

1

T is linear: Let $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3), w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

$$\begin{aligned} Tv + Tw &= T(v_1, v_2, v_3) + T(w_1, w_2, w_3) \\ &= (v_1 + v_2 + 2v_3x + v_1x^2) + (w_1 + w_2 + 2w_3x + w_1x^2) \\