Image Analysis

Tim B. Dyrby
Rasmus R. Paulsen
DTU Compute

tbdy@dtu.dk

http://www.compute.dtu.dk/courses/02502

Lecture 5 – Pixel Classification and advanced segmentation





What can you do after today?

- Describe the concept of pixel classification
- Compute the pixel value ranges in a minimum distance classifier
- Implement and use a minimum distance classifier
- Approximate a pixel value histogram using a Gaussian distribution
- Implement and use a parametric classifier
- Decide if a minimum distance or a parametric classifier is appropriate based on the training data
- Explain the concept of Bayesian classification
- Understand the use of 1D vs 2D feature space
- Implement and use the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) classifier
- Understand the use of linear vs non-line hyper-plans for segmentation

Go to **www.menti.com** and use the code **5648 1375**

Quiz 0: What is advanced segmentation?

0	0	0	0
To separate colours?	Use methods that mimics the human brain?	It just some vectors pointing in a space?	To draw linear and non-linear hyper plans in space

Classification

- Take a measurement and put it into a class

Measurement

Wheels: 2

HP: 50

Weight: 200

Classifier

Classes

- Bike
- Truck
- Car
- Motorbike
- Train
- Bus

General Classification

- Multi-dimensional measurement
- Pre-defined classes
  - Can also be found automatically – can be very difficult!

Pixel Classification

CT scan of human head



Pixel wise segmentation



Four Class labels

Background

Soft-Tissue

Trabecular Bone

Hard Bone

- Classify each pixel
  - Independent of neighbours
- Also called labelling
  - Put a label on each pixel
- We look at the pixel value and assign them a label
- Labels already defined

Quiz 1: Two class pixel classification?

Background and object

A) Median filter
B) Threshold
C) Brightness
D) Morphological Erosion
E) BLOB analysis

Pixel Classification – formal definition

Pixel value (the measurement) $v \in R$

k classes $C = c_1, \ldots, c_k$

Classification rule $c: R \longrightarrow \{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$

Pixel Classification – example

Pixel value $v \in [0,255]$

Set of 4 classes C={background, soft-tissue, trabeculae, bone}

Classification rule $c: v \longrightarrow \{\text{background}, \text{soft} - \text{tissue}, \text{trabeculae}, \text{bone}\}$



How do we construct a classification rule?

Pixel classification rule

$$c: v \longrightarrow \{\text{background}, \text{soft} - \text{tissue}, \text{trabeculae}, \text{bone}\}$$

background

trabeculae

soft-tissue

bone



How do we do this?

Pixel classification rule – manual inspection

$$c: v \longrightarrow \{\text{background}, \text{soft} - \text{tissue}, \text{trabeculae}, \text{bone}\}$$

Looking at a few pixels



Pixel classification rule – manual inspection

$$c: v \longrightarrow \{\text{background}, \text{soft} - \text{tissue}, \text{trabeculae}, \text{bone}\}$$

Looking at some few pixels

New pixel – where do we put it?



Pixel classification rule – manual inspection

$$c: v \longrightarrow \{\text{background}, \text{soft}-\text{tissue}, \text{trabeculae}, \text{bone}\}$$

Looking at some few pixels



New pixel – where do we put it?

- Measure the “distance” to the other classes
- Select the closest class

Minimum distance classification



Pixel classification rule
Minimum Distance Classification

The possible pixel values are divided into ranges



Here the distance to “background” is equal to “soft-tissue”



Pixel classification rule
Minimum Distance Classification

$$c(v) = \begin{cases} \text{background, if } v \le (4+67)/2 \\ \text{soft}-\text{tissue, if } \dfrac{(4+67)}{2} < v \le \dfrac{67+95}{2} \\ \text{trabeculae, if } \dfrac{67+95}{2} < v \le \dfrac{95+150}{2} \\ \text{bone, if } v > \dfrac{95+150}{2} \end{cases}$$



Pixel classification rule

- For all pixel in the image do

$$c(v) = \begin{cases} \text{background, if } v \leq (4+67)/2 \\ \text{soft} - \text{tissue, if } \dfrac{(4+67)}{2} < v \leq \dfrac{67+95}{2} \\ \text{trabeculae, if } \dfrac{67+95}{2} < v \leq \dfrac{95+150}{2} \\ \text{bone, if } v > \dfrac{95+150}{2} \end{cases}$$



Pixel Classification example



CT scan of human head



Background
Soft-Tissue
Trabecular Bone
Hard Bone

Better range selection



- Guessing range values is not a good idea
- Better to use "training data"
- Start by selecting representative regions from an image
- *Annotation*
  - To mark points, regions, lines or other significant structures

Classifier training - annotation



- An “expert” is asked how many different tissue types that are possible
- Then the expert is asked to mark representative regions of the selected tissue types

Classifier training – region selection



- Many tools exist
- Matlab tool roipoly
  - Select closed regions using a piecewise polygon

Training is only done once!

