[Template:Other uses](/wiki/Template:Other_uses" \o "Template:Other uses) [Template:Pp-move-indef](/wiki/Template:Pp-move-indef) [Template:Pp-semi-vandalism](/wiki/Template:Pp-semi-vandalism) [Template:Taxobox](/wiki/Template:Taxobox) **Megalodon** ([Template:IPAc-en](/wiki/Template:IPAc-en) [Template:Respell](/wiki/Template:Respell) or [Template:IPAc-en](/wiki/Template:IPAc-en) [Template:Respell](/wiki/Template:Respell), meaning "big tooth", from [Template:Lang-grc](/wiki/Template:Lang-grc) and [Template:Lang](/wiki/Template:Lang) (*odoús*), "tooth"—whose stem is *odont-*, as seen in the [genitive](/wiki/Genitive) case form [Template:Lang](/wiki/Template:Lang), *odóntos*)<ref name=LSJ>[Template:LSJ](/wiki/Template:LSJ). Also, [Template:LSJ](/wiki/Template:LSJ). Retrieved 11 August 2013.</ref> is an [extinct](/wiki/Extinction) [species](/wiki/Species) of [shark](/wiki/Shark) that lived approximately 23 to 2.6 [million years ago](/wiki/Mya_(unit)), during the [Cenozoic](/wiki/Cenozoic) [Era](/wiki/Era) (early [Miocene](/wiki/Miocene)[[1]](#cite_note-1) to end of [Pliocene](/wiki/Pliocene)[[2]](#cite_note-2)).

The [taxonomic assignment](/wiki/Taxonomy_(biology)) of *C. megalodon* has been debated for nearly a century, and is still under dispute. The two major interpretations are *Carcharodon megalodon* (under [family](/wiki/Family_(biology)) [Lamnidae](/wiki/Lamnidae)) or *Carcharocles megalodon* (under the family [Otodontidae](/wiki/Otodontidae)).[[3]](#cite_note-3) Consequently, the [scientific name](/wiki/Binomial_nomenclature) of this species is commonly abbreviated *C. megalodon* in the literature.

Regarded as one of the largest and most powerful predators in [vertebrate](/wiki/Vertebrate) history,[[4]](#cite_note-4) *C. megalodon* probably had a profound impact on the structure of [marine communities](/wiki/Marine_life).[[5]](#cite_note-5) [Fossil](/wiki/Fossil) remains suggest that this giant shark reached a length of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert),[[2]](#cite_note-2)[[6]](#cite_note-6) and also indicate that it had a [cosmopolitan distribution](/wiki/Cosmopolitan_distribution).[[3]](#cite_note-3) Scientists suggest that *C. megalodon* looked like a stockier version of the [great white shark](/wiki/Great_white_shark), *Carcharodon carcharias*.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[Template:Toclimit](/wiki/Template:Toclimit)
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## Discovery[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=1)]

### Glossopetrae[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=2)]

[thumb|left|The depiction of a shark's head by Nicolaus Steno in his work *The Head of a Shark Dissected*](/wiki/File:Stenoshark.jpg) According to Renaissance accounts, gigantic, triangular fossil [teeth](/wiki/Teeth) often found embedded in rocky formations were once believed to be the petrified tongues, or [glossopetrae](/wiki/Glossopetrae), of [dragons](/wiki/Dragon) and [snakes](/wiki/Snake). This interpretation was corrected in 1667 by Danish naturalist [Nicolaus Steno](/wiki/Nicolas_Steno), who recognized them as shark teeth, and famously produced a depiction of a shark's head bearing such teeth.[[8]](#cite_note-8) He described his findings in the book *The Head of a Shark Dissected*, which also contained an illustration of a *C. megalodon* tooth.[[9]](#cite_note-9)

### Identification[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=3)]

Swiss naturalist [Louis Agassiz](/wiki/Louis_Agassiz) gave the shark its initial scientific name, *Carcharodon megalodon*, in 1835,[[10]](#cite_note-10) in his research work *Recherches sur les poissons fossiles*[[11]](#cite_note-11) (Research on fossil fish), which he completed in 1843. *C. megalodon* teeth are morphologically similar to the teeth of the great white shark, and on the basis of this observation, Agassiz assigned *C. megalodon* to the genus [*Carcharodon*](/wiki/Carcharodon).[[10]](#cite_note-10) While the scientific name is *C. megalodon*, it is often informally dubbed the "megatooth shark",[[7]](#cite_note-7) "giant white shark"[[12]](#cite_note-12) or "monster shark".[[13]](#cite_note-13)

## Fossils[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=4)]

*C. megalodon* is represented in the fossil record primarily by [teeth](/wiki/Shark_teeth) and [vertebral centra](/wiki/Vertebral_column).[[7]](#cite_note-7) As with all sharks, *C. megalodon's* [skeleton](/wiki/Skeleton) was formed of [cartilage](/wiki/Cartilage) rather than [bone](/wiki/Bone); this means that most fossil specimens are poorly preserved.[[14]](#cite_note-14) While the earliest *C. megalodon* remains were reported from late Oligocene [strata](/wiki/Stratum), around 28 million years old,[[15]](#cite_note-15)[[16]](#cite_note-16) a more reliable date for the origin of the species is the [early Miocene](/wiki/Early_Miocene), about 23 million years ago.[[1]](#cite_note-1) Although fossils are mostly absent in strata extending beyond the [Tertiary](/wiki/Tertiary) boundary,[[7]](#cite_note-7) they have been reported from subsequent Pleistocene strata.[[17]](#cite_note-17) It is believed that *C. megalodon* became extinct around the end of the Pliocene, probably about 2.6 million years ago;[[1]](#cite_note-1)[[2]](#cite_note-2) reported post-Pliocene *C. megalodon* teeth are thought to be [reworked fossils](/wiki/Reworked_fossil).[[2]](#cite_note-2) *C. megalodon* had a cosmopolitan distribution; its fossils have been excavated from many parts of the world, including Europe, Africa and both North and South America,[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[9]](#cite_note-9) as well as Puerto Rico,[[18]](#cite_note-18) Cuba,[[19]](#cite_note-19) Jamaica,[[20]](#cite_note-20) the Canary Islands,[[21]](#cite_note-21) Australia,[[22]](#cite_note-22) New Zealand,[[23]](#cite_note-23) Japan,[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[9]](#cite_note-9) Malta,[[23]](#cite_note-23) the Grenadines[[24]](#cite_note-24) and India.[[9]](#cite_note-9) *C. megalodon* teeth have been excavated from regions far away from continental lands, such as the [Mariana Trench](/wiki/Mariana_Trench) in the Pacific Ocean.[[23]](#cite_note-23) [thumb|Megalodon tooth with two great white shark teeth](/wiki/File:Megalodon_tooth_with_great_white_sharks_teeth-3-2.jpg) The most common fossils of *C. megalodon* are its teeth. Diagnostic characteristics include: triangular shape,[[3]](#cite_note-3) robust structure,[[7]](#cite_note-7) large size,[[3]](#cite_note-3) fine serrations,[[3]](#cite_note-3) and visible V-shaped neck.[[3]](#cite_note-3) *C. megalodon* teeth can measure over [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in slant height or diagonal length, and are the largest of any known shark species.[[23]](#cite_note-23) Some fossil vertebrae have been found.[[9]](#cite_note-9) The most notable example is a partially preserved vertebral column of a single specimen, excavated in the [Antwerp basin](/wiki/Antwerp_(province)), [Belgium](/wiki/Belgium) by M. Leriche in 1926. It comprises 150 vertebral [centra](/wiki/Body_of_vertebra), with the centra ranging from [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) to [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in diameter.[[7]](#cite_note-7) However, scientists have claimed that considerably larger vertebral centra can be expected.[[7]](#cite_note-7) A partially preserved vertebral column of another *C. megalodon* specimen was excavated from Gram clay in [Denmark](/wiki/Denmark) by Bendix-Almgeen in 1983. This specimen comprises 20 vertebral centra, with the centra ranging from [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) to [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in diameter.[[25]](#cite_note-25)

