



Analyst Report: Predictive Engine for Building Energy Efficiency

M4U1 – Data Analytics for AECO

1. Executive Summary

This report presents a Predictive Engine built to demonstrate how an AECO firm can leverage historical building data to predict future project outcomes — specifically, a building's **Heating Load** (energy demand in kWh/m²). Two machine learning models were trained and compared: **Linear Regression** and **Random Forest Regressor**. After evaluation and hyperparameter tuning, the **Random Forest model** emerged as the clear winner with an R² score of **0.9977** and an RMSE of only **0.49 kWh/m²**.

2. Dataset Selection

Dataset: UCI Energy Efficiency Dataset

- **Source:** UCI Machine Learning Repository (<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/242/energy+efficiency>)
- **Authors:** A. Tsanas & A. Xifara (2012), published in *Energy and Buildings*, Vol. 49
- **Size:** 768 building configurations × 10 variables
- **Format:** Excel/CSV (no missing values)

Features (8 input variables):

Variable	Description	Unit
X1	Relative Compactness	Ratio

Variable	Description	Unit
X2	Surface Area	m ²
X3	Wall Area	m ²
X4	Roof Area	m ²
X5	Overall Height	metres
X6	Orientation	Categorical (N/E/S/W)
X7	Glazing Area	Ratio
X8	Glazing Area Distribution	Categorical

Target Variable:

- **Y1 — Heating Load** (kWh/m²): The energy required to heat the building.

Why is this dataset relevant to AECO?

1. **Building Performance is Core to AECO:** Architecture, Engineering, Construction, and Operations firms routinely collect data on building geometry, materials, and envelope properties. This dataset mirrors the type of spreadsheet data an AECO firm would have from past projects.
 2. **Energy Efficiency is a Business Priority:** With LEED certification, green building mandates, and rising energy costs, predicting heating/cooling loads during the design phase saves money and improves sustainability outcomes.
 3. **Pre-Construction Decision Support:** By predicting energy loads from design parameters, the firm can optimise HVAC sizing, reduce over-engineering, and provide clients with accurate energy cost estimates before construction begins.
-

3. Algorithm Selection

Model A — Linear Regression

Why chosen: Linear Regression is the simplest supervised learning algorithm. It serves as an excellent baseline because:

- It is highly interpretable (coefficients show the direction and magnitude of each feature's effect)
- It trains instantly and requires no hyperparameters
- If the relationship between features and target is approximately linear, it performs well
- Business stakeholders can easily understand its outputs

Model B — Random Forest Regressor

Why chosen: Random Forest is an ensemble method that builds hundreds of decision trees and averages their predictions. It was selected because:

- It captures **non-linear relationships** and **feature interactions** that Linear Regression misses
 - It is robust to outliers and does not require feature scaling
 - It provides built-in **feature importance** rankings
 - It is widely used in industry for tabular regression problems
-

4. Results

Initial Comparison

Metric	Linear Regression	Random Forest (Default)
R ² Score	0.9122	0.9977
RMSE (kWh/m ²)	3.03	0.49
MAE (kWh/m ²)	2.18	0.35

Interpretation: Linear Regression explains 91% of the variance — a respectable result. However, Random Forest explains 99.8% of the variance with 6× lower prediction error. The remaining 8.8% of variance that LR misses is due to non-linear interactions between building geometry variables.

Hyperparameter Optimisation (Random Forest)

GridSearchCV with 5-fold cross-validation was used to tune:

- `n_estimators` : [100, 200]
- `max_depth` : [15, None]
- `min_samples_split` : [2, 5]
- `min_samples_leaf` : [1, 2]

Best Parameters Found:

- `n_estimators` = 200
- `max_depth` = 15
- `min_samples_split` = 2
- `min_samples_leaf` = 1

Final Comparison (After Tuning)

Metric	Linear Regression	RF (Default)	RF (Tuned)
R ² Score	0.9122	0.9977	0.9977
RMSE (kWh/m²)	3.03	0.49	0.49
MAE (kWh/m²)	2.18	0.35	0.35

The default Random Forest was already near-optimal for this dataset. Tuning confirmed the configuration and slightly refined the model depth for better generalisation.

5. Feature Importance

The tuned Random Forest identified the following feature importance ranking:

Rank	Feature	Importance
1	Relative Compactness	39.8%
2	Surface Area	21.0%
3	Overall Height	14.3%
4	Roof Area	12.4%
5	Glazing Area	7.8%
6	Wall Area	3.4%
7	Glazing Distribution	1.2%
8	Orientation	0.1%

Key Insight: Building compactness (the ratio of volume to surface area) is by far the most important predictor of heating load. This aligns with thermodynamic principles — compact buildings lose less heat. Orientation has almost no effect, suggesting heating demand is dominated by envelope geometry rather than solar exposure.

6. Business Recommendation

Which model should the company use?

Random Forest Regressor is the recommended model for production deployment.

Reasons:

1. **Near-perfect accuracy ($R^2 = 0.998$)** — Predictions deviate by only ~0.5 kWh/m² from actual values

2. **Captures real-world complexity** — Building energy performance involves non-linear interactions that LR cannot model
3. **Feature importance provides actionable insights** — Designers can focus on compactness and surface area to optimise energy performance
4. **Scalable** — The model can be retrained as new project data is collected

Practical Applications for the Firm:

- **Pre-construction energy estimation** for client proposals
 - **Design optimisation** by simulating different building geometries
 - **LEED/green certification support** with quantitative energy predictions
 - **Cost forecasting** by linking predicted heating load to energy prices
-

7. Reproducibility

All code is provided in the accompanying Jupyter Notebook

(`M4U1_Predictive_Engine.ipynb`). The analysis uses:

- Python 3.x with pandas, numpy, scikit-learn, matplotlib, seaborn
 - Data is loaded directly from the UCI repository (no local files needed)
 - Random state = 42 ensures identical results on re-run
 - 80/20 train-test split
-

Report prepared as part of the M4U1 Data Analytics assignment for the Master's in AI for Architecture & Construction programme.