

# Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell

Ömer S. Ağacan  
oagacan@indiana.edu

Ryan R. Newton  
rrnewton@indiana.edu

August 27, 2015

# Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell - Talk outline

- An overview of supercompilation.

# Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell - Talk outline

- An overview of supercompilation.
- What's interesting about it in the context of Haskell? Current state-of-the-art.

# Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell - Talk outline

- An overview of supercompilation.
- What's interesting about it in the context of Haskell? Current state-of-the-art.
- Overview of how it works.

# Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell - Talk outline

- An overview of supercompilation.
- What's interesting about it in the context of Haskell? Current state-of-the-art.
- Overview of how it works.
- "But where's my supercompiler for Haskell?" My preliminary work and research goals.

# Supercompilation: An overview

- The paper that describes the idea in English: "The Concept of a Supercompiler" Turchin [1986].

# Supercompilation: An overview

- The paper that describes the idea in English: "The Concept of a Supercompiler" Turchin [1986].
- High-level idea:

# Supercompilation: An overview

- The paper that describes the idea in English: "The Concept of a Supercompiler" Turchin [1986].
- High-level idea:
  - Evaluate programs in compile-time, while making the most out of known inputs and definitions.



# Supercompilation: An overview

- The paper that describes the idea in English: "The Concept of a Supercompiler" Turchin [1986].
- High-level idea:
  - Evaluate programs in compile-time, while making the most out of known inputs and definitions.
  - When evaluating sub-expressions of a case expression, propagate information about current branch(shape of the scrutinee).

```
case v of  
  P v1 .. vN → expr
```

Evaluate  $expr[P\ v_1 .. v_N/v]$ .

# Supercompilation: An overview

- The paper that describes the idea in English: "The Concept of a Supercompiler" Turchin [1986].
- High-level idea:
  - Evaluate programs in compile-time, while making the most out of known inputs and definitions.
    - When evaluating sub-expressions of a case expression, propagate information about current branch(shape of the scrutinee).

case  $v$  of  
P  $v_1 \dots v_N \rightarrow \text{expr}$

Evaluate  $\text{expr}[P \ v_1 \dots v_N / v]$ .

- Most of the time the goal is to generate more efficient programs. (but see Klyuchnikov and Romanenko [2010] for a different use of supercompilation)

# Supercompilation in the context of Haskell

- Why is it interesting?
- In a sense, it's the "ultimate" optimization. ("-O99")
- This optimizes in the sense that:  
If we have a programs  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , and  
 $\mathcal{P}_1 \Downarrow v$  in  $N$  steps and  
 $\mathcal{P}_2 \Downarrow v$  in  $M$  steps,  
we consider  $\mathcal{P}_2$  optimized if  $M < N$ .
- An approximation, but works well in practice.  
(i.e. if  $M < N$  then usually  $M$  is a faster program)

# Supercompilation in the context of Haskell

It generalizes:

- Deforestation(Wadler [1988])
- Partial evaluation
- Call-pattern specialization(Peyton Jones [2007])
- Ad-hoc optimizations via rewrite rules, e.g. shortcut fusion (Gill et al. [1993]) or library-specific rewrite rules
- "Optimizing SYB is Easy!" (Adams et al. [2014]) and "Optimizing Generics is Easy!" (Magalhães et al. [2010]) style "domain-specific" partial evaluators
- Function specialization(SPECIALIZE pragmas)
- ... and many more

# Current state-of-the-art

- Bolingbroke [2013] shows some great potential:
  - Up to 20x faster runtime.
  - Up to 100% reduction in allocation.
- But it also suffers from problems that are inherent to supercompilation:
  - "We do not attempt to supercompile the full Nofib suite because the other Nofib benchmarks are considerably more complicated and generally suffer from extremely long supercompilation times."  
(Jonsson [201?] focuses on compilation performance, and reports *<3 seconds* for all the small programs from Nofib)
  - Up to 132x compile time.
  - Up to 2.8x generated code size.

# How it works? An overview

Bolingbroke [2013] laid out a great framework for supercompiling Haskell:

- **Driving:** Take steps according to operational semantics. Some additional steps like case-of-case transformation (Jones and Santos [1998]).

