# **EDA REPORT**

#### **Problem Statement**

## 1. Background

This case study focuses on performing a thorough EDA on the Superstore Sales dataset. This dataset is rich with diverse data types—categorical, numerical, and date-time—making it an ideal candidate for practicing fundamental data cleaning, feature engineering, and visualization techniques.

The primary objective is to systematically apply EDA techniques to extract meaningful insights, identify underlying trends, and prepare the dataset for subsequent analytical tasks or machine learning model development. A key aspect of this exercise is addressing the introduced data quality issues, including inconsistencies and missing values, which will require robust data cleaning and transformation strategies

## **Key Findings**

#### **Sales and Profit Structure**

- The top 10 most profitable products contribute only 5.1% to total positive profit, indicating
  a diversified profit base. In contrast, the top 10 loss-making products are responsible
  for 18.8% of total losses, showing high loss concentration.
- The business operates on a *high volume of low-value sales*. The profit distribution is centered near zero with a significant negative tail, indicating that *unprofitable transactions* are a frequent and systemic occurrence.
- A positive correlation exists between sales and profit, but profit variance increases with sales value (heteroscedasticity), meaning high-value transactions carry higher risk.

### **Segment and Category Performance**

- The Consumer segment buying Office Supplies generates the highest *absolute* profit (\$134k), while the Home Office segment buying Office Supplies is the most efficient, with the highest profit margin (21.7%).
- A data-driven role for each category was identified: **Office Supplies** is the high-efficiency volume driver (low price, high margin), **Technology** is the absolute profit driver (high price, moderate margin), and **Furniture** is an inefficient revenue driver (high price, low margin).

## **Logistics and Regional Performance**

- Standard Class shipping is the dominant method by volume and total profit in all regions.
   No significant correlation was found between shipping urgency and per-order profitability.
- The **West** region is the top performer, and national performance is highly dependent on **California**, the most profitable state.
- Two distinct state-level models were observed: a *low-volume/high-margin* model in smaller markets and a *high-volume/lower-margin* model in larger ones.

## **Discount Impact**

- A strong negative correlation exists between the Discount level and Total Profit. A
  profitability "tipping point" was identified at a ~20% discount, beyond which transactions
  are predominantly unprofitable.
- The most aggressive proportional discounts are applied to the "Binders," "Chairs," and "Bookcases" sub-categories, directly linking the **Furniture** category to *margin erosion*.

## **Temporal Trends**

- Significant business activity in the dataset is confined to the 2014-2017 period.
- A **strong seasonal pattern** exists, with sales and profit consistently peaking in **Q4** and reaching a trough in **Q1**.
- Year-over-year sales growth accelerated annually, while profit growth fluctuated, indicating a trade-off between margin expansion (2015) and sales growth prioritization (2016-2017).

## 4. Technical Challenges and Solutions

- Logical data errors, such as negative sales prices and invalid shipping dates, were corrected by taking the absolute value or nullifying the records.
- *Erroneous outlier dates* (1999, 2029) were handled by programmatically filtering the dataset to the primary period of activity (2014-2017) before temporal analysis.
- Inconsistent categorical data in the State column was standardized using string stripping and a replacement map, then validated against a canonical list.
- The original Ship Mode column was found to be *unreliable*, which justified the engineering of a more robust Shipping Urgency feature.
- An incorrect formula for the Original Price feature was identified and corrected through **visual validation**, highlighting the importance of an iterative analytical workflow.

### 5. Data-Driven Conclusions

- 1. The discount policy is the single largest driver of unprofitability. Discounts over **20**% consistently lead to net losses.
- 2. The **Furniture** category is a systemic underperformer, characterized by high price points but the lowest profit margins.
- 3. The company's financial stability comes from the high-efficiency, high-volume sale of **Office Supplies**.
- 4. The **Technology** category, while having moderate margins, is the key to generating large absolute profit from high-value sales.