_Siddeley_HSA.1000) and [Bristol 198](/wiki/Bristol_223). [Armstrong Whitworth](/wiki/Armstrong_Whitworth) also responded with an internal design, the *M-Wing*, for the lower-speed shorter-range category. Even at this early time, both the STAC group and the government were looking for partners to develop the designs. In September 1959, Hawker approached [Lockheed](/wiki/Lockheed_Corporation), and after the creation of [British Aircraft Corporation](/wiki/British_Aircraft_Corporation) in 1960, the former Bristol team immediately started talks with [Boeing](/wiki/Boeing), [General Dynamics](/wiki/General_Dynamics), [Douglas Aircraft](/wiki/Douglas_Aircraft) and [Sud Aviation](/wiki/Sud_Aviation).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

### Ogee planform selected[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=5)]

Küchemann and others at the RAE continued their work on the slender delta throughout, considering three basic shapes; the classic straight-edge delta, the "gothic delta" that was rounded outwards to appear like a [gothic arch](/wiki/Gothic_architecture#Equilateral_arch), and the "ogival wing" that was compound-rounded into the shape of an [ogee](/wiki/Ogee). Each of these planforms had their own advantages and disadvantages in terms of aerodynamics. As they worked with these shapes, a practical concern grew to become so important that it forced selection of one of these designs.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Generally one wants to have the wing's [centre of pressure](/wiki/Center_of_pressure_(fluid_mechanics)) (CP, or "lift point") close to the aircraft's [centre of gravity](/wiki/Centre_of_gravity) (CG, or "balance point") to reduce the amount of control force required to [pitch](/wiki/Pitch_axis_(kinematics)) the aircraft. As the aircraft layout changes during the design phase, it is common for the CG to move fore or aft. With a normal wing design this can be addressed by moving the wing slightly fore or aft to account for this.<ref group=N>Or, more rarely, "bent" back into position. Examples include the [Douglas DC-3](/wiki/Douglas_DC-3) and [Messerschmitt Me 262](/wiki/Messerschmitt_Me_262).</ref> With a delta wing running most of the length of the fuselage, this was no longer easy; moving the wing would leave it in front of the nose or behind the tail. Studying the various layouts in terms of CG changes, both during design and changes due to fuel use during flight, the ogee planform immediately came to the fore.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

While the wing planform was evolving, so was the basic SST concept. Bristol's original Type 198 was a small design with an almost pure slender delta wing,[[5]](#cite_note-5) but evolved into the larger [Type 223](/wiki/Bristol_Type_223) with an ogival wing and [canards](/wiki/Canard_(aeronautics)) as well.

### Partnership with Sud[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=6)]

By this time similar political and economic concerns in France had led to their own SST plans. In the late 1950s the government requested designs from both the government-owned Sud and [Nord](/wiki/Nord_Aviation), as well as [Dassault](/wiki/Dassault).<ref group=N>This apparently took place some time in 1957, according to Conway's unclear statement about "the following year" which apparently references the first STAC meeting in late 1956.</ref> All three returned designs based on Küchemann and Weber's slender delta; Nord suggested a [ramjet](/wiki/Ramjet) powered design flying at Mach 3, the other two were jet powered Mach 2 designs that were similar to each other. Of the three, the [Sud Aviation Super-Caravelle](/wiki/Sud_Aviation_Super-Caravelle) won the design contest with a medium-range design deliberately sized to avoid competition with transatlantic US designs they assumed were already on the drawing board.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

As soon as the design was complete, in April 1960, Pierre Satre, the company's technical director, was sent to Bristol to discuss a partnership. Bristol was surprised to find that the Sud team had designed a very similar aircraft after considering the SST problem and coming to the very same conclusions as the Bristol and STAC teams in terms of economics. It was later revealed that the original STAC report, marked "For UK Eyes Only", had secretly been passed to the French to win political favour. Sud made minor changes to the paper, and presented it as their own work.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Unsurprisingly, the two teams found much to agree on. The French had no modern large jet engines, and had already concluded they would buy a British design anyway (as they had on the earlier subsonic [Caravelle](/wiki/Sud_Aviation_Caravelle)).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) As neither company had experience in the use of high-heat metals for airframes, a maximum speed of around Mach 2 was selected so aluminium could be used – above this speed the friction with the air warms the metal so much that aluminium begins to soften. This lower speed would also speed development and allow their design to fly before the Americans. Finally, everyone involved agreed that Küchemann's [ogee](/wiki/Ogee) shaped wing was the right one.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

The only disagreements were over the size and range. The UK team was still focused on a 150 passenger design serving transatlantic routes, while the French were deliberately avoiding these. However, this proved not to be the barrier it might seem; common components could be used in both designs, with the shorter range version using a clipped fuselage and four engines, the longer one with a stretched fuselage and six engines, leaving only the wing to be extensively re-designed.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) The teams continued to meet through 1961, and by this time it was clear that the two aircraft would be considerably more similar in spite of different range and seating arrangements. A single design emerged that differed primarily in fuel load. More powerful [Bristol Siddeley Olympus](/wiki/Rolls-Royce_Olympus) engines, being developed for the [TSR-2](/wiki/TSR-2), allowed either design to be powered by only four engines.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

### Cabinet response, treaty[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=7)]

While the development teams met, French Minister of Public Works and Transport [Robert Buron](/wiki/Robert_Buron) was meeting with the UK Minister of Aviation [Peter Thorneycroft](/wiki/Peter_Thorneycroft), and Thorneycroft soon revealed to the cabinet that the French were much more serious about a partnership than any of the US companies.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) The various US companies had proved uninterested in such a venture, likely due to the belief that the government would be funding development and would frown on any partnership with a European company, and the risk of "giving away" US technological leadership to a European partner.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

When the STAC plans were presented to the UK cabinet, a very negative reaction resulted. The economic considerations were considered highly questionable, especially as these were based on development costs, now estimated to be £150 million, which were repeatedly overrun in the industry. The Treasury Ministry in particular presented a very negative view, suggesting that there was no way the project would have any positive financial returns for the government, especially in light that "the industry's past record of over-optimistic estimating (including the recent history of the TSR.2) suggests that it would be prudent to consider the £150 million [cost] to turn out much too low."[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

This concern led to an independent review of the project by the Committee on Civil Scientific Research and Development, which met on topic between July and September 1962. The Committee ultimately rejected the economic arguments, including considerations of supporting the industry made by Thorneycroft. Their report in October stated that it was unlikely there would be any direct positive economic outcome, but that the project should still be considered for the simple reason that everyone else was going supersonic, and they were concerned they would be locked out of future markets. Conversely, it appeared the project would not be likely to significantly impact other, more important, research efforts.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

After considerable argument, the decision to proceed ultimately fell to an unlikely political expediency. At the time, the UK was pressing for admission to the [European Common Market](/wiki/European_Common_Market), which was being controlled by [Charles de Gaulle](/wiki/Charles_de_Gaulle) who felt the UK's [Special Relationship](/wiki/Special_Relationship) with the US made them unacceptable in a pan-European group. Cabinet felt that signing a deal with Sud would pave the way for Common Market entry, and this became the main deciding reason for moving ahead with the deal.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) It was this belief that had led the original STAC documents being leaked to the French. However, De Gaulle spoke of the European origin of the design, and continued to block the UK's entry into the Common Market.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

The development project was negotiated as an international treaty between the two countries rather than a commercial agreement between companies and included a clause, originally asked for by the UK, imposing heavy penalties for cancellation. A draft treaty was signed on 29 November 1962.[[6]](#cite_note-6)

### Naming[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=8)]

Reflecting the treaty between the British and French governments that led to Concorde's construction, the name *Concorde* is from the French word [*concorde*](/wiki/Wikt:concorde#French) ([Template:IPA-fr](/wiki/Template:IPA-fr)), which has an English equivalent, [*concord*](/wiki/Wikt:concord#English). Both words mean *agreement*, *harmony* or *union*. The name was officially changed to *Concord* by [Harold Macmillan](/wiki/Harold_Macmillan) in response to a perceived slight by [Charles de Gaulle](/wiki/Charles_de_Gaulle). At the French roll-out in [Toulouse](/wiki/Toulouse) in late 1967,<ref name=ssccunv>[Template:Cite news](/wiki/Template:Cite_news)</ref> the British Government [Minister for Technology](/wiki/Minister_for_Technology), [Tony Benn](/wiki/Tony_Benn), announced that he would change the spelling back to *Concorde*.[[7]](#cite_note-7) This created a nationalist uproar that died down when Benn stated that the suffixed 'e' represented "Excellence, England, Europe and [Entente (Cordiale)](/wiki/Entente_Cordiale)." In his memoirs, he recounts a tale of a letter from an irate Scotsman claiming: "[Y]ou talk about 'E' for England, but part of it is made in Scotland." Given Scotland's contribution of providing the nose cone for the aircraft, Benn replied, "[I]t was also 'E' for '[Écosse'](/wiki/Wikt:Écosse#French) (the French name for Scotland)  – and I might have added 'e' for extravagance and 'e' for escalation as well!"[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Concorde also acquired an unusual [nomenclature](/wiki/Nomenclature) for an aircraft. In common usage in the United Kingdom, the type is known as *Concorde* without an [article](/wiki/Article_(grammar)), rather than ***the*** *Concorde* or ***a*** *Concorde*.[[8]](#cite_note-8)[[9]](#cite_note-9)

### Sales efforts[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=9)]

[thumb|right|upright=1.15|](/wiki/File:British_Concorde.jpg)[British Airways](/wiki/British_Airways) Concorde in early BA livery at [London-Heathrow Airport](/wiki/London-Heathrow_Airport), in the 1980s

