### Scientific Programme 5<sup>th</sup> Advanced HIP Resurfacing Course 2012, Ghent

Course chairman:Co-chairmen:Koen De SmetPat Campbell

Catherine Van Der Straeten

Seth Greenwald

Edwin Su

#### Wednesday 13 June 2012

16:00 – 20:00 Registration at venue "De Handelsbeurs", Kouter 29, 9000 Ghent

18:30 Welcome Reception at "De Handelsbeurs"

#### Thursday 14 June 2012

07:45 Registration at venue "De Handelsbeurs"

08:15 Welcome – Introduction

Koen De Smet

- Personal Hip Resurfacing history
- Why is Hip Resurfacing still the first choice for young and active patients?

#### First session: Track record of Hip Resurfacing

#### **CHAIRMEN**: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### What have we learned in the past five years?

08:25	Controversy around Metal-on-Metal Hip arthroplasty / Resurfacing	Edwin Su
08:30	Regulatory MoM Hip arthroplasty alerts and recommendations	Jim Holland
08:35	Should we make a clear distinction between Hip Resurfacing and Large Diameter MoM THA?	Tony Nargol
08:40	What has really changed in the past 5 years?	Mike Tuke

08:45 PANEL DISCUSSION

Panel 1A: Jim Holland, Seth Greenwald (chair), Tony Nargol, Edwin Su (chair), Mike Tuke

**Moderator**: Koen De Smet

<u>Discussion</u>: How big is the problem? Are the regulatory authorities taking over the lead? Are the problems seen with Large Diameter MoM THA unjustly being extrapolated to Hip Resurfacing? What are the medicolegal implications?

#### Format of the meeting / Voting / Consensus

09:00 Format of the meeting

Koen De Smet

 Voting / Consensus Course 2010 / International Consensus to be written off for publication at the end of the 2012 meeting

Catherine Van Der Straeten

How does the voting pad work / trial questions/ general questions Pat Campbell

09:15 PANEL DISCUSSION: Indications / Contra-indications of Resurfacing

<u>Panel 1B</u>: Harlan Amstutz, Hendrik Delport, Seth Greenwald (chair), Paul Kim, Martin Lavigne, Derek McMinn, Tony Nargol, Manel Ribas, Edwin Su (chair), Job van Susante, Christoph Witzleb, David Young

Moderators, facilitators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

<u>Discussion points</u>: Comparison consensus 2010: Gender, Age, Physiological age, BMI, Diagnosis, Bone quality, Size, Child wish, Metal allergy, Kidney disease, etc

<u>Conclusion-summary:</u> NEW consensus: indications / absolute and relative contra-indications / medico-legal implications

#### Survivorship of Hip Resurfacing

09:35	Survivorship of the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing in an expert designer series Derek McMinn	
09:40	Results from the National Joint Registry of England and Wales	Jeremy Latham
09:45	Results from the Australian Joint Registry	David Young
09:50	Minimum 10 year survivorship independent BHR series	Koen De Smet
09:55	Hip Resurfacing survival data from a German specialist centre	Wolf-Christoph Witzleb
40.00	DANEL BURGURGURGU	

10:00 PANEL DISCUSSION

<u>Panel 1B</u>: Harlan Amstutz, Hendrik Delport, Seth Greenwald (chair), Paul Kim, Martin Lavigne, Derek McMinn, Tony Nargol, Manel Ribas, Edwin Su (chair), Job van Susante, Christoph Witzleb, David Young

<u>Discussion points</u>: Overall survivorship; designer centres, independent large volume centres, registries; posters with other >10-year results; survivorship in different age and gender groups; comparable with THA in general/specific age and gender groups? Comment on published articles.

#### Functional results /Activity: Comparison Hip Resurfacing with total Hip arthroplasty

10:15	Functional results of Hip Resurfacing and THA	Martin Lavigne
10:20	Randomized controlled trial comparing Hip Resurfacing with THA	Job van Susante
10:25	Why choose for a Hip Resurfacing in young and active people?	Hendrik Delport

10:30 PANEL DISCUSSION: Functional Results – Activity with Hip Resurfacing

<u>Panel 1C</u>: Harlan Amstutz, Hendrik Delport, Seth Greenwald (chair), Martin Lavigne, Derek McMinn, Tony Nargol, Manel Ribas, Edwin Su (chair), Job van Susante, Wolf-Christoph Witzleb, David Young

<u>Discussion points:</u> Comparison consensus 2010: THA versus Hip Resurfacing: comparison of activity levels, higher preoperative levels? Which activities are allowed at what postoperative stage? What about the recent paper of Dr. Amstutz showing loosening with extreme contact sports? Are the current scores adequate to

measure function/activity levels? If not, which scores should we use or should we develop a new scoring system? Patient expectations and postoperative satisfaction etc.

