#### **Part 3: Training the Generative Model**

Now, we'll train a model of the LFs to estimate their accuracies. Once the model is trained, we can combine the outputs of the LFs into a single, noise-aware training label set for our extractor. Intuitively, we'll model the LFs by observing how they overlap and conflict with each other.

```
In [ ]: %load_ext autoreload
        %autoreload 2
        %matplotlib inline
        import os
        import re
        import numpy as np
        # Connect to the database backend and initalize a Snorkel session
        from lib.init import *
        from snorkel.models import candidate subclass
        from snorkel.annotations import load_gold_labels
        from snorkel.lf helpers import (
            get left tokens, get right tokens, get between tokens,
            get_text_between, get_tagged_text,
        # initialize our candidate type definition
        Spouse = candidate_subclass('Spouse', ['person1', 'person2'])
        # gold (human-labeled) development set labels
        L_gold_dev = load_gold_labels(session, annotator_name='gold', split=1)
```

### I. Loading Labeling Matricies

First we'll load our label matrices from notebook 2

```
In [ ]: from snorkel.annotations import LabelAnnotator
        labeler = LabelAnnotator(lfs=[])
        L_train = labeler.load_matrix(session, split=0)
        L dev = labeler.load matrix(session, split=1)
```

Now we set up and run the hyperparameter search, training our model with different hyperparameters and picking the best model configuration to keep. We'll set the random seed to maintain reproducibility.

Note that we are fitting our model's parameters to the training set generated by our labeling functions, while we are picking hyperparameters with respect to score over the development set labels which we created by hand.

#### II: Unifying supervision

### A. Majority Vote

The most simple way to unify the output of all your LFs is by computed the unweighted majority vote

```
from lib.scoring import *
majority_vote_score(L_dev,L_gold_dev)
pos/neg 190:2621 6.8%/93.2%
precisiion 31.96
recall 32.63
f1 32.29
```

# Generative Model ( ) de - noise )

In data programming, we use a more sophisit cated model to unify our labeling functions. We know that these labeling functions will not be perfect, and some may be quite low-quality, so we will model their accuracies with a generative model, which Snorkel will help us easily apply.

This will ultimately produce a single set of **noise-aware training labels**, which we will then use to train an end extraction model in the next notebook. For more technical details of this overall approach, see our NIPS 2016 paper.

NOTE: Make sure you've written some of your own LFs in the previous notebook to get a decent score!!!

#### 1. Training the Model

When training the generative model, we'll tune our hyperparamters using a simple grid search.

### **Parameter Definitions**

hyper-poras

```
A single pass through all the data in your training set
epochs
step_size The factor by which we update model weights after computing the gradient
           The rate our update factor dimishes (decay) over time.
decay
```

```
In [ ]: from snorkel.learning import GenerativeModel
         from snorkel.learning import RandomSearch
         # use random search to optimize the generative model
         param_ranges = {
              'step_size' : [1e-3, 1e-4, 1e-5, 1e-6],
                          : [0.9, 0.95],
              'epochs'
                          : [50, 100],
              'reg_param' : [1e-3],
         model class params = {'lf propensity' : False}
         searcher = RandomSearch(GenerativeModel, param_ranges, L_train, n=5, model_class_params=model_class_params)
         %time gen model, run stats = searcher.fit(L dev, L gold dev)
                                                                                                  step_size | decay | epochs | Prec.
                                                                                                                             Rec. F1
         run_stats
                                                                                               0 0.000004 0.95
                                                                                                                      0.348624 0.4
                                                                                                                                  0.372549
                                                                                               1 0.000010 0.90
                                                                                                                      0.348624 0.4
                                                                                                                                  0.372549
        2. Model Accuracies
                                                                                               2 0.000004 0.95
                                                                                                                      0.348624 | 0.4
                                                                                                                                  0.372549
        These are the weights learned for each LF
                                                                                               3 0.000004 0.95
                                                                                                                      0.348624 | 0.4
                                                                                                                                 0.372549
```

In [

In [

| ]: | x = L_dev.lf_stats(session, L_gold_dev)                                     |  | <br>  <b>i</b> | Coverage | Overlaps | Conflicts | TP | FP   | FN   | TN   | Empirical<br>Acc. | Learnec Acc. |
|----|---|--|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|----|------|------|------|-------------------|--------------|
|    | <pre>train_marginals = gen_model.marginals(L_train)</pre>                   | RMS_marriage_[between words]_TRUE        | 0              | 0.071861 | 0.006403 | 0.006403  | 64 | 129  | 0    | 0    | 0.331606          | 0.552270     |
|    |   | ST_SUPERVISION_dbpedia_TRUE              | 1              | 0.009249 | 0.009249 | 0.009249  | 22 | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0.846154          | 0.547003     |
|    |   | RMS_almost_married_[between words]_FALSE | 2.1            | 0.012451 | 0.002846 | 0.002846  | 0  | 0    | 7    | 24   | 0.774194          | 0.550307     |
|    | 3. Plotting Marginal Probabilities  | _and_marriage                            | 3 (            | 0.003557 | 0.003557 | 0.003557  | 10 | 0 (  | 0 (  | 0    | 1.000000          | 0.553265     |
|    |   | ntrarian                                 | 4 (            | 0.009249 | 0.009249 | 0.009249  | 0  | 0 2  | 22 4 | 4 (  | 0.153846          | 0.546729     |
|    | One immediate santity check you can peform using the generative model is to | visually examine the distributio         | n              | of pred  | dicted t | raining   | ma | argi | nal  | s. I | deally,           | there        |

should get a bimodal distribution with large seperation between each peaks, as shown below by the far right image. The corresponds to good signal for true and positive class labels. For your first Snorkel application, you'll probably see marginals closer to the far left or middle images. With all mass centered around p=0.5, you probably need to write more LFs got get more overall coverage. In the middle image, you have good negative coverage, but not enough positive LFs

```
20000
                                                                       10000
17500
                                                                                                                                               10
15000
12500
                                                                        6000
10000
 7500
                                                                        4000
 5000
                                                                        2000
 2500
```

```
In [ ]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        plt.hist(train_marginals, bins=20, range=(0.0, 1.0))
        plt.show()
```

## 4. Generative Model Metrics

```
In [ ]: dev_marginals = gen_model.marginals(L_dev)
        _, _, _, _ = gen_model.error_analysis(session, L_dev, L_gold_dev)
```

# 5. Saving our training labels

Finally, we'll save the training marginals, which are our "noise-aware training labels", so that we can use them in the next tutorial to train our end extraction model:

```
In [ ]: from snorkel.annotations import save_marginals
        %time save_marginals(session, L_train, train_marginals)
```

# III. Advanced Generative Model Features

In [ ]: from snorkel.learning.structure import DependencySelector

# A. Structure Learning

DependencySelector runs a fast structure learning algorithm over the matrix of LF outputs to identify a set of likely dependencies.

We may also want to include the dependencies between our LFs when training the generative model. Snorkel makes it easy to do this!

```
MAX_DEPS = 5
                      tunable
ds = DependencySelector()
deps = ds.select(L_train, threshold=0.1)
print("Using {} dependencies".format(len(deps)))
```

Now train the generative model with dependencies, we just pass in the above set as the deps argument to our model train function.

```
searcher = RandomSearch(GenerativeModel, param_grid, L_train, n=4, lf_propensity=False)
gen model, run stats = searcher.fit(L dev, L gold dev, deps=deps)
run_stats
```

In [ ]: