SOFTWARE FOUNDATIONS

VOLUME 3: VERIFIED FUNCTIONAL ALGORITHMS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX

ROADMAP

PRIQUEUE

PRIORITY QUEUES

A *priority queue* is an abstract data type with the following operations:

- empty: priqueue
- insert: key → priqueue → priqueue
- delete max: priqueue → option (key * priqueue)

The idea is that you can find (and remove) the highest-priority element. Priority queues have applications in:

- Discrete-event simulations: The highest-priority event is the one whose scheduled time is the earliest. Simulating one event causes new events to be scheduled in the future.
- Sorting: *heap sort* puts all the elements in a priority queue, then removes them one at a time.
- Computational geometry: algorithms such as convex hull use priority queues.
- Graph algorithms: Dijkstra's algorithm for finding the shortest path uses a priority queue.

We will be considering *mergeable* priority queues, with one additional operator:

• merge: priqueue → priqueue → priqueue

The classic data structure for priority queues is the "heap", a balanced binary tree in which the key at any node is *bigger* than all the keys in nodes below it. With heaps, empty is constant time, insert and delete_max are logN time. But merge takes NlogN time, as one must take all the elements out of one queue and insert them into the other queue.

Another way to do priority queues is by balanced binary search trees (such as red-black trees); again, empty is constant time, insert and delete_max are logN time, and merge takes NlogN time, as one must take all the elements out of one queue and insert them into the other queue.

In the *Binom* chapter we will examine an algorithm in which empty is constant time, insert, delete max, and merge are logN time.

In *this* chapter we will consider a much simpler (and slower) implementation, using unsorted lists, in which:

- empty takes constant time
- insert takes constant time
- delete max takes linear time
- merge takes linear time

Module Signature

This is the "signature" of a correct implementation of priority queues where the keys are natural numbers. Using nat for the key type is a bit silly, since the comparison function Nat.Itb takes linear time in the value of the numbers! But you have already seen in the Extract chapter how to define these kinds of algorithms on key types that have efficient comparisons, so in this chapter (and the Binom chapter) we simply won't worry about the time per comparison.

```
Require Import Perm.
Module Type PRIQUEUE.
  Parameter priqueue: Type.
  Definition key := nat.
  Parameter empty: priqueue.
  Parameter insert: key → priqueue → priqueue.
  Parameter delete max: priqueue → option (key * priqueue).
  Parameter merge: priqueue → priqueue → priqueue.
  Parameter priq: priqueue → Prop.
  Parameter Abs: priqueue → list key → Prop.
  Axiom can_relate: \forall p, priq p \rightarrow \exists al, Abs p al.
  Axiom abs perm: \forall p al bl,
   priq p \rightarrow Abs p al \rightarrow Abs p bl \rightarrow Permutation al bl.
  Axiom empty priq: priq empty.
  Axiom empty relate: Abs empty nil.
  Axiom insert_priq: \forall k p, priq p \rightarrow priq (insert k p).
  Axiom insert relate:
         \forall p al k, priq p \rightarrow Abs p al \rightarrow Abs (insert k p) (k::al).
  Axiom delete max None relate:
         \forall p, priq p \rightarrow (Abs p nil \leftrightarrow delete_max p = None).
  Axiom delete max Some priq:
       \forall p q k, priq p \rightarrow delete max p = Some(k,q) \rightarrow priq q.
  Axiom delete_max_Some_relate:
  \forall (p q: priqueue) k (pl ql: list key), priq p \rightarrow
   Abs p pl →
   delete max p = Some(k,q) \rightarrow
   Abs q ql →
   Permutation pl (k::ql) ^ Forall (ge k) ql.
  Axiom merge priq: \forall p q, priq p \rightarrow priq q \rightarrow priq (merge p q).
  Axiom merge relate:
     \forall p q pl ql al,
        priq p → priq q →
        Abs p pl \rightarrow Abs q ql \rightarrow Abs (merge p q) al \rightarrow
```

```
Permutation al (pl++ql). End PRIQUEUE.
```

Take some time to consider whether this is the right specification! As always, if we get the specification wrong, then proofs of "correctness" are not so useful.