Optimally, the training can be used on many pictures that contains the same tissue types

Initial analysis - histograms





Gaussian

Initial analysis - histograms





Simple pixel statistics

- Calculate the mean and the standard deviation of each class




Bone
Trabeculae
Soft Tissue
Background
0
50
100
150
200
250
0
50
100
150
200
250

Minimum distance classification



Any objections?

The pixel value ranges are not always in good correspondence with the histograms?

Quiz 2: Minimum distance classification

A) Background

B) Soft tissue

C) Fat

D) Bone

E) None of the above

Solution:

Green: (6+4+9+5)/4=6

Blue: (132+130+134+133)/4= 132,25

Yellow: (178+175+176+174)/4=175,75

Purple: (222+220+219+221)/4=220

<u>Blue: 158 is closes to 175,75 (yellow)= fat</u>

To make a pixel classification an expert has selected representative regions in the image. They contain background (green), soft tissue (blue), fat (yellow), and bone (purple). The goal is to classify the pixel marked with a light blue circle. Using a minimum distance classifier it is classified as?

5	6	5	81	180	182	222	220
8	9	4	108	181	175	219	221
7	8	132	130	148	182	174	223
58	231	134	133	61	173	178	175
44	250	181	130	117	101	176	174
5	6	7	204	246	94	86	175
156	158	6	7	7	252	173	230
157	161	7	6	6	10	35	227

Parametric classification



Trabecular bone

- Describe the histogram using a few parameters
- Assume a “model” describing the signal values
- Model: Gaussian/Normal distribution
  - The mean μ
  - Standard deviation σ
  - $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Only two values needed

Parametric classification



Trabecular bone

Training pixel values (Belonging to one class) $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$,

Estimated mean $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i$

Estimated standard deviation $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_i - \hat{\mu})$

The “signal model” is a Gaussian distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Parametric classification

- Fit a Gaussian to the training pixels for all classes



What do we see here?

What is the difference between the two classes?

Trabeculae has much higher variation in the pixel values

Quiz 3: Two tissue types – minimum distance v = 78



Which tissue class?

A) Trabeculae

B) Soft-tissue

Solution: Minimum distance classifier

First we find the threshold, T:

B: mean(Soft Tissue)=68 and A: mean(Trabeculae)= 95

T = (95+68)/2 = 81,5

Then we classify/segment v=78: A if v>81,5 or <u>B if v< 81,5</u>

Parametric classification



- New pixel with value 78
  - Is it soft-tissue or trabecular bone?
- Minimum distance classifier?
  - Soft-tissue
- Is that fair?
  - Soft-tissue Gaussian says "Extremely low probability that this pixel is soft-tissue"

Quiz 4: Two tissue types – parametric classification

Which tissue class?

A) Trabeculae

B) Soft-tissue



Solution:

The A distribution (red) is higher than B (blue) at v=78

Parametric classification – repeat the question



- New pixel with value 78
  - Is it soft-tissue or trabecular bone?
  - Most probably trabecular bone
- Where should we set the limit?
  - Where the two Gaussians cross!

Parametric classification – ranges



- The pixel value ranges depends on
  - The mean
  - The standard deviation
- Compared to the minimum distance classifier
  - Only the average

Parametric classification – how to

- Select training pixels for each class
- Fit Gaussians ($\mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$) to each class
- Use Gaussians to determine pixel value ranges

Parametric classifier - ranges



- We want to compute where they cross

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)$$

$$f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_2\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

Create a lookup table:

- Run through all 256 possible pixel values
- Check which Gaussian is the highest
- Store the [value, class] in the table

Alternatively – analytic solution

The two Gaussians

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left(-\frac{(v-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_2\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left(-\frac{(v-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right)$$

