```
Using AmpliGraph to generate GoT Knowledge Graph Embeddings
         Content of this notebook was prepared by Basel Shbita (shbita@usc.edu) as part of the class DSCI 558: Building Knowledge Graphs during Fall 2020 at
         University of Southern California (USC).
         Notes:

    You are supposed to write your code or modify our code in any cell starting with # ** STUDENT CODE.

    Much content of this notebook was borrowed from AmpliGraph tutorials

          AmpliGraph is a suite of neural machine learning models for relational learning, a branch of machine learning that deals with
         supervised learning on knowledge graphs. It can be used to generate stand-alone knowledge graph embeddings, discover new
         knowledge from an existing knowledge graph and complete large knowledge graphs with missing statements.
         In this task, you will gain some hands-on experience working with Knowledge Graph Embeddings. Specifically, you will use the
         TransE, DistMult and ComplEx models to learn the embeddings of a (small) KG. You will be required to split the dataset to train
         and test sets, train the model, evaluate it and then generate a visualization for each model type!
In [1]:
           import numpy as np
           import pandas as pd
           import ampligraph
           ampligraph.__version__
Out[1]: '1.3.2'
         Importing the dataset
         We will use the Game of Thrones (reduced) Knowledge Graph found in file GoT.csv.
         Each relation (i.e. a triple) is in the form: <subject, predicate, object>
         Run the following cell to load the dataset in memory with using the load_from_csv() utility function:
           from ampligraph.datasets import load_from_csv
In [3]:
           X = load_from_csv('.', 'GoT.csv', sep=',') # numpy.ndarray; size (3175,3)
           # inspect the top triples:
In [5]:
           pd.DataFrame(X, columns=['s', 'p', 'o']).head()
                                                                              0
                                                p
Out[5]:
          0
                                                        House Shermer of Smithyton
                             Smithyton
                                          SEAT_OF
          1 House Mormont of Bear Island
                                           LED_BY
                                                                  Maege Mormont
          2
                        Margaery Tyrell
                                          SPOUSE
                                                                 Joffrey Baratheon
                  Maron Nymeros Martell ALLIED_WITH House Nymeros Martell of Sunspear
             House Gargalen of Salt Shore
                                        IN_REGION
                                                                           Dorne
         Let's list the subject and object entities found in the dataset:
          # an array of unique subjects and objects => size (2050,)
In [6]:
           entities = np.unique(np.concatenate([X[:, 0], X[:, 2]]))
           entities
Out[6]: array(['Abelar Hightower', 'Acorn Hall', 'Addam Frey', ..., 'the Antlers',
                  'the Paps', 'unnamed tower'], dtype=object)
         .. and all of the relationships that link them.
            # an array of unique preicates => size (10,)
In [7]:
           relations = np.unique(X[:, 1])
           relations
Out[7]: array(['ALLIED_WITH', 'BRANCH_OF', 'FOUNDED_BY', 'HEIR_TO', 'IN_REGION',
                  'LED_BY', 'PARENT_OF', 'SEAT_OF', 'SPOUSE', 'SWORN_TO'],
                 dtype=object)
         Defining train and test datasets
         As is typical in machine learning, we need to split our dataset into training and test sets.
         What differs from the standard method of randomly sampling N points to make up our test set, is that our data points are two entities
         linked by some relationship, and we need to take care to ensure that all entities are represented in train and test sets by at least one
         triple.
         To accomplish this, AmpliGraph provides the train_test_split_no_unseen function.
           from ampligraph.evaluation import train_test_split_no_unseen
In [8]:
           # we create a 10% test set split
           X_train, X_test = train_test_split_no_unseen(X, test_size=int(X.shape[0]/10))
         Our data is now split into train/test sets:
          print('Train set size: ', X_train.shape)
In [9]:
           print('Test set size: ', X_test.shape)
          Train set size: (2858, 3)
          Test set size: (317, 3)
         Task 2.1
         Task 2.1.x.1 Training the model
          AmpliGraph has implemented several Knoweldge Graph Embedding models (TransE, ComplEx, DistMult, etc...):
In [10]:
           from ampligraph.latent_features import TransE, DistMult, ComplEx
         Lets go through the parameters to understand what's going on:
           • k: the dimensionality of the embedding space
           • eta (\eta): the number of negative, or false triples that must be generated at training runtime for each positive, or true triple
           • batches_count: the number of batches in which the training set is split during the training loop. If you are having into low memory
             issues than settings this to a higher number may help.
