

1

1.a

We know $V_i \cap \sum_{i \neq j} V_j = \{0\}$, so $\dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dim V_3 \leq \dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$, and $\dim V_\lambda \geq 1$ for any $\lambda \in \text{Spec } T$, so $3 \leq \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dim V_3$, thus $\dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim \mathbb{R}^3$, so T is diagonalizable.

1.b

Let v be an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue λ . $TSv = STv = S\lambda v = \lambda Sv$, so Sv is an eigenvector of T . T is diagonalizable, so $\dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dim V_3 = \dim V = 3$. That is, each eigenspace has dimension 1, so Sv and v must be in the same eigenspace. Thus $Sv = \lambda v$. This holds for any vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 in an eigenbasis for T , so S is diagonalizable using the same eigenvectors as T , as desired.

1.c

Let $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be an eigenbasis for T . $[T]_\beta^\beta = [1]_\beta^\beta [T]_\beta^\beta [1]_\beta^\beta$ is clearly diagonal. We saw in part b) that β is also an eigenbasis for S , so $[T]_\beta^\beta = [1]_\beta^\beta [T]_\beta^\beta [1]_\beta^\beta$ is also diagonal. Thus $Q = [1]_\beta^\beta$ has the desired property.

1.c

Let $A_D = QAQ^{-1}$, $B_D = QBQ^{-1}$. Then $A = Q^{-1}A_DQ$, $B = Q^{-1}B_DQ$. $A + B = Q^{-1}A_DQ + Q^{-1}B_DQ = Q^{-1}(A_D + B_D)Q$. Since $A_D + B_D$ is diagonal with entries from $\text{Spec } T + \text{Spec } S$, and since $A + B$ corresponds to $T + S$, the eigenvalues in $\text{Spec}(T + S)$ must also come from $\text{Spec } T + \text{Spec } S$, so $\text{Spec}(T + S) \subset \text{Spec } T + \text{Spec } S$, as desired.

1.d

Using the same definition of A_D and B_D as above, $AB = Q^{-1}A_DQQ^{-1}B_DQ = Q^{-1}(A_DB_D)Q$. Since A_DB_D is diagonal with entries from $\text{Spec } T \cdot \text{Spec } S$, and since AB corresponds to TS , the eigenvalues in $\text{Spec}(TS)$ must also come from $\text{Spec } T \cdot \text{Spec } S$, so $\text{Spec}(TS) \subset \text{Spec } T \cdot \text{Spec } S$, as desired.

2

$\lambda - ST$ is invertible: $\lambda - TS$ is invertible, so $\ker(\lambda - TS) = \{0\}$. Thus there is no $v \in V$ such that $TSv = \lambda v$, so λ is not an eigenvalue of TS . Let $0 \neq \lambda' \in \text{Spec } ST$. Then there is some $0 \neq v \in V$ such that $STv = \lambda'v$. Then $TS(Tv) = T(STv) = T(\lambda'v) = \lambda'Tv$. Since $S(Tv) = \lambda'v \neq 0$, $Tv \neq 0$, thus $\lambda' \in \text{Spec } TS$. But $\lambda \notin \text{Spec } TS$, so $\lambda' \neq \lambda$. Thus $\lambda \notin \text{Spec } ST$, so $\ker(\lambda - ST) = \{0\}$, and so $\lambda - ST$ is invertible, as desired.

$$(\lambda - TS)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}T(\lambda - ST)^{-1}S:$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda - TS)(\lambda^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}T(\lambda - ST)^{-1}S) \\ &= 1 + T(\lambda - ST)^{-1}S - \lambda^{-1}TS - \lambda^{-1}TST(\lambda - ST)^{-1}S \\ &= 1 + T((\lambda - ST)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}ST(\lambda - ST)^{-1})S \\ &= 1 + T((1 - \lambda^{-1}ST)(\lambda - ST)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1})S \\ &= 1 + T(\lambda^{-1}(\lambda - ST)(\lambda - ST)^{-1} - \lambda^{-1})S \\ &= 1 + T(\lambda^{-1}1 - \lambda^{-1})S \\ &= 1 + T(0)S \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So the equality holds.

ST and TS have the same nonzero eigenvalues: Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \text{Spec } TS$. Then there is some $v \in V$ such that $TSv = \lambda v$. $ST(Sv) = S(TSv) = \lambda Sv$, so $ST(Sv) = \lambda Sv$, thus $\lambda \in \text{Spec } ST$. So $\text{Spec } TS \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \text{Spec } ST \setminus \{0\}$.

Now let $0 \neq \lambda \in \text{Spec } ST$. Then there is some $v \in V$ such that $STv = \lambda v$. $TS(Tv) = T(STv) = \lambda Tv$, so $TS(Tv) = \lambda Tv$, thus $\lambda \in \text{Spec } TS$. So $\text{Spec } ST \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \text{Spec } TS \setminus \{0\}$.

Thus $\text{Spec } ST \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec } TS \setminus \{0\}$, so TS and ST have the same nonzero eigenvalues, as desired.

Example of S, T such that 0 is an eigenvalue of ST but 0 is not an eigenvalue of TS :
Let $V = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid a_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and let T and S be the right and left shift operators, respectively. Then $TS = I$, so $0 - TS = -I = I$ is invertible, thus $0 \notin \text{Spec } TS$, but ST is not invertible, since $(1, 0, \dots) \notin \text{ran } ST$, and so $0 - ST$ is not invertible, thus $0 \in \text{Spec } ST$, as desired.

3

T^* is linear: Let $f, g \in W^*$, $\lambda \in F$.

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(f + \lambda g) &= (f + \lambda g) \circ T \\ &= f \circ T + \lambda g \circ T \\ &= T^*(f) + \lambda T^*(g) \end{aligned}$$

So T^* is linear.

Transpose map is linear: Let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $\lambda \in F$. Let $f \in W^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} (S + \lambda T)^*(f) &= f \circ (S + \lambda T) \\ &= f \circ S + f \circ \lambda T \\ &= S^*(f) + \lambda T^*(f) \end{aligned}$$

So the transpose map is linear.

3.a

i is linear: Let $w_1, w_2 \in W$, $\lambda \in F$.

$$\begin{aligned} i(w_1 + \lambda w_2) &= w_1 + \lambda w_2 \\ &= i(w_1) + \lambda i(w_2) \end{aligned}$$

So i is linear.

r is linear: Let $f, g \in V^*$, $\lambda \in F$. If $f \in V^*$, let f_W be f restricted to W .

$$\begin{aligned} r(f + \lambda g) &= f_W + \lambda g_W \\ &= r(f) + \lambda r(g) \end{aligned}$$

So r is linear.

3.b

$$\begin{aligned} \ker r &= \{f \in V^* \mid r(f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in V^* \mid f_W = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in V^* \mid f(w) = 0 \text{ for any } w \in W\} \\ &= W^\perp \end{aligned}$$

3.c

Let $f \in V^*$. $r(f) = f_W = f \circ i$, since $\text{Im } i = W$, so $r = i^*$.

4

f is surjective $\implies f^*$ is injective: Let $g \in \ker f^*$. Then $f^*(g) = 0$, so $g \circ f(v) = 0$ for any $v \in V$. f is surjective, so $g(w) = 0$ for any $w \in W$, so $g = 0$. Thus $\ker f^* = \{0\}$, so f^* is injective.

f^* is surjective $\implies f$ is injective: f is injective, so it has an inverse map $f^{-1}: \text{Im } f \rightarrow V$. Fix some $v \in V^*$. If we define $g \in W^*$ by $g(x) = v(f^{-1}(f(x)))$, then $f^*(g) = g \circ f$. $g \circ f(x) = v(f^{-1}(f(x))) = v(x)$ for any $x \in V$, so $f^*(g) = v$, thus f^* is surjective.