

CSC 226 Problem Set 3 Written Part

Oliver Tonnesen
V00885732

November 8, 2018

1 Listing vertices of a negative cycle

The following is a slight variation of the Bellman-Ford algorithm.

```
1: function FINDNEG_CYCLE(G)
2:    $d \leftarrow$  int array
3:    $\pi \leftarrow$  vertex array
4:   for  $v$  in  $V$  do
5:      $d[v] \leftarrow \infty$ 
6:      $\pi[v] \leftarrow$  null
7:   end for
8:    $d[0] \leftarrow 0$ 
9:   for  $i \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots, |V| - 2$  do
10:    for  $e$  in  $E$  do
11:      if  $d[e.u] + e.w < d[e.v]$  then
12:         $d[e.v] \leftarrow d[e.u] + e.w$ 
13:         $\pi[e.v] \leftarrow e.u$ 
14:      end if
15:    end for
16:  end for
17:  for  $e$  in  $E$  do
18:    if  $d[e.u] + e.w < d[v]$  then
19:       $\pi[e.v] \leftarrow e.u$ 
20:    end if
21:  end for
22:  cycle  $\leftarrow$  vertex array
23:   $i \leftarrow 0$ 
24:   $s \leftarrow e.u$ 
25:  while  $\pi[s] \neq e.v$  do
26:    cycle[ $i++$ ]  $\leftarrow s$ 
27:     $s \leftarrow \pi[s]$ 
28:  end while
29:  return cycle
30: end function
```

2 Dijkstra's algorithm and negative edge-weights

In the proof of correctness, we show that once a vertex is marked as visited, our path to it must have minimum weight. To do this, we show that our path $s v$ is at most equal to any other arbitrary path $s y$. Here, we rely on the fact that each edge in the $y v$ path must be at least 1 to show that the path $s y v$ has weight strictly greater than that of our $s v$ path. If our graph can have negative edge weights, we cannot make such a guarantee, and the weight of our $s v$ path might be greater than that of $s y v$, and so the proof is invalid.

3 Eulerian circuits

Consider, WLOG, one of the connected subgraphs of G , $H = (V_H, E_H)$. Each vertex $v \in V_H$ has an even number of edges, so each time it is “entered” in some cycle, there must be an edge out of which to exit. Thus, H has an Eulerian circuit, and therefore has a cycle. This is true of all connected subgraphs of G , and so the claim holds.

4 Graph coloring

4.a

The subgraph induced by taking the vertices of any pair of independent sets is a complete bipartite graph, so there exist no edges between any two vertices $v_i, v_j \in V_k$. Any vertex $v_i \in V_j$ also shares edges with every vertex $v_k \notin V_j$. From these two observations, it is clear to see that all vertices in any independent set can be coloured the same colour. So we can consider each independent set as a single vertex for the purpose of colouring. Thus if we compute $\chi(K_5)$, we will have found $\chi(G)$. $\chi(K_5) = 5$, so $\chi(G) = 5$.

4.b

The graph contains C_5 as a subgraph. We know that $\chi(C_5) = 3$, so this graph's chromatic number must be at least 3. We now provide a 3-colouring of the graph:

