E2 Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem

You will here consider the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem, a simple model for non-linear dynamics. This exercise will also serve as an introduction to C.

The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model consists of a one-dimensional chain of masses connected with non-linear springs. The so called α -model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} (u_{i+1} - u_i)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{3} (u_{i+1} - u_i)^3 \right]$$

where m is the mass of the particles, u_i the displacement of particle i from its equilibrium position, and $p_i \equiv mv_i$ the corresponding momentum. The harmonic force constant is denoted by κ and α is a measure of the anharmonic coupling strength. The boundary conditions are $u_0 = u_{N+1} = 0$. In the harmonic case, with linear springs only ($\alpha = 0$), the solution can be written in terms of normal modes Q_k and P_k , according to

$$Q_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m} u_i \sin\left(\frac{ik\pi}{N+1}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

$$P_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m} v_i \sin\left(\frac{ik\pi}{N+1}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

and the total energy is the sum of the energies in the different modes

$$E_{tot} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} E_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[P_k^2 + \omega_k^2 Q_k^2 \right]$$

where

$$\omega_k = 2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{m}} \sin \frac{k\pi}{2(N+1)}$$

The inverse transforms are

$$u_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{Q_k}{\sqrt{m}} \sin\left(\frac{ik\pi}{N+1}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$
$$v_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{P_k}{\sqrt{m}} \sin\left(\frac{ik\pi}{N+1}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

In the non-linear case, with $\alpha \neq 0$, the solution is not known and the use of computer simulations becomes indispensable, as realized by Fermi *et al*¹. The total energy is no longer exactly given by the sum of the energies in the different modes.

¹A link to a PDF containing the original research article can be found on the FPU page on Wikipedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Pasta-Ulam_problem

Fermi *et al.* argued that for weak anharmonicity one expect to get equipartition of the energy among the various modes.

For the present system it is customary to use the units $m=a=\tau=1$, where a is the equilibrium length of the springs and $\tau^{-1}=\sqrt{\kappa/m}$.

Task

1. Write a C program that solves harmonic FPU problem, with $\alpha=0$. This problem is similar to the one studied in the fourth task of E1. Assume that all energy is initially localized in mode k=1, i.e. $E_k(t=0)=E_0$ δ_{1k} , and only kinetic, i.e. $P_k=\sqrt{2E_0}$ δ_{1k} and $Q_k=0$ $\forall k$. Consider the case N=32 and assume $E_0=N$. On the homepage you find a C program (E2.c) that gives some hints regarding the transformation between u and Q as well as v and P.

Perform a simulation with the time-step $\Delta t = 0.1$ for the time-span $t_{max} = 25000$. Plot $E_k(t)$, k = 1, 2, ..., 5. Verify that $E_k(t) = E_k(0)$, i.e. no energy exchange takes place among the modes. (1p)

- 2. You should now consider the anharmonic FPU problem. Introduce the non-linear spring coupling and study the two cases $\alpha=0.01$ and $\alpha=0.1$. Use the same setup as in the previous task and present your results in the same way. In this case you should obtain energy exchange between the different modes. (2p)
- 3. Consider again the non-linear case with $\alpha=0.01$ and $\alpha=0.1$, but now consider a considerably longer time-span, $t_{max}=10^6$. In this case it becomes time-consuming to evaluate and save the energy in the various modes at each time-step and the output file becomes very large. Therefore, modify the C program so that the energy is calculated and saved to file only once for each 1000 time-steps. Determine the mode energies $E_k(t)$ at the corresponding times, i.e. at

$$t_n = 1000 n \Delta t$$
, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Compute the time-average of the mode energies

$$\bar{E}_k(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t dt' \; E_k(t')$$

Plot your results for $\bar{E}_k(t)$ for all 32 modes with logarithmic scale for both axis. Do you obtain equipartition of the energy? (1p)