EGA I

A. GROTHENDIECK

Contents

What is this?

This is my poor translation of Grothendieck's EGA I. This will probably consist of lots of online translations and incorrect grammar. You have been warned!

S'il te plaît pardonne-moi, Grothendieck.

Ryan Keleti :)

Introduction

To Oscar Zariski and André Weil.

This memoir, and the many others that must follow, are intended for to form a treaty on the foundations of algebraic geometry. They do not support in principle, no particular knowledge of this discipline, and it has even been that such knowledge, despite its obvious advantages, could sometimes (by habit too exclusive from the birational point of view it implies) to be harmful to the one who want to become familiar with the point of view and techniques presented here. However, we assume that the reader has a good knowledge of the following topics:

(a) *Commutative algebra*, as it is exhibited for example in volumes under preparation of the *Elements* of N. Bourbaki (and, pending the publication of these volumes, in Samuel-Zariski [13] and Samuel [n], [12]).

(b) *Homological algebra*, for which we refer to Cartan-Eilenberg [2] (cit (M)) and Godement [4] (cit (G)), as well as the recent article by A. Grothendieck [6] (cit (T)).

(c) *Sheaf Theory*, where our main references will be (G) and (T); this last theory provides the essential language for interpreting in "geometric" terms to the essential notions of commutative algebra, and to "globalize" them.

(d) Finally, it will be useful for the reader to have some familiarity with *functorial language*, which will be constantly used in this Treatise, and for which the reader consult (M), (G) and especially (T); the principles of this language and the main results The general theory of functors will be described in more detail in a book in preparation course by the authors of this Treaty.

* * *

It is not the place, in this Introduction, to give a more description or less summary from the point of view of "schema" in algebraic geometry, nor the long list of reasons which made it necessary to adopt it, and in particular the acceptance of nilpotent elements in the local rings of "manifolds" that we (which, of course, takes the notion of mapping into the background) rational, in favor of that of regular mapping or morphism). This Treaty aims precisely to develop systematically the language of schematics and will demonstrate, we hope, its necessity. Although it would be easy to do so, we will not try to give here an "intuitive" introduction to the notions developed in Chapter 1. For the reader who would like to have a glimpse of the preliminary study of the subject matter of this Treaty may be referred to the conference A. Grothendieck at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Edinburgh in 1958 [y], and the expose [8] of the same author. The work [14] (cit (FAG)) of J.-P. Serre can also Be considered as an intermediary exposition between the classical point of view and the point of schema in algebraic geometry, and as such, its reading may be an excellent preparation to that of our *Elements*.

$$* \ * \ *$$

(unfinished)
Chapter 0. — Preliminaries

<div align="center">

RINGS OF FRACTIONS

</div>

Rings and Algebras.

(1.0.1) All the rings considered in this Treatise will have a *unit element*; all the modules on such a ring will be assumed to be *unitary*; the ring homomorphisms will always be assumed to *transform the unit element into a unit element*; unless otherwise stated, a sub-ring of a ring A will be assumed to *contain the unit element of* A. We will consider especially *commutative* rings, and when we speak of a ring without specification, it will be implied that it is commutative. If A is a ring not necessarily commutative, by A-module we will we mean a left module, unless stated otherwise.

(1.0.2) Let A, B be two rings, not necessarily commutative, $\varphi : A \to B$ a homomorphism. Any left (resp. right) B-module M can be provided with a left (resp. right) A-module structure by $a \cdot m = \varphi(a) \cdot m$ (resp. $m \cdot a = m \cdot \varphi(a)$); when it will be necessary to distinguish M as an A-module or a B-module, we will denote by $M_{[\varphi]}$ the left (resp. right) A-module as defined. If L is an A-module, then a homomorphism $u : L \to M_{[\varphi]}$ is a homomorphism of commutative groups such that $u(a \cdot x) = \varphi(a) \cdot u(x)$ for $a \in A$, $x \in L$; we will also say that it is a φ-*homomorphism* $L \to M$, and that the pair (φ, u) (or, by misuse of langauge, u) is a *di-homomorphism* of (A, L) in (B, M). The pairs (A, L) formed by a ring A and an A-module L thus form a *category* for which the morphisms are di-homomorphisms.