At first, the new consortium intended to produce one long-range and one short-range version. However, prospective customers showed no interest in the short-range version and it was dropped.[[6]](#cite_note-6) An advertisement covering two full pages, promoting Concorde, ran in 29 May 1967 issue of *Aviation Week & Space Technology*. The advertisement predicted a market for 350 aircraft by 1980 and boasted of Concorde's head start over the United States' SST project.[[10]](#cite_note-10) The consortium secured orders (i.e., non-binding options) for over 100 of the long-range version from the major airlines of the day: [Pan Am](/wiki/Pan_American_World_Airways), [BOAC](/wiki/British_Overseas_Airways_Corporation), and Air France were the launch customers, with six Concordes each. Other airlines in the order book included [Panair do Brasil](/wiki/Panair_do_Brasil), [Continental Airlines](/wiki/Continental_Airlines), [Japan Airlines](/wiki/Japan_Airlines), [Lufthansa](/wiki/Lufthansa), [American Airlines](/wiki/American_Airlines), [United Airlines](/wiki/United_Airlines), [Air India](/wiki/Air_India), [Air Canada](/wiki/Air_Canada), [Braniff](/wiki/Braniff_International_Airways), [Singapore Airlines](/wiki/Singapore_Airlines), [Iran Air](/wiki/Iran_Air), [Olympic Airways](/wiki/Olympic_Airways), [Qantas](/wiki/Qantas), [CAAC](/wiki/Civil_Aviation_Administration_of_China), [Middle East Airlines](/wiki/Middle_East_Airlines), and [TWA](/wiki/Trans_World_Airlines).[[6]](#cite_note-6)[[11]](#cite_note-11)[[12]](#cite_note-12) At the time of the first flight the options list contained 74 options from 16 airlines:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Airline** | **Number** | **Reserved** | **Cancelled** | **Remarks** |
| Panair do Brasil | 3 | Oct 1961 | 10 February 1965 |  |
| Pan Am | 6 | 3 June 1963 | 31 January 1973 | 2 extra options in 1964 |
| Air France | 6 | 3 June 1963 |  | 2 extra options in 1964 |
| BOAC | 6 | 3 June 1963 |  | 2 extra options in 1964 |
| Continental Airlines | 3 | 24 July 1963 | Mar 1973 |  |
| American Airlines | 4 | 7 October 1963 | Feb 1973 | 2 extra options in 1965 |
| TWA | 4 | 16 October 1963 | 31 January 1973 | 2 extra options in 1965 |
| Middle East Airlines | 2 | 4 December 1963 | Feb 1973 |  |
| Qantas | 6 | 19 March 1964 |  | 2 cancelled in May 1966 |
| Air India | 2 | 15 July 1964 | Feb 1975 |  |
| Japan Airlines | 3 | 30 September 1965 | 1973 |  |
| Sabena | 2 | 1 December 1965 | Feb 1973 |  |
| Eastern Airlines | 2 | 28 June 1966 | Feb 1973 | 2 extra options on 15 August 1966 2 other extra options on 28 April 1967 |
| United Airlines | 6 | 29 June 1966 | 26 October 1972 |  |
| Braniff | 3 | 1 September 1966 | Feb 1973 |  |
| Lufthansa | 3 | 16 February 1967 | Apr 1973 |  |
| Air Canada | 4 | 1 March 1967 | 6 June 1972[[13]](#cite_note-13) |  |

### Testing[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=10)]

The design work was supported by a preceding research programme studying the flight characteristics of low ratio [delta wings](/wiki/Delta_wing). A supersonic [Fairey Delta 2](/wiki/Fairey_Delta_2) was modified and – as the BAC 221 – was used for flight tests of the high speed flight envelope,[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) the [Handley Page HP.115](/wiki/Handley_Page_HP.115) also provided valuable information on low speed performance.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Construction of two prototypes began in February 1965: 001, built by Aérospatiale at Toulouse, and 002, by BAC at [Filton](/wiki/Filton), Bristol. Concorde 001 made its first test flight from Toulouse on 2 March 1969, piloted by [André Turcat](/wiki/André_Turcat),[[14]](#cite_note-14) and first went supersonic on 1 October.[[15]](#cite_note-15) The first UK-built Concorde flew from Filton to [RAF Fairford](/wiki/RAF_Fairford) on 9 April 1969, piloted by [Brian Trubshaw](/wiki/Brian_Trubshaw).[[16]](#cite_note-16)[[17]](#cite_note-17) Both prototypes were presented to the public for the first time on 7–8 June 1969 at the [Paris Air Show](/wiki/Paris_Air_Show). As the flight programme progressed, 001 embarked on a sales and demonstration tour on 4 September 1971, which was also the first transatlantic crossing of Concorde.[[18]](#cite_note-18)[[19]](#cite_note-19) Concorde 002 followed suit on 2 June 1972 with a tour of the Middle and Far East.[[20]](#cite_note-20) Concorde 002 made the first visit to the United States in 1973, landing at the new [Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Airport](/wiki/Dallas/Fort_Worth_International_Airport) to mark that airport's opening.[[21]](#cite_note-21) [thumb|left|Concorde's first visit to Heathrow Airport on 1 July 1972](/wiki/File:Concorde_first_visit_Heathrow_Fitzgerald.jpg) While Concorde had initially held a great deal of customer interest, the project was hit by a large number of order cancellations. The [Paris Le Bourget air show crash](/wiki/1973_Paris_Air_Show_crash) of the competing Soviet [Tupolev Tu-144](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-144) had shocked potential buyers, and public concern over the environmental issues presented by a supersonic aircraft – the [sonic boom](/wiki/Sonic_boom), take-off noise and pollution – had produced a shift in public opinion of SSTs. By 1976 four nations remained as prospective buyers: Britain, France, China, and Iran.[[22]](#cite_note-22) Only Air France and British Airways (the successor to BOAC) took up their orders, with the two governments taking a cut of any profits made.[[23]](#cite_note-23) The United States cancelled the [Boeing 2707](/wiki/Boeing_2707), its rival supersonic transport programme, in 1971. Observers have suggested that opposition to Concorde on grounds of noise pollution had been encouraged by the United States Government, as it lacked its own competitor.[[24]](#cite_note-24) The US, India, and Malaysia all ruled out Concorde supersonic flights over the noise concern, although some of these restrictions were later relaxed.[[25]](#cite_note-25)[[26]](#cite_note-26) Professor Douglas Ross characterised restrictions placed upon Concorde operations by President [Jimmy Carter's](/wiki/Jimmy_Carter) administration as having been an act of [protectionism](/wiki/Protectionism) of American aircraft manufacturers.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Concorde flew to an altitude of 68,000 ft (20,700 m) during a test flight in June 1973.[[27]](#cite_note-27) Concorde had other considerable difficulties that led to its dismal sales performance. Costs had spiralled during development to more than six times the original projections, arriving at a unit cost of £23 million in 1977.[[28]](#cite_note-28) World events had also dampened Concorde sales prospects, the [1973 oil crisis](/wiki/1973_oil_crisis) made many airlines think twice about aircraft with high fuel consumption rates; and new [wide-body aircraft](/wiki/Wide-body_aircraft), such as the [Boeing 747](/wiki/Boeing_747), had recently made subsonic aircraft significantly more efficient and presented a low-risk option for airlines.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) While carrying a full load, Concorde achieved 15.8 [passenger miles](/wiki/Passenger_miles) per gallon of fuel, while the [Boeing 707](/wiki/Boeing_707) reached 33.3 pm/g, the Boeing 747 46.4 pm/g, and the [McDonnell Douglas DC-10](/wiki/McDonnell_Douglas_DC-10) 53.6 pm/g.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) An emerging trend in the industry in favour of cheaper airline tickets had also caused airlines such as Qantas to question Concorde's market suitability.[[29]](#cite_note-29)

## Design[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=11)]

[thumb|Concorde flight deck layout](/wiki/File:ConcordeCockpitSinsheim.jpg)

### General features[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=12)]

Concorde is an [ogival delta](/wiki/Ogival_delta) winged aircraft with four [Olympus](/wiki/Rolls-Royce/Snecma_Olympus_593) engines based on those employed in the RAF's [Avro Vulcan](/wiki/Avro_Vulcan) [strategic bomber](/wiki/Strategic_bomber). It is one of the few commercial aircraft to employ a [tailless design](/wiki/Tailless_aircraft) (the [Tupolev Tu-144](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-144) being another). Concorde was the first airliner to have a (in this case, analogue) [fly-by-wire](/wiki/Fly-by-wire) flight-control system; the [avionics](/wiki/Avionics) system the Concorde used was unique because it was the first commercial aircraft to employ [hybrid circuits](/wiki/Hybrid_integrated_circuit).[[30]](#cite_note-30) The principal designer for the project was Pierre Satre, with [Sir Archibald Russell](/wiki/Archibald_Russell) as his deputy.[[31]](#cite_note-31) Concorde pioneered the following technologies:

For high speed and optimisation of flight:

* [Double delta](/wiki/Double_delta) ([ogee](/wiki/Ogee)/ogival) shaped wings[[4]](#cite_note-4)\* Variable engine air [intake ramp](/wiki/Intake_ramp) system controlled by [digital computers](/wiki/Digital_computer)<ref name=nova/>
* [Supercruise](/wiki/Supercruise) capability[[32]](#cite_note-32)\* Thrust-by-wire engines, predecessor of today's [FADEC](/wiki/FADEC)-controlled engines<ref name=nova/>
* [Droop-nose](/wiki/Droop-nose) section for better landing visibility

For weight-saving and enhanced performance:

* [Mach](/wiki/Mach_number) 2.04 (~[Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert)) cruising speed[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) for optimum fuel consumption (supersonic drag minimum although turbojet engines are more efficient at higher speed[[33]](#cite_note-33)) Fuel consumption at Mach 2.0 and at altitude of 60,000 feet (18,000 m) was 4,800 gallons per hour (22,000 L/h).[[34]](#cite_note-34)\* Mainly aluminium construction for low weight and conventional manufacture (higher speeds would have ruled out aluminium)[[35]](#cite_note-35)\* Full-regime [autopilot](/wiki/Autopilot) and [autothrottle](/wiki/Autothrottle)[[36]](#cite_note-36) allowing "hands off" control of the aircraft from climb out to landing
* Fully electrically controlled analogue [fly-by-wire](/wiki/Aircraft_flight_control_systems#Fly-by-wire_control_systems) flight controls systems[[30]](#cite_note-30)\* High-pressure hydraulic system of 28 MPa (4,000 lbf/in²) for lighter hydraulic components,[[37]](#cite_note-37) tripled independent systems ("Blue", "Green", and "Yellow") for redundancy, with an emergency [ram air turbine](/wiki/Ram_air_turbine) (RAT) stored in the port-inner elevon jack fairing supplying "Green" and "Yellow" as backup.[[38]](#cite_note-38)\* Complex [Air data computer](/wiki/Air_data_computer) (ADC) for the automated monitoring and transmission of aerodynamic measurements (total pressure, [static pressure](/wiki/Static_pressure), [angle of attack](/wiki/Angle_of_attack), side-slip).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)
* Fully electrically controlled analogue [brake-by-wire](/wiki/Brake-by-wire) system[[39]](#cite_note-39)\* Pitch trim by shifting fuel around the fuselage for [centre-of-gravity](/wiki/Center_of_mass#Center_of_gravity) (CofG) control at the approach to Mach 1 and above with no drag penalty.[[40]](#cite_note-40)\* Parts made using "[sculpture milling](/wiki/Milling_machine)", reducing the part count while saving weight and adding strength.[[41]](#cite_note-41)\* No [auxiliary power unit](/wiki/Auxiliary_power_unit), as Concorde would only visit large airports where [ground air start carts](/wiki/Ground_support_equipment#Air_Start_Unit_(ASU)) are available.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