## Taxonomy and evolution[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=5)]

Even after decades of research and scrutiny, controversy over *C. megalodon* [phylogeny](/wiki/Phylogeny) persists.[[10]](#cite_note-10)[[26]](#cite_note-26) Several shark researchers (e.g. J. E. Randall, A. P. Klimley, D. G. Ainley, M. D. Gottfried, [L. J. V. Compagno](/wiki/Leonard_Compagno), S. C. Bowman, and R. W. Purdy) insist that *C. megalodon* is a close relative of the great white shark. However, others (e.g. [D. S. Jordan](/wiki/David_Starr_Jordan), H. Hannibal, E. Casier, C. DeMuizon, T. J. DeVries, D. Ward, and H. Cappetta) cite [convergent evolution](/wiki/Convergent_evolution) as the reason for the dental similarity. Such *Carcharocles* advocates have gained noticeable support.[[27]](#cite_note-27) However, the original taxonomic assignment still has wide acceptance.[[10]](#cite_note-10)

### ''C. megalodon'' within ''Carcharodon''[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=6)]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [Template:Clade](/wiki/Template:Clade)  The **Megalodon hypothesis** claims that *C. carcharias* is more closely related to *C. megalodon* than *I. hastalis*.[[10]](#cite_note-10) | [Template:Clade](/wiki/Template:Clade)  The alternative **Otodus-origin hypothesis** claims that *C. carcharias* descends from megatoothed sharks.[[26]](#cite_note-26) |

The traditional view is that *C. megalodon* should be classified within the genus *Carcharodon* along with the great white shark. The main reasons cited for this phylogeny are: (1) an ontogenetic gradation, whereby the teeth shift from coarse serrations as a juvenile to fine serrations as an adult, the latter resembling *C. megalodon****s; (2) morphological similarity of teeth of young C. megalodon to those of C. carcharias; (3) a symmetrical second anterior tooth; (4) a large intermediate tooth that is inclined mesially; and (5) upper anterior teeth that have a chevron-shaped neck area on the lingual surface. Carcharodon supporters suggest that C. megalodon and C. carcharias share a*** [***common ancestor***](/wiki/Common_ancestor)***, Palaeocarcharodon orientalis.***[***[10]***](#cite_note-10)[***[23]***](#cite_note-23)

### ''C. megalodon'' within ''Carcharocles''[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=7)]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [Template:Clade](/wiki/Template:Clade)  The **hastalis hypothesis** claims that *C. carcharias* is more closely related to *I. hastalis* than to *C. megalodon*.[[10]](#cite_note-10) | [Template:Clade](/wiki/Template:Clade)  The **Isurus-origin hypothesis** claims that *C. carcharias* descends from *I. hastalis*.[[26]](#cite_note-26) |

Around 1923, the genus ***Carcharocles*** was proposed by D. S. Jordan and H. Hannibal, to classify the shark *C. auriculatus*. Later on, *Carcharocles* proponents assigned *C. megalodon* to *Carcharocles*.[[10]](#cite_note-10)[[23]](#cite_note-23) *Carcharocles* proponents also suggest that the direct ancestor of the sharks belonging to *Carcharocles* is an ancient giant shark called [*Otodus obliquus*](/wiki/Otodus_obliquus), which lived during the [Paleocene](/wiki/Paleocene) and [Eocene](/wiki/Eocene) epochs.[[26]](#cite_note-26)[[27]](#cite_note-27) According to *Carcharocles* supporters, [*Otodus obliquus*](/wiki/Otodus_obliquus) evolved into [*Otodus aksuaticus*](/wiki/Otodus_aksuaticus), which evolved into [*Carcharocles auriculatus*](/wiki/Carcharocles_auriculatus), and then into [*Carcharocles angustidens*](/wiki/Carcharocles_angustidens), and then into [*Carcharocles chubutensis*](/wiki/Carcharocles_chubutensis), and then into *C. megalodon*. Hence, the immediate ancestor of *C. megalodon* is *C. chubutensis*, because it serves as the missing link between *C. augustidens* and *C. megalodon* and it bridges the loss of the "lateral cusps" that characterize *C. megalodon*.[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[27]](#cite_note-27)

#### Reconsideration of megatooth lineage from ''Carcharocles'' to ''Otodus''[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=8)]

Shark researchers are apparently reconsidering the genus of the entire *Carcharocles* lineage back to *Otodus*.[[28]](#cite_note-28)

#### Megalodon as a chronospecies[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=9)]

Shark researcher David Ward elaborated on the evolution of *Carcharocles* by implying that this lineage, stretching from the [Paleocene](/wiki/Paleocene) to the [Pliocene](/wiki/Pliocene), is of a single giant shark which gradually changed through time, suggesting a case of [chronospecies](/wiki/Chronospecies).[[23]](#cite_note-23) This assessment may be credible.

