Bratislav Markovic

Ottar Gudmundsson-group2-20181012"

Review question: 1.

I think that you report follows the layout that was suggested by teacher and that all the aspects that should be taken into account concerning report layout fulfills the requirements.

Review question: 2.

The literature study is verified by several similar previous studies. One thing that I thought was good is that you realy managed to find previous works/papers that did almost the same thing as you, which I assume made it easier to verify with your work. It was a bit hard to keep track of all these papers and what they have done and which results they have got and for that reason I would like to see them summarized in a table. I think it would be easier to survey.

Review question: 3.

I think your methods are pretty good. There are some concepts that I do not understand and so I find it difficult to comment, but I wonder why you guys did not use a programming language other than Java, since Java can generate a certain overhead during measurements? It may have given more precise results in case you used C++.

Review question: 4.

The conclusions are relevant and meaningful, and follow the discussion.

Review question: 5.

Review question: 6.

Review question: 7.

Review question: 8.

I managed to find a single punctuation error, it's just before third sentence starts in the second paragraph in conclusion.

Appendix