Intercept at

$$v=\frac{{\sigma_1}^2\mu_2-{\sigma_2}^2\mu_1\pm\sqrt{-{\sigma_1}^2{\sigma_2}^2\left(2\,\mu_2\,\mu_1-{\mu_2}^2-2\,{\sigma_2}^2\ln\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)-{\mu_1}^2+2\,{\sigma_1}^2\ln\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)\right)}}{-{\sigma_2}^2+{\sigma_1}^2}$$

Quiz 5: Class ranges

A) [0,45],]45, 75],]75,255]

B) [40,60],]60,100],]100,140]

C) [0, 60],]60,80],]80,255]

D) [0,60],]60,100],]100,255]

E) [0,75],[75,100],]100,255]

An expert have chosen representative regions in an image that contains soft tissue, liver and spleen. The image pixel minimum and maximum values are 0 and 255. To make a parametric classification, the histograms are parameterized using Gaussian distributions as seen in the image. What are the class ranges?



Solution:

Thomas Bayes

Wikipedia

- 1702-1761
- English mathematician and Presbyterian minister
- Bayes' theorem

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Bayesian Classification



Pure parametric classifier assumes equal amount of different tissue types



Bayesian Classification



But much more soft-tissue than trabecular bone



How do we handle that?

Bayesian Classification

- An expert tells us that a CT scan of a head contains
  - 20% Trabecular bone
  - 50% Soft-tissue
- Picking a random pixel in the image
  - 20% Chance that it is trabecular bone
  - 50% Chance that it is soft-tissue
- How to use that?



Bayesian Classification – histogram scaling



Parametric classifier



Bayesian classifier

Little change in class border
(sometimes significant changes)

Formal definition

- Given a pixel value v
- What is the probability that the pixel belongs to class c_i

Example: If the pixel value is 78, what is the probability that the pixel is bone

$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

Formal definition

Constant – ignored from now on

$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

Formal definition

- The *a priori probability* (what is known from before)

Example: From general biology it is known that 20% of a brain CT scan is trabecular bone. Therefore P(trabecular) = 0.20

$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

Formal definition

- The *class conditional probability*
- Given a class, what is the probability of a pixel with value v



Example: If we consider class = soft-tissue. What is the probability that the pixel value is 78?

Very low

$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

Formal definition – sum up





$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

$$c_i = \text{trabeculae}$$

Bayesian classification – how to

- Select training pixels for each class
- Fit Gaussians to each class
- Ask an expert for the prior probabilities (how much there normally is in total of each type)
- For each pixel in the image
  - Compute $P(c_i|v)$ for each class (the *a posterior probability*)
  - Select the class with the highest $P(c_i|v)$

$$P(c_i|v) = \frac{P(v|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(v)}$$

When to use Bayesian classification

- The *parametric classifier* is good when there are approximately the same amount of all type of tissues
- Use *Bayesian classification* if there are very little or very much of some types
- A more general formulation for segmentation
- When going to higher dimensional feature space

High dimensional feature space



- Combine different features input to **improve** segmentation
  - Different image modalities e.g. CT vs MRI
  - Subject groups
    - Healthy vs disease
  - Different angles of object e.g. cars

High dimensional feature space





- Feature space:
  - 1D is a histogram
  - 2D is a scatterplot i.e. 2D histogram
  - >2D is bit more complicated to show
- Here we stay in 2D feature space for optimal visualisation

High dimensional feature space

CT MRI – T1w MRI – T2w



- Segmentation with more feature inputs
- To train our "model" with class examples
  - Draw tissue specific regions for each class
  - Class 1 and Class 2
  - Tissue type 1 and type 2
- Segmentation:
  - How to define the decision boundaries
  - 1D vs 2D

What is the optimal Decision boundary?



Class 1

Class 2

High dimensional feature space



- Segmentation with more feature inputs
- To train our "model" with class examples
  - Draw tissue specific regions for each class
  - Class 1 and Class 2
  - Tissue type 1 and type 2
- Segmentation:
  - How to define the decision boundaries
  - 1D vs 2D



Decision boundary



- 2D feature space
  - Better class separation vs 1D?
- Model assumption
  - Type of distribution?
- Intensity histograms per class looks Gaussian like?
  - We assume Gaussian distributions: $\mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$
- Optimal decision boundary using Bayes theorem:
  - Likelihood ratio for belonging to C2:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

Optimal decision boundary "T" – How to?

