Absence of Phase Transitions and Preservation of Gibbs Property Under Renormalization

Scientific Talk

Oskar Vavtar

Supervisor: Dr. Evgeny A. Verbitskiy

Leiden University, Mathematical Institute

June 21, 2024

Preliminaries

Consider finite alphabet A and write $\Omega = A^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Definition (Interactions and Hamiltonians)

(1) **Interaction** is a collection of maps $\Phi = (\Phi_{\Lambda})_{\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, where

$$\Phi_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \Phi_{\Lambda}(\omega(x) : x \in \Lambda), \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

We say Φ is uniformly absolutely convergent (UAC), $\Phi \in \mathscr{B}^1(\Omega)$, if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\Lambda \ni x} \|\Phi_{\Lambda}\|_{\infty} \ < \ \infty.$$

(2) For $\Phi \in \mathscr{B}^1(\Omega)$, we consider **Hamiltonians** $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda})_{\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \sum_{\Delta \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset} \Phi_{\Delta}(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 2 / 22

Preliminaries

Definition (Specification and Gibbs measure)

(1) For $\Phi \in \mathscr{B}^1(\Omega)$, specification $\gamma = (\gamma_{\Lambda})_{\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, is given so that $\gamma_{\Lambda}(\cdot|\cdot) : \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda} \times \Omega_{\Lambda^c} \to (0,1)$,

$$\gamma_{\Lambda}(\omega_{\Lambda}|\xi_{\Lambda^{c}}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}^{\xi}} \exp(-\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda}(\omega_{\Lambda}\xi_{\Lambda^{c}})),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}^{\xi}$ is the normalization constant.

(2) $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Omega)$ is a **Gibbs measure** on Ω consistent with Φ $(\mu \in \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi))$ if for each $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\mu(\omega_{\Lambda}|\omega_{\Lambda^c}) = \gamma_{\Lambda}^{\Phi}(\omega_{\Lambda}|\omega_{\Lambda^c})$$
 for μ -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 3 / 22

Preliminaries

Remark

- (i) For $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and ξ_{Λ^c} fixed, $\gamma_{\Lambda}(\cdot|\xi_{\Lambda^c})$ is a probability measure on $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda}$. This allows for construction of Gibbs measures via weak limits.
- (ii) While $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi) \neq \emptyset$, we don't necessarily have that $|\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi)| = 1$. How and when this happens is an important subject in statistical mechanics.

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 4/22

Characterization of Gibbsianity

Proposition

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Omega)$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) μ is Gibbs
- (ii) μ has the following properties:
 - (a) uniform non-nullness: $\forall \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d \exists \alpha_{\Lambda}, \beta_{\Lambda} \in (0,1) \text{ s.t.}$

$$\alpha_{\Lambda} \leq \mu_{\Lambda}(\omega_{\Lambda}|\xi_{\Lambda^{c}}) \leq \beta_{\Lambda}, \quad \forall \omega, \xi \in \Omega$$

(b) quasilocality: writing $\mathbb{B}_n = [-n, n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\forall \Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\sup_{\omega} \sup_{\xi \in C} |\mu(\omega_{\Lambda}|\omega_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \Lambda} \xi_{\mathbb{B}_n^c \setminus \Lambda}) - \mu(\omega_{\Lambda}|\omega_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \Lambda} \xi_{\mathbb{B}_n^c \setminus \Lambda})| \to 0.$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 5/22

Renormalization group

To be done later

Dilemma: talk about renormalization or just fuzzy Gibbs measures?

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 6/22

Fuzzy Gibbs measures

Consider $\Omega = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, with \mathcal{A} finite, as before.

Let \mathcal{B} be another alphabet, with $|\mathcal{B}| < |\mathcal{A}|$. Write $\Sigma = \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.

We consider a surjection $\pi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, which we call a fuzzy map. ¹

Definition

A fuzzy Gibbs measure ν on Σ is defined as

$$\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1},$$

where μ is some Gibbs measure on Ω .

Question: when is ν Gibbsian?

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk

 $^{^1\}pi$ induces a surjection $\Omega \to \Sigma$ which we denote by the same letter

Hidden phase transitions

We can partition Ω w.r.t. π as follows:

pick $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and define

$$\Omega_{\sigma} = \pi^{-1}(\sigma);$$

we call sets $\{\Omega_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ fibres.

