

TITLE

TITLE

Leiden University

ABSENCE OF PHASE TRANSITIONS  
AND PRESERVATION OF GIBBS  
PROPERTY UNDER  
RENORMALIZATION

Oskar Vavtar

TITLE

TITLE

TITLE

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction (probably better name)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>(Non-)Gibbsianity of fuzzy Potts model</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Fuzzy Potts model . . . . .	4

# 1 Introduction (probably better name)

I assume this chapter will include a brief introduction to Gibbs measures/thermodynamical formalism (possibly including definitions of Ising and Potts model), as well as the theory of fuzzy Gibbs measures, results from Berghout's thesis.

## 2 (Non-)Gibbsianity of fuzzy Potts model

This chapter aims to introduce the fuzzy Potts model and provide an alternative, independent proof of Häggström's theorem [\[reference\]](#) about its (non-)Gibbsianity, using the results due to Berghout and Verbitskiy [\[reference\]](#). Moreover, it introduces the notion of random cluster representations, a powerful tool in the theory of Potts model, which is used in the proof.

### 2.1 Fuzzy Potts model

In this introductory section of the chapter, we define the fuzzy Potts model and state the celebrated result about its (non-)Gibbsianity, due to Häggström [\[reference\]](#). Moreover, we explain the strategy and structure of our alternative proof of (part of) the said result.

Consider the Potts model with spin space  $\{1, \dots, q\}$ ,  $q \geq 3$  integer, on lattice  $\mathbb{L}$ , say  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , which defines a model on  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, q\}^{\mathbb{L}}$ . The *fuzzy Potts model* is defined by considering some integer  $1 < s < q$ , so that the spin space is  $\{1, \dots, s\}$  and the whole model defined on  $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, s\}^{\mathbb{L}}$ . Moreover, we consider a vector  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , such that  $r_1 + \dots + r_s = q$  and define a fuzzy transformation  $\pi_{\mathbf{r}} : \{1, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$  by putting

$$\pi_{\mathbf{r}}(a) := \begin{cases} 1 : & 1 \leq a \leq r_1, \\ 2 : & r_1 + 1 \leq a \leq r_1 + r_2 \\ \dots & \\ n : & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i + 1 \leq a \leq \sum_{i=1}^n r_i, \\ \dots & \\ s : & \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} r_i + 1 \leq a \leq q, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,  $\pi_a = n$  iff  $a \in (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i, \sum_{i=1}^n r_i] \cap \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . In other words, the entire fuzzy map  $\pi = \pi_{\mathbf{r}}$  is encoded by a single  $s$ -vector  $\mathbf{r}$ .

Fixing  $q \geq 2$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and writing  $\mu_{q,\beta}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#}$  for the Gibbs measure of the Potts model on  $\{1, \dots, q\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  for boundary condition  $\# \in \{0, \dots, q\}$  with inverse temperature  $\beta$ , the fuzzy transformation  $\pi_{\mathbf{r}}$  induces the fuzzy Gibbs measure

$$\nu_{q,\beta,\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#} := \mu_{q,\beta}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}.$$

Of great interest is the potential Gibbsianity of such measure. [Something about the Häggström's result blahblahblah](#). Recall that for  $q \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $\beta_c(d, q)$  such that for each  $\beta < \beta_c(d, q)$ ,  $\mu_{q,\beta}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, 0} = \dots = \mu_{q,\beta}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, q}$ , i.e., there is a unique Gibbs measure of the Potts model on  $\{1, \dots, q\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  with inverse temperature  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Häggström, 2003, [\[reference\]](#)). Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $q \geq 3$ ,  $\# \in \{0, \dots, q\}$  and  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_s)$  with  $1 < s < q$ ,  $r_1 + \dots + r_s = q$ . Consider a fuzzy Gibbs measure  $\nu_{q,\beta,\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#} = \mu_{q,\beta}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1}$ .

- (i) For each  $\beta < \beta_c(d, \min_{1 \leq i \leq s} r_i)$ , the measure  $\nu_{q,\beta,\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbb{Z}^d, \#}$  is a Gibbs measure.
- (ii) [The non-Gibbs part](#).