**Conclusion- summary**: NEW consensus: functional results – activity

#### Wright Medical slot: 2 x 5 minutes

10:50 Long term results of the Conserve Plus Hip Resurfacing – Results of Harlan Amstutz, Paul Kim

Conserve Plus Aclass or Manel Ribas

11:00 - BREAK

11:20

#### Second session: reactions to metal ions and particles from MoM Hips

#### **CHAIRMEN**: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### Zimmer slot: 8 minutes talk, 2 minutes discussion

11:25 Metal-on-Metal Hip Arthroplasty: The Metasul Experience Claude Rieker

#### Local adverse soft tissue reactions: incidence, symptoms, diagnosis, risk analysis

11:35Oxford experienceRichie Gill11:40Canadian multi-centre studyPaul Kim11:45North Tees experienceTony Nargol11:50Adverse soft tissue reactions to other sources of CoCrJulien Girard

11:55 PANEL DISCUSSION

<u>Panel 2A</u>: Paul Bom, Joseph Daniel, Michael Faensen, Richie Gill, Julien Girard, Seth Greenwald (chair), Paul Kim, Jeremy Latham, Tony Nargol, Claude Rieker, Edwin Su (chair)

<u>Discussion</u>: Do we agree that adverse local tissue reactions are almost always correlated with excessive wear (with the exception of rare cases of metal allergy)? What is a realistic incidence of adverse local tissue reactions (% in different series)? Are 'asymptomatic pseudotumours' really asymptomatic? Can we redefine 'asymptomatic' as asymptomatic clinically <u>and</u> radiographically <u>and</u> metal ions Co-Cr  $<4\mu g/l$ ?

#### **Histology and Immunology**

12:10	ALVAL scoring	Pat Campbell
12:15	Histological findings with failed MoM Large diameter head THA	David Langton
12:20	Immunological background to adverse local tissue reactions	Dirk Elewaut
12:25	Short discussion: open questions	

#### Causes and consequences of wear of MoM Hip Resurfacing implants

12:35	Factors that influence the wear of MoM Hip implants	Mike Tuke
12:40	Metal ions: toxicity and allergy	Catherine Van Der Straeten
12:45	Cytotoxicity of metal particles	Richie Gill
12:50	Should we be concerned about a higher cancer risk?	Joseph Daniel

12:55 PANEL DISCUSSION: consensus

<u>Panel 2BC:</u> Paul Bom, Joseph Daniel, Michael Faensen, Seth Greenwald (chair), Richie Gill, Julien Girard, Paul Kim, David Langton, Jeremy Latham, Tony Nargol, Claude Rieker, Edwin Su (chair), Mike Tuke

<u>Discussion</u>: How can we avoid excessive wear (technique, experience, design, indication)? What about small sizes? How often and how long should we test metal ions? What is the level above which a revision should be advocated because of potential local and / or systemic toxicity?

13:10- **LUNCH** 14:05

#### Third session: follow-up of Hip Resurfacing patients

#### **CHAIRMEN: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su**

#### Imaging techniques: radiographic techniques

14:10	Cup positioning: EBRA evaluation versus CTscan	Paul Kim
14:15	Zonal system for radiographic follow-up of Hip Resurfacing	Alessandro Calistri
14:20	CT scan as a diagnostic and screening tool for osteolysis / adverse local reactions	Harmen Ettema

#### **Other Imaging techniques**

14:25	Ultrasound as a diagnostic and screening tool for adverse local reactions	Simon Ostlere
14:30	MRI as a diagnostic and screening tool for adverse local reactions	John Antoniou
14:35	Bone scans and PET scans	Julien Girard
14:40	Diagnostic arthroscopy	Christophe Pattyn
14:45	Therapeutic arthroscopy	Oliver Marin
14:50	PANEL DISCUSSION: consensus	

<u>Panel 3A:</u> John Antoniou, Vijay Bose, Harmen Ettema, Julien Girard, Seth Greenwald (chair), James Holland, Paul Kim, Oliver Marin, Antonio Moroni, Simon Ostlere, Christophe Pattyn, Edwin Su (chair)

<u>Discussion</u>: Imaging techniques: Which imaging method when? Best screening method(s)? Is extra screening of asymptomatic patients – as defined earlier: no clinical or radiographic symptoms and metal ions  $<4\mu g/l$  -

necessary / affordable? What about experience / training of readers and reliability of imaging (false positive / false negative)?

#### Clinical follow-up and metal ion measurements

15:05 The interpretation of metal ions – acceptable upper limits - diagnostic algorithm

Catherine Van Der Straeten

15:10 PANEL DISCUSSION: Follow-Up of MoM Hip Resurfacing - Algorithm

<u>Panel 3B</u>: John Antoniou, Vijay Bose, Harmen Ettema, Julien Girard, Seth Greenwald (chair), James Holland, Paul Kim, Oliver Marin, Antonio Moroni, Simon Ostlere, Christophe Pattyn, Edwin Su (chair), David Young

Moderators, facilitators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

<u>Discussion points:</u> Consensus: How to diagnose / manage problems? Cup positioning: what to do with steep cups, when to revise? Impingement: is there a place for Hip arthroscopy?

Metal ions: policy with elevated metal ion levels - what about levels >10 $\mu$ g/l or increasing trend – what about levels >20 $\mu$ g/l: revise? Asymptomatic patients: is other screening than metal ions necessary? US or MRI? How often? When?

**Conclusion-summary**: NEW consensus: FOLLOW-UP

#### Fourth session: future designs and materials

Chairmen: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### A. Metal-on-Metal

15:30 Is Metal-on-Metal still an option? Can it be improved?

Jan-Philippe Kretzer

15:35 New insights in corrosion and lubrication Anne Neville

15:40 Discussion: open questions and discussion

#### Implantcast slot: 8 minutes talk, 2 minutes discussion

15:45 The Titanium Niobium Coating and the early results with the Accis Hip

Resurfacing Karel Hamelynck

15:55- BREAK

16:15

#### **CHAIRMEN:** Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### B. Other designs and materials

16:20 Non-cemented Hip Resurfacing Thomas Gross

16:25 Differential hardness bearings Amir Kamali

# 5<sup>th</sup> Advanced Hip Resurfacing Course Is there still a place for hip resurfacing anno 2012? Course chairman: Koen De Smet, Belgium www.resurfacing-congress.com 13-16 June 2012 • Handelsbeurs, Ghent, Belgium

16:30	Ceramic on Ceramic	David Young
16:35	What about Poly-ethylene	Derek McMinn
16:40	Other materials and designs?	Antonio Moroni
16:45	Discussion: open questions and discussion	

#### Matortho Slot: 8 minutes talk, 2 minutes discussion

17:00 The history of a Hip Resurfacing company

Mike Tuke

17:10 PANEL DISCUSSION: Is there a future for Hip Resurfacing? Is Metal-on-Metal still an option? If not, which material should we use? What is the ideal Hip Resurfacing anno 2012?

<u>Panel</u>: Harlan Amstutz, John Antoniou, Vijay Bose, Hendrik Delport, Koen De Smet, Seth Greenwald (chair), Thomas Gross, Karel Hamelynck, Derek McMinn, Antonio Moroni, Edwin Su (chair), Nikolaus Szöke, Job van Susante, David Young

Moderators, facilitators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

<u>Discussion points:</u> Consensus: Metal-on-Metal? Ceramic-on-Ceramic, Polyethylene, other? Design?

**Conclusion-summary:** NEW consensus: MATERIAL - DESIGN

#### Consensus of the day

#### CHAIRMEN: Pat Campbell, Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su, Catherine Van Der Straeten

17:35 Last Consensus: Suggestions of the faculty

17:45 Questions - Discussion

17:50 Scores and results voting Thursday

18:00 Closure of the day Koen De Smet

19:30 Walking Dinner

#### Friday 15 June 2012

08:25 Welcome - Introduction

Pat Campbell, Koen De Smet, Catherine Van Der Straeten

#### Fifth Session: Failures of MoM Hip arthroplasty: treatment and prevention

#### CHAIRMEN: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### **Failure modes of MoM Hips**

08:35	Analysis of failures in metal-on-metal Resurfacings in a series of 2800	
	procedures in Berlin	Michael Faensen
08:40	Retrieval analysis anno 2012	Pat Campbell
08:45	Failure modes of MoM Hip Resurfacing and Large diameter MoM THA	Martin Lavigne
08:50	Prevention of early fractures	Thomas Gross
Outcome of Hip Resurfacing revisions		

08:55	Outcome of revisions from the Australian Joint Registry	David Young
09:00	Outcome of revisions from a Hip Resurfacing specialist	Nikolaus Szöke
09:05	Poor outcome of late revisions with extensive soft tissue reactions and reoccurrence of pseudotumours	Richie Gill
09:10	Improved outcome with modified surgical practice	Koen De Smet
00.45	DANEL DISCUSSION	

09:15 PANEL DISCUSSION

<u>Panel 5A</u>: Joseph Daniel, Koen De Smet, Michael Faensen, Richie Gill, Thomas Gross, Seth Greenwald (chair), Jeremy Latham, Martin Lavigne, Nikolaus Szöke, Edwin Su (chair), David Young

Moderators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

**<u>Discussion</u>**: Practical surgical tips for Hip Resurfacing revision surgery; one-component versus total revision; which prosthesis / bearings / fixation method to use? Causes of reoccurrence of pseudotumours.