Definition (Hidden phase transition)

We say that a **hidden phase transition** occurs on Ω_{σ} if

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi)| > 1.$$

If $|\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi)| = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we talk about **absence of hidden phase** transitions.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 8 / 22

Hidden phase transitions

Proposition (Sufficient condition)

In the absence of hidden phase transitions, $\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$ is Gibbsian.

The following conjecture (stated informally here) was established by van Enter, Fernández and Sokal:

Conjecture (van Enter-Fernández-Sokal hypothesis, [vEFS93],[Ber20])

The fuzzy Gibbs measure is not Gibbsian if and only if

- (i) $\exists \sigma \in \Sigma : |\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi)| > 1$, i.e., a hidden phase transition occurs, and
- (ii) one can pick different phases of $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi)$ by varying boundary conditions.

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 9/22

Construction of conditional measures

Goal: construct distribution of ω , conditional on $\pi(\omega) = \sigma$

Definition

Given $B \subseteq \Sigma$ measurable with $\nu(B) > 0$, define

$$\mu^B \ = \ \mu(\boldsymbol{\cdot}|\pi^{-1}(B)).$$

One can consider a net of conditional measures μ^B (on Ω), indexed with pairs (V,B), where V is an open neighbourhood of σ and $B\subseteq V: \nu(B)>0$.

Write $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma}$ for accumulation points of the above net, as open neighbourhoods (V) "approach" σ .

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 10 / 22

Tjur points

Definition

If $|\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma}| = 1$ for a given $\sigma \in \Sigma$, denote by μ^{σ} the only member of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma}$, the limit of the corresponding net. In this case, we say that σ is a **Tjur point**.

One can restate the previously presented conjecture as follows:

Conjecture (van Enter-Fernández-Sokal hypothesis, [Ber20])

The fuzzy Gibbs measure is Gibbsian *if and only if* $|\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma}| = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, i.e., all points are Tjur.

Proposition (Berghout, Verbitskiy, [Ber20])

Direction (\Leftarrow) holds.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 11 / 22

Tjur points: sufficient condition revisited

Proposition

 $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, each member is a probability measure supported on Ω_{σ} . If $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi)$, then

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi).$$

Corollary

Absence of phase transitions implies Gibbsianity of $\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$.

Remark

By demonstrating the absence of phase transitions, we not only obtain Gibbsianity of the fuzzy Gibbs measure, but also verify that the example doesn't contradict the unproven direction of the van Enter-Fernández-Sokal hypothesis.

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 12 / 22

Classical Potts model

Write E^d for the (nearest-neighbour) edge set of \mathbb{Z}^d and

$$\mathsf{E}_{\Lambda} = \left\{ \langle x,y \rangle \in \mathsf{E}^d : x,y \in \Lambda \right\}, \quad \partial \mathsf{E}_{\Lambda} = \left\{ \langle x,y \rangle \in \mathsf{E}^d : x \in \Lambda, y \notin \Lambda \right\}.$$

Definition (Interaction of Potts model)

The interaction of q-state Potts model $\Phi_{\beta,q}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{\Lambda;\beta,q}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 2\mathbb{1}_{\{\omega(x)\neq\omega(y)\}} - 1, & \Lambda = \{x,y\} : x \sim y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hamiltonians are thus given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda;\beta,q}(\omega) \; = \; \sum_{\langle x,y\rangle \in \mathsf{E}_{\Lambda} \cup \partial \mathsf{E}_{\Lambda}} (2\mathbb{1}_{\{\omega(x) \neq \omega(y)\}} - 1).$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 13 / 22

Classical Potts model: phase transition

Write $\Omega = \{1, \dots, q\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Theorem

For each $q \ge 2$ and $d \ge 2$, there exists $\beta_c(d,q) \in (0,\infty)$, such that

- (i) for $\beta < \beta_c(d,q), |\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi_{\beta,q})| = 1,$
- (ii) for $\beta > \beta_c(d, q)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}(\Phi_{\beta, q})$ contains q distinct mutually singular measures.

Mutually singular measures in (ii) are precisely measures $\mu_{\beta,a}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,1},\ldots,\mu_{\beta,a}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,q}$, corresponding to constant boundary conditions 1. a.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 14 / 22

Fuzzy Potts model

Let 1 < s < q and $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_s)$, such that $r_1 + \ldots + r_s = q$.

Definition

Fuzzy Potts map $\pi_r: \{1, \dots, q\} \to \{1, \dots, s\}$ is given by

$$\pi_{\mathbf{r}}(a) = \begin{cases} 1: & 1 \le a \le r_1, \\ 2: & r_1 + 1 < a \le r_1 + r_2, \\ \dots \\ n: & r_1 + \dots + r_{n-1} < a \le r_1 + \dots r_n, \\ \dots \\ s: & r_1 + \dots + r_{s-1} < a \le q. \end{cases}$$

Fuzzy Gibbs measure corresponding to $\mu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,\xi}$ is given by

$$\nu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,\xi} = \mu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,\xi} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}.$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU)

Fuzzy Potts model: Gibbsianity

Write $r^* = \min(\{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \cap \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2})$

Theorem (Häggström, [Häg03])

Let $d \geq 2$, $q \geq 3$ and $\xi \in \{\emptyset, 1, \dots, q\}$; consider fuzzy Potts measure $\mu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,\xi}$.

- (i) For each $\beta < \beta_c(d, r^*)$, $\nu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \xi}$ is a Gibbs measure.
- (ii) For each $\beta > \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + (r^* 1)p_c(d)}{1 p_c(d)}$, $\nu_{\beta,q}^{\mathbb{Z}^d,\xi}$ is not a Gibbs measure.

Goal: provide an alternative proof of (i), using absence of hidden phase transitions.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 16 / 22

 $^{^{}a}p_{c}(d)$ = critical probability for Bernoulli percolation on \mathbb{Z}^{d}

Idea of alternative proof

Want to show: for each $\sigma \in \{1, ..., s\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, $|\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(\Phi_{\beta,q})| = 1$.

Notice:

$$\Omega_{\sigma} = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \pi^{-1}(\sigma(x));$$

write, for $j = 1, \ldots, s$,

$$A_j = \pi^{-1}(j) = \{r_1 + \ldots + r_{j-1} + 1, \ldots, r_1 + \ldots + r_j\}$$

and

$$U_j = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \sigma(x) = j \right\}.$$

Then,

$$\Omega_{\sigma} \; = \; \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \begin{cases} \mathsf{A}_1, & x \in U_1, \\ \dots & =: \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \mathsf{A}_j^{U_j}. \\ \mathsf{A}_s, & x \in U_s \end{cases}$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU)

Idea of alternative proof

It is enough to show that:

(i) If β is such that

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{A}_{i}^{\mathbb{Z}^{d}}}(\Phi_{\beta,|\mathsf{A}_{j}|})| = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, s,$$

then

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{A}_{s}^{U_{j}}}(\Phi_{\beta,|\mathsf{A}_{j}|})| = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, s.$$

(ii) If

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{A}_{i}^{U_{j}}}(\Phi_{eta,|\mathsf{A}_{j}|})| = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, s,$$

then

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\bigotimes_{j}\mathsf{A}_{:}^{U_{j}}}(\Phi_{\beta,q})| = 1.$$

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 18 / 22

Idea of alternative proof

Clear: enough to show above for s=2, induction takes care of the rest. Thus sufficient to prove:

Proposition (Part I)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. For $\beta < \beta_c(d,q)$,

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\{1,\ldots,q\}^U}(\Phi_{\beta,q})| = 1.$$

Proposition (Part II)

Let $\mathbb{Z}^d = U \sqcup V$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. If β is such that

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{A}^U}(\Phi_{\beta,|\mathsf{A}|})| = |\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{B}^V}(\Phi_{\beta,|\mathsf{B}|})| = 1,$$

then

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{A}^U \otimes \mathsf{B}^V}(\Phi_{\beta, |\mathsf{A}| + |\mathsf{B}|})| = 1.$$

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 19/22

Spin-flip dynamics: general model

Idea: Pick initial configuration $\omega_0 \in \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ according to some Gibbs measure and randomly flip spins as time runs.

Question: Having obtained $(\omega_t)_{t>0}$, when is Law (ω_t) Gibbsian?

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 20 / 22

Spin-flip dynamics: general model

Let
$$\Omega_0 = \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$$
.

Pick $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}$ and draw $\omega_0 \sim \mu$.

Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 21/22

- S. Berghout. *Gibbs Processes and Applications*. Ph.D. thesis. Leiden
- A.C.D. van Enter, R. Fernández, A.D. Sokal. *Regularity properties and pathologies of position-space renormalization-group transformations: Scope and limitations of Gibbsian theory*. J. Statist. Phys. 72 (1993), no. 5-6, 879-1167.
 - O. Häggström. *Is the fuzzy Potts model Gibbsian?* Ann. I. H. Poincaré 39 (2003), no. 5, 891-917.

Oskar Vavtar (LU) Scientific Talk June 21, 2024 22 / 22

University, 2020.