### Powerplant[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=13)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image) [Template:Main article](/wiki/Template:Main_article)

Concorde needed to fly long distances to be economically viable; this required high efficiency. [Turbofan](/wiki/Turbofan) engines were rejected due to their larger cross-section producing excessive drag. [Turbojets](/wiki/Turbojet) were found to be the best choice of engines.[[42]](#cite_note-42) The engine used was the twin spool [Rolls-Royce/Snecma Olympus 593](/wiki/Rolls-Royce/Snecma_Olympus_593), a development of the Bristol engine first used for the [Avro Vulcan](/wiki/Avro_Vulcan) bomber, and developed into an afterburning supersonic variant for the [BAC TSR-2](/wiki/BAC_TSR-2) strike bomber.[[43]](#cite_note-43) Rolls-Royce's own engine proposed for the aircraft at the time of Concorde's initial design was the RB.169.[[44]](#cite_note-44) The aircraft used reheat ([afterburners](/wiki/Afterburner)) at take-off and to pass through the upper [transonic](/wiki/Transonic) regime and to supersonic speeds, between Mach 0.95 and Mach 1.7. The afterburners were switched off at all other times.[[45]](#cite_note-45) Due to jet engines being [highly inefficient at low speeds](/wiki/Propulsive_efficiency), Concorde burned two tonnes of fuel (almost 2% of the maximum fuel load) taxiing to the runway.[[46]](#cite_note-46) Fuel used is [Jet A-1](/wiki/Jet_fuel). Due to the high thrust produced even with the engines at idle, only the two outer engines were run after landing for easier taxiing and less [brake pad](/wiki/Brake_pad) wear - at low weights after landing the aircraft would not remain stationary with all four engines idling requiring the brakes to be continuously applied to prevent the aircraft moving.

The intake design for Concorde's engines was especially critical.[[47]](#cite_note-47) The intakes had to provide low distortion levels (to prevent engine surge) and high efficiency for all likely ambient temperatures to be met in cruise. They had to provide adequate subsonic performance for diversion cruise and low engine-face distortion at take-off. They also had to provide an alternate path for excess intake air during engine throttling or shutdowns.[[48]](#cite_note-48) The variable intake features required to meet all these requirements consisted of front and rear ramps, a dump door, an auxiliary inlet and a ramp bleed to the exhaust nozzle.[[49]](#cite_note-49) As well as supplying air to the engine, the intake also supplied air through the ramp bleed to the propelling nozzle. The nozzle ejector (or aerodynamic) design, with variable exit area and secondary flow from the intake, contributed to good expansion efficiency from take-off to cruise.[[50]](#cite_note-50) Engine failure causes problems on conventional [subsonic aircraft](/wiki/Subsonic_aircraft); not only does the aircraft lose thrust on that side but the engine creates drag, causing the aircraft to yaw and bank in the direction of the failed engine. If this had happened to Concorde at supersonic speeds, it theoretically could have caused a catastrophic failure of the airframe. Although computer simulations predicted considerable problems, in practice Concorde could shut down both engines on the same side of the aircraft at Mach 2 without the predicted difficulties.[[51]](#cite_note-51) During an engine failure the required air intake is virtually zero so, on Concorde, engine failure was countered by the opening of the auxiliary spill door and the full extension of the ramps, which deflected the air downwards past the engine, gaining lift and minimising drag. Concorde pilots were routinely trained to handle double engine failure.[[52]](#cite_note-52) Concorde's Air Intake Control Units (AICUs) made use of a digital processor to provide the necessary accuracy for intake control. It was the world's first use of a digital processor to be given full authority control of an essential system in a passenger aircraft. It was developed by the Electronics and Space Systems (ESS) division of the British Aircraft Corporation after it became clear that the analogue AICUs fitted to the prototype aircraft and developed by [Ultra Electronics](/wiki/Ultra_Electronics) were found to be insufficiently accurate for the tasks in hand.[[53]](#cite_note-53) Concorde's thrust-by-wire engine control system was also developed by [Ultra Electronics](/wiki/Ultra_Electronics).[[54]](#cite_note-54)

### Heating issues[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=14)]

Air compression on the outer surfaces caused the cabin to heat up during flight. Every surface, such as windows and panels, was warm to the touch by the end of the flight.[[55]](#cite_note-55) Besides engines, the hottest part of the structure of any supersonic aircraft, due to [aerodynamic heating](/wiki/Aerodynamic_heating), is the [nose](/wiki/Nose_cone). The engineers used [Hiduminium R.R. 58](/wiki/Hiduminium), an aluminium alloy, throughout the aircraft due to its familiarity, cost and ease of construction. The highest temperature that aluminium could sustain over the life of the aircraft was [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), which limited the top speed to Mach 2.02.[[56]](#cite_note-56) Concorde went through two cycles of heating and cooling during a flight, first cooling down as it gained altitude, then heating up after going supersonic. The reverse happened when descending and slowing down. This had to be factored into the metallurgical and [fatigue](/wiki/Fatigue_(material)) modelling. A test rig was built that repeatedly heated up a full-size section of the wing, and then cooled it, and periodically samples of metal were taken for testing.[[57]](#cite_note-57)[[58]](#cite_note-58) The Concorde airframe was designed for a life of 45,000 flying hours.[[59]](#cite_note-59) [thumb|Concorde skin temperatures](/wiki/File:Concorde_-_airframe_temperatures.svg) Owing to [air compression](/wiki/Ram_pressure) in front of the plane as it travelled at [supersonic speed](/wiki/Supersonic_speed), the fuselage heated up and [expanded](/wiki/Thermal_expansion) by as much as 300 mm (almost 1 ft). The most obvious manifestation of this was a gap that opened up on the flight deck between the [flight engineer's](/wiki/Flight_engineer) console and the bulkhead. On some aircraft that conducted a retiring supersonic flight, the flight engineers placed their caps in this expanded gap, wedging the cap when it shrank again.[[60]](#cite_note-60) To keep the cabin cool, Concorde used the fuel as a [heat sink](/wiki/Heat_sink) for the heat from the air conditioning.[[61]](#cite_note-61) The same method also cooled the hydraulics. During supersonic flight the surfaces forward from the cockpit became heated, and a visor was used to deflect much of this heat from directly reaching the cockpit.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

Concorde had [livery](/wiki/Aircraft_livery) restrictions; the majority of the surface had to be covered with a [highly reflective white](/wiki/Anti-flash_white) paint to avoid overheating the aluminium structure due to heating effects from supersonic flight at Mach 2. The white finish reduced the skin temperature by 6 to 11 degrees Celsius.[[62]](#cite_note-62) In 1996, Air France briefly painted F-BTSD in a predominantly blue livery, with the exception of the wings, in a promotional deal with [Pepsi](/wiki/Pepsi).[[63]](#cite_note-63) In this paint scheme, Air France were advised to remain at Mach 2 for no more than 20 minutes at a time, but there was no restriction at speeds under Mach 1.7. F-BTSD was used because it was not scheduled for any long flights that required extended Mach 2 operations.[[64]](#cite_note-64)

### Structural issues[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=15)]

[thumb|upright|Fuel pitch trim](/wiki/File:Concorde_fuel_trim.svg)

Due to its high speeds, large forces were applied to the aircraft during banks and turns, and caused twisting and distortion of the aircraft's structure. In addition there were concerns over maintaining precise control at supersonic speeds. Both of these issues were resolved by active ratio changes between the inboard and outboard [elevons](/wiki/Elevon), varying at differing speeds including supersonic. Only the innermost elevons, which are attached to the stiffest area of the wings, were active at high speed.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Additionally, the narrow fuselage meant that the aircraft flexed.[[65]](#cite_note-65) This was visible from the rear passengers' viewpoints.[[66]](#cite_note-66) When any aircraft passes the [critical mach](/wiki/Critical_mach) of that particular airframe, the [centre of pressure](/wiki/Center_of_pressure_(fluid_mechanics)) shifts rearwards. This causes a pitch down force on the aircraft if the centre of mass remains where it was. The engineers designed the wings in a specific manner to reduce this shift, but there was still a shift of about 2 metres. This could have been countered by the use of [trim controls](/wiki/Trim_tab), but at such high speeds this would have dramatically increased drag. Instead, the distribution of fuel along the aircraft was shifted during acceleration and deceleration to move the centre of mass, effectively acting as an auxiliary trim control.[[67]](#cite_note-67)

### Range[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=16)]

To fly non-stop across the Atlantic Ocean, Concorde required the greatest supersonic [range](/wiki/Range_(aeronautics)) of any aircraft.[[68]](#cite_note-68) This was achieved by a combination of engines which were highly efficient at supersonic speeds,[Template:Refn](/wiki/Template:Refn)<ref name=nova/> a slender fuselage with high [fineness ratio](/wiki/Fineness_ratio), and a complex wing shape for a high [lift-to-drag ratio](/wiki/Lift-to-drag_ratio). This also required carrying only a modest payload and a high fuel capacity, and the aircraft was trimmed with precision to avoid unnecessary drag.[[4]](#cite_note-4)[[67]](#cite_note-67) Nevertheless, soon after Concorde began flying, a Concorde "B" model was designed with slightly larger fuel capacity and slightly larger wings with [leading edge slats](/wiki/Leading_edge_slats) to improve aerodynamic performance at all speeds, with the objective of expanding the range to reach markets in new regions.[[69]](#cite_note-69) It featured more powerful engines with sound deadening and without the fuel-hungry and noisy [afterburner](/wiki/Afterburner). It was speculated that it was reasonably possible to create an engine with up to 25% gain in efficiency over the Rolls-Royce/Snecma Olympus 593.[[70]](#cite_note-70) This would have given [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) additional range and a greater payload, making new commercial routes possible. This was cancelled due in part to poor sales of Concorde, but also to the rising cost of aviation fuel in the 1970s.[[71]](#cite_note-71)

### Radiation concerns[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=17)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image)

Concorde's high cruising altitude meant passengers received almost twice the [flux](/wiki/Flux) of extraterrestrial [ionising radiation](/wiki/Ionising_radiation) as those travelling on a conventional long-haul flight.[[72]](#cite_note-72)[[73]](#cite_note-73) Upon Concorde's introduction, it was speculated that this exposure during supersonic travels would increase the likelihood of skin cancer.[[74]](#cite_note-74) Due to the proportionally reduced flight time, the overall [equivalent dose](/wiki/Equivalent_dose) would normally be less than a conventional flight over the same distance.[[75]](#cite_note-75) Unusual [solar activity](/wiki/Solar_variation) might lead to an increase in incident radiation.[[76]](#cite_note-76) To prevent incidents of excessive radiation exposure, the flight deck had a radiometer and an instrument to measure the rate of decrease of radiation.[[73]](#cite_note-73) If the radiation level became too high, Concorde would descend below [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).

