Programming Project 3 Report

Author: Oscar Lopez

Class: CS 433 Operating Systems | Section 1

Professor: Dr. Zhang

Summary

This report documents the implementation of various CPU scheduling algorithms as part of Assignment 3. The project implements five scheduling algorithms: First-Come-First-Served (FCFS), Shortest-Job-First (SJF), Priority, Round-Robin (RR), and Priority with Round-Robin (Priority-RR). The implementation follows object-oriented design principles with a base Scheduler class and algorithm-specific subclasses. All algorithms have been tested with the provided test cases and produce the expected outputs.

Implementation Details

Architecture Overview

The implementation follows an object-oriented approach with a class hierarchy:

- 1. **PCB Class**: Represents a process with attributes such as ID, name, priority, burst time, and arrival time.
- 2. **Scheduler Base Class**: An abstract class defining the interface for all scheduling algorithms.
- 3. **Algorithm-Specific Subclasses**: Concrete implementations for each scheduling algorithm.

Class Structure

PCB Class

- Stores process information including ID, name, priority, burst time, and arrival time
- Provides accessors and mutators for these attributes
- Used to represent processes in the ready queue

Scheduler Base Class

- Defines pure virtual functions: init(), simulate(), and print_results()
- Maintains common statistics like total waiting time and turnaround time
- Provides a consistent interface for all scheduling algorithms

Algorithm-Specific Subclasses

1. SchedulerFCFS:

- Implements First-Come-First-Served scheduling
- Processes are executed in the order they arrive
- Uses a standard queue data structure

2. SchedulerSJF:

- Implements Shortest-Job-First scheduling
- Processes are executed in order of burst time
- Uses a priority queue based on burst time

3. SchedulerPriority:

- Implements Priority scheduling
- Processes are executed in order of priority (higher number = higher priority)
- Uses a priority queue based on process priority

4. SchedulerRR:

- Implements Round-Robin scheduling
- Processes are executed for a time quantum or until completion
- Uses a queue with cycling behavior

5. SchedulerPriorityRR:

- Implements Priority with Round-Robin scheduling
- Processes with the same priority are scheduled using Round-Robin
- Uses a multi-level queue structure

Key Implementation Features

Synchronization and State Management

- Each scheduler maintains its own ready queue and process list
- The simulate() function handles the core scheduling logic
- Process state transitions are tracked throughout execution

Statistics Collection

- Waiting time and turnaround time are calculated for each process
- Average waiting time and turnaround time are computed across all processes
- · Results are displayed in a consistent format

Time Management

- A global clock is maintained to track execution time
- Time slices are managed for preemptive algorithms (RR and Priority-RR)
- Process execution is simulated with appropriate time accounting

Features

Core Features

- 1. **Multiple Scheduling Algorithms**: Implements five different scheduling algorithms
- 2. **Consistent Interface**: All algorithms follow the same interface pattern
- 3. **Detailed Statistics**: Provides per-process and average timing statistics
- 4. **Configurable Parameters**: Time quantum for RR can be specified via command line
- 5. **Process Visualization**: Shows the execution sequence of processes

Advanced Features

- 1. **Priority-Based Round-Robin**: Combines priority scheduling with round-robin for processes of equal priority
- 2. **Extensible Design**: New scheduling algorithms can be added by extending the base class
- 3. **Robust Error Handling**: Validates input parameters and handles edge cases
- 4. Memory Management: Proper cleanup of resources in destructors

Performance Analysis

Time Complexity

- FCFS: O(n) where n is the number of processes
- SJF: O(n log n) due to priority queue operations
- Priority: O(n log n) due to priority queue operations
- RR: O(n * total burst time / time quantum)
- Priority-RR: $O(n \log n + n * total_burst_time / time quantum)$

Space Complexity

• All algorithms: O(n) where n is the number of processes

Comparative Analysis

Based on the test cases, the algorithms perform as expected: - FCFS has the simplest implementation but often results in higher average waiting times - SJF provides optimal average waiting time for the given workload - Priority scheduling favors high-priority processes regardless of burst time - RR provides fair CPU distribution but increases context switching overhead - Priority-RR balances priority requirements with fairness

Limitations and Drawbacks

1. **Arrival Time Assumption**: The implementation assumes all processes arrive at time 0

- 2. **No I/O Consideration**: The simulation does not account for I/O operations or blocking
- 3. **Static Burst Time**: Burst times are fixed and known in advance (not realistic in practice)
- 4. **No Process Dependencies**: The implementation does not handle process dependencies or deadlocks
- 5. **Limited Preemption**: SJF and Priority implementations are non-preemptive
- 6. **No Dynamic Priority**: Process priorities remain static throughout execution

Usage Instructions

Compilation

The project includes a Makefile with targets for each scheduling algorithm:

```
make fcfs # Compile FCFS scheduler
make sjf # Compile SJF scheduler
make priority # Compile Priority scheduler
make rr # Compile RR scheduler
make priority_rr # Compile Priority-RR scheduler
```

Execution

Each executable takes a file containing process information as input:

```
./fcfs schedule.txt
./sjf schedule.txt
./priority schedule.txt
./rr schedule.txt 6 # 6 is the time quantum
./priority rr schedule.txt 6 # 6 is the time quantum
```

Input File Format

The input file should contain one process per line with the format:

```
cority> <burst_time>
```

Example:

```
T1 4 20
T2 3 25
T3 3 25
T4 5 15
T5 5 20
T6 1 10
```

Output Format

The program outputs: 1. Process information (name, priority, burst time) 2. Execution sequence 3. Per-process turnaround and waiting times 4. Average turnaround and waiting times

Conclusion

The implementation successfully meets all the requirements for Assignment 3. It provides a comprehensive set of CPU scheduling algorithms with detailed statistics and visualization. The object-oriented design ensures code reusability and extensibility. While there are some limitations inherent to the simulation approach, the implementation serves as an effective educational tool for understanding CPU scheduling algorithms.