09:30- **Entertainment** 09:45

#### **CHAIRMEN:** Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### **Failures of Large Diameter Head MoM THA**

09:45	Higher failure rate with Large Diameter MoM THA. The UK experience	James Holland
09:50	Why did Large Diameter Femoral Head MoM Total Hip Replacements go wrong?	Tim Band
09:55	Failure of Large Diameter MoM THA. The Dutch experience	Paul Bom
10:00	Discussion: open questions and discussion with chairmen and panel of all s	peakers

#### **Cost analysis of MoM Hip failures**

10:15	Global analysis of MoM revisions healthcare burden in the EU	Manel Ribas
10:20	Global analysis of MoM revisions healthcare burden in the USA	Edwin Su
10:25	Discussion: open questions and discussion with chairmen and panel of all si	peakers

#### Lessons learned from the MoM Hip Resurfacing experience

10:35	Importance of education and experience	Harlan Amstutz
10:40	Are more regulations necessary? How to reconcile caution and progress?	Jeremy Latham
10:45	Discussion: open questions and discussion with chairmen and panel of all speakers	

11:00- **BREAK** 11:25

## Sixth session: guidelines for management of problematic cases diagnostic and therapeutic algorithm

#### **CHAIRMEN:** Harlan Amstutz, Derek McMinn

11:30 Presentation of algorithm

Moderators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

Discussion of failed and problematic cases

Koen De Smet

11:35 Testing algorithm to clinical cases

<u>Panel:</u> Harlan Amstutz, Julien Girard, Seth Greenwald (chair), Thomas Gross, James Holland, Paul Kim, Martin Lavigne, Derek McMinn, Antonio Moroni, Edwin Su (chair), Nikolaus Szöke, Job van Susante

12:15 PANEL DISCUSSION: Is the algorithm a good tool? Should it be changed / adapted / simplified?

<u>Panel</u>: Harlan Amstutz, Julien Girard, Seth Greenwald (chair), Thomas Gross, James Holland, Paul Kim, Martin Lavigne, Derek McMinn, Antonio Moroni, Edwin Su (chair), Nikolaus Szöke, Job van Susante

Moderators, facilitators: Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten

<u>Discussion points</u>: consensus: Use / importance of metal ion levels, radiographs, other imaging techniques for early diagnosis of soft tissue reactions and timely revision before extensive destruction occurred – When to advise a revision to an asymptomatic patient? – what about further follow-up of revised patients with regard to risk of recurrent pseudotumours

**Conclusion-summary**: NEW consensus: Algorithm - revisions

#### Smith & Nephew slot: 2x5 minutes talks, 5 minutes discussion

12:45 The future of the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing

Derek McMinn, Amir Kamali

13:00- **LUNCH** 14:00

Seventh session: formulating a new international consensus on Hip resurfacing

CHAIRMEN: Pat Campbell, Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su, Catherine Van Der Straeten

14:00 Final answers to be published in consensus booklet / article

Eight session: the media versus orthopaedic science

The impact of the negative publicity on health authorities

CHAIRMEN: Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su

#### **Media History of Hip Resurfacing**

14:30 Media History of Hip Resurfacing Vijay Bose

#### Impact of negative publicity

14:35 The impact of the negative publicity on health authorities,	
surgeons and patients in the UK	David Langton

17.70	The impact of the negative publicity of health authorities,	
	surgeons and patients in Canada	John Antoniou

14:45	The impact of the negative publicity on health authorities,	
	surgeons and patients in the US	Harlan Amstutz

14:50 Being crucified by the press Harmen Ettema

14:55 Discussion

#### The view of the media

15:10 My opinion as a journalist and as a patient Jon Christopher Bua

15:20 PANEL DISCUSSION

<u>Panel:</u> Harlan Amstutz, John Antoniou, Vijay Bose, Jon-Christopher Bua, Harmen Ettema, Michael Faensen, Seth Greenwald (chair), David Langton, Derek McMinn, Edwin Su (chair)

<u>Discussion</u>: Publishing general and objective news instead of sensational cases: would the media be willing to publish / broadcast the good results and the consensus of the Advanced Course?

#### **Final reflections**

16:15	Would I have a resurfacing?	Seth Greenwald
16:20	Is Metal-Metal resurfacing dead? What will the authorities do?	Derek McMinn
16:25	Discussion	

16:40- 17:15	Break	
17:15	End Voting and last questions	Pat Campbell, Catherine Van Der Straeten
17:30	Fulltime Score Friday / Overall Attendees Results! / Prize Distribution	Seth Greenwald, Edwin Su Koen De Smet
18:00	END OF FRIDAY SESSIONS	

Dinner

#### Saturday 16 June 2012

**CADAVER WORKSHOP** Ledeganck GENT - cadaver lab

11 CADAVERS 6 Thielen Balseming

08:25 - 10:00 First session

10:00- BREAK

10:30

10:30 - 12:00 **Second session**