### Cabin pressurisation[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=18)]

Airliner cabins were usually maintained at a pressure equivalent to 6,000–8,000 feet (1,800–2,400 m) elevation. Concorde's pressurisation was set to an altitude at the lower end of this range, [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[77]](#cite_note-77) Concorde's maximum cruising altitude was [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert); subsonic airliners typically cruise below [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).

A sudden reduction in cabin pressure is hazardous to all passengers and crew.[[78]](#cite_note-78) Above [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), a sudden cabin depressurisation would leave a "[time of useful consciousness](/wiki/Time_of_useful_consciousness)" up to 10–15 seconds for a conditioned athlete.[[79]](#cite_note-79) At Concorde's altitude, the air density is very low; a breach of cabin integrity would result in a loss of pressure severe enough so that the plastic emergency oxygen masks installed on other passenger jets would not be effective and passengers would soon suffer from [hypoxia](/wiki/Hypoxia_(medical)) despite quickly donning them. Concorde was equipped with smaller windows to reduce the rate of loss in the event of a breach,[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) a reserve air supply system to augment cabin air pressure, and a rapid descent procedure to bring the aircraft to a safe altitude. The FAA enforces minimum emergency descent rates for aircraft and noting Concorde's higher operating altitude, concluded that the best response to pressure loss would be a rapid descent.[[80]](#cite_note-80) [Continuous positive airway pressure](/wiki/Continuous_positive_airway_pressure) would have delivered pressurised oxygen directly to the pilots through masks.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

### Flight characteristics[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=19)]

[thumb|left|Concorde performing a low-level flypast at an air show in August 1981](/wiki/File:Concorde_at_Baginton_-_geograph.org.uk_-_156846.jpg)

While subsonic commercial jets took eight hours to fly from New York to Paris, the average supersonic flight time on the transatlantic routes was just under 3.5 hours. Concorde had a maximum cruise altitude of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) and an average cruise speed of Mach 2.02, about 1155 [knots](/wiki/Knot_(unit)) (2140 km/h or 1334 mph), more than twice the speed of conventional aircraft.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

With no other civil traffic operating at its cruising altitude of about [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), dedicated [oceanic airways](/wiki/North_Atlantic_Tracks) or "tracks" were used by Concorde to cross the Atlantic. Due to the nature of high altitude winds, these SST tracks were fixed in terms of their co-ordinates, unlike the [North Atlantic Tracks](/wiki/North_Atlantic_Tracks) at lower altitudes whose co-ordinates alter daily according to forecast weather patterns ([jetstreams](/wiki/Jetstream)).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Concorde would also be cleared in a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) block, allowing for a slow climb from 45,000 to [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) during the oceanic crossing as the fuel load gradually decreased.[[81]](#cite_note-81) In regular service, Concorde employed an efficient *cruise-climb* flight profile following take-off.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

The delta-shaped wings required Concorde to adopt a higher [angle of attack](/wiki/Angle_of_attack) at low speeds than conventional aircraft, but it allowed the formation of large low pressure vortices over the entire upper wing surface, maintaining lift.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) The normal landing speed was [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Because of this high angle, during a landing approach Concorde was on the "back side" of the [drag force](/wiki/Parasitic_drag) curve, where raising the nose would increase the rate of descent; the aircraft was thus largely flown on the throttle and was fitted with an autothrottle to reduce the pilot's workload.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

[Template:Quote](/wiki/Template:Quote)

### Brakes and undercarriage[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=20)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image) Because of the way Concorde's delta-wing generated lift, the undercarriage had to be unusually strong. At [rotation](/wiki/Rotation_(aviation)), Concorde would rise to a high angle of attack, about 18 degrees. Prior to rotation the wing generated almost no lift, unlike typical aircraft wings. Combined with the high airspeed at rotation (199 knots [indicated airspeed](/wiki/Indicated_airspeed)), this increased the stresses on the rear undercarriage in a way that was initially unexpected during the development and required a major redesign.[[82]](#cite_note-82) Due to the high angle needed at rotation, a small set of wheels were added aft to prevent [tailstrikes](/wiki/Tailstrike). The rear main undercarriage units swing towards each other to be stowed but due to their great height also need to retract telescopically before swinging to clear each other when stowed.[[83]](#cite_note-83) The four main wheel tyres on each [bogie](/wiki/Bogie) unit are inflated to 232 lb/sq in. The twin-wheel nose undercarriage retracts forwards and its tyres are inflated to a pressure of 191 lb/sq in, and the wheel assembly carries a spray deflector to prevent standing water being thrown up into the engine intakes. The tyres are rated to 250 mph. The starboard nose wheel carries a single disc brake to halt wheel rotation during retraction of the undercarriage. The port nose wheel carries speed generators for the anti-skid braking system which prevents brake activation until nose and main wheels rotate at the same rate.

Additionally, due to the high average take-off speed of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), Concorde needed upgraded brakes. Like most airliners, Concorde has [anti-skid braking](/wiki/Anti-lock_braking_system) – a system which prevents the tyres from losing traction when the brakes are applied for greater control during roll-out. The brakes, developed by [Dunlop](/wiki/Dunlop_Rubber), were the first carbon-based brakes used on an airliner.[[84]](#cite_note-84) The use of carbon over equivalent steel brakes provided a weight-saving of [Template:Unit weight](/wiki/Template:Unit_weight).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Each wheel has multiple discs which are cooled by electric fans. Wheel sensors include brake overload, brake temperature, and tyre deflation. After a typical landing at Heathrow, brake temperatures were around 300–400 °C (572–752 °F). For landing Concorde required a minimum of 6,000 feet runway length, this in fact being considerably less than the shortest runway Concorde ever actually landed on, that of [Cardiff Airport](/wiki/Cardiff_Airport).[[85]](#cite_note-85)

### Droop nose[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=21)]

[Template:Main article](/wiki/Template:Main_article)

Concorde's drooping nose, developed by [Marshall Aerospace](/wiki/Marshall_Aerospace),[[86]](#cite_note-86) enabled the aircraft to switch between being streamlined to reduce drag and achieve optimum aerodynamic efficiency, and not obstructing the pilot's view during taxi, take-off, and landing operations. Due to the high angle of attack, the long pointed nose obstructed the view and necessitated the capability to droop. The droop nose was accompanied by a moving visor that retracted into the nose prior to being lowered. When the nose was raised to horizontal, the visor would rise in front of the cockpit windscreen for aerodynamic streamlining.[[86]](#cite_note-86) [thumb|left|Concorde landing at](/wiki/File:Concorde_landing_Farnborough_Fitzgerald.jpg) [Farnborough](/wiki/Farnborough_Aerodrome) in September 1974 A controller in the cockpit allowed the visor to be retracted and the nose to be lowered to 5° below the standard horizontal position for taxiing and take-off. Following take-off and after clearing the airport, the nose and visor were raised. Prior to landing, the visor was again retracted and the nose lowered to 12.5° below horizontal for maximum visibility. Upon landing the nose was raised to the five-degree position to avoid the possibility of damage.[[86]](#cite_note-86) The US [Federal Aviation Administration](/wiki/Federal_Aviation_Administration) had objected to the restrictive visibility of the visor used on the first two prototype Concordes and thus requiring alteration before the FAA would permit Concorde to serve US airports; this led to the redesigned visor used on the production and the four pre-production aircraft (101, 102, 201, and 202).[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) The nose window and visor glass needed to endure temperatures in excess of 100 °C (212 °F) at supersonic flight were developed by [Triplex](/wiki/Pilkington).[[87]](#cite_note-87)[Template:Clear left](/wiki/Template:Clear_left)

## Operational history[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=22)]

[Template:See also](/wiki/Template:See_also)

### Scheduled flights[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=23)]

[thumb|The official handover ceremony to British Airways of its first Concorde occurred on 15 January 1976 at Heathrow Airport](/wiki/File:British_Airways_Concorde_official_handover_ceremony_Fitzgerald.jpg) [thumb|Concorde in](/wiki/File:Singapore_Airlines_Concorde_Fitzgerald-1.jpg) [Singapore Airlines](/wiki/Singapore_Airlines) livery at [Heathrow](/wiki/Heathrow) in 1979 [thumb|Air France Concorde (F-BTSD) short-lived promotional Pepsi livery, April 1996](/wiki/File:Air_France_Concorde_(F-BTSD)_short-lived_Pepsi_logojet.jpg) [thumb|Concorde in](/wiki/File:Air_France_Aerospatiale_BAe_Concorde_101;_F-BVFB@ZRH;23.08.1998_(5888389391).jpg) [Zürich Airport](/wiki/Zürich_Airport) in 1998 [thumb|Air France Concorde at](/wiki/File:Aerospatiale-British_Aircraft_Corporation_Concorde,_Air_France_JP71122.jpg) [JFK Airport](/wiki/JFK_Airport) in 2003 [thumb|Air France Concorde at](/wiki/File:Air_France_Concorde_Jonsson.jpg) [CDG Airport](/wiki/Charles_de_Gaulle_Airport) in 2003

Scheduled flights began on 21 January 1976 on the London–[Bahrain](/wiki/Bahrain_International_Airport) and Paris–[Rio de Janeiro](/wiki/Rio_de_Janeiro–Galeão_International_Airport) (via [Dakar](/wiki/Dakar)) routes,[[88]](#cite_note-88) with BA flights using the [*Speedbird*](/wiki/Speedbird) *Concorde* call sign to notify air traffic control of the aircraft's unique abilities and restrictions, but the French using their normal call signs.[[89]](#cite_note-89) The Paris-[Caracas](/wiki/Caracas) route (via [Azores](/wiki/Azores)) began on 10 April. The [US Congress](/wiki/Congress_of_the_United_States) had just banned Concorde landings in the US, mainly due to citizen protest over [sonic booms](/wiki/Sonic_booms), preventing launch on the coveted North Atlantic routes. The US Secretary of Transportation, [William Coleman](/wiki/William_Thaddeus_Coleman,_Jr.), gave permission for Concorde service to [Washington Dulles International Airport](/wiki/Washington_Dulles_International_Airport), and Air France and British Airways simultaneously began service to Dulles on 24 May 1976.[[90]](#cite_note-90) When the US ban on JFK Concorde operations was lifted in February 1977, New York banned Concorde locally. The ban came to an end on 17 October 1977 when the [Supreme Court of the United States](/wiki/Supreme_Court_of_the_United_States) declined to overturn a lower court's ruling rejecting efforts by the [Port Authority](/wiki/Port_Authority_of_New_York_and_New_Jersey) and a grass-roots campaign led by [Carol Berman](/wiki/Carol_Berman) to continue the ban.[[91]](#cite_note-91) In spite of complaints about noise, the noise report noted that [Air Force One](/wiki/Air_Force_One), at the time a [Boeing VC-137](/wiki/Boeing_C-137_Stratoliner), was louder than Concorde at subsonic speeds and during take-off and landing.[[92]](#cite_note-92) Scheduled service from Paris and London to New York's [John F. Kennedy Airport](/wiki/JFK_International_Airport) began on 22 November 1977.[[93]](#cite_note-93) In 1977, British Airways and [Singapore Airlines](/wiki/Singapore_Airlines) shared a Concorde for flights between London and [Singapore International Airport](/wiki/Singapore_International_Airport) at Paya Lebar via Bahrain. The aircraft, BA's Concorde G-BOAD, was painted in Singapore Airlines livery on the port side and British Airways livery on the [starboard](/wiki/Starboard) side.[[94]](#cite_note-94)[[95]](#cite_note-95) The service was discontinued after three return flights because of noise complaints from the Malaysian government;[[96]](#cite_note-96) it could only be reinstated on a new route bypassing Malaysian airspace in 1979. A dispute with India prevented Concorde from reaching supersonic speeds in Indian airspace, so the route was eventually declared not viable and discontinued in 1980.[[97]](#cite_note-97) During the [Mexican oil boom](/wiki/Mexican_oil_boom), Air France flew Concorde twice weekly to Mexico City's [Benito Juárez International Airport](/wiki/Benito_Juárez_International_Airport) via Washington, DC, or New York City, from September 1978 to November 1982.[[98]](#cite_note-98)[[99]](#cite_note-99) The worldwide economic crisis during that period resulted in this route's cancellation; the last flights were almost empty. The routing between Washington or New York and Mexico City included a deceleration, from Mach 2.02 to Mach 0.95, to cross Florida subsonically and avoid creating a sonic boom over the state; Concorde then re-accelerated back to high speed while crossing the Gulf of Mexico. On 1 April 1989, on an around-the-world luxury tour charter, British Airways implemented changes to this routing that allowed G-BOAF to maintain Mach 2.02 by passing around Florida to the east and south. Periodically Concorde visited the region on similar chartered flights to Mexico City and Acapulco.[[100]](#cite_note-100) From December 1978 to May 1980, [Braniff International Airways](/wiki/Braniff_International_Airways) leased 11 Concordes, five from Air France and six from British Airways.[[101]](#cite_note-101) These were used on subsonic flights between [Dallas-Fort Worth](/wiki/Dallas-Fort_Worth_International_Airport) and [Washington Dulles International Airport](/wiki/Washington_Dulles_International_Airport), flown by Braniff flight crews.[[102]](#cite_note-102) Air France and British Airways crews then took over for the continuing supersonic flights to London and Paris.[[103]](#cite_note-103) The aircraft were registered in both the United States and their home countries; the European registration was covered while being operated by Braniff, retaining full AF/BA liveries. The flights were not profitable and typically less than 50% booked, forcing Braniff to end its tenure as the only US Concorde operator in May 1980.[[104]](#cite_note-104)[[105]](#cite_note-105) In its early years, the British Airways Concorde service had a greater number of "no shows" (passengers who booked a flight and then failed to appear at the gate for boarding) than any other aircraft in the fleet.[[106]](#cite_note-106)

### British Caledonian interest[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=24)]

Following the launch of British Airways Concorde services, Britain's other major airline, [British Caledonian](/wiki/British_Caledonian) (BCal), set up a task force headed by Gordon Davidson, BA's former Concorde director, to investigate the possibility of their own Concorde operations.[[107]](#cite_note-107)[[108]](#cite_note-108)[[109]](#cite_note-109) This was seen as particularly viable for the airline's long-haul network as there were two unsold aircraft then available for purchase.[[110]](#cite_note-110)[[111]](#cite_note-111)[[112]](#cite_note-112) One important reason for BCal's interest in Concorde was that the [British Government's 1976 aviation policy review](/wiki/British_Caledonian_in_the_1970s#Spheres_of_influence) had opened the possibility of BA setting up supersonic services in competition with BCal's established sphere of influence. To counteract this potential threat, BCal considered their own independent Concorde plans, as well as a partnership with BA.[[113]](#cite_note-113)[[114]](#cite_note-114) BCal were considered most likely to have set up a Concorde service on the Gatwick–Lagos route, a major source of revenue and profits within BCal's scheduled route network;[[115]](#cite_note-115)[[116]](#cite_note-116) BCal's Concorde task force did assess the viability of a daily supersonic service complementing the existing subsonic widebody service on this route.[[111]](#cite_note-111)[[114]](#cite_note-114)[[117]](#cite_note-117) BCal entered into a bid to acquire at least one Concorde.[[110]](#cite_note-110)[[112]](#cite_note-112)[[117]](#cite_note-117) However, BCal eventually arranged for two aircraft to be leased from BA and [Aérospatiale](/wiki/Aérospatiale) respectively, to be maintained by either BA or Air France. BCal's envisaged two-Concorde fleet would have required a high level of [aircraft utilisation](/wiki/Airline_cost_glossary#Aircraft_Utilization) to be cost-effective; therefore, BCal had decided to operate the second aircraft on a supersonic service between Gatwick and Atlanta, with a stopover at either Gander or Halifax.[[111]](#cite_note-111) Consideration was given to services to Houston and various points on its South American network at a later stage.[[117]](#cite_note-117)[[118]](#cite_note-118) Both supersonic services were to be launched at some point during 1980; however, steeply rising oil prices caused by the [1979 energy crisis](/wiki/1979_energy_crisis) led to BCal shelving their supersonic ambitions.[[114]](#cite_note-114)

### British Airways buys its Concordes outright[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=25)]

By around 1981 in the UK, the future for Concorde looked bleak. The British government had lost money operating Concorde every year, and moves were afoot to cancel the service entirely. A cost projection came back with greatly reduced metallurgical testing costs because the test rig for the wings had built up enough data to last for 30 years and could be shut down. Despite this, the government was not keen to continue. In 1983, BA's managing director, [Sir John King](/wiki/John_King,_Baron_King_of_Wartnaby), convinced the government to sell the aircraft outright to British Airways for £16.5 million plus the first year's profits.[[119]](#cite_note-119)[[120]](#cite_note-120) King recognised that, in Concorde, BA had a premier product that was underpriced. Market research had revealed that many customers thought Concorde was more expensive than it actually was; thus ticket prices were progressively raised to match these perceptions.<ref name=nova>[Template:Cite web](/wiki/Template:Cite_web)</ref> It is reported that British Airways then ran Concorde at a profit, unlike their French counterpart.[[121]](#cite_note-121)[[122]](#cite_note-122) Between 1984 and 1991, British Airways flew a thrice-weekly Concorde service between London and Miami, stopping at Washington Dulles International Airport.[[123]](#cite_note-123)[[124]](#cite_note-124) Until 2003, Air France and British Airways continued to operate the New York services daily. Concorde routinely flew to [Grantley Adams International Airport](/wiki/Grantley_Adams_International_Airport), [Barbados](/wiki/Barbados), during the winter holiday season.[[125]](#cite_note-125) Prior to the Air France Paris crash, several UK and French tour operators operated charter flights to European destinations on a regular basis;[[126]](#cite_note-126)[[127]](#cite_note-127) the charter business was viewed as lucrative by British Airways and Air France.[[128]](#cite_note-128) In 1997, British Airways held a promotional contest to mark the 10th anniversary of the airline's move into the private sector. The promotion was a lottery to fly to New York held for 190 tickets valued at £5,400 each, to be offered at £10. Contestants had to call a special hotline to compete with up to 20 million people.[[129]](#cite_note-129)

### Retirement[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=26)]

[thumb|Concorde's final flight: G-BOAF from Heathrow to Bristol, on 26 November 2003. The extremely high](/wiki/File:Concorde_on_Bristol.jpg) [fineness ratio](/wiki/Fineness_ratio) of the fuselage is evident.

On 10 April 2003, Air France and British Airways simultaneously announced that they would retire Concorde later that year.[[130]](#cite_note-130) They cited low passenger numbers following 25 July 2000 crash, the [slump in air travel](/wiki/Economic_effects_arising_from_the_September_11_attacks) following the [September 11 attacks](/wiki/September_11_attacks), and rising maintenance costs. Although Concorde was technologically advanced when introduced in the 1970s, 30 years later, its analogue cockpit was outdated. There had been little commercial pressure to upgrade Concorde due to a lack of competing aircraft, unlike other airliners of the same era such as the [Boeing 747](/wiki/Boeing 747).[[131]](#cite_note-131) By its retirement, it was the last aircraft in the British Airways fleet that had a [flight engineer](/wiki/Flight_engineer); other aircraft, such as the modernised [747-400](/wiki/747-400), had eliminated the role.[[132]](#cite_note-132) On 11 April 2003, [Virgin Atlantic](/wiki/Virgin_Atlantic) founder Sir [Richard Branson](/wiki/Richard_Branson) announced that the company was interested in purchasing British Airways' Concorde fleet for their nominal original price of £1 (US$1.57 in April 2003) each.[[133]](#cite_note-133)[[134]](#cite_note-134) British Airways dismissed the idea, prompting Virgin to increase their offer to £1 million each.[[135]](#cite_note-135)[[136]](#cite_note-136) Branson claimed that when BA was privatised, a clause in the agreement required them to allow another British airline to operate Concorde if BA ceased to do so, but the Government denied the existence of such a clause.[[137]](#cite_note-137) In October 2003, Branson wrote in [*The Economist*](/wiki/The_Economist) that his final offer was "over £5 million" and that he had intended to operate the fleet "for many years to come".[[138]](#cite_note-138) The chances for keeping Concorde in service were stifled by Airbus's lack of support for continued maintenance.[[139]](#cite_note-139)[[140]](#cite_note-140)[Template:Refn](/wiki/Template:Refn)

It has been suggested that Concorde was not withdrawn for the reasons usually given but that it became apparent during the grounding of Concorde that the airlines could make more profit carrying first class passengers subsonically.[[141]](#cite_note-141) A lack of commitment to Concorde from Director of Engineering Alan MacDonald was cited as having undermined BA's resolve to continue operating Concorde.[[142]](#cite_note-142)

