

Sulaiman Alhomoud, Kevin Murphy, Fahad Alsubaie, Oumou Barry



**FIVE  
GUYS**



**MENU MATH**

**CRUNCHING COST  
& QUALITY**





# TABLE OF CONTENT

- 01** Problem Statemnt
- 02** Case Overview & Importance
- 03** Stakeholders
- 04** Mathematical Model
- 05** Results
- 06** Challenges
- 07** Key Lessons Learned
- 08** Real-World Applications



# PROBLEM STATEMENT

- 5 Guys needs to **minimize** ingredient purchasing costs while ensuring **enough stock** to meet demand.
- Challenges include managing **limited** storage space, **fluctuating** demand, varying ingredient **costs**, and supplier **reliability**.





# CASE OVERVIEW & IMPORTANCE



## CASE DESCRIPTION

**Analyzing** 5 Guys' ingredient purchasing optimization, **focusing** on sales data, storage constraints, and supplier costs to ensure **efficient operations** and **minimize costs**.

## IMPORTANCE

Optimization balances cost and supply for **profitability**, ensures consistent **availability** and **quality** for customers, and provides suppliers with **reliable** purchasing patterns.





# STAKEHOLDERS

## **MANAGEMENT**


Needs to balance cost and supply to ensure profitability and efficiency.

## **CUSTOMERS**

Expect consistent product availability and quality.

## **SUPPLIERS**

Interested in maintaining reliable business relationships and understanding purchasing patterns.







# MATHEMATICAL MODEL

## Variables:

**$X_i$** : Quantity of ingredient  $i$  to be ordered. (2 brands for each)  
eg: Ball Park Buns, Pepperridge farm Buns

## Objective:

Minimizing the sum of the cost of goods with discounts included

Minimize  $z = \sum (C_i \cdot X_i)$

Where  **$C_i$**  is the cost of ingredient  $i$

In addition to that apply tiered discounts

## Constraints:

**12** Nutritional Constraints

**12** Demand Constraints

**24** Supplier Constraints

**1** Storage Constraint







# MATHEMATICAL MODEL

## **Nutrition Constraints:**

Find combination of ingredients for menu and then constrain protein, fat, and calories for that menu item

## **Supplier Constraints:**

Spend over \$100 from each supplier and at most \$5000 at any one supplier.

$$100 \leq (X * Xprice) \leq 500$$




# SCENARIOS

## MODEL 1

All constraints

## MODEL 2

No nutrition constraints

## MODEL 3

No supplier constraints





# MODEL 1

## OPTIMAL SOLUTION

- Total cost: \$23,417
- Balanced mix of ingredients from various suppliers
- Meets all nutritional, demand, storage, and supplier constraints

## DECISION MAKING

- Model tends to choose cheaper ingredients more (Ball Park over Pepperridge buns)
- Uses a mix of both brands, likely to meet other constraints like nutrition or storage

## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

- Most nutritional constraints are non binding.
- Storage constraint is non binding
- Demand is binding for all items. high sensitivity for burger buns, patties
- Many supplier constraints are binding (buns, Kraft cheese)
- Reduced gradient is 0 for all vars

## TAKEAWAYS

- Balanced optimization of menu
- High-demand items critical to manage
- Nutritional guidelines met without tight restrictions

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Renegotiate supplier contracts
- Focus on securing favorable contracts for high-impact items (e.g., patties, buns)



# MODEL 2

## OPTIMAL SOLUTION

- Total cost: \$20,318
- Model does not include nutritional constraints. Still includes storage, demand, and supplier constraints.

## DECISION MAKING

- Model chooses cheapest ingredients that work with supplier constraints. Order minimal amount of expensive items, then order rest of demand of cheap item

## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

- Demand and supplier constraints are binding.
- Storage Constraint is not binding
- High sensitivity to Burger demand
- Unnecessary costs due to supplier constraints

## TAKEAWAYS

- Removing nutritional guidelines would allow for slightly cheaper costs
- Nutritional constraints only effect burgers

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Renegotiate supplier contracts
- Nutritional constraints do not reduce costs by a great margin, nor do they change the order quantities by much
- Benefit of having nutritional constraints may outweigh cost savings



# MODEL 3

## OPTIMAL SOLUTION

- Total cost: \$23,290
- Model does not include supplier constraints, but still contains nutrition, demand, and storage constraints



## DECISION MAKING

- Model only chooses one supplier for each ingredient
- Chooses cheapest that also fulfills nutritional need

## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

- Demand constraints are binding
- Storage Constraint is not binding
- High sensitivity to most demand constraints

## TAKEAWAYS

- Restaurant does not need to complicate supply to meet nutritional demands
- Cost savings is minimal, meaning prices are fairly even between suppliers

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Nutritional constraints raise costs more than supplier constraints
- If cost savings are needed, nutritional constraints should be first to be improved








# RESULTS SUMMARY

## COSTS

- 1st model more expensive
- 3rd slightly cheaper than 1st
- 2nd most affordable

## TAKEWAYS

- Get rid of nutrition constraints before the supplier constraint.
  - Increase demand as storage is non binding
- 





# CHALLENGES


## DATA

- Most Five Guys data not publicly available
- Took inspiration from menu for ingredients and got nutritional data from public datasets and ingredients' costs from online grocery stores
- Estimated demand from Five Guys annual sales

## LINEARITY

- Discounts are tiered and apply at different spending levels.
- This makes the cost function piecewise and nonlinear.
- Had to use the non linear solver and learn about it

## SENSITIVITY

- Had a different sensitivity report by using GRC solver.
  - Learned the terminology
  - Tried to find values analogous to shadow prices and reduced cost
- 



# KEY LESSONS LEARNED

- Exploring multiple scenarios (with different constraints) helps in understanding the impact of various factors on the overall cost and feasibility.
- Successfully balancing demand, supplier, storage, and nutritional constraints illustrates the complexity of real-world business operations





# REAL-WORLD APPLICATIONS

## **Cost Minimization in Retail:**

Retail businesses can use these optimization techniques to minimize costs by strategically ordering products to benefit from supplier discounts.

## **Inventory Management:**

This project's approach can be applied to manage inventory in restaurants or any other industry, ensuring they order the right quantities from suppliers while considering discounts and storage limits.

## **Supply Chain Optimization:**

The methods used can be adapted for broader supply chain optimization in any industry where multiple suppliers and storage constraints are involved.

## **Capacity Planning:**

The storage constraint modeling can assist any business with physical storage limitations to optimize their space utilization.



**THANK YOU**