(1.0.3) Under the hypothesis of (1.0.2), if $\mathfrak{J}$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of A, we denote by $B\mathfrak{J}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{J}B$) the left (resp. right) ideal $B\varphi(\mathfrak{J})$ (resp. $\varphi(\mathfrak{J})B$) of B generated by $\varphi(\mathfrak{J})$; it is also the image of the canonical homomorphism $B \otimes_A \mathfrak{J} \to B$ (resp. $\mathfrak{J} \otimes_A B \to B$) of left (resp. right) B-modules.

(1.0.4) If A is a (commutative) ring, B a non necessarily commutative ring, the data of a structure of an A-*algebra* on B is equivalent to the data of a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi(A)$ is contained in the center of B. For all ideals $\mathfrak{J}$ of A, $\mathfrak{J}B = B\mathfrak{J}$ is then a two-sided ideal of B, and for every B-module M, $\mathfrak{J}M$ is then a B-module equal to $(B\mathfrak{J})M$.

(1.0.5) We will not return to the notions of *module finite type* and *algebra* (commutative) *of finite type*; to say that an A-module M is of finite type means that there exists an exact sequence $A^p \to M \to 0$. We say that an A-module M admits a *finite presentation* if it is isomorphic to the cokernel of a homomorphism $A^p \to A^q$, in other words, there exists an exact sequence $A^p \to A^q \to M \to 0$. We note that for a *Noetherian* ring A, every A-module of finite type admits a finite presentation.

Let us recall that an A-algebra B is called *integral* over A if every element in B is a root in B of a monic polynomial with coefficients in A; equivalently, every element of B is contained in a subalgebra of B which is an A-*module of finite type*. When this is so, and B is commutative, the subalgebra of B generated by a finite part of B is an A-module of finite type; for a commutative algebra B to be integral and of finite type over A, it is necessary and therefore sufficient that B be an A-module of finite type; we also say that B is an *integral*

A-algebra of finite type (or simply *finite* if there is no confusion). It will be observed that in these definitions, it is not assumed that the homomorphism $A \to B$ defining the structure of an A-algebra is injective.

(1.0.6) An *integral domain* is a ring in which the product of a finite family of elements $\neq 0$ is $\neq 0$; equivalently, in such a ring we have $0 \neq 1$ and the product of two elements $\neq 0$ is non zero. A *prime* ideal of a ring A is an ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is integral; this therefore entails $\mathfrak{p} \neq A$. For a ring A to have at least one prime ideal, it is necessary and sufficent that $A \neq \{0\}$.

(1.0.7) A *local* ring is a ring A in which there exists a single maximal ideal, which is then the complement of the invertible elements and contains all the ideals $\neq A$. If A and B are two local rings, $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ their respective maximal ideals, we say that a homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ is *local* if $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$ (or, equivalently, $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{m}$). By passing to quotients, such a homomorphism then defines a momomorphism of the residue field $A/\mathfrak{m}$ into the residue field $B/\mathfrak{n}$. The composition of two local homomorphisms is a local homomorphism.

Root (radical) of an ideal. Nilradical and radical of a ring.

(1.1.1) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a ring A; the *root* (*radical*) of $\mathfrak{a}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})$, is the set of $x \in A$ such that $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ for an integer $n > 0$; it is an ideal containing $\mathfrak{a}$. We have $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a})$; the relation $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ leads to $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{b})$; the root of a finite intersection of ideals is the intersection of their roots. If φ is a homomorphism of a ring A' into A, then we have $\mathfrak{r}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a})) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{a}))$ for any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$. For an ideal to be the root of an ideal, it is necessary and sufficient that it be an intersection of prime ideals. The root of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is the intersection of the *minimal* prime ideals among those containing $\mathfrak{a}$; if A is Noetherian, these minimal prime ideals are finite in number.

The root of the ideal (0) is also called the *nilradical* of A; it is the set $\mathfrak{R}$ of the nilpotent elements of A. It is said that the ring A is *reduced* if $\mathfrak{R} = (0)$; for every ring A, the quotient $A/\mathfrak{R}$ of A by its nilradical is a reduced ring.

(1.1.2) Recall that the *radical* $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ of a ring A (not necessarily commutative) is the intersection of the maximal left ideals of A (and also the intersection of maximal right ideals). The radical of $A/\mathfrak{R}(A)$ is (0).