Implementation

```
Module List_Priqueue <: PRIQUEUE.
```

Now we are responsible for providing Definitions of all those Parameters, and proving Theorems for all those Axioms, so that the values in the Module match the types in the Module Type. If we try to End List_Priqueue before everything is provided, we'll get an error. Uncomment the next line and try it!

```
(* End List Priqueue. *)
```

Some Preliminaries

A copy of the select function from Selection.v, but getting the max element instead of the min element:

Exercise: 3 stars (select perm and friends)

```
Lemma select_perm: ∀ i 1,
  let (j,r) := select i l in
   Permutation (i::1) (j::r).
Proof.
(* Copy your proof from Selection.v, and change one character. *)
intros i 1; revert i.
induction 1; intros; simpl in *.
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
Lemma select biggest aux:
  \forall i al j bl,
    Forall (fun x \Rightarrow j \ge x) bl \rightarrow
    select i al = (j,bl) \rightarrow
    j ≥ i.
Proof.
(* Copy your proof of select smallest aux from Selection.v, and edit. *)
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
Theorem select biggest:
  \forall i al j bl, select i al = (j,bl) \rightarrow
```

```
Forall (fun x ⇒ j ≥ x) bl.
Proof.
(* Copy your proof of select_smallest from Selection.v, and edit. *)
intros i al; revert i; induction al; intros; simpl in *.
(* FILL IN HERE *) admit.
bdestruct (i >=? a).
*
destruct (select i al) eqn:?H.
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
```

The Program

Predicates on Priority Queues

The Representation Invariant

In this implementation of priority queues as unsorted lists, the representation invariant is trivial.

```
Definition priq (p: priqueue) := True.
```

The abstraction relation is trivial too.

```
Inductive Abs': priqueue → list key → Prop :=
Abs_intro: ∀ p, Abs' p p.
Definition Abs := Abs'.
```

Sanity Checks on the Abstraction Relation

```
Lemma can_relate : ∀ p, priq p → ∃ al, Abs p al.
Proof.
  intros. ∃ p; constructor.
Qed.
```

When the Abs relation says, "priority queue p contains elements a1", it is free to report the elements in any order. It could even relate p to two different lists a1 and b1, as long as one is a permutation of the other.

```
Lemma abs_perm: ∀ p al bl,
    priq p → Abs p al → Abs p bl → Permutation al bl.
Proof.
intros.
inv H<sub>0</sub>. inv H<sub>1</sub>. apply Permutation_refl.
```

Characterizations of the Operations on Queues

```
Lemma empty_priq: priq empty.
Proof. constructor. Qed.

Lemma empty_relate: Abs empty nil.
Proof. constructor. Qed.

Lemma insert_priq: ∀ k p, priq p → priq (insert k p).
Proof. intros; constructor. Qed.

Lemma insert_relate:
    ∀ p al k, priq p → Abs p al → Abs (insert k p) (k::al).
Proof. intros. unfold insert. inv H<sub>0</sub>. constructor. Qed.

Lemma delete_max_Some_priq:
    ∀ p q k, priq p → delete_max p = Some(k,q) → priq q.
Proof. constructor. Qed.
```

Exercise: 2 stars (simple priq proofs)

```
(* GRADE THEOREM 0.5: delete max None relate *)
Lemma delete max None relate:
  \forall p, priq p \rightarrow
       (Abs p nil \leftrightarrow delete_max p = None).
Proof.
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
Lemma delete max Some relate:
  \forall (p q: priqueue) k (pl ql: list key), priq p \rightarrow
   Abs p pl →
   delete max p = Some(k,q) \rightarrow
   Abs q ql →
   Permutation pl (k::ql) ^ Forall (ge k) ql.
Proof.
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
Lemma merge priq:
  \forall p q, priq p \rightarrow priq q \rightarrow priq (merge p q).
Proof. intros. constructor. Qed.
(* GRADE THEOREM 0.5: delete max Some relate *)
Lemma merge relate:
    \forall p q pl ql al,
        priq p → priq q →
        Abs p pl \rightarrow Abs q ql \rightarrow Abs (merge p q) al \rightarrow
        Permutation al (pl++ql).
Proof.
(* FILL IN HERE *) Admitted.
```

End List_Priqueue.