Decision boundary



- We wish to find T using Bayes:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- The posterior probability
  - $P(Ci|\boldsymbol{x}) = P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i)\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{Ci}}$
- The class specific Gaussian model

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i,) = K_i \exp((\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i))$$

  - Data points:
    - $\boldsymbol{x}_i = [x1, x2]^T$
  - Training set:
    - $\boldsymbol{t}_{x \in \boldsymbol{C1}} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{t}_{x \in \boldsymbol{C2}} = 1$
  - The class mean of training
    - $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \in Ci} x_n$
  - The covariance matrix of training
    - $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)$

Gaussian in 2D: The covariance matrix



Rotational invariant

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy}$



Aligned with coordinate system

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\sigma_{xx} \neq \sigma_{yy}$



Not aligned with coordinate system

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

QUICK REFRESH:

- The covariance matrix:

  $$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)$$

- Expresses the orientation of anisotropic variance in relation to coordinate system

Back to the Decision boundary



$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$

- Classifier: If $\boldsymbol{x}$ belongs to C_2 or not:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- Taking the logarithmn

$$ln\big(P(C2|x)\big) - ln\big(P(C1|x)\big) > T$$

Inspiration: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_analysis

Back to the Decision boundary



$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$

- Classifier: If $\boldsymbol{x}$ belongs to C_2:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- Taking the logarithmn

$$ln\big(P(C2|x)\big) - ln\big(P(C1|x)\big) > T$$

- Where the log posterior probability:

$$\ln(P(\boldsymbol{Ci}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) + \ln(K_i) + \ln(Pi)$$

- P_i is the prior probability for class C_i

Inspiration: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_analysis

Back to the Decision boundary



$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$

- Classifier: If $\boldsymbol{x}$ belongs to C_2 or not:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- Taking the logarithmn

$$ln\big(P(C2|x)\big) - ln\big(P(C1|x)\big) > T$$

- Where the log posterior distribution:

$$\ln(P(\boldsymbol{Ci}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) + \ln(K_i) + \ln(Pi)$$

- P_i is the prior probability for class C_i

- Inserting and assuming homoscedasticity ($\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_0$) we have a Linear discrimenant Analysis (LDA) classifier model (reorganise the expression)

$$ln\frac{P2}{P1} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} + \boldsymbol{\mu_1})^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1}) - \boldsymbol{x}^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1}) > \boldsymbol{T}$$

- We train the classifier to find T with examples obtained from the two distributions N1 and N2

Inspiration: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_discriminant_analysis

Quiz 6 - LDA - Optional Decision boundary



- Define T for x belonging to C_2:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- Using Linear Discriminat Analysis (LDA):

$$ln\frac{P2}{P1} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} + \boldsymbol{\mu_1})^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1}) - \boldsymbol{x}^T \Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1}) > \boldsymbol{T}$$

$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ Prior probabilities: P1=P2=0,5

At which x value is the optimal decision boundary, T found i.e. using $\ln(\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})})$?

A) 1,5
B) 2,7
C) 2,3
D) 2,0
E) 0,7

Solution – we see that x for optimal T is a threshold only along X1 i.e. a solution in 1D:

$$ln\frac{P2}{P1} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} + \boldsymbol{\mu_1})\frac{(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}{\sigma_0} = \text{x1}\frac{(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}{\sigma_0}$$

$$ln\frac{0,5}{0,5} + \frac{1}{2}(3+1)\frac{(3-1)}{2} = x\frac{(3-1)}{2}$$

x1=2

X2= all values

Hyper plan and projections in feature space



- w projects in the class mean direction i.e. the weight vector
- w *is normal to the hyper plan yi(x)=0*
- $x^T w$ is a *dot product i.e.* x and c are projected onto w $(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{b} = \|\boldsymbol{a}\|\|\boldsymbol{b}\|\cos(\theta))$

- We wish to predict the C_2:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- The LDA function for C_2

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{ln\frac{P1}{P2} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} + \boldsymbol{\mu_1})^T}_{\mathbf{c}} \underbrace{\Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}_{\boldsymbol{w}}}_{\boldsymbol{w_0}} - \boldsymbol{x}^T \underbrace{\Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}_{\boldsymbol{w}} > T$$

