## **Assignment 5 (PSD)**

PLC: 5.1, 5.7, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5

![hello](./attachments/Pasted image 20231009123328.png)

**Exercise 5.1** The purpose of this exercise is to contrast the F# and Java programming styles, especially as concerns the handling of lists of elements. The exercise asks you to write functions that merge two sorted lists of integers, creating a new sorted list that contains all the elements of the given lists.

## (A) Implement an F# function

```
merge : int list * int list -> int list
```

that takes two sorted lists of integers and merges them into a sorted list of integers. For instance, merge ([3;5;12], [2;3;4;7]) should give [2;3;3;4;5;7;12].

## (B) Implement a similar Java (or C#) method

```
static int[] merge(int[] xs, int[] ys)
```

that takes two sorted arrays of ints and merges them into a sorted array of ints. The method should build a new array, and should not modify the given arrays. Two arrays xs and ys of integers may be built like this:

```
int[] xs = { 3, 5, 12 };
int[] ys = { 2, 3, 4, 7 };
```

**Exercise 5.7** Extend the monomorphic type checker to deal with lists. Use the following extra kinds of types:

**Exercise 6.1** Download and unpack fun1.zip and fun2.zip and build the micro-ML higher-order evaluator as described in file README.TXT point E.

Then run the evaluator on the following four programs. Is the result of the third one as expected? Explain the result of the last one:

```
let add x = let f y = x+y in f end
in add 2 5 end

let add x = let f y = x+y in f end
in let addtwo = add 2
    in addtwo 5 end
end

let add x = let f y = x+y in f end
in let addtwo = add 2
    in let x = 77 in addtwo 5 end
    end

let add x = let f y = x+y in f end
in add 2 end
```

**Exercise 6.2** Add anonymous functions, similar to F#'s fun  $x \rightarrow ...$ , to the micro-ML higher-order functional language abstract syntax:

```
type expr =
    ...
| Fun of string * expr
| ...
```

For instance, these two expressions in concrete syntax:

```
fun x -> 2*x
let y = 22 in fun z -> z+y end
```

should parse to these two expressions in abstract syntax:

```
Fun("x", Prim("*", CstI 2, Var "x"))
Let("y", CstI 22, Fun("z", Prim("+", Var "z", Var "y")))
```

Evaluation of a Fun (...) should produce a non-recursive closure of the form

In the empty environment the two expressions shown above should evaluate to these two closure values:

```
Clos("x", Prim("*", CstI 2, Var "x"), [])
Clos("z", Prim("+", Var "z", Var "y"), [(y,22)])
```

Extend the evaluator eval in file HigherFun.fs to interpret such anonymous functions.

**Exercise 6.3** Extend the micro-ML lexer and parser specifications in FunLex. fsl and FunPar. fsy to permit anonymous functions. The concrete syntax may be as in F#: fun  $\times$  -> expr or as in Standard ML: fn  $\times$  => expr, where  $\times$  is a variable. The micro-ML examples from Exercise 6.1 can now be written in these two alternative ways:

```
let add x = fun y -> x+y
in add 2 5 end
let add = fun x -> fun y -> x+y
in add 2 5 end
```

**Exercise 6.4** This exercise concerns type rules for ML-polymorphism, as shown in Fig. 6.1.

(i) Build a type rule tree for this micro-ML program (in the let-body, the type of f should be polymorphic – why?):

```
let f x = 1
in f f end
```

(ii) Build a type rule tree for this micro-ML program (in the let-body, f should *not* be polymorphic – why?):

```
let f x = if x<10 then 42 else f(x+1) in f 20 end
```

**Exercise 6.5** Download fun2.zip and build the micro-ML higher-order type inference as described in file README.TXT point F.

(1) Use the type inference on the micro-ML programs shown below, and report what type the program has. Some of the type inferences will fail because the programs are not typable in micro-ML; in those cases, explain why the program is not typable:

```
let f x = 1
in f f end
let fg = gg
in f end
let f x =
   let g y = y
   in g false end
in f 42 end
let f x =
    let g y = if true then y else x
    in g false end
in f 42 end
let f x =
    let g y = if true then y else x
    in g false end
in f true end
```

- (2) Write micro-ML programs for which the micro-ML type inference report the following types:
- bool -> bool
- int -> int
- int -> int -> int
- 'a -> 'b -> 'a
- 'a -> 'b -> 'b
- ('a -> 'b) -> ('b -> 'c) -> ('a -> 'c)
- 'a -> 'b
- 'a

Remember that the type arrow (->) is right associative, so int -> int -> int is the same as int -> (int -> int), and that the choice of type variables does not matter, so the type scheme 'h -> 'g -> 'h is the same as a' -> 'b -> 'a.