December 2, 2019

## 1

#### 1.a

 $\ker \varphi_a = \{x \in R \mid ax = 0\}$ . R is an integral domain, so since  $a \neq 0$ , ax = 0 means that x = 0, so  $\ker \varphi_a = \{0\}$ , thus  $\varphi_a$  is injective, and since R is finite, this means that  $\varphi_a$  is also surjective, so  $\varphi_a$  is bijective.

Let  $x, y \in R$ .  $\varphi_a(x + y) = a(x + y) = ax + ay = \varphi_a(x) + \varphi_a(y)$ , so  $\varphi_a$  is a homomorphism. It is bijective, thus an isomorphism, and Im  $\varphi_a = R$ , thus it is an automorphism, as desired.

## 1.b

Let  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Since  $\varphi_a$  is a bijection, it has an inverse,  $\varphi_a^{-1}$ . Consider  $\varphi_a^{-1}$ .  $1 = \varphi_a(\varphi_a^{-1}(1)) = a\varphi_a^{-1}(1)$ , so  $\varphi_1^{-1}(1) = a^{-1}$ . Thus any  $0 \neq a \in R$  has an inverse, so R is a field.

# $\mathbf{2}$

- (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b): y = ux, so  $x \mid y$ . u is a unit, so since y = ux,  $x = u^{-1}y$ , hence  $y \mid x$ .
- (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (c): We know that  $a \mid b \iff b \in \langle a \rangle$ , so  $y \in \langle x \rangle$  and  $x \in \langle y \rangle$ .  $y \in \langle x \rangle$ , so  $\langle y \rangle \subseteq \langle x \rangle$ , and  $x \in \langle y \rangle$ , so  $\langle x \rangle \subseteq \langle y \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$ .
- (c)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a):  $y \in \langle y \rangle$ , and  $\langle y \rangle = \langle x \rangle$ , so  $y \in \langle x \rangle$ . Thus y = ux for some  $u \in R$ . Similarly,  $x \in \langle y \rangle$ , so x = vy for some  $v \in R$ . Then y = u(vy) = (uv)y, so uv = 1, thus u is a unit.

# $\mathbf{3}$

#### 3.a

J is an ideal of  $R_P$ , so for any  $\frac{i}{j} \in J$ ,  $\frac{a}{b} \in R_P$ ,  $\frac{ai}{bj} \in J$ . Let  $i \in I$ ,  $r \in R$ .  $1 \notin P$  since P is prime, so  $\frac{r}{1} \in R_P$ . We know that there is a  $p \in P$  such that  $\frac{i}{p} \in J$ , so  $\frac{r}{1} \cdot \frac{i}{p} = \frac{ri}{p} \in J$ , then  $ri \in I$ , so since i and r were arbitrary, I is an ideal of R.

## **3.**b

Let J be an ideal of  $R_P$ . Let I be the set of numerators of elements of J. We just showed that I is an ideal of R. Let  $I = \langle a \rangle$ . Then any element of J has the form  $\frac{ra}{b}$ ,  $b \notin P$  for some  $r \in R$ .  $\frac{ra}{b} = \frac{r}{b} \cdot \frac{a}{1}$ , so  $J = \langle \frac{a}{1} \rangle$ , thus  $R_P$  is a PID.

# 4

#### 4.a

Let  $a_1 \in R$  be a nonzero non-unit, and assume for a contradiction that  $a_1$  cannot be written as a product of irreducibles.  $a_1$  is not irreducible, otherwise  $a_1 = a_1$  would be  $a_1$  written as a product of irreducibles. So  $a_1 = bc$ , for non-units  $b, c \in R$ . b or c must not be able to be written as a product of irreducibles (otherwise we could use their decomposition into irreducibles to write  $a_1$  as a product of irreducibles) so WLOG b cannot be written as a product of irreducibles. Let  $a_2 = b$ .  $a_1 \in \langle a_2 \rangle$ , so  $\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle$ .  $\langle a_1 \rangle \neq \langle a_2 \rangle$ , otherwise  $a_2 = ra_1$ , then  $a_1 = (ra_1)c = (rc)a_1$ , so rc = 1, but c was not a unit. We can continue this process to construct an infinite chain  $\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \cdots$ , a contradiction, so  $a_1$  can be written as a product of irreducibles, as desired.

## **4.b**

Let  $r = p_1 \cdots p_k$ . If  $d \mid r$ , d irreducible, then there is some  $q \in R$  such that r = qd, so  $qd = p_1 \cdots p_k$ .  $p_1 \cdots p_k$  is unique up to order and units, so we can assume ud = pk,  $vq = p_1 \cdots p_{k-1}$  for some units  $u, v \in R$ . So any divisor d of r must be one of  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  multiplied by a unit, thus r has, up to units, k divisors.

So any element  $r \in R$  has a finite number of divisors up to units. That is to say, any element dividing r lies in one of finitely many principal ideals  $\langle p_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle p_k \rangle$ .

Now suppose for a contradiction that there exists a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in R$  such that  $\langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \cdots$ . Consider the element  $s = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots s$  has only finitely many divisors, so somewhere in the sequence  $\langle a_i \rangle$  must become equal to  $\langle a_{i+1} \rangle$ , a contradiction, so no such sequence exists, and R thus has the  $\heartsuit$  property.

December 2, 2019

## 5

R is a PID, so  $\langle a,b\rangle=\langle d\rangle$  for some  $d\in R$ .  $a,b\in\langle a,b\rangle$ , so  $a,b\in\langle d\rangle$ , and thus  $d\mid a$  and  $d\mid b$ . We claim that d is a gcd of a and b.

Let  $q \in R$  such that  $q \mid a$  and  $q \mid b$ . Then a = nq and b = mq for some  $n, m \in R$ .  $\langle d \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$ , so  $d \in \langle a, b \rangle$ , thus d = xa + yb for some  $x, y \in R$ . Then d = x(nq) + y(mq) = (xn + ym)q, so  $q \mid d$ . Thus d is a gcd of a and b. Now let c be any arbitrary gcd of a and b. We know, since  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , that  $d \mid c$ , so  $c \in \langle d \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$ , as desired.

# 6

# 6.a

Let  $I = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \rangle \subseteq R$  be a finitely generated ideal. We prove I is principal by induction on k:

This is true by definition when k=1, and when k=2, let  $r\in\langle a,b\rangle$ , d a gcd of a and b. r=xa+yb for some  $x,y\in R$ , and  $d\mid a$  and  $d\mid b$ , so a=nd, b=md for some  $n,m\in R$ . Thus r=x(nd)+y(md)=(xn+ym)d, so  $r\in\langle d\rangle$ . Thus  $\langle a,b\rangle\subseteq\langle d\rangle$ . Now let  $r\in\langle d\rangle$ . Then r=zd for some  $z\in R$ .  $z\in R$ .  $z\in R$ . So  $z\in R$ .

Assume  $\langle a_1,\ldots,a_k$  is principal for any  $k\leq n$ , and consider  $\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{n+1}\rangle$ . Let  $r=c_1a_1+\cdots+c_{n+1}a_{n+1}\in\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{n+1}\rangle$ . By our hypothesis,  $\langle a_1,\ldots,a_n\rangle$  is principal, say generated by d. Then  $r-c_{n+1}a_{n+1}\in\langle d\rangle$ , so  $r\in\langle d,a_{n+1}\rangle$ . Again by our hypothesis,  $\langle d,a_{n+1}\rangle$  is principal, as desired. So by induction, every finitely generated ideal of R is principal.

# **6.**b

Assume for a contradiction that  $I \subseteq R$  is a non finitely generated ideal, say  $I = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots \rangle$ . Every finitely generated ideal of R is principal, so  $\langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \cdots$  is a sequence of principal ideals, a contradiction since R is a UFD, and therefore has the  $\heartsuit$  property. So no such I exists, and thus every ideal is finitely generated, and thus principal. So R is a PID, as desired.