1. 
$$P(0, a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} 0^{a-1} (1-0)^{b-1}$$

Osur Scholin 179 HWS

$$=$$
  $\frac{a}{a+b}$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^{2} = \langle P^{2} \rangle - \langle R \rangle^{2}, \text{ so we need } \langle R^{2} \rangle : \\
& \langle R^{2} \rangle = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{g(a,b)} 0 & (1-0) & 10
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{g(a,b)} \int_{0}^{1} 0 & (1-0) & 10
\end{aligned}$$

$$&= \frac{1}{g(a,b)} \int_{0}^{1} 0 & (1-0) & 10
\end{aligned}$$

$$&= \frac{1}{g(a+2,b)} \int_{0}^{1} 0 & (1-0) & 10$$

$$&= \frac{1}{g(a+2,b)} \int_{0}^{1} 0 & (1-0) & 10$$

$$&= \frac{1}{g(a+2,b)} \int_{0}^{1} (a+b) & \frac{1}{g(a+2+b)} \int_{0}^{1} (a+b) \int_{0}^{1} (a+b)$$

$$= \frac{(a+1) \int (a+1) \int (a+b)}{(a+1+b) \int (a+b) \int (a+b) \int (a+b)}$$

$$= \frac{(a+1) a \int (a) \int (a+b)}{(a+1+b) (a+b) \int (a+b) \int (a+b)}$$

$$= \frac{a (a+1)}{(a+b) (a+b+1)}$$

$$= \frac{a (a+1)}{(a+b) (a+b+1)} - \frac{a}{(a+b)^2} \frac{a}{(a+b+1)}$$

$$= \frac{a^2 + a^2b + a^2 + ba - a^2 - ba^2 - a^2}{(a+b+1)}$$

$$= \frac{ba}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}$$

where PDF is maximized

Finally we find the mode, which is when  $\nabla_0 P(0,a,b) = 0$  (so we can reglect the normalization term):

$$\nabla_{0} \mathcal{P}(0, a, b) = \nabla_{0} \left[ 0^{a-1} (1-0)^{b-1} \right] = 0$$

$$= (a-1) 0^{a-2} (1-0)^{b-1} - (b-1) 0^{a-1} (1-0)^{b-2} = 0 \quad \text{by Utain Rule}$$

$$(a-1) 0^{a-2} (1-0)^{b-1} = (b-1) 0^{a-1} (1-0)^{b-2}$$

$$(a-1) (1-0) = (b-1) 0$$

$$0 = \frac{a-1}{a+b-2}$$

WTS in exponential family and that corresponding, generalized linear world is same as well-inomial logistic regression.

X: 1-but needed vector

Being in the exponential family wears: M: Probability vector

$$\mathbb{P}(\vec{5},\vec{n}) = h(\vec{5}) \exp\left(\eta^T T(\vec{5}) - A(\vec{n})\right).$$

Rewrite (at (x | ju) as follows:

$$\exp\left[\lim_{i=1}^{K} M_{i}^{k_{i}}\right] = \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \ln \left(M_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \ln \left(M_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)\right]$$

Note 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i = 1$$
, so we can write  $\mu_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i$   
 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1$   $\Longrightarrow$   $x_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i$ 

$$= \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i \ln \left( \frac{M_i}{M_i K} \right) + \ln M_i K \right]$$

Let 
$$\vec{n} = \left[ h\left( \frac{M_1}{M_K} \right), h\left( \frac{M_2}{M_K} \right), \dots, h\left( \frac{M_{K-1}}{M_K} \right) \right]$$

$$T(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$$

$$h(\vec{\eta}) = -h_{M_K}$$

$$h(\vec{\eta}) = 1$$

Now we must show this is same as softmax regression.  $\mu_{k} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_{i} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_{k} e^{\vec{\eta}_{i}}$ 

$$\therefore M_{\kappa} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} e^{i\vec{\lambda}_i i} \right) = 1 \implies M_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} e^{i\vec{\lambda}_i i}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\vec{\eta}_i}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{\vec{\eta}_i}} = Softwax(\vec{\eta}).$$