#### Air France[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=27)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image) Air France made its final commercial Concorde landing in the United States in New York City from Paris on 30 May 2003.[[143]](#cite_note-143)[[144]](#cite_note-144) Air France's final Concorde flight took place on 27 June 2003 when F-BVFC retired to Toulouse.[[145]](#cite_note-145) An [auction](/wiki/Auction) of Concorde parts and memorabilia for Air France was held at [Christie's](/wiki/Christie's) in Paris on 15 November 2003; 1,300 people attended, and several lots exceeded their predicted values.[[146]](#cite_note-146) French Concorde F-BVFC was retired to Toulouse and kept functional for a short time after the end of service, in case taxi runs were required in support of the French judicial enquiry into the 2000 crash.[[147]](#cite_note-147) The aircraft is now fully retired and no longer functional.[[148]](#cite_note-148) French Concorde F-BTSD has been retired to the "[Musée de l'Air](/wiki/Musée_de_l'Air)" at [Paris–Le Bourget Airport](/wiki/Paris–Le_Bourget_Airport) near Paris; unlike the other museum Concordes, a few of the systems are being kept functional. For instance, the famous "droop nose" can still be lowered and raised. This led to rumours that they could be prepared for future flights for special occasions.[[149]](#cite_note-149) [thumb|Air France Concorde on display at Steven F. Udvar-Hazy Center.](/wiki/File:Concorde_F-BVFA.JPG)

French Concorde F-BVFB currently rests at the [Auto & Technik Museum Sinsheim](/wiki/Sinsheim_Auto_&_Technik_Museum) at [Sinsheim](/wiki/Sinsheim), Germany, after its last flight from Paris to Baden-Baden, followed by a spectacular transport to [Sinsheim](/wiki/Sinsheim) via barge and road. The museum also has a [Tupolev Tu-144](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-144) on display – this is the only place where both supersonic airliners can be seen together.[[150]](#cite_note-150) In 1989, Air France signed a letter of agreement to donate a Concorde to the [National Air and Space Museum](/wiki/National_Air_and_Space_Museum) in Washington D.C. upon the aircraft's retirement. On 12 June 2003, Air France honoured that agreement, donating Concorde F-BVFA (serial 205) to the Museum upon the completion of its last flight. This aircraft was the first Air France Concorde to open service to Rio de Janeiro, Washington, D.C., and New York and had flown 17,824 hours. It is on display at the Smithsonian's [Steven F. Udvar-Hazy](/wiki/Steven_F._Udvar-Hazy) Center at [Dulles Airport](/wiki/Dulles_Airport).[[151]](#cite_note-151)

#### British Airways[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=28)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image) British Airways conducted a North American farewell tour in October 2003. G-BOAG visited [Toronto Pearson International Airport](/wiki/Toronto_Pearson_International_Airport) on 1 October, after which it flew to New York's John F. Kennedy International Airport.[[152]](#cite_note-152) G-BOAD visited [Boston's](/wiki/Boston) [Logan International Airport](/wiki/Logan_International_Airport) on 8 October, and G-BOAG visited [Washington Dulles International Airport](/wiki/Washington_Dulles_International_Airport) on 14 October.[[153]](#cite_note-153) It has been claimed that G-BOAD's flight from [London Heathrow](/wiki/London_Heathrow_Airport) to Boston set a transatlantic flight record of 3 hours, 5 minutes, 34 seconds.[[154]](#cite_note-154) However the fastest transatlantic flight was from New York JFK airport to Heathrow on 7 February 1996, taking 2 hours, 52 minutes, 59 seconds; 90 seconds less than a record set in April 1990.[[155]](#cite_note-155)[[156]](#cite_note-156) In a week of farewell flights around the United Kingdom, Concorde visited [Birmingham](/wiki/Birmingham) on 20 October, [Belfast](/wiki/Belfast) on 21 October, [Manchester](/wiki/Manchester) on 22 October, [Cardiff](/wiki/Cardiff) on 23 October, and [Edinburgh](/wiki/Edinburgh) on 24 October. Each day the aircraft made a return flight out and back into Heathrow to the cities, often overflying them at low altitude.[[157]](#cite_note-157)[[158]](#cite_note-158)[[159]](#cite_note-159) On 22 October, both Concorde flight BA9021C, a special from [Manchester](/wiki/Manchester), and BA002 from New York landed simultaneously on both of Heathrow's runways. On 23 October 2003, [the Queen](/wiki/Elizabeth_II_of_the_United_Kingdom) consented to the illumination of [Windsor Castle](/wiki/Windsor_Castle), an honour reserved for state events and visiting dignitaries, as Concorde's last west-bound commercial flight departed London.[[160]](#cite_note-160) [British Airways](/wiki/British_Airways) retired its Concorde fleet on 24 October 2003.[[161]](#cite_note-161) G-BOAG left New York to a fanfare similar to that given for Air France's F-BTSD, while two more made round trips, G-BOAF over the [Bay of Biscay](/wiki/Bay_of_Biscay), carrying VIP guests including former Concorde pilots, and G-BOAE to Edinburgh. The three aircraft then circled over London, having received special permission to fly at low altitude, before landing in sequence at Heathrow. The captain of the New York to London flight was Mike Bannister.[[162]](#cite_note-162) The final flight of a Concorde in the US occurred on 5 November 2003 when G-BOAG flew from New York's JFK Airport to Seattle's [Boeing Field](/wiki/Boeing_Field) to join the [Museum of Flight's](/wiki/Museum_of_Flight) permanent collection. The plane was piloted by Mike Bannister and Les Broadie who claimed a flight time of three hours, 55 minutes and 12 seconds, a record between the two cities.[[163]](#cite_note-163) The museum had been pursuing a Concorde for their collection since 1984.[[164]](#cite_note-164) The final flight of a Concorde world-wide took place on 26 November 2003 with a landing at Filton, Bristol, UK.[[165]](#cite_note-165) All of BA's Concorde fleet have been grounded, drained of hydraulic fluid and their airworthiness certificates withdrawn. Jock Lowe, ex-chief Concorde pilot and manager of the fleet estimated in 2004 that it would cost £10–15 million to make G-BOAF airworthy again.[[149]](#cite_note-149) BA maintain ownership and have stated that they will not fly again due to a lack of support from Airbus.[[166]](#cite_note-166) On 1 December 2003, [Bonhams](/wiki/Bonhams) held an auction of British Airways Concorde artefacts, including a nose cone, at [Kensington Olympia](/wiki/Olympia_Exhibition_Centre) in London.[[167]](#cite_note-167)[[168]](#cite_note-168) Proceeds of around £750,000 were raised, with the majority going to charity. G-BOAD is currently on display at the [Intrepid Sea, Air & Space Museum](/wiki/Intrepid_Sea,_Air_&_Space_Museum) in New York.[[156]](#cite_note-156) In 2007, BA announced that the advertising spot at Heathrow where a 40% scale model of Concorde was located would not be retained; the model is now on display at the [Brooklands Museum](/wiki/Brooklands_Museum).[[169]](#cite_note-169)

### Restoration[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=29)]

Although only used for spares after being retired from test flying and trials work in 1981, Concorde G-BBDG was dismantled and transported by road from [Filton](/wiki/Filton) then restored from essentially a shell at the [Brooklands Museum](/wiki/Brooklands_Museum) in Surrey,[[170]](#cite_note-170) where it remains open to visitors to the museum.

One of the youngest Concordes (F-BTSD) is on display at [Le Bourget Air and Space Museum](/wiki/Musée_de_l'Air) in Paris. In February 2010, it was announced that the museum and a group of volunteer Air France technicians intend to restore F-BTSD so it can taxi under its own power.[[171]](#cite_note-171) In May 2010, it was reported that the British Save Concorde Group and French Olympus 593 groups had begun inspecting the engines of a Concorde at the French museum; their intent is to restore the airliner to a condition where it can fly in demonstrations.[[172]](#cite_note-172) Save Concorde Group hoped to get F-BTSD flying for the 2012 London Olympics, but this never happened. The work for restoring F-BTSD to operating condition as of September 2015 is currently not very well known, and it is still being housed in Le Bourget as a museum exhibit. In 2015, the organisation Club Concorde announced that it had raised funds of £120 million for a static display[[173]](#cite_note-173) and to buy the Concorde at Le Bourget, restore it and return it to service as a heritage aircraft for air displays and charter hire by 2019, to coincide with the 50th anniversary of Concorde's first flight.<ref name=guardianbreakthrough>[Template:Cite web](/wiki/Template:Cite_web)</ref>

In July 2015, it was reported that planning permission had been granted for the construction of the museum at [Bristol Filton Airport](/wiki/Bristol_Filton_Airport) to house G-BOAF the last Concorde where it is intended to form a key exhibit of the new [Bristol Aviation Heritage Museum](/wiki/Bristol_Aviation_Heritage_Museum).[[174]](#cite_note-174)

### Return to service plan[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=30)]

In September 2015, it was publicly revealed[[175]](#cite_note-175) that the [Club Concorde](/wiki/Club_Concorde) had secured over £160 million to return an aircraft to service.[[176]](#cite_note-176) Club Concorde president Paul James said: "The main obstacle to any Concorde project to date has been 'Where’s the money?' – a question we heard ad nauseam, until we found an investor. Now that money is no longer the problem it's over to those who can help us make it happen."[[177]](#cite_note-177) The organisation aims to buy the Concorde currently on display at Le Bourget airport. A tentative date of 2019 has been put forward for the first flight – 50 years after its maiden journey.<ref name=guardianbreakthrough/>

## Operators[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=31)]

* [Air France](/wiki/Air_France)
* [British Airways](/wiki/British_Airways) [Braniff International Airways](/wiki/Braniff_International_Airways) (1 on short term lease)[[104]](#cite_note-104)\* [Singapore Airlines](/wiki/Singapore_Airlines) (1 on short term [wet lease](/wiki/Wet_lease))[[95]](#cite_note-95)

## Accidents and incidents[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=32)]

### Air France Flight 4590[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=33)]

[Template:Main article](/wiki/Template:Main_article)

On 25 July 2000, Air France Flight 4590, registration F-BTSC, [crashed](/wiki/Air_France_Flight_4590) in [Gonesse](/wiki/Gonesse), France after departing from [Paris-Charles de Gaulle](/wiki/Paris-Charles_de_Gaulle) en route to [John F. Kennedy International Airport](/wiki/John_F._Kennedy_International_Airport) in New York City, killing all 100 passengers and 9 crew members on board the flight, and 4 people on the ground. It was the only fatal accident involving Concorde.

