

1

Let $G = (X, Y)$. We construct H :

WLOG let $|X| \leq |Y|$. Add $|Y| - |X|$ vertices to X so that $|X| = |Y|$. G is bipartite, so we have that $\sum_{x \in X} \deg(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} \deg(y)$. So choose $x \in X, y \in Y$ with $\deg(x), \deg(y) < \Delta(G)$, and join them with an edge.

After adding an edge, the equality $\sum_{x \in X} \deg(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} \deg(y)$ still holds, so H remains bipartite. So if we continue this process until no such x and y can any longer be chosen, then the resulting graph is $\Delta(G)$ -regular, and was constructed from G and therefore contains G , as desired.

2

Let H be an odd connected component in G . Assume for a contradiction that H is bipartite, with bipartition (X, Y) . WLOG let $|X| < |Y|$ (we know that $|X| \neq |Y|$ since H is odd). H is k -regular, so since $\sum_{x \in X} \deg(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} \deg(y)$, we have $k|X| = k|Y|$, a contradiction since $|X| \neq |Y|$. Thus H is not bipartite, and thus contains an odd cycle, and is class 2. Thus G must be class 2, and $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$, as desired.

3

Let G, H be Hamiltonian, and let $g_1 \dots g_l g_1$ and $h_1 \dots h_k h_1$ be Hamilton cycles in G and H , respectively. Then

$$(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_1) \dots (g_l, h_1)(g_l, h_2) \dots (g_l, h_k)(g_l, h_1)(g_1, h_1)$$

is a Hamilton path in $G \square H$, so $G \square H$ is Hamiltonian, as desired.

$Q_k = Q_{k-1} \square Q_{k-1}$, so Q_k is Hamiltonian when $k \geq 2$ (Q_1 is not Hamiltonian).

4

(\implies): Choose a vertex $v \in V(G)$, and let u_1, \dots, u_k be its neighbors. Suppose WLOG that $u_1 \sim u_2, \dots, u_{k-1} \sim u_k, u_k \sim u_1$. Then $vu_1u_2, \dots, vu_{k-1}u_k, vu_ku_1$ are all triangles. If v is coloured 1 and u_i is coloured 2, then u_{i-1} and u_{i+1} must be coloured 4. This is clearly only the case when v has an even number of neighbors, otherwise we would need a fourth colour. G is 3-colourable, so it must be the case that for any choice of v , v has even degree. G is a plane

triangulation, and thus connected, so it is therefore Eulerian.

(\Leftarrow): Choose a face F , and 3-colour its vertices arbitrarily. We claim this determines the colour of each other vertex, and that it gives a 3-colouring. Suppose for a contradiction that a vertex v is the first to be assigned two different colours from two different faces. That is, there are two sequences of faces, not identical, which assign to v two different colours. Let these sequences be A_1, \dots, A_k and B_1, \dots, B_l . We know $A_k \neq B_l$, so let $C = A_i = B_i$ be the first face for which A_{i+1} and B_{i+1} differ. Consider the cycle bounding the region bounded by $C, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_k, B_l, \dots, B_{i+1}, C$. Let this bounded region be as small as possible. Let x be a vertex in the bounded region, but not on the cycle, and which is contained in the faces X_1 and X_m . Create a new region $X_1, \dots, X_m, B_j, \dots, B_l, A_k, \dots, A_j, X_1$, where X_1, \dots, X_m are the faces forming a sequence that all contain x . x was assigned only one colour, since v was the first to be assigned two different colours, and so if we begin our sequential colouring as before but now starting at x , the rest of the graph will be coloured in the same way. But this means that the procedure will still assign two colours to v , but the new bounding region is smaller, contradiction the minimality of the original region. Thus no vertex is assigned two different colours, and so G is 3-colourable.

5

Suppose G is a plane graph and $G \cong G^*$. The faces of G correspond to the vertices of G^* , so $n(G) = f(G)$. Then by Euler's formula, we have $n(G) - m(G) + f(G) = 2$, so $n(G) - m(G) + n(G) = 2$, and so $m = 2n - 2$, as desired.

Consider the Wheel graph, $W_n = W_{n-1} \vee K_1$. W_n^* has a cycle of $n - 1$ vertices corresponding to the faces of each of the $n - 1$ triangles, and each of these faces is adjacent to the face surrounding the graph, resulting in another copy of W_n , as desired.