           • epochs: the number of epochs to train the model for.
           • optimizer: the Adam optimizer, with a learning rate of 1e-3 set via the optimizer_params kwarg.
           • loss: pairwise loss, with a margin of 0.5 set via the loss_params kwarg.
           • regularizer: L_p regularization with p=2, i.e. 12 regularization. \lambda = 1e-5, set via the regularizer_params kwarg.
         Now we can instantiate the model:
           # ** STUDENT CODE
In [11]:
           # TODO: try different model types: TransE [2.1.1], DistMult [2.1.2], ComplEx [2.1.3]
           EmbeddingMethod = ComplEx
           model = EmbeddingMethod(batches_count=100,
In [12]:
                             seed=0,
                             epochs=200,
                             k=150,
                             eta=5,
                             optimizer='adam',
                             optimizer_params={'lr':1e-3},
                             loss='multiclass nll',
                             regularizer='LP',
                             regularizer_params={'p':3, 'lambda':1e-5},
                             verbose=True)
         Filtering negatives
          AmpliGraph aims to follow scikit-learn 's ease-of-use design philosophy and simplify everything down to fit, evaluate, and
          predict functions.
         However, there are some knowledge graph specific steps we must take to ensure our model can be trained and evaluated correctly. The
         first of these is defining the filter that will be used to ensure that no negative statements generated by the corruption procedure are
         actually positives. This is simply done by concatenating our train and test sets. Now when negative triples are generated by the
         corruption strategy, we can check that they aren't actually true statements.
In [13]:
           positives_filter = X
         Fitting the model
         Once you run the next cell the model will train:
           import tensorflow as tf
In [14]:
           tf.logging.set_verbosity(tf.logging.ERROR)
           model.fit(X train, early stopping = False)
                                                         200/200 [05:55<00:00, 1.78s/epoch]
          Average Loss:
                           0.016231: 100%
         2.1.x.2 Evaluating the model
         Now it's time to evaluate our model on the test set to see how well it's performing.
         For this we'll use the evaluate_performance function:
           from ampligraph.evaluation import evaluate performance
In [15]:
         And let's look at the arguments to this function:
           • X: the data to evaluate on. We're going to use our test set to evaluate.
             model: the model we previously trained.

    filter_triples: will filter out the false negatives generated by the corruption strategy.

           • use default protocol: specifies whether to use the default corruption protocol. If True, then subj and obj are corrupted
             separately during evaluation.
           • verbose: will give some nice log statements. Let's leave it on for now.
         Let's run some evaluations:
          ranks = evaluate_performance(X_test,
In [16]:
                                           model=model,
                                           filter_triples=positives_filter,
                                           use default protocol=True,
                                           verbose=True)
          WARNING - DeprecationWarning: use default protocol will be removed in future. Please use corrupt side argument ins
          tead.
                  317/317 [00:06<00:00, 50.56it/s]
          100%
         The ranks returned by the evaluate_performance function indicate the rank at which the test set triple was found when
         performing link prediction using the model.
         For example, if we run the triple <House Stark of Winterfell, IN_REGION, The North> and the model returns a rank of 7, it
         tells us that while it's not the highest likelihood true statement (which would be given a rank 1), it's pretty likely.
         metrics
         For the evaluation metrics, we are going to use the mrr score (mean reciprocal rank) and hits at n score functions:
             mrr score: The function computes the mean of the reciprocal of elements of a vector of rankings ranks.
           • hits_at_n_score: The function computes how many elements of a vector of rankings ranks make it to the top n positions.
          from ampligraph.evaluation import mr_score, mrr_score, hits_at_n_score
In [17]:
           mrr = mrr score(ranks)
           print("MRR: %.2f" % (mrr))
           hits_10 = hits_at_n_score(ranks, n=10)
           print("Hits@10: %.2f" % (hits 10))
           hits_3 = hits_at_n_score(ranks, n=3)
           print("Hits@3: %.2f" % (hits_3))
           hits_1 = hits_at_n_score(ranks, n=1)
           print("Hits@1: %.2f" % (hits_1))
          MRR: 0.35
          Hits@10: 0.47
          Hits@3: 0.38
          Hits@1: 0.29
         Hits@N indicates how many times in average a true triple was ranked in the top-N. Therefore, on average, we guessed the correct
         subject or object 53% of the time when considering the top-3 better ranked triples. The choice of which N makes more sense depends
         on the application.
```