According to the official investigation conducted by the [*Bureau d'Enquêtes et d'Analyses pour la Sécurité de l'Aviation Civile*](/wiki/Bureau_d'Enquêtes_et_d'Analyses_pour_la_Sécurité_de_l'Aviation_Civile) (BEA), the crash was caused by a metallic strip that fell from a [Continental Airlines](/wiki/Continental_Airlines) [DC-10](/wiki/McDonnell_Douglas_DC-10) that had taken off minutes earlier. This fragment punctured a tyre on Concorde's left main wheel bogie during take-off. The tyre exploded, and a piece of rubber hit the fuel tank, which caused a fuel leak and led to a fire. The crew shut down engine number 2 in response to a fire warning, and with engine number 1 surging and producing little power, the aircraft was unable to gain altitude or speed. The aircraft entered a rapid pitch-up then a violent descent, rolling left and crashing tail-low into the Hôtelissimo Les Relais Bleus Hotel in Gonesse.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn)

The claim that a metallic strip caused the crash was disputed during the trial both by witnesses (including the pilot of [Jacques Chirac's](/wiki/Jacques_Chirac) aircraft that had just landed on an adjacent runway when Flight 4590 caught fire) and by an independent French TV investigation that found a wheel spacer had not been installed in the left-side main gear and that the plane caught fire some 1,000 feet from where the metallic strip lay.[[178]](#cite_note-178) On 6 December 2010, Continental Airlines and John Taylor, one of its mechanics, were found guilty of involuntary manslaughter,[[179]](#cite_note-179) but on 30 November 2012, a French court overturned the conviction, saying mistakes by Continental and Taylor did not make them criminally responsible.<ref name=conviction\_overturned>[Template:Cite web](/wiki/Template:Cite_web)</ref>

Prior to the accident, Concorde had been arguably the safest operational passenger airliner in the world in passenger deaths-per-kilometres travelled with zero, but there had been two prior non-fatal accidents and a rate of tyre damage some 30 times higher than subsonic airliners from 1995 to 2000.<ref name=AAIB89>[Template:Cite book](/wiki/Template:Cite_book)</ref><ref name=AAIB93>[Template:Cite book](/wiki/Template:Cite_book)</ref>[[180]](#cite_note-180)[[181]](#cite_note-181) Safety improvements were made in the wake of the crash, including more secure electrical controls, [Kevlar](/wiki/Kevlar) lining on the fuel tanks and specially developed burst-resistant tyres.[[182]](#cite_note-182) The first flight with the modifications departed from [London Heathrow](/wiki/London_Heathrow_airport) on 17 July 2001, piloted by BA Chief Concorde Pilot [Mike Bannister](/wiki/Mike_Bannister). During the 3-hour 20-minute flight over the mid-Atlantic towards Iceland, Bannister attained Mach 2.02 and [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) before returning to [RAF Brize Norton](/wiki/RAF_Brize_Norton). The test flight, intended to resemble the London–New York route, was declared a success and was watched on live TV, and by crowds on the ground at both locations.[[183]](#cite_note-183) The first flight with passengers after the accident took place on 11 September 2001, landing shortly before the [World Trade Center attacks](/wiki/September_11_attacks) in the United States. This was not a commercial flight: all the passengers were BA employees.[[184]](#cite_note-184) Normal commercial operations resumed on 7 November 2001 by BA and AF (aircraft G-BOAE and F-BTSD), with service to New York JFK, where mayor [Rudy Giuliani](/wiki/Rudy_Giuliani) greeted the passengers.[[185]](#cite_note-185)[[186]](#cite_note-186)

### Other accidents and incidents[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=34)]

[thumb|Damage to Concorde rudder after 1989 accident](/wiki/File:G-BOAF_rudder_damage.jpg)

Concorde had suffered two previous non-fatal accidents that were similar to each other.

* 12 April 1989: A Concorde of British registration, G-BOAF, on a chartered flight from [Christchurch](/wiki/Christchurch), New Zealand, to Sydney, suffered a structural failure in-flight at supersonic speed. As the aircraft was climbing and accelerating through Mach 1.7 a "thud" was heard. The crew did not notice any handling problems, and they assumed the thud they heard was a minor [engine surge](/wiki/Compressor_stall). No further difficulty was encountered until descent through 40,000 feet at Mach 1.3, when a vibration was felt throughout the aircraft, lasting two to three minutes. Most of the upper rudder had become separated from the aircraft at this point. Aircraft handling was unaffected, and the aircraft made a safe landing at Sydney. The UK's [Air Accidents Investigation Branch](/wiki/Air_Accidents_Investigation_Branch) (AAIB) concluded that the skin of the rudder had been separating from the rudder structure over a period of time before the accident due to moisture seepage past the rivets in the rudder. Furthermore, production staff had not followed proper procedures during an earlier modification of the rudder, but the procedures were difficult to adhere to.[[187]](#cite_note-187)\*21 March 1992: A Concorde of British registration, G-BOAB, on a scheduled flight from London to New York, also suffered a structural failure in-flight at supersonic speed. While cruising at Mach 2, at approximately 53,000 feet above mean sea level, the crew heard a "thump". No difficulties in handling were noticed, and no instruments gave any irregular indications. This crew also suspected there had been a minor engine surge. One hour later, during descent and decelerating below Mach 1.4, a sudden "severe" vibration began throughout the aircraft.[[188]](#cite_note-188) The vibration worsened when power was added to the No 2 engine, and it was attenuated when that engine's power was reduced. The crew shut down the No 2 engine and made a successful landing in New York, noting only that increased rudder control was needed to keep the aircraft on its intended approach course. Again, the skin had become separated from the structure of the rudder, which led to most of the upper rudder becoming separated in-flight. The (AAIB) concluded that repair materials had leaked into the structure of the rudder during a recent repair, weakening the bond between the skin and the structure of the rudder, leading to it breaking up in-flight. The large size of the repair had made it difficult to keep repair materials out of the structure, and prior to this accident, the severity of the effect of these repair materials on the structure and skin of the rudder was not appreciated.[[188]](#cite_note-188)\*A 2010 trial involving [Continental Airlines](/wiki/Continental_Airlines) over the crash of Flight 4590 established that from 1976 until Flight 4590 there had been 57 tyre failures involving Concordes during takeoffs, including a near-crash at [Dulles Airport](/wiki/Dulles_Airport) on 14 June 1979 involving Air France Flight 54 where a tyre blowout pierced the plane's fuel tank and damaged the port-side engine, electrical cables, with the loss of two of the craft's hydraulic systems.[[189]](#cite_note-189)

## Aircraft on display[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=35)]

[Template:Main article](/wiki/Template:Main_article)

## Comparable aircraft[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=36)]

[thumb|Tu-144FL as a research aircraft for](/wiki/File:Russian_Tu-144LL_SST_Flying_Laboratory_Takeoff_at_Zhukovsky_Air_Development_Center.jpg) [NASA](/wiki/NASA) in 1997 [thumb|Tu-144 and Concorde in](/wiki/File:Sinsheim_Auto_&_Technik_Museum.jpg) [Auto & Technik Museum Sinsheim](/wiki/Sinsheim_Auto_&_Technik_Museum)

The only supersonic airliner in direct competition with Concorde was the Soviet [Tupolev Tu-144](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-144), nicknamed "Concordski" by Western European journalists for its outward similarity to Concorde.[[190]](#cite_note-190) It had been alleged that Soviet espionage efforts had resulted in the theft of Concorde blueprints, ostensibly to assist in the design of the Tu-144.[[191]](#cite_note-191) As a result of a rushed development programme, the first Tu-144 prototype was substantially different from the preproduction machines, but both were cruder than Concorde. The Tu-144*S* had a significantly shorter range than Concorde, due to its low-bypass turbofan engines.[[192]](#cite_note-192) The aircraft had poor control at low speeds because of a simpler supersonic wing design; in addition the Tu-144 required [braking parachutes](/wiki/Drogue_parachute) to land while Concorde used anti-lock brakes.[[193]](#cite_note-193) The Tu-144 had two crashes, one at the [1973 Paris Air Show](/wiki/1973_Paris_Air_Show_crash),[[194]](#cite_note-194)[[195]](#cite_note-195) and another during a pre-delivery test flight in May 1978.[[196]](#cite_note-196)[[197]](#cite_note-197) Later production Tu-144 versions were more refined and competitive. They had retractable [canards](/wiki/Canards) for better low-speed control, turbojet engines providing nearly the fuel efficiency and range of Concorde[[198]](#cite_note-198) and a top speed of Mach 2.35. Passenger service commenced in November 1977, but after the 1978 crash the aircraft was taken out of service. The aircraft had an inherently unsafe structural design as a consequence of an automated production method chosen to simplify and speed up manufacturing.[[199]](#cite_note-199) The American designs, the [Boeing 2707](/wiki/Boeing_2707) and the [Lockheed L-2000](/wiki/Lockheed_L-2000), were to have been larger, with seating for up to 300 people.[[200]](#cite_note-200)[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Running a few years behind Concorde, the Boeing 2707 was redesigned to a cropped delta layout; the extra cost of these changes helped to kill the project.[[201]](#cite_note-201) The operation of US military aircraft such as the [XB-70 Valkyrie](/wiki/North_American_XB-70_Valkyrie) and [B-58 Hustler](/wiki/B-58_Hustler) had shown that sonic booms were quite capable of reaching the ground,[[202]](#cite_note-202) and the experience from the [Oklahoma City sonic boom tests](/wiki/Oklahoma_City_sonic_boom_tests) led to the same environmental concerns that hindered the commercial success of Concorde. The American government cancelled its SST project in 1971, after having spent more than $1 billion.[[203]](#cite_note-203) The only other large supersonic aircraft comparable to Concorde are [strategic bombers](/wiki/Strategic_bomber), principally the Russian [Tu-22](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-22), [Tu-22M](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-22M), [M-50](/wiki/Myasishchev_M-50) (experimental), [T-4](/wiki/Sukhoi_T-4) (experimental), [Tu-160](/wiki/Tupolev_Tu-160) and the American XB-70 (experimental) and [B-1](/wiki/Rockwell_B-1_Lancer).[[204]](#cite_note-204) [Template:Further](/wiki/Template:Further)