#### Mako sharks as closest relatives of great white sharks[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=10)]

*Carcharocles* proponents point out that the great white shark is closely related to the ancient shark [*Isurus hastalis*](/wiki/Isurus_hastalis), the "broad tooth [mako](/wiki/Isurus)", rather than to *C. megalodon*. One reason cited by paleontologist Chuck Ciampaglio is that the dental [morphometrics](/wiki/Morphometrics) (variations and changes in the physical form of objects) of *I. hastalis* and *C. carcharias* are remarkably similar. Another reason cited is that *C. megalodon* teeth have much finer serrations than *C. carcharias* teeth.[[10]](#cite_note-10) Further evidence linking the great white shark more closely to ancient mako sharks, rather than to *C. megalodon*, was provided in 2009 – the fossilized remains of a form of the great white shark about 4 million years old were excavated from southwestern [Peru](/wiki/Peru) in 1988. These remains demonstrate a likely shared ancestor of modern [mako](/wiki/Mako_shark) and great white sharks.[[26]](#cite_note-26)[[29]](#cite_note-29)

### Considerations[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=11)]

Ciampaglio asserted that dental similarities between *C. megalodon* and the great white are superficial with noticeable [morphometric](/wiki/Morphometrics) differences between them, and that these findings are sufficient to warrant a separate genus.[[10]](#cite_note-10)[[13]](#cite_note-13) However, some *Carcharodon* proponents (i.e., M. D. Gottfried, and R. E. Fordyce) provided more arguments for a close relationship between the megatooth and the great white.[[16]](#cite_note-16) With respect to the recent controversy regarding fossil [lamnid shark](/wiki/Lamnid_shark) relationships, overall morphology – particularly the internal calcification patterns – of the great white shark vertebral centra have been compared to well-preserved fossil centra from the megatooth, including *C. megalodon* and *C. angustidens*. The morphological similarity of these comparisons supports a close relationship of the giant fossil megatooth species to extant whites.[[16]](#cite_note-16)[[30]](#cite_note-30) Gottfried and Fordyce pointed out that some great white shark fossils are about 16 million years old and predate the transitional Pliocene fossils.[[16]](#cite_note-16) In addition, the Oligocene *C. megalodon* records[[16]](#cite_note-16)[[23]](#cite_note-23) contradict the suggestion that *C. chubutensis* is the immediate ancestor of *C. megalodon*. These records also indicate that *C. megalodon* co-existed with *C. angustidens*.[[16]](#cite_note-16) Some paleontologists argue that the genus *Otodus* should be used for sharks within the *Carcharocles* lineage and that the genus *Carcharocles* should be discarded.[[3]](#cite_note-3) Several *Carcharocles* proponents (i.e. C. Pimiento, D. J. Ehret, B. J. MacFadden, and G. Hubbell) claim that both species belong to the order *Lamniformes*, and in the absence of living members of the family *Otodontidae*, the great white shark is the species most ecologically analogous to *C. megalodon*.[[3]](#cite_note-3)

## Anatomy[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=12)]

[thumb|Life restoration](/wiki/File:Megalodon_NT.jpg) Among extant species, the great white shark is regarded as the best analogue to *C. megalodon*.[[3]](#cite_note-3) The lack of well-preserved fossil *C. megalodon* skeletons led scientists to rely on the great white shark as the basis of its reconstruction and size estimation.[[7]](#cite_note-7)

### Size estimation[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=13)]

Due to fragmentary remains, estimating the size of *C. megalodon* has been challenging.[[23]](#cite_note-23) However, the scientific community has concluded that *C. megalodon* was larger than the [whale shark](/wiki/Whale_shark), *Rhincodon typus*. Scientists focused on two aspects of size: total length and body mass.

#### Length[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=14)]

[thumb|Reconstruction by](/wiki/File:Carcharodon_megalodon.jpg) [Bashford Dean](/wiki/Bashford_Dean) in 1909,[[31]](#cite_note-31) with fossil teeth assembled from various localities. The first attempt to reconstruct the jaw of *C. megalodon* was made by [Bashford Dean](/wiki/Bashford_Dean) in 1909. From the dimensions of this jaw reconstruction, it was hypothesized that *C. megalodon* could have approached [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[31]](#cite_note-31) Better knowledge of dentition and more accurate muscle structures,[[31]](#cite_note-31) led to a rectified version of Dean's jaw model about 70 percent of its original size and to a size consistent with modern findings.[[31]](#cite_note-31) To resolve such errors, scientists, aided by new fossil discoveries of *C. megalodon* and improved knowledge of its closest living analogue's anatomy, introduced more quantitative methods for estimating its size based on the statistical relationships between the tooth sizes and body lengths.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[31]](#cite_note-31) Some methods are mentioned below.

##### Enamel height[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=15)]

In 1973, Hawaiian [ichthyologist](/wiki/Ichthyologist) John E. Randall used a plotted graph to demonstrate a relationship between the enamel height (the vertical distance of the blade from the base of the enamel portion of the tooth to its tip) of the largest tooth in the upper jaw of the great white shark and the shark's total length.[[31]](#cite_note-31)[[32]](#cite_note-32) Randall extrapolated this method to estimate *C. megalodon's* total length. Randall cited two *C. megalodon* teeth in his work, specimen number 10356 at the [American Museum of Natural History](/wiki/American_Museum_of_Natural_History) and specimen number 25730 at the [United States National Museum](/wiki/National_Museum_of_Natural_History), which had enamel heights of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) and [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), respectively.[[32]](#cite_note-32) These teeth yielded a corresponding total length of about [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[31]](#cite_note-31)[[32]](#cite_note-32) In 1991, Richard Ellis and John E. McCosker claimed that tooth enamel height does not necessarily increase in proportion to the animal's total length.[[7]](#cite_note-7)

##### Largest anterior tooth height[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=16)]

In 1996, after scrutinizing 73 great white shark specimens, Michael D. Gottfried, [Leonard Compagno](/wiki/Leonard_Compagno) and S. Curtis Bowman proposed a linear relationship between the shark's total length and the height of the largest upper anterior tooth. The proposed relationship is: total length in metres = − (0.096) × [UA maximum height ([mm](/wiki/Millimetre))]-(0.22).[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[16]](#cite_note-16) Gottfried and colleagues then extrapolated their technique to *C. megalodon*. The biggest *C. megalodon* tooth in the possession of this team, one discovered by Compagno in 1993, was an upper second anterior specimen, the maximum height of which was [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert). It yielded an estimated total length for *C. megalodon* of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[7]](#cite_note-7) Rumors of larger *C. megalodon* teeth persisted at the time.[[7]](#cite_note-7) The maximum tooth height for this method is measured as a vertical line from the tip of the crown to the bottom of the lobes of the root, parallel to the long axis of the tooth.[[7]](#cite_note-7) In layman's terms, the maximum height of the tooth is its slant height.[[33]](#cite_note-33)

##### Root width[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=17)]

In 2002, shark researcher Clifford Jeremiah proposed that total length was proportional to the root width of an upper anterior tooth. He claimed that for every [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) of root width, there are approximately [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) of shark length. Jeremiah pointed out that the jaw perimeter of a shark is directly proportional to its total length, with the width of the roots of the largest teeth being a tool for estimating jaw perimeter. The largest tooth in Jeremiah's possession had a root width of about [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert), which yielded [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in total length. Ward asserted that this method is based on a sound principle that works well with most large sharks.[[23]](#cite_note-23)