Modules and rings of fractions.

(1.2.1) We say that a part S of a ring A is *multiplicative* if $1 \in S$ and if the product of two elements of S is in S. The examples which will be the most important for the following are: 1st the set S_f of powers f^n ($n \geqslant 0$) of an element $f \in A$; 2nd the complement $A - \mathfrak{p}$ of a *prime* ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A.

(1.2.2) Let S be a multiplicative part of a ring A, M an A-module; in the set $M \times S$, the relation between couples (m_1, s_1), (m_2, s_2):

"There exists $s \in S$ such that $s(s_1 m_2 - s_2 m_1) = 0$"

is an equivalence relation. We denote by $S^{-1}M$ the quotient set of $M \times S$ by this relation, by m/s the canonical image in $S^{-1}M$ of the pair (m, s); we call the *canonical* mapping of M in $S^{-1}M$ the mapping $i_M^S : m \mapsto m/1$ (also denoted i^S). This mapping is generally neither injective nor surjective; its kernel is the set of $m \in M$ such that there exists an $s \in S$ for which $sm = 0$.

In $S^{-1}M$ we define an additive group law by taking

$$(m_1/s_1) + (m_2/s_2) = (s_2 m_1 + s_1 m_2)/(s_1 s_2)$$

(we check that it is independent of the expressions of the elements of $S^{-1}M$ considered). On $S^{-1}A$ we further define a multiplicative law by taking $(a_1/s_1)(a_2/s_2) = (a_1 a_2)/(s_1 s_2)$, and finally an external law on $S^{-1}M$, having $S^{-1}A$ as a set of operators, by setting $(a/s)(m/s') = (am)/(ss')$. It is thus verified that $S^{-1}A$ is provided with a ring structure (called *the ring of fractions of* A, *with denominators in* S) and $S^{-1}M$ the structure of an $S^{-1}A$-module (called *the module of fractions of* M, *with denominators in* S); for all $s \in S$, $s/1$ is invertible in $S^{-1}A$, its inverse being $1/s$. The canonical mapping i_A^S (resp. i_M^S) is a homomorphism of rings (resp. a homomorphism of A-modules, $S^{-1}M$ being considered A-module by means of the homomorphism $i_A^S : A \to S^{-1}A$).

(1.2.3) If $S_f = \{f^n\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ for a $f \in A$, we write A_f and M_f instead of $S_f^{-1}A$ and $S_f^{-1}M$; when A_f is considered as algebra over A, we can write $A_f = A[1/f]$. A_f is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $A[T]/(fT - 1)A[T]$. When $f = 1$, A_f and M_f identify canonically with A and M; if f is niipotent, A_f and M_f are reduced to 0. When

$S = A - \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of A, we write $A_\mathfrak{p}$ and $M_\mathfrak{p}$ instead of $S^{-1}A$ and $S^{-1}M$; $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is a *local ring* whose maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ is generated by $i_A^S(\mathfrak{p})$, and we have $(i_A^S)^{-1} = \mathfrak{p}$; by passing to the quotients, i_A^S gives a monomorphism of the integral domains $A/\mathfrak{p}$ into the field $A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}$, which identifies with the field of fractions of $A/\mathfrak{p}$.

(1.2.4) The ring of fractions $S^{-1}A$ and the canonical homomorphism i_A^S are a solution of a *universal mapping problem*: any homomorphism u of A into a ring B such that $u(S)$ is composed of *invertible* elements in B factorizes in one way

$$u : A \xrightarrow{\ i_A^S\ } S^{-1}A \xrightarrow{\ u^*\ } B$$

where u^* is a ring homomorphism.

Chapter 1. — The language of schemes

Definition of preschemes.

(2.1.1) Given a ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we say that an open subset V of X is an *affine open* if the ringed space $(V, \mathcal{O}_X|V)$ is an affine scheme (1.7.1).

Definition **(2.1.2)**. — *We define a prescheme to be a ringed space* $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ *such that every point of X admits an affine open neighbourhood.*

Proposition **(2.1.3)**. — *If* $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ *is a prescheme then the open affines give a base for the topology of X.*

In effect,