

Homework 2

Supervised Learning

20.03.18

1. From Mercer's Theorem

$K(x, y)$ can be expressed:

$$K(x, y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle \text{ for}$$

some ϕ if $K(x, y)$ is positive semidefinite: (> 0)

$$\begin{bmatrix} K(x_1, x_1) & K(x_1, x_2) & \dots \\ K(x_2, x_1) & K(x_2, x_2) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \text{ for any collection of } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

I will use Gaussian kernel ($K(x, y) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|^2}$) to

define whether kernel is valid.

a) $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) + K_2(x, z)$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} a & ab \\ ab & b \end{bmatrix} \text{ symmetry}$$

$$K(x, z) = \langle \phi_1(x), \phi_1(z) \rangle + \langle \phi_2(x), \phi_2(z) \rangle$$

$$K(x, z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x_1-z_1\|^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x_2-z_2\|^2}$$

For any values in vectors x_1 and x_2

the sum is positive

So kernel is valid

b) $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) - K_2(x, z) =$

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x_1-z_1\|^2} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x_2-z_2\|^2}$$

$$\text{If choosing the } \begin{bmatrix} a & ab \\ ab & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} aa & 4bb \\ 4bb & 4bb \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

same values for x_1 and x_2 vectors the

difference = 0. Hence, this kernel is not valid.

if $K_2 > K_1$
difference could also be < 0

c) $K(x, z) = a \cdot K_1(x, z) = a \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-z\|^2}$ as

$a > 0$ and $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|x-z\|^2} > 0$ the result > 0 . $x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$

So, kernel is valid

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} aa & ab \\ ab & bb \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2aa & 2ab \\ 2ab & 2bb \end{bmatrix}$$

d) $K(x, z) = -a \cdot K_1(x, z)$ $x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ $\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -2aa & -2ab \\ -2ab & -2bb \end{bmatrix}$
 From Example before, we now have $-a < 0$,
 So kernel is not valid.

e) $K(x, z) = K_1(ax, bz) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|ax-bz\|^2}$
 The expression is always positive. Hence,
 Kernel is valid $x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} aaba & abba \\ abab & abbb \end{bmatrix}$

f) $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) \cdot K_2(x, z) > 0$
 Kernel is valid $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ a & b \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ba & aa \\ bb & ba \end{bmatrix}$
 symmetry

g) $K(x, z) = f(x)f(z)$
 That is a multiplication of functions
 resulted from $\langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle = k(x, z)$
 The kernel is valid

h) $K(x, z) = K_3(\phi(x), \phi(z))$
 Here the dimension of vectors does not
 influence the validity $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\phi(x)-\phi(z)\|^2} > 0$

i) $K(x, z) = p(K_1(x, z))$ Here the polynomial
 expression results in n number of multiplications
 and m numbers of summations of them.
 These multiplication ~~are~~ ^{have} always positive results.
 Kernel is valid.

j) $K(x, z) = aK_1(x, z) - bK_2(x, z)$
 We can assign b to be that large that
 the result of subtraction ≤ 0 ; So,
 kernel is invalid.

$$k) K(x, z) = -a K_1(x, z) - b K_2(x, z)$$

In case we assign a and b to be positive numbers the expression becomes < 0 . Kernel is not valid.

2. Task

$$a) K(x, z) = x^T z + C$$

$$K(\phi(x), \phi(z)) = \phi(x)^T \phi(z) + C = x^T z + C + C = x^T z + 2C$$

$$b) K(x, z) = (x^T z + C)^d, \quad d \text{ degree of polynomial}$$

$$K(\phi(x), \phi(z)) = (\phi(x)^T \phi(z) + C)^d = (x^T z + C + C)^d$$

$$c) K(x, z) = e^{-\epsilon(x-z)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(\phi(x), \phi(z)) &= e^{-\epsilon(\phi(x) - \phi(z))^2} = \\ &= e^{-\epsilon(\phi(x)^2 - 2\phi(x)^T \phi(z) + \phi(z)^2)} = \\ &= e^{-\epsilon(e^{-\epsilon(x-x)^2} - 2e^{-\epsilon(x-z)^2} + e^{-\epsilon(z-z)^2})} = \\ &= e^{-\epsilon(2 - 2e^{-\epsilon(x-z)^2})} = e^{-2\epsilon(1 - e^{-\epsilon(x-z)^2})} \end{aligned}$$

3. Task

$$a_n(x) = g(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^T x}}$$

Show that $a_n(x) = \tanh(x) = \frac{e^{w^T x} - e^{-w^T x}}{e^{w^T x} + e^{-w^T x}}$

$$\frac{1}{1+e^{-w^T x}} \cdot \frac{e^{w^T x}}{e^{w^T x}} = \frac{e^{w^T x}}{e^{w^T x} + 1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} =$$

$$= \frac{2e^{w^T x} - 1 - e^{-w^T x}}{2 + 2e^{w^T x}} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2e^{w^T x} - 1 - e^{-w^T x}}{1 + e^{w^T x}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{w^T x} - 1}{1 + e^{w^T x}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{w^T x} (e^{w^T x/2} - e^{-w^T x/2})}{e^{w^T x/2} (e^{w^T x/2} + e^{-w^T x/2})} \right) + \frac{1}{2} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$

7 task

Log reg. $h(x) = g(\theta^T x)$

$$\theta_{ML} = \arg \max \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta)$$

If $p(\theta) \sim N(0, \tau^2 I)$: $\theta_{map} = \arg \max p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta)$

Prove: $\|\theta_{map}\|_2 \leq \|\theta_{ML}\|_2$

Proof from contradiction : $\|\theta_{map}\|_2 > \|\theta_{ML}\|_2$.

Considering Normal distribution case we have
its PDF : $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ $\sigma^2 = \tau^2$

$$p(\theta_{map}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 I}} e^{-\frac{(\|\theta_{map}\|_2)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$p(\theta_{ML}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 I}} e^{-\frac{(\|\theta_{ML}\|_2)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

As we assume that $\|\theta_{map}\|_2 > \|\theta_{ML}\|_2$ in
considering expressions above: $p(\theta_{ML}) > p(\theta_{map})$

So we could hence that :

$$p(\theta_{map}) \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta_{map}) < p(\theta_{ML}) \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta_{map}) \text{, since } p(\theta_{ML}) > p(\theta_{map})$$

~~θ_{ML} originally maximizes $\prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta)$~~

~~$p(\theta_{ML})$ & $p(\theta_{map})$ and product of distributions~~

~~(considering PDF rule) will be higher in case using~~

This shows the contradiction to the primary condition of this task where $\theta_{map} = \arg \max p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^m p(y^{(i)} | x^{(i)}; \theta)$.
So, $\|\theta_{map}\|_2 \leq \|\theta_{ML}\|_2$