- The linear discriminat function

$$y_{C\in 2}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w_0}$$

-where negtive Wo is the threshold

- x is assigned to C2 if $y_{C\in 2}(x) > 0$
- $y_i(x) = 0$ defines a *hyper plan for* the dicision boundary

Hyper plan and projections in feature space



- We wish to predict the C_2:

$$\frac{P(C2|\boldsymbol{x})}{P(C1|\boldsymbol{x})} > T$$

- The LDA function for C_2

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{ln\frac{P1}{P2} + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} + \boldsymbol{\mu_1})^T}_{\mathbf{c}}\underbrace{\Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}_{\mathbf{w}}}_{\mathbf{w_0}} - \boldsymbol{x}^T\underbrace{\Sigma_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu_2} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1})}_{\mathbf{w}} > \mathrm{T}$$

- The linear discriminat function

$$y_{C\in 2}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w_0}$$

-where negtive Wo is the threshold

- x is assigned to C2 if $y_{C\in 2}(x) > 0$
- *$y_i(x)$ = 0* defines a *hyper plan for* the dicision boundary

- *w* projects in the class mean direction i.e. the weight vector
- *w is normal to the hyper plan yi(x)=0*
- *$x^T w$* is a *dot product i.e.* <u>*x* and *c* </u>are projected onto *w* $\left(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{b} = \|\boldsymbol{a}\|\|\boldsymbol{b}\|\cos(\theta)\right)$

Hyper plan and projections in feature space



- If convariance is *anisotropic* ie not identity matrix.
  - Not optimal placement of hyper plan based on mean seperation
  - Not optimal segmentation results
  - Hyper plan does not ensure optimal seperation!
- To improve the seperation
  - We need to adjust the weigth vector ***w***

Hyper plan and projections in feature space



Optimal class separation:

- The *weight vector* ***w*** now account for both for class means and variances

- Fisher's linear discrimiment:
  - Uses: *between-class (means) covariance*:

    $$S_B = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$$

  - and: optimise *(total) within-class covariance*

    $$S_W = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$$

- Find projection w using a cost function:
  - $J(w) = \frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w}$ and differentiate: $\frac{\partial J(w)}{\partial w}=0$
  - which gives (simple solution):

    $$w \propto S_W^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$$

Segmentation of brain data using LDA

MRI – T1w MRI – T2w



- Fisher's linear discriminent
- Use Matlab function:
  - LDA.m

Decision boundary?



Segmentation result: Fisher's LDA



Limitations of LDA



- Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)
  - Only linear hyper plans
- Non-linear hyper plans?

- Example:
  - I wish to make a classifier
  - Features (2D):
  - Age vs. Tissue degeneration
- Classes
  - Healthy controls vs Patient

Limitations of LDA



- One class can be separated
  - A non-linear problem

Non-linear Hyper plans



- Class 1: $\mathcal{N}(\mu,\Sigma)_{C_{1,1}} + \mathcal{N}(\mu,\Sigma)_{C_{1,2}}$
- Class 2: $\mathcal{N}(\mu,\Sigma)_{C_{2,1}}$

Non-linear hyper plan

Non-linear classifier (Machine learning):

Example:

- Gaussian Mixture Model
  - Each class is modelled using a number of Gauss distributions e.g. class 1
- Again use Bayes theorem also for Gaussian Mixture Model
- Optimisation:
  - We derive $\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}=0$ for a Gaussian mixture model
  - Iterative optimisation algorithm is used to find ***w***

Segmentation - Non-linear Hyper plans

- Convolutional neural network and classification



Weights are non-linear sigmoid functions: $y_k = \phi(x, w, w0)$

What did you learn today?

- Describe the concept of pixel classification
- Compute the pixel value ranges in a minimum distance classifier
- Implement and use a minimum distance classifier
- Approximate a pixel value histogram using a Gaussian distribution
- Implement and use a parametric classifier
- Decide if a minimum distance or a parametric classifier is appropriate based on the training data
- Explain the concept of Bayesian classification
- Understand the use of 1D vs 2D feature space
- Implement and use the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) classifier
- Understand the use of linear vs non-line hyper-plans for segmentation

Lecture 6 – BLOB analysis and feature based classification







Teaching – the speed of the lecture

A) Come oooooon! I am so bored

B) I can easily follow and knit my sweater

C) The speed is fine

D) I need to concentrate a lot to follow

E) Hey! Wait! You are too fast