## Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell

Ömer S. Ağacan oagacan@indiana.edu

Ryan R. Newton rrnewton@indiana.edu

August 30, 2015

## Rebooting Supercompilation for Haskell - Talk outline

- An overview of supercompilation.
- What's interesting about it in the context of Haskell? Current state-of-the-art.
- Overview of how it works.
- "But where's my supercompiler for Haskell?" My preliminary work and research goals.

## Supercompilation: An overview

- Evaluate programs in compile time.
- Make the most out of known inputs and definitions.
- Evaluate open terms.

## Supercompilation in the context of Haskell

- Why is it interesting?
- In a sense, it's the "ultimate" optimization. ("-O99")
- An evaluator-based supercompiler optimizes in the sense that: If we have programs  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_1 \Downarrow v$  in N steps and  $\mathcal{P}_2 \Downarrow v$  in M steps, we consider  $\mathcal{P}_2$  optimized if  $M{<}N$ .
- An approximation, but works well in practice.
   (i.e. if M<N then usually M is a faster program)</li>

## Supercompilation in the context of Haskell

#### It generalizes:

- Deforestation(Wadler [1988])
- Partial evaluation
- Call-pattern specialization(Peyton Jones [2007])
- Ad-hoc optimizations via rewrite rules, e.g. shortcut fusion (Gill et al. [1993]) or library-specific rewrite rules
- "Optimizing SYB is Easy!" (Adams et al. [2014]) and
   "Optimizing Generics is Easy!" (Magalhães et al. [2010]) style
   "domain-specific" partial evaluators
- Function specialization(SPECIALIZE pragmas)
- ... and many more

#### Current state-of-the-art for Haskell

- Bolingbroke [2013] shows some great potential:
  - Up to 20x faster runtime.
  - Up to 100% reduction in allocation.
- But it also suffers from problems that are inherent to supercompilation:
  - "We do not attempt to supercompile the full Nofib suite because the other Nofib benchmarks are considerably more complicated and generally suffer from extremely long supercompilation times."
    - (Jonsson [201?] focuses on compilation performance, and reports < 3 seconds for all the small programs from Nofib)
  - Up to 132x compile time.
  - Up to 2.8x generated code size.

Bolingbroke [2013] laid out a great framework for supercompiling Haskell:

 Driving: Take semantics preserving steps. Operational semantics steps, some additional steps like case-of-case transformation(Jones and Santos [1998]).

- Driving: Take semantics preserving steps. Operational semantics steps, some additional steps like case-of-case transformation(Jones and Santos [1998]).
- Splitting: When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions.
   Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.

- Driving: Take semantics preserving steps. Operational semantics steps, some additional steps like case-of-case transformation(Jones and Santos [1998]).
- Splitting: When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions.
   Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.
- Matching: Evaluating open terms lead to loops. Matcher tries to detect loops, returns information about how to refer to this new loop.

- Driving: Take semantics preserving steps. Operational semantics steps, some additional steps like case-of-case transformation(Jones and Santos [1998]).
- Splitting: When stuck, keep evaluating sub-expressions.
   Propagate information. After evaluating sub-expressions combine results.
- Matching: Evaluating open terms lead to loops. Matcher tries to detect loops, returns information about how to refer to this new loop.
- Termination enforcement: Because perfect matcher is not possible, and some programs just loop.

```
mapOfMap f g = map f . map g
h1 fga = map f (map g a)
h4 fga =
  case (case a of
         [] -> []
        h1 : t1 -> g h1 : map g t1) of
    [] -> []
    h0 : t0 -> f h0 : map f t0
h6 fga =
 case a of
   [] -> []
   h: t \rightarrow f(gh): map f(map g t)
h7 f g a =
  case a of
   [] -> []
   h : t -> f(gh) : h7 fgt
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Splitter: (from Bolingbroke [2013])

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Splitter: (from Bolingbroke [2013])

Propagating too much information may lead to work duplication.

```
let n = fib 100
    b = n + 1
    c = n + 2
in (b, c)
```

```
let b =
    let f = <fib, unrolled a few times>
    in f + 1
    c =
    let f = <fib, unrolled a few times>
    in f + 2
in (b, c)
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

```
Splitter: (from Bolingbroke [2013])
```

Propagating too little information may lead to missing optimization opportunities.

```
let map = ...
    ys = map f zs
    xs = map g ys
in Just xs
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher: Injectivity of substitutions effect optimizations.

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher: Injectivity of substitutions effect optimizations.

(from Bolingbroke [2013])