##### Crown height[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=18)]

In 2002, paleontologist Kenshu Shimada of [DePaul University](/wiki/DePaul_University) proposed a linear relationship between tooth crown height and total length in great white sharks after conducting anatomical analysis of several specimens.[[34]](#cite_note-34) This relationship is expressed as: total length in centimetres = a + bx, where a is a constant, b is the slope of the line and x is the crown height of tooth in millimetres. This relationship allowed any tooth to be used for the estimate.[[3]](#cite_note-3)[[34]](#cite_note-34) The crown height was measured as maximum vertical enameloid height on the labial side. Shimada pointed out that previously proposed methods were based on weaker evaluation of dental homology, and that the growth rate between the crown and root is not [isometric](/wiki/Isometry), which he considered in his model.[[34]](#cite_note-34) Furthermore, this relationship could be used to predict the total length of sharks that are morphologically similar to the great white shark, such as *C. megalodon*.[[3]](#cite_note-3)[[34]](#cite_note-34) Using this model, the upper anterior tooth (with maximum height of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert)) possessed by Gottfried and colleagues corresponded to a total length of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[34]](#cite_note-34) In 2010, shark researchers Catalina Pimiento, Dana J. Ehret, Bruce J. MacFadden and Gordon Hubbell estimated the total length of *C. megalodon* on the basis of Shimada's method. Among the specimens found in the Gatun Formation of Panama, specimen number 237956 yielded a total length of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[3]](#cite_note-3) Later on, shark researchers (including Pimiento, Ehret and MacFadden) revisited the Gatun Formation and recovered additional specimens. Specimen number 257579 yielded a total length of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) on the basis of Shimada's method.[[35]](#cite_note-35)

##### Consensus[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=19)]

[thumb|*C. megalodon* (gray and red) with the](/wiki/File:Megalodon_scale.svg) [whale shark](/wiki/Whale_shark) (violet), [great white shark](/wiki/Great_white_shark) (green), and a [human](/wiki/Human) (black) for scale. **Note:** The maximum size attained by *C. megalodon* is indicated by the 20 m scale.

In the 1990s, marine biologists such as Patrick J. Schembri and Staphon Papson opined that *C. megalodon* may have approached a maximum of around [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in total length;[[36]](#cite_note-36)[[37]](#cite_note-37) however, Gottfried and colleagues asserted that *C. megalodon* could have reached a maximum of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in total length.[[4]](#cite_note-4)[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[38]](#cite_note-38) However, a commonly acknowledged maximum total length of *C. megalodon* is [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[1]](#cite_note-1)[[2]](#cite_note-2)[[6]](#cite_note-6)

##### Largest known specimens[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=20)]

Gordon Hubbell from [Gainesville, Florida](/wiki/Gainesville,_Florida), possesses an upper anterior *C. megalodon* tooth whose maximum height is [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[23]](#cite_note-23) In addition, a *C. megalodon* jaw reconstruction contains a tooth whose maximum height is reportedly [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[39]](#cite_note-39) This jaw reconstruction was developed by fossil hunter Vito Bertucci,[[39]](#cite_note-39) who was known as "Megalodon Man".[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[39]](#cite_note-39)

#### Body mass estimates[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=21)]

Gottfried and colleagues introduced a method to determine the mass of the great white after studying the length–mass relationship data of 175 specimens at various growth stages and extrapolated it to estimate *C. megalodon's* mass. According to their model, a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long *C. megalodon* would have a mass of about [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert),[[4]](#cite_note-4)[[7]](#cite_note-7) a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long *C. megalodon* would have a mass of about [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert),[[7]](#cite_note-7) and a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long *C. megalodon* would have a mass of [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert).[[4]](#cite_note-4)[[7]](#cite_note-7)

### Dentition and jaw mechanics[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=22)]

[thumb|right|Reconstruction showing the position of the replacement teeth.](/wiki/File:Megalodon_teeth.jpg) A team of Japanese scientists, T. Uyeno, O. Sakamoto, and H. Sekine, discovered and excavated partial remains of a *C. megalodon*, with its nearly complete associated set of teeth, from [Saitama](/wiki/Saitama,_Saitama), Japan, in 1989.[[9]](#cite_note-9) Another nearly complete associated *C. megalodon* dentition was excavated from the [Yorktown Formations](/wiki/Yorktown_Formation) of [Lee Creek](/wiki/Lee_Creek), North Carolina, in the United States and served as the basis of a jaw reconstruction of *C. megalodon* at the [American Museum of Natural History](/wiki/American_Museum_of_Natural_History) in New York City.[[7]](#cite_note-7) These associated tooth sets solved the mystery of how many teeth would be in each row of the jaws of *C. megalodon*. As a result, highly accurate jaw reconstructions became possible. More associated *C. megalodon* dentitions were found in later years. Based on these discoveries, scientists S. Applegate and L. Espinosa published an artificial [dental formula](/wiki/Dentition#Dental_formula) (representation of dentition of an animal with respect to types of teeth and their arrangement within the animal's jaw) for *C. megalodon* in 1996.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[9]](#cite_note-9) Most accurate modern *C. megalodon* jaw reconstructions are based on this dental formula.

The dental formula of *C. megalodon* is: [Template:DentalFormula](/wiki/Template:DentalFormula).[[9]](#cite_note-9) As evident from the formula, *C. megalodon* had four kinds of teeth in its jaws.[[9]](#cite_note-9)\* *Anterior* - A

* *Intermediate* - I (*C. megalodon****s tooth technically appears to be an upper anterior and is termed as "A3" because it is fairly symmetrical and does not point mesially (side of the tooth toward the midline of the jaws where the left and right jaws meet), but this tooth is still designated as an intermediate tooth.***[***[10]***](#cite_note-10) ***However, the great white shark's intermediate tooth does point mesially. This point was raised in the Carcharodon vs. Carcharocles debate regarding the megalodon and favors the case of Carcharocles proponents.)***
* *Lateral* - L
* *Posterior* - P

[thumb|right|Reconstructed jaws on display at the](/wiki/File:Megalodon_jaws_on_display_at_the_National_Baltimore_Aquarium.jpg) [National Aquarium in Baltimore](/wiki/National_Aquarium_in_Baltimore). *C. megalodon* had a very robust dentition,[[7]](#cite_note-7) and had a total of about 276 teeth in its jaws, spanning 5 rows. [Paleontologists](/wiki/Paleontologist) suggest that a very large *C. megalodon* had jaws over [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) across.[[23]](#cite_note-23)