# How it works? An overview

Bolingbroke [2013] laid out a great framework for supercompiling Haskell:

- **Driving:** Take steps according to operational semantics. Some additional steps like case-of-case transformation (Jones and Santos [1998]).
- **Splitting:** When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions. Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.

# How it works? An overview

Bolingbroke [2013] laid out a great framework for supercompiling Haskell:

- **Driving:** Take steps according to operational semantics. Some additional steps like case-of-case transformation (Jones and Santos [1998]).
- **Splitting:** When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions. Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.
- **Matching:** Evaluating open terms lead to loops. Matcher tries to detect loops, returns information about how to refer to this new loop.



# How it works? An overview

Bolingbroke [2013] laid out a great framework for supercompiling Haskell:

- **Driving:** Take steps according to operational semantics. Some additional steps like case-of-case transformation (Jones and Santos [1998]).
- **Splitting:** When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions. Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.
- **Matching:** Evaluating open terms lead to loops. Matcher tries to detect loops, returns information about how to refer to this new loop.
- **Termination checking:** Because perfect matcher is not possible, and some programs just loop.

mapOfMap f g = map f . map g

h1 f g a = map f (map g a)

...

h4 f g a =

case (case a of

    []      -> []

    h1 : t1 -> g h1 : map g t1) of

    []      -> []

    h0 : t0 -> f h0 : map f t0

...

h6 f g a =

case a of

    []      -> []

    h : t -> f (g h) : map f (map g t)

h7 f g a =

case a of

    []      -> []

    h : t -> f (g h) : h7 f g t

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

**Splitter:** (from Bolingbroke [2013])

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

**Splitter:** (from Bolingbroke [2013])

Propagating too much information may lead to work duplication.

```
let n = fib 100
    b = n + 1
    c = n + 2
in (b, c)
```

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

**Splitter:** (from Bolingbroke [2013])

Propagating too much information may lead to work duplication.

```
let n = fib 100
    b = n + 1
    c = n + 2
in (b, c)
```

Propagating too little information may lead to missing optimization opportunities.

```
let map = . . .
    ys = map f zs
    xs = map g ys
in Just xs
```

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher:



# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher:

May lead to work sharing, which may increase memory residency. (Chitil [1997])

```
S0 =  
  let a = fib y  
      b = fib y  
  in (a, b)
```

```
S1 =  
  let a = fib y  
  in (a, a)
```

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher:

May lead to work sharing, which may increase memory residency. (Chitil [1997])

```
S0 =  
  let a = fib y  
      b = fib y  
  in (a, b)
```

```
S1 =  
  let a = fib y  
  in (a, a)
```

Or:

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher:

May lead to work sharing, which may increase memory residency. (Chitil [1997])

```
S0 =  
  let a = fib y  
      b = fib y  
  in (a, b)
```

```
S1 =  
  let a = fib y  
  in (a, a)
```

Or:

```
S0 = sum [1 .. 1000] + prod [1 .. 1000]  
S1 = let l = [1 .. 1000] in sum l + prod l
```

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Termination checker:

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Termination checker:

Some programs just loop.

```
loop n = loop (n + 1)
```

```
countFrom n = n : countFrom (n + 1)
```

# Problems with supercompilation operations

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Termination checker:

Some programs just loop.

```
loop n = loop (n + 1)
countFrom n = n : countFrom (n + 1)
```

Sometimes detecting loops is not so easy: (growing arguments)

```
reverse_acc [] acc = acc
reverse_acc (h : t) acc = reverse_acc t (h : acc)
goal lst = reverse_acc (reverse_acc lst []) []
...
h_ lst = ... reverse_acc t1 (h1 : []) ...
...
h_ lst = ... reverse_acc t2 (h2 : h1 : []) ...
...
```

# "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.



# "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.
- But we still don't have something that we can use *today*.

# "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.
- But we still don't have something that we can use *today*.
- I'm rebooting the supercompiler!

# "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.
- But we still don't have something that we can use *today*.
- I'm rebooting the supercompiler!
- The goal here is to distribute it as a package, downloadable from Hackage.

# "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.
- But we still don't have something that we can use *today*.
- I'm rebooting the supercompiler!
- The goal here is to distribute it as a package, downloadable from Hackage.
- Then the research will follow.