Predicting New Links

X_unseen = np.array([

X unseen, model=model,

verbose=True

probabilities are not calibrated).

probs = expit(scores)

GoT_embeddings/

checkpoint

- metadata.tsv

embeddings_projector.tsv

projector_config.pbtxt

tensorboard --logdir="./dsci558_embeddings"

graph_embedding.ckpt.index graph_embedding.ckpt.meta

ranks_unseen = evaluate_performance(

filter triples=unseen filter,

use default protocol=False,

scores = model.predict(X unseen)

from scipy.special import expit

corrupt side = 's+o',

In [18]:

In [19]:

In [20]:

In [21]:

In [22]:

In [23]:

Out[23]:

In [24]:

In [25]:

3

2

])

The Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) is another popular metrics to assess the predictive power of a model.

In our case, we are going to see which of the following candidate statements are more likely to be true:

unseen filter = np.array(list({tuple(i) for i in np.vstack((positives filter, X unseen))}))

We transform the scores (real numbers) into probabilities (bound between 0 and 1) using the expit transform (note that the

Advanced note: To calibrate the probabilities, one may use a procedure such as Platt scaling or Isotonic regression. The

challenge is to define what is a true triple and what is a false one, as the calibration of the probability of a triple being true

columns=['statement', 'rank', 'score', 'prob']).sort_values("score", ascending=False)

prob

score

177 1.308594 0.787278

0.196228 0.548900

0.039165 0.509790

we can now visualize the high-dimensional embeddings in the browser. Lets import the create_tensorboard_visualization

.. and once your browser opens up you should be able to see and explore your embeddings as below (PCA-reduced, two components):

["King's Landing", 'SEAT OF', 'House Lannister of Casterly Rock'],

Link prediction allows us to infer missing links in a graph. This has many real-world use cases, such as predicting connections between

people in a social network, interactions between proteins in a biological network, and music recommendation based on prior user taste.

^ Please note that a screenshot of these scores are required for task of 2.1.x.2 ^

['Jorah Mormont', 'SPOUSE', 'Daenerys Targaryen'],

['Brienne of Tarth', 'SPOUSE', 'Jaime Lannister'],

4/4 [00:00<00:00, 25.36it/s]

depends on the base rate of positives and negatives.

pd.DataFrame(list(zip([' '.join(x) for x in X_unseen],

House Stark of Winterfell IN_REGION The North

1 King's Landing SEAT_OF House Lannister of Cast... 1331

Jorah Mormont SPOUSE Daenerys Targaryen 2232

ranks_unseen,

np.squeeze(scores), np.squeeze(probs))),

statement rank

Brienne of Tarth SPOUSE Jaime Lannister 2430 -0.124812 0.468837

from ampligraph.utils import create_tensorboard_visualizations

create_tensorboard_visualizations(model, 'dsci558_embeddings')

- graph_embedding.ckpt.data-00000-of-00001

To visualize the embeddings in Tensorboard, run the following from your command line:

^ Please note that a screenshot of embedding visualization is required for task 2.1.x.3 ^

And now we'll run the function with our model, specifying the output path:

Task 2.1.x.3: Visualizing Embeddings with Tensorboard projector

function, which simplifies the creation of the files necessary for Tensorboard to display the embeddings.

If all went well, we should now have a number of files in the AmpliGraph/tutorials/GoT_embeddings directory:

['House Stark of Winterfell', 'IN_REGION', 'The North'],