## Impact[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=37)]

### Environmental[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=38)]

Before Concorde's flight trials, developments in the civil aviation industry were largely accepted by governments and their respective electorates. Opposition to Concorde's noise, particularly on the east coast of the United States,[[205]](#cite_note-205)[[206]](#cite_note-206) forged a new political agenda on both sides of the Atlantic, with scientists and technology experts across a multitude of industries beginning to take the environmental and social impact more seriously.[[207]](#cite_note-207)[[208]](#cite_note-208) Although Concorde led directly to the introduction of a general noise abatement programme for aircraft flying out of John F. Kennedy Airport, many found that Concorde was quieter than expected,<ref name=nova/> partly due to the pilots temporarily throttling back their engines to reduce noise during overflight of residential areas.[Template:Sfn](/wiki/Template:Sfn) Even before commercial flights started, it had been claimed that Concorde was quieter than many other aircraft.[[209]](#cite_note-209) In 1971, BAC's technical director was quoted as saying, "It is certain on present evidence and calculations that in the airport context, production Concordes will be no worse than aircraft now in service and will in fact be better than many of them."[[210]](#cite_note-210) Concorde produced nitrogen oxides in its exhaust, which, despite complicated interactions with other ozone-depleting chemicals, are understood to result in degradation to the [ozone layer](/wiki/Ozone_layer) at the [stratospheric](/wiki/Stratosphere) altitudes it cruised.[[211]](#cite_note-211) It has been pointed out that other, lower-flying, airliners produce ozone during their flights in the troposphere, but vertical transit of gases between the layers is restricted. The small fleet meant overall ozone-layer degradation caused by Concorde was negligible.[[211]](#cite_note-211) In 1995, David Fahey, of the [National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](/wiki/National_Oceanic_and_Atmospheric_Administration) in the United States, warned that a fleet of 500 supersonic aircraft with exhausts similar to Concorde might produce in a 2 per cent drop in global ozone levels, much higher than previously thought. Each 1 per cent drop in ozone is estimated to increase the incidence of non-melanoma skin cancer worldwide by 2 per cent. Dr Fahey said if these particles are produced by highly oxidised sulphur in the fuel, as he believed, then removing sulphur in the fuel will reduce the ozone-destroying impact of supersonic transport.[[212]](#cite_note-212) Concorde's technical leap forward boosted the public's understanding of conflicts between technology and the environment as well as awareness of the complex decision analysis processes that surround such conflicts.[[213]](#cite_note-213) In France, the use of [acoustic fencing](/wiki/Noise_barrier) alongside [TGV](/wiki/TGV) tracks might not have been achieved without the 1970s controversy over aircraft noise.[[214]](#cite_note-214) In the UK, the [CPRE](/wiki/Campaign_to_Protect_Rural_England) has issued [tranquillity maps](/wiki/Tranquillity#Mapping_tranquillity) since 1990.[[215]](#cite_note-215) Some sources say Concorde typically flew [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) per passenger.[[216]](#cite_note-216)

### Public perception[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=39)]

[thumb|Parade flight at Queen's](/wiki/File:ConcordeBG.jpg) [Golden Jubilee](/wiki/Golden_Jubilee_of_Elizabeth_II) in June 2002

Concorde was normally perceived as a privilege of the rich, but special circular or one-way (with return by other flight or ship) charter flights were arranged to bring a trip within the means of moderately well-off enthusiasts.[[217]](#cite_note-217) The aircraft was usually referred to by the British as simply "Concorde".[[218]](#cite_note-218) In France it was known as "le Concorde" due to "le", the definite article,[[219]](#cite_note-219) used in [French grammar](/wiki/French_grammar) to introduce the name of a ship or aircraft,[[220]](#cite_note-220) and the capital being used to distinguish a [proper name](/wiki/Proper_name) from a [common noun](/wiki/Common_noun) of the same spelling.[[219]](#cite_note-219)[[221]](#cite_note-221) In French, the common noun *concorde* means "agreement, harmony, or peace". [Template:Refn](/wiki/Template:Refn) Concorde's pilots and British Airways in official publications often refer to Concorde both in the singular and plural as "she" or "her".[[222]](#cite_note-222)[Template:Refn](/wiki/Template:Refn)

As a symbol of national pride, an example from the BA fleet made occasional [flypasts](/wiki/Flypast) at selected Royal events, major air shows and other special occasions, sometimes in formation with the [Red Arrows](/wiki/Red_Arrows).[[223]](#cite_note-223) On the final day of commercial service, public interest was so great that grandstands were erected at Heathrow Airport. Significant numbers of people attended the final landings; the event received widespread media coverage.[[224]](#cite_note-224) In 2006, 37 years after its first test flight, Concorde was announced the winner of the Great British Design Quest organised by the BBC and the [Design Museum](/wiki/Design_Museum). A total of 212,000 votes were cast with Concorde beating design icons such as the [Mini](/wiki/Mini), [mini skirt](/wiki/Mini_skirt), [Jaguar E-type](/wiki/Jaguar_E-type), [Tube map](/wiki/Tube_map) and the [Supermarine Spitfire](/wiki/Supermarine_Spitfire).[[1]](#cite_note-1)

### Special missions[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=40)]

[Template:Multiple image](/wiki/Template:Multiple_image) Heads of France and the United Kingdom flew Concorde many times.[[225]](#cite_note-225) Presidents [Georges Pompidou](/wiki/Georges_Pompidou),[[226]](#cite_note-226) [Valéry Giscard d'Estaing](/wiki/Valéry_Giscard_d'Estaing)[[227]](#cite_note-227) and [François Mitterrand](/wiki/François_Mitterrand)[[228]](#cite_note-228) regularly used Concorde as French flagman aircraft in foreign visits. Queen [Elizabeth II](/wiki/Elizabeth_II) and Prime Ministers [Edward Heath](/wiki/Edward_Heath), [Jim Callaghan](/wiki/Jim_Callaghan), [Margaret Thatcher](/wiki/Margaret_Thatcher), [John Major](/wiki/John_Major), [Tony Blair](/wiki/Tony_Blair) took Concorde in some charter flights such as the Queen's trips to Barbados on her Silver Jubilee in 1977, in 1987 and in 2003, to Middle East in 1984, to the United States in 1991, etc.[[229]](#cite_note-229) [Pope John Paul II](/wiki/Pope_John_Paul_II) flew on Concorde in May 1989.[[230]](#cite_note-230) The British Prime Minister flew in a British Airways Concorde (G-BOAC) to [San Juan](/wiki/San_Juan,_Puerto_Rico) for the second G-6 Economic Summit, held in the United States and hosted by President [Gerald Ford](/wiki/Gerald_Ford) at the [Dorado Beach Hotel](/wiki/Dorado_Beach_Hotel) in Dorado, Puerto Rico on 27–28 June 1976.[[231]](#cite_note-231) Concorde sometimes made special flights for its demonstration, for exhibit on airshows ([Farnborough](/wiki/Farnborough_Airshow), [Paris-LeBourget](/wiki/Paris_Air_Show), [MAKS](/wiki/MAKS_(air_show)), etc.) and other expositions, for taking part in parades and celebrations (as ex., of Zürich airport anniversary in 1998), for private charters (as ex., many times by President of [Zaire](/wiki/Zaire) [Mobutu Sese Seko](/wiki/Mobutu_Sese_Seko)), for promo-advertising of companies ([OKI](/wiki/Oki_Electric_Industry), etc.), for Olympic torch relays ([1992 Winter Olympics in Albertville](/wiki/1992_Winter_Olympics)), for observing of [solar eclipse](/wiki/Solar_eclipse), etc.[[232]](#cite_note-232)[[233]](#cite_note-233)

### Records[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=41)]

The fastest transatlantic airliner flight was from New York JFK to London Heathrow on 7 February 1996 by the British Airways G-BOAD in 2 hours, 52 minutes, 59 seconds from take-off to touchdown aided by a 175 mph (282 km/h) tailwind.[[234]](#cite_note-234) On 13 February 1985, a Concorde charter flight flew from London Heathrow to [Sydney](/wiki/Sydney_Airport)—on the opposite side of the world—in a time of 17 hours, 3 minutes and 45 seconds, including refuelling stops.[[235]](#cite_note-235)[[236]](#cite_note-236)[[237]](#cite_note-237) Concorde also set other records, including the official [FAI](/wiki/Fédération_Aéronautique_Internationale) "Westbound Around the World" and "Eastbound Around the World" world air speed records.[[238]](#cite_note-238) On 12–13 October 1992, in commemoration of the 500th anniversary of Columbus’ first New World landing, Concorde Spirit Tours (USA) chartered Air France Concorde F-BTSD and circumnavigated the world in 32 hours 49 minutes and 3 seconds, from [Lisbon](/wiki/Lisbon), Portugal, including six refuelling stops at [Santo Domingo](/wiki/Santo_Domingo), [Acapulco](/wiki/Acapulco), [Honolulu](/wiki/Honolulu), Guam, [Bangkok](/wiki/Bangkok), and [Bahrain](/wiki/Bahrain).[[239]](#cite_note-239) The eastbound record was set by the same Air France Concorde (F-BTSD) under charter to Concorde Spirit Tours[[233]](#cite_note-233) in the USA on 15–16 August 1995. This promotional flight circumnavigated the world from New York/JFK International Airport in 31 hours 27 minutes 49 seconds, including six refuelling stops at [Toulouse](/wiki/Toulouse), [Dubai](/wiki/Dubai), Bangkok, Andersen AFB in [Guam](/wiki/Guam), Honolulu, and [Acapulco](/wiki/Acapulco).[[240]](#cite_note-240) By its 30th flight anniversary on 2 March 1999 Concorde had clocked up 920,000 flight hours, with more than 600,000 supersonic, many more than all of the other supersonic aircraft in the Western world combined.[[241]](#cite_note-241) On its way to the Museum of Flight in November 2003, G-BOAG set a New York City-to-Seattle speed record of 3 hours, 55 minutes, and 12 seconds.[[242]](#cite_note-242)

## Specifications[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=42)]
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* [British Airways Concorde page](http://www.britishairways.com/concorde/index.html)
* [Design Museum (UK) Concorde page](http://www.designmuseum.org/design/concorde)
* [Heritage Concorde preservation group site](http://heritageconcorde.com/)
* [Concorde Legacy site](http://www.concordelegacy.org.uk/)
* [First Concorde Supersonic Transport Flies](http://aviationweek.com/site-files/aviationweek.com/files/uploads/2015/02/1969-%20First%20Concorde%20Flight%20%281%20of%202%29.pdf) [Concorde Enters Flight Test Phase](http://aviationweek.com/site-files/aviationweek.com/files/uploads/2015/02/1969-%20First%20Concorde%20Flight%20%282%20of%202%29.pdf) by Donald Fink, [Aviation Week & Space Technology](/wiki/Aviation_Week_&_Space_Technology) [End of an Era](http://aviationweek.com/blog/2003-concorde-end-era) by Dave North, Aviation Week & Space Technology
* [Video: Roll-out](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pn0PJc-v510&list=PLNxwX7r4A557deayljDNLqVA7Pl9Y8K9Z&index=14)
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