# Current status and problems

There has been some changes in GHC:

- Some changes in the Core theory: Roles.
- Lots of refactoring.

GHC API related problems:

- Some needed internals are not exposed by GHC – requires some modifications in GHC.
- No easy ways to do most basic stuff: Moving terms around(substitutions), known-case reduction, case-of-case, etc. (all done in some parts of Core-to-Core passes, need to reverse engineer)
- No easy way to annotate Core syntax. Duplicating the syntax means duplicating huge amounts of code.
- Working on Core is hard: Invariants are encoded as partial functions without any helpful error messages – if we're lucky, there's a NOTE.
- Some things are not clear. (Types are first-class, but can I use them wherever I want? The Core definition allows this)

# Conclusions

Have a working implementation of supercompiler described in Bolingbroke [2013].

# Conclusions

Have a working implementation of supercompiler described in Bolingbroke [2013].

(Maybe) Improve GHC plugin API on the way.

# Conclusions

Have a working implementation of supercompiler described in Bolingbroke [2013].

(Maybe) Improve GHC plugin API on the way.

Collecting benchmark programs - send yours! (with expected optimizations)

We can create a benchmark suite like Nofib, but for supercompilation-specific problems. (pathological cases, programs with lots of intermediate data structures)



Once we have a working implementation:

- Focus on specific parts(matcher, splitter etc.). Try other ideas from the literature(e.g. homeomorphic embedding).
- Work on some of the obvious improvements, like parallelizing the matcher.
- More experimental ideas:
  - Can we formulate it as a search problem and apply ideas from the literature?
  - Are profile-driven decision making possible?
  - Are machine learning algorithms applicable?

# Thanks!

Github: [osa1/sc-plugin](#)

IRC: [osa1](#)

Email me your slow programs: [oagacan@indiana.edu](mailto:oagacan@indiana.edu)

# References I

- M. D. Adams, A. Farmer, and J. P. Magalhães. Optimizing SYB is Easy! In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2014 Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Program Manipulation*, PEPM '14, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2619-3. doi: 10.1145/2543728.2543730.
- M. C. Bolingbroke. Call-by-need supercompilation. Technical Report UCAM-CL-TR-835, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, May 2013. URL <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/techreports/UCAM-CL-TR-835.pdf>.
- O. Chitil. Common Subexpression Elimination in a Lazy Functional Language, 1997.

## References II

- A. Gill, J. Launchbury, and S. L. Peyton Jones. A Short Cut to Deforestation. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture*, FPCA '93, pages 223–232, New York, NY, USA, 1993. ACM. ISBN 0-89791-595-X. doi: 10.1145/165180.165214. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/165180.165214>.
- S. L. P. Jones and A. L. Santos. A transformation-based optimiser for Haskell. In *SCIENCE OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING*, pages 3–47. Elsevier North-Holland, Inc., 1998.
- P. A. Jonsson. Time- and Size-Efficient Supercompilation, 201?
- I. Klyuchnikov and S. Romanenko. Proving the Equivalence of Higher-Order Terms by Means of Supercompilation. In A. Pnueli, I. Virbitskaite, and A. Voronkov, editors, *Perspectives of Systems Informatics*, volume 5947 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 193–205. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010. ISBN 978-3-642-11485-4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-11486-1\_17. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-11486-1\\_17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-11486-1_17).

## References III

- J. P. Magalhães, S. Holdermans, J. Jeuring, and A. Löh. Optimizing Generics is Easy! In *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Program Manipulation*, PEPM '10, pages 33–42, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM. ISBN 978-1-60558-727-1. doi: 10.1145/1706356.1706366. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1706356.1706366>.
- S. Peyton Jones. Call-pattern Specialisation for Haskell Programs. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming*, ICFP '07, pages 327–337, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM. ISBN 978-1-59593-815-2. doi: 10.1145/1291151.1291200. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1291151.1291200>.
- V. F. Turchin. The Concept of a Supercompiler. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 8:292–325, 1986.

## References IV

P. Wadler. Deforestation: Transforming Programs to Eliminate Trees. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 73(2):231–248, Jan. 1988. ISSN 0304-3975. doi: 10.1016/0304-3975(90)90147-A. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(90\)90147-A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(90)90147-A).