#### Bite force[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=23)]

In 2008, a team of scientists led by S. Wroe conducted an experiment to determine the bite force of the [great white shark](/wiki/Great_white_shark), using a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long specimen, and then isometrically scaling the results for its maximum confirmed size and the conservative minimum and maximum body mass of *C. megalodon*, placing the bite force of the latter between [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) and [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in a posterior bite. Compared to [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) for the largest confirmed great white shark,[[4]](#cite_note-4) and [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) for the placoderm fish [*Dunkleosteus*](/wiki/Dunkleosteus).[[40]](#cite_note-40) In addition, Wroe and colleagues pointed out that sharks shake sideways while feeding, amplifying the post-cranial generated forces. Therefore, the total force experienced by prey is probably higher than the estimate.[[4]](#cite_note-4) The extraordinary bite forces in *C. megalodon* must be considered in the context of its great size and of paleontological evidence suggesting that *C. megalodon* was an active predator of large whales.[[4]](#cite_note-4)

#### Functional parameters of teeth[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=24)]

[thumb|upright|Tooth compared to a hand](/wiki/File:Megalodon.jpg) The teeth of *C. megalodon* were exceptionally robust and [serrated](/wiki/Serrated),[[10]](#cite_note-10)[[23]](#cite_note-23) which would have improved efficiency in slicing its prey's [flesh](/wiki/Flesh). Paleontologist B. K. Kent suggested that these teeth are comparatively thicker for their size with much lower slenderness and bending strength ratios. Their roots are substantially larger relative to total tooth heights, and so have a greater mechanical advantage. Teeth with these traits are good cutting tools and are well suited for grasping powerful prey and would seldom crack even when slicing through bones.[[41]](#cite_note-41)

### Skeletal anatomy[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=25)]

[thumb|left|Reconstructed *C. megalodon* skeleton on display at the](/wiki/File:Megalodon_skeleton.jpg) [Calvert Marine Museum](/wiki/Calvert_Marine_Museum). Gottfried and colleagues further estimated the schematics of *C. megalodon****s entire skeleton.***[***[7]***](#cite_note-7) ***To support the beast's dentition, its jaws would have been massive, stouter, and more strongly developed than those of the great white, which possesses a comparatively gracile dentition. The jaws would have given it a "pig-eyed" profile.***[***[7]***](#cite_note-7) ***Its*** [***chondrocranium***](/wiki/Chondrocranium) ***would have had a blockier and more robust appearance than that of the great white.***[***[7]***](#cite_note-7) ***Its fins were proportional to its larger size.***[***[7]***](#cite_note-7) ***Scrutiny of the partially preserved vertebral C. megalodon specimen from Belgium revealed that C. megalodon had a higher vertebral count than specimens of any known shark. Only the great white approached it.***[***[7]***](#cite_note-7) Using the above characteristics, Gottfried and colleagues reconstructed the entire skeleton of *C. megalodon*, which was later put on display at the [Calvert Marine Museum](/wiki/Calvert_Marine_Museum) at [Solomon's Island](/wiki/Solomon's_Island), [Maryland](/wiki/Maryland), in the United States.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[27]](#cite_note-27)[[42]](#cite_note-42) This reconstruction is [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long and represents a young individual. The team stresses that relative and proportional changes in the skeletal features of *C. megalodon* are [ontogenetic](/wiki/Ontogenetic) in nature in comparison to those of the great white, as they occur in great white sharks while growing.[[7]](#cite_note-7) Fossil remains of *C. megalodon* confirm that it had a heavily calcified skeleton while alive.[[25]](#cite_note-25)

## Paleobiological and paleoecological considerations[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=26)]

### Range and habitat[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=27)]

[thumb|](/wiki/File:Giant_white_shark_coprolite_(Miocene;_coastal_waters_of_South_Carolina,_USA).jpg)[Coprolite](/wiki/Coprolite) attributed to *C. megalodon* Sharks, especially large species, are highly mobile and experience a complex life history amid wide distribution.[[3]](#cite_note-3) Fossil records indicate that *C. megalodon* was [cosmopolitan](/wiki/Cosmopolitan_distribution),[[1]](#cite_note-1)[[35]](#cite_note-35) and commonly occurred in [subtropical](/wiki/Subtropics) to [temperate](/wiki/Temperateness) latitudes.[[1]](#cite_note-1)[[7]](#cite_note-7) It has been found at latitudes up to 55° N; its inferred tolerated temperature range goes down to an annual mean of 12 °C (an annual range of 1–24 °C). It arguably had the capacity to endure such low temperatures by virtue of [mesothermy](/wiki/Mesotherm), the physiological capability of large sharks to conserve metabolic heat by maintaining a higher body temperature than the surrounding water.[[1]](#cite_note-1) *C. megalodon* had enough adaptability to inhabit a wide range of marine environments (i.e., shallow coastal waters, areas of coastal upwelling, swampy coastal [lagoons](/wiki/Lagoon), sandy [littorals](/wiki/Littoral), and offshore deep water environments), and exhibited a transient lifestyle.[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[43]](#cite_note-43) Adult *C. megalodon* were not abundant in shallow water environments,[[43]](#cite_note-43) and mostly lurked offshore. *C. megalodon* may have moved between coastal and oceanic waters, particularly in different stages of its life cycle.

Fossil remains show a trend for specimens to be larger in the southern hemisphere than in the northern (mean lengths of 11.6 vs 9.6 m, respectively) and in the Pacific relative to the Atlantic (10.9 vs. 9.5 m). They do not, however, suggest any trend of changing body size with [absolute](/wiki/Absolute_value) latitude, or of change in size over time (although the megatooth lineage in general is thought to display a trend of increasing size over time).[[6]](#cite_note-6) The overall [modal](/wiki/Mode_(statistics)) length has been estimated at 10.5 m, with the length distribution skewed towards larger individuals, suggesting an ecological or competitive advantage for larger body size.[[6]](#cite_note-6)

### Prey relationships[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=28)]

[thumb|left|](/wiki/File:Meg_bitten_cetacean_vertebra.jpg)[Vertebral centrum](/wiki/Vertebral_centrum) of a whale bitten in half by a *C. megalodon*. Large bite marks (deep gashes) on the vertebral centrum are visible. Sharks generally are opportunistic predators, but scientists propose that *C. megalodon* was "arguably the most formidable carnivore ever to have existed".[[4]](#cite_note-4) Its great size,[[4]](#cite_note-4) high-speed swimming capability,[[42]](#cite_note-42) and powerful jaws, coupled with a formidable killing apparatus,[[4]](#cite_note-4)[[7]](#cite_note-7) made it a [super-predator](/wiki/Apex_predator) capable of consuming a broad spectrum of [fauna](/wiki/Fauna). A study about calcium isotopes of extinct and extant elasmobranchs revealed that *C. megalodon* fed at a higher trophic level than the contemporaneous great white shark.[[44]](#cite_note-44) Fossil evidence indicates that *C. megalodon* preyed upon [cetaceans](/wiki/Cetaceans) (i.e., [dolphins](/wiki/Dolphin)),[[7]](#cite_note-7) small [whales](/wiki/Whale),[[9]](#cite_note-9) (including [cetotheriids](/wiki/Cetotherium),[[14]](#cite_note-14) [squalodontids](/wiki/Squalodon),[[43]](#cite_note-43) and [*Odobenocetops*](/wiki/Odobenocetops)[[45]](#cite_note-45)), and large whales,[[46]](#cite_note-46) (including [sperm whales](/wiki/Sperm_whale_family),[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[47]](#cite_note-47) [bowhead whales](/wiki/Bowhead_whale),[[48]](#cite_note-48) and [rorquals](/wiki/Rorquals)[[46]](#cite_note-46)[[49]](#cite_note-49)), [pinnipeds](/wiki/Pinniped),[[14]](#cite_note-14)[[24]](#cite_note-24)[[50]](#cite_note-50) [porpoises](/wiki/Porpoises),[[23]](#cite_note-23) [sirenians](/wiki/Sirenian),[[43]](#cite_note-43)[[51]](#cite_note-51) and giant [sea turtles](/wiki/Sea_turtle).[[43]](#cite_note-43) Marine mammals were regular prey targets for *C. megalodon*. Many whale bones have been found with clear signs of large bite marks (deep gashes) made by teeth that match the teeth of *C. megalodon*.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[9]](#cite_note-9) Various excavations have revealed *C. megalodon* teeth lying close to the chewed remains of whales,[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[27]](#cite_note-27) and sometimes in direct association with them.[[12]](#cite_note-12) Fossil evidence of interactions between *C. megalodon* and pinnipeds also exist.[[24]](#cite_note-24) In one interesting observation, a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) *C. megalodon* tooth was found lying very close to a bitten earbone of a [sea lion](/wiki/Sea_lion).[[50]](#cite_note-50)

### Competition and impact on marine communities[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=29)]

[thumb|Artistic impression of a *C. megalodon* pursuing two](/wiki/File:VMNH_megalodon.jpg) [*Eobalaenoptera*](/wiki/Eobalaenoptera) whales *C. megalodon* faced a highly [competitive](/wiki/Interspecific_competition) environment.[[5]](#cite_note-5) However, its position at the top of the food chain[[52]](#cite_note-52) probably had a profound impact on the structuring of marine communities.[[5]](#cite_note-5)[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[53]](#cite_note-53) Fossil evidence indicates a correlation between *C. megalodon* emergence and extensive diversification of cetaceans.[[5]](#cite_note-5)[[7]](#cite_note-7) Juvenile *C. megalodon* preferred habitats where small cetaceans were abundant, and adult *C. megalodon* preferred habitats where large cetaceans were abundant. Such preferences may have developed shortly after they appeared in the [Oligocene](/wiki/Oligocene).[[7]](#cite_note-7) *C. megalodon* were contemporaneous with macro-predatory [odontocetes](/wiki/Odontoceti) (particularly raptorial sperm whales and squalodontids), which were also probably among the era's apex predators,[[5]](#cite_note-5)[[13]](#cite_note-13) and provided competition.[[13]](#cite_note-13)[[54]](#cite_note-54) In response to competition from giant macro-predatory sharks, macro-predatory odontocetes may have evolved defensive adaptations; some species became [pack predators](/wiki/Pack_hunter),[[13]](#cite_note-13)[[55]](#cite_note-55) and some attained gigantic sizes, such as [*Livyatan melvillei*](/wiki/Livyatan_melvillei).[[5]](#cite_note-5)[[56]](#cite_note-56) By late [Miocene](/wiki/Miocene), raptorial sperm whales experienced a significant decline in abundance and diversity.[[5]](#cite_note-5) However, raptorial delphinids began to emerge during the [Pliocene](/wiki/Pliocene),[[57]](#cite_note-57) to fill this ecological void.[[5]](#cite_note-5) Like other sharks, *C. megalodon* also would have been [piscivorous](/wiki/Piscivorous).[[14]](#cite_note-14)[[42]](#cite_note-42) Fossil evidence indicates that other notable species of macro-predatory sharks (e.g., great white sharks) responded to competitive pressure from *C. megalodon* by avoiding regions it inhabited.[[7]](#cite_note-7) *C. megalodon* probably also had a tendency for [cannibalism](/wiki/Cannibalism).[[58]](#cite_note-58)

### Feeding strategies[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=30)]

Sharks often employ complex hunting strategies to engage large prey animals. Some paleontologists suggest that great white shark hunting strategies may offer clues as to how *C. megalodon* hunted its unusually large prey.[[13]](#cite_note-13) However, fossil evidence suggests that *C. megalodon* employed even more effective hunting strategies against large prey than the great white shark.[[41]](#cite_note-41) Paleontologists surveyed fossils to determine attacking patterns.[[41]](#cite_note-41) One particular specimen – the remains of a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long [prehistoric](/wiki/Prehistoric) baleen whale (of an unknown [Miocene](/wiki/Miocene) taxon) – provided the first opportunity to quantitatively analyze its attack behavior. The predator primarily focused on the tough bony portions (i.e., shoulders, flippers, rib cage, and upper spine) of the prey, which great white sharks generally avoid. Dr. B. Kent elaborated that *C. megalodon* attempted to crush the bones and damage delicate organs (i.e., [heart](/wiki/Heart) and [lungs](/wiki/Lungs)) harbored within the rib cage. Such an attack would have immobilized the prey, which would have died quickly from injuries to these vital organs. These findings also clarify why the ancient shark needed more robust dentition than that of the great white shark.[[41]](#cite_note-41) Furthermore, attack patterns could differ for prey of different sizes. Fossil remains of some small cetaceans (e.g. cetotheriids) suggest that they were rammed with great force from below before being killed and eaten.[[13]](#cite_note-13) During the [Pliocene](/wiki/Pliocene), larger and more advanced cetaceans appeared.[[59]](#cite_note-59) *C. megalodon* apparently further refined its hunting strategies to cope with these large whales. Numerous fossilized flipper bones (i.e., segments of the pectoral fins) and caudal vertebrae of large whales from the Pliocene have been found with *C. megalodon* bite marks. This paleontological evidence suggests that *C. megalodon* would immobilize a large whale by ripping apart or biting off its locomotive structures before killing and feeding on it.[[4]](#cite_note-4)

### Nursery areas[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=31)]

[thumb|Collection of teeth of juvenile *C. megalodon* from a probable nursery area in the Gatun Formation of](/wiki/File:Megalodon_teeth.png) [Panama](/wiki/Panama) Fossil evidence suggests that the preferred nursery sites of *C. megalodon* were warm water coastal environments, where threats were minor and food plentiful.[[3]](#cite_note-3) Nursery sites were identified in the Gatun Formation of Panama, the Calvert Formation of Maryland, Banco de Concepción in the [Canary Islands](/wiki/Canary_Islands),[[21]](#cite_note-21) and the [Bone Valley](/wiki/Bone_Valley) Formation of Florida. As is the case with most sharks, *C. megalodon* gave birth to live young. The size of neonate *C. megalodon* teeth indicate that pups were around [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) in total length at birth.[[3]](#cite_note-3)[[7]](#cite_note-7) Their dietary preferences display an [ontogenetic](/wiki/Ontogeny) shift.[[7]](#cite_note-7) Young *C. megalodon* commonly preyed on fish,[[3]](#cite_note-3) giant sea turtles,[[43]](#cite_note-43) dugongs[[23]](#cite_note-23) and small cetaceans; mature *C. megalodon* moved to off-shore cetacean high-use areas and consumed large cetaceans.[[7]](#cite_note-7) However, an exceptional case in the fossil record suggests that juvenile *C. megalodon* may occasionally have attacked much larger balaenopterid whales. Three tooth marks apparently from a [Template:Convert](/wiki/Template:Convert) long Pliocene macro-predatory shark were found on a rib from an ancestral great blue or humpback whale that showed evidence of subsequent healing.[[49]](#cite_note-49)[[60]](#cite_note-60)[[61]](#cite_note-61) Scientists suspect that this shark was a juvenile *C. megalodon*.[[60]](#cite_note-60)[[61]](#cite_note-61)

## Extinction[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=32)]

The subject of *C. megalodon*’s [extinction](/wiki/Extinction) remains under investigation.[[13]](#cite_note-13) Several possible causes for its decline and eventual disappearance have been proposed.

### Environmental factors[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=33)]

#### Oceanic cooling and sea level drops[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=34)]

The Earth has been in a long term cooling trend since the Miocene Climactic Optimum, 15–17 Ma ago.[[62]](#cite_note-62) This trend may have been accelerated by changes in global ocean circulation caused by the closure of the [Central American Seaway](/wiki/Central_American_Seaway)[[9]](#cite_note-9)[[63]](#cite_note-63) and/or other factors (see [Pliocene climate](/wiki/Pliocene_climate)), setting the stage for [glaciation](/wiki/Glaciation) in the northern hemisphere.[[63]](#cite_note-63) Consequently, during the late Pliocene and Pleistocene, there were [ice ages](/wiki/Ice_age),[[64]](#cite_note-64)[[65]](#cite_note-65) which cooled the oceans significantly.[[9]](#cite_note-9)[[27]](#cite_note-27)[[66]](#cite_note-66) Expansion of glaciation during the Pliocene tied up huge volumes of water in continental ice sheets, resulting in significant [sea level drops](/wiki/Marine_ingression).[[67]](#cite_note-67) It has been argued that this cooling trend adversely impacted *C. megalodon*, as it preferred warmer waters,[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[66]](#cite_note-66) causing it to decline in abundance until its ultimate extinction[[66]](#cite_note-66) at the end of the Pliocene. Fossil evidence confirms the absence of *C. megalodon* in regions around the world where water temperatures had significantly declined during the Pliocene.[[7]](#cite_note-7) Furthermore, these oceanographic changes may have restricted many of the suitable warm water nursery sites for *C. megalodon*, hindering reproduction.[[9]](#cite_note-9) Nursery areas are pivotal for the survival of many shark species, in part because they protect juveniles from predation.[[68]](#cite_note-68)

### Biotic factors[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=35)]

#### Decline in food supply[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=36)]

[Baleen whales](/wiki/Baleen_whale) attained their greatest diversity during the Miocene,[[7]](#cite_note-7) with over 20 recognized genera in comparison to only six extant genera.[[69]](#cite_note-69) Such diversity presented an ideal setting to support a gigantic macropredator such as *C. megalodon*.[[7]](#cite_note-7) However, by the end of the Miocene many species of mysticetes had gone extinct;[[5]](#cite_note-5) surviving species may have been faster swimmers and thus more elusive prey.[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[42]](#cite_note-42) Furthermore, after the closure of the [Central American Seaway](/wiki/Central_American_Seaway), additional extinctions occurred in the marine environment,[[70]](#cite_note-70)[[71]](#cite_note-71) and faunal redistribution took place; [tropical](/wiki/Tropics) great whales decreased in diversity and abundance.[[72]](#cite_note-72) Whale migratory patterns during the Pliocene have been reconstructed from the fossil record, suggesting that most surviving species of whales showed a trend towards [polar regions](/wiki/Polar_region).[[67]](#cite_note-67) The cooling of the oceans during the Pliocene might have restricted the access of *C. megalodon* to polar regions, depriving it of its main food source of large whales.[[7]](#cite_note-7)[[9]](#cite_note-9)[[14]](#cite_note-14)[[72]](#cite_note-72) As a result of these developments, the food supply for *C. megalodon* in regions it inhabited during the Pliocene, primarily in low-to-mid latitudes, was no longer sufficient to sustain it worldwide.[[9]](#cite_note-9)[[46]](#cite_note-46)[[72]](#cite_note-72) *C. megalodon* was adapted to a specialized lifestyle, and this lifestyle was disturbed by these developments.[[27]](#cite_note-27) Paleontologist Albert Sanders suggests that *C. megalodon* was too large to sustain itself on the declining tropical food supply.[[68]](#cite_note-68) The resulting shortage of food sources in the tropics during [Plio-Pleistocene](/wiki/Plio-Pleistocene) times may have fueled cannibalism by *C. megalodon*. Juveniles were at increased risk from attacks by adults during times of starvation.[[13]](#cite_note-13)

#### Competitive pressures[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=37)]

Large raptorial delphinids (members of genus [*Orcinus*](/wiki/Killer_whale)) evolved during the Pliocene,[[57]](#cite_note-57) and probably filled the ecological void left by the disappearance of [raptorial sperm whales](/wiki/Raptorial_sperm_whale) at the end of the Miocene.[[5]](#cite_note-5) A minority view is that competition from ancestral killer whales may have contributed to the shark's decline[[23]](#cite_note-23)[[42]](#cite_note-42)[[73]](#cite_note-73) (another source suggests more generally that "competition with large [odontocetes](/wiki/Odontocete)" may have been a factor[[7]](#cite_note-7)). Fossil records indicate that these delphinids commonly occurred at high latitudes during the Pliocene, indicating that they could cope with the increasingly prevalent cold water temperatures. They also occurred in the tropics (e.g., *Orcinus* sp. in [South Africa](/wiki/South_Africa)).[[57]](#cite_note-57)

### Multiple causes[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=38)]

Expert consensus has pointed to factors such as a cooling trend in the oceans and a shortage of food sources during [Plio-Pleistocene](/wiki/Plio-Pleistocene) times having played a significant role in the demise of *C. megalodon*.[[13]](#cite_note-13) However, a recent analysis of the distribution, abundance and climatic range of *C. megalodon* over geologic time suggests that biotic factors, i.e. dwindling numbers of prey species combined with competition from new macro-predators (raptorial sperm whales, great white sharks and killer whales), were the primary drivers of its extinction.[[1]](#cite_note-1) The distribution of *C. megalodon* during the Miocene and Pliocene did not correlate with warming and cooling trends; while the abundance and distribution of *C. megalodon* declined during the [Pliocene](/wiki/Pliocene), *C. megalodon* did show a capacity to inhabit anti-tropical latitudes.[[1]](#cite_note-1) *C. megalodon* was found in locations with a mean temperature ranging from 12 to 27 °C (with a total range of from 1 to 33 °C), indicating that the global extent of suitable habitat for *C. megalodon* should not have been greatly affected by the temperature changes that occurred.[[1]](#cite_note-1) The extinction of *C. megalodon* set the stage for further changes in marine communities. Average body size of baleen whales increased significantly after its disappearance. Other apex predators gained from the loss of this formidable species,[[66]](#cite_note-66) in some cases spreading to regions where *C. megalodon* became absent.[[74]](#cite_note-74)

## In fiction[[edit](/index.php?title=(none)&action=edit&section=39)]

*C. megalodon* has been portrayed in several works of fiction, including films and novels, and continues to hold its place among the most popular subjects for fiction involving [sea monsters](/wiki/Sea_monster). Many of these works posit that at least a [relict](/wiki/Relict) population of *C. megalodon* survived extinction and lurk in the vast depths of the ocean, and that individuals may manage to surface, either by human intervention or by natural means. [Jim Shepard's](/wiki/Jim_Shepard) story "Tedford and the Megalodon" is an example of this. Such beliefs are usually inspired by the discovery of a *C. megalodon* tooth by members of [Template:HMS](/wiki/Template:HMS) in 1872, which some believed to be only 10,000 years old.[[75]](#cite_note-75) Some works of fiction (such as [*Shark Attack 3: Megalodon*](/wiki/Shark_Attack_3:_Megalodon) and [Steve Alten's](/wiki/Steve_Alten) [*Meg*](/wiki/Meg:_A_Novel_of_Deep_Terror) series) incorrectly depict *C. megalodon* as being a species over 70 million years old, and to have lived during the time of the dinosaurs. The writers of the movie *Shark Attack 3: Megalodon* depicted this assumption by including an altered copy of *Great White Shark* by shark researcher [Richard Ellis](/wiki/Richard_Ellis_(biologist)). The copy shown in the film had several pages that do not exist in the book. The author sued the film's distributor, [Lions Gate Entertainment](/wiki/Lions_Gate_Entertainment), asking for a halt to the film's distribution along with $150,000 in damages.[[76]](#cite_note-76) Steve Alten's [*Meg: A Novel of Deep Terror*](/wiki/Meg:_A_Novel_of_Deep_Terror) is probably best known for portraying this inaccuracy with its prologue and cover artwork depicting *C. megalodon* killing a [tyrannosaur](/wiki/Tyrannosaurus_rex) in the sea.

The [Animal Planet](/wiki/Animal_Planet) [fictional documentary](/wiki/Docufiction), [*Mermaids: The Body Found*](/wiki/Mermaids:_The_Body_Found), included an encounter 1.6 million years ago between a pod of [mermaids](/wiki/Mermaid) and a *C. megalodon*. Later, in August 2013, the [Discovery Channel](/wiki/Discovery_Channel) opened its annual [Shark Week](/wiki/Shark_Week) series with another film for television [Megalodon: The Monster Shark Lives](/wiki/Megalodon:_The_Monster_Shark_Lives),[[77]](#cite_note-77) a controversial[[78]](#cite_note-78)[[79]](#cite_note-79) docufiction about the creature that presented alleged evidence in order to suggest that *C. megalodon* was still alive.[[80]](#cite_note-80) This program received criticism for being completely fictional; for example, all of the supposed "scientists" depicted were paid actors.[[81]](#cite_note-81) In 2014 Discovery re-aired "The Monster Shark Lives", along with a new one-hour program, "Megalodon: The New Evidence", and an additional fictionalized program entitled "Shark of Darkness: Wrath of Submarine", resulting in further backlash from media sources and the scientific community.[[82]](#cite_note-82)[[83]](#cite_note-83)[[84]](#cite_note-84)[[85]](#cite_note-85)
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* [List of prehistoric cartilaginous fish](/wiki/List_of_prehistoric_cartilaginous_fish)
* [Prehistoric fish](/wiki/Prehistoric_fish)
* [Largest prehistoric organisms](/wiki/Largest_prehistoric_organisms)
* [*Carcharocles angustidens*](/wiki/Carcharocles_angustidens)
* [*Carcharocles chubutensis*](/wiki/Carcharocles_chubutensis)
* [*Carcharocles auriculatus*](/wiki/Carcharocles_auriculatus)
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* [The rise of super predatory sharks](https://web.archive.org/web/20110303141026/http://www.biodiversity2010.org.au/2010/10/the-rise-of-super-predatory-sharks-2/)
* [Extinct Megalodon, the largest shark ever, may have grown too big](http://www.nbcnews.com/science/extinct-megalodon-largest-shark-ever-may-have-been-too-big-8C11535561)
* [Carcharocles: Extinct Megatoothed shark](http://www.elasmo.com/frameMe.html?file=genera/cenozoic/sharks/carcharocles.html&menu=bin/menu_genera-alt.html)
* [Template:Cite web](/wiki/Template:Cite_web)
* [Jurassic Shark](http://gailharrington.net/jurassicshark.aspx)
* [Megalodon article on prehistoric-wildlife.com](http://www.prehistoric-wildlife.com/species/m/megalodon.html)
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**Note:** [Flash Player](/wiki/Adobe_Flash_Player) is required to view the content below.

* [Megalodon Giant Shark](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bIjNqDvlyeI) – *National Geographic* (full documentary)
* [Video Gallery containing video clips featuring megalodon](http://dsc.discovery.com/convergence/sharkweek/videogalleries/prehistoric/prehistoric.html) from [Discovery Channel](/wiki/Discovery_Channel)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) depicting aggressive interspecific interactions between megalodon and a pod of killer odontoceti ([*Brygmophyseter shigensis*](/wiki/Brygmophyseter)) from [History Channel](/wiki/History_(U.S._TV_channel))
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube).
* [Prehistoric Washington DC: Mega Shark](http://dsc.discovery.com/videos/prehistoric-washington-dc-mega-shark.html) from Discovery Channel (depicts megalodon's prey attacking strategies)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) with comments on its extinction.
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) (featuring expert Dana Ehret)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) (featuring expert Mikael Siverson)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) (featuring expert Bretton Kent)
* [Template:YouTube](/wiki/Template:YouTube) (Megalodon's extinction discussed)
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