```
xor x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y
goal = (xor a b, xor c c)
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Matcher: Injectivity of substitutions effect optimizations. (from Bolingbroke [2013])

```
xor x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y
goal = (xor a b, xor c c)
```

```
xor' x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y
goal' = (xor' a b, xor' c c)
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve. Matcher: Injectivity of substitutions effect optimizations. (from Bolingbroke [2013]) xor x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y goal = (xor a b, xor c c) xor' x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y goal' = (xor' a b, xor' c c) xor' x y = case x of True -> not y; False -> y xor'' x = case x of True -> False; False -> False goal' = (xor' a b, xor', c)

4D > 4P > 4E > 4E > 990

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

Termination enforcement:

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

#### Termination enforcement:

```
Some programs just loop.
```

```
loop n = loop (n + 1)
countFrom n = n : countFrom (n + 1)
```

Each operation has hard problems to solve.

#### Termination enforcement:

```
Some programs just loop.
loop n = loop (n + 1)
countFrom n = n : countFrom (n + 1)
Sometimes detecting loops is not so easy: (growing arguments)
reverse_acc [] acc = acc
reverse_acc (h : t) acc = reverse_acc t (h : acc)
goal lst = reverse_acc (reverse_acc lst []) []
. . .
h_ lst = ... reverse_acc t1 (h1 : []) ...
. . .
h_ lst = ... reverse_acc t2 (h2 : h1 : []) ...
. . .
```

## "Where's my supercompiler for Haskell?"

- Bolingbroke [2013] has some solutions, and it documents and implements it nicely.
- But we still don't have something that we can use today.
- I'm rebooting the supercompiler!
- The goal here is to distribute it as a package, downloadable from Hackage.
- Then the research will follow.

#### **Conclusions**

Have a working implementation of supercompiler described in Bolingbroke [2013].

#### Conclusions

Have a working implementation of supercompiler described in Bolingbroke [2013].

Collecting benchmark programs - send yours! (with expected optimizations)

Create a benchmark suite like Nofib, but for supercompilation-specific problems. (pathological cases, programs with lots of intermediate data structures)

#### Once we have a working implementation:

- Focus on specific parts(matcher, splitter etc.). Try other ideas from the literature(e.g. homeomorphic embedding for matching)
- Work on some of the obvious improvements, like parallelizing the matcher.
- More experimental ideas:
  - Can we formulate it as a search problem and apply ideas from the literature?
  - Is profile-driven decision making possible?
  - Can we make use of existing rewrite rules mechanism?
  - Can we make use of free theorems?

#### Once we have a working implementation:

- Focus on specific parts(matcher, splitter etc.). Try other ideas from the literature(e.g. homeomorphic embedding for matching and termination enforcement).
- Work on some of the obvious improvements, like parallelizing the matcher.
- More experimental ideas:
  - Can we formulate it as a search problem and apply ideas from the literature?
  - Is profile-driven decision making possible?
  - Can we make use of existing rewrite rules mechanism?
  - Can we make use of free theorems?

#### Thanks!

Github: osa1/sc-plugin IRC: osa1 Mail: oagacan@indiana.edu

#### References I

- M. D. Adams, A. Farmer, and J. P. Magalhães. Optimizing SYB is Easy! In Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2014 Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Program Manipulation, PEPM '14, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2619-3. doi: 10.1145/2543728.2543730.
- M. C. Bolingbroke. Call-by-need supercompilation. Technical Report UCAM-CL-TR-835, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, May 2013. URL http:
  - //www.cl.cam.ac.uk/techreports/UCAM-CL-TR-835.pdf.
- A. Gill, J. Launchbury, and S. L. Peyton Jones. A Short Cut to Deforestation. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture*, FPCA '93, pages 223–232, New York, NY, USA, 1993. ACM. ISBN 0-89791-595-X. doi: 10.1145/165180.165214. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/165180.165214.

#### References II

- S. L. P. Jones and A. L. Santos. A transformation-based optimiser for Haskell. In SCIENCE OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING, pages 3–47. Elsevier North-Holland, Inc., 1998.
- P. A. Jonsson. Time- and Size-Efficient Supercompilation, 201?
- J. P. Magalhães, S. Holdermans, J. Jeuring, and A. Löh. Optimizing Generics is Easy! In Proceedings of the 2010 ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Program Manipulation, PEPM '10, pages 33–42, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM. ISBN 978-1-60558-727-1. doi: 10.1145/1706356.1706366. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1706356.1706366.
- S. Peyton Jones. Call-pattern Specialisation for Haskell Programs. In Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming, ICFP '07, pages 327–337, New York, NY, USA, 2007. ACM. ISBN 978-1-59593-815-2. doi: 10.1145/1291151.1291200. URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1291151.1291200.

#### References III

P. Wadler. Deforestation: Transforming Programs to Eliminate Trees. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 73(2):231–248, Jan. 1988. ISSN 0304-3975. doi: 10.1016/0304-3975(90)90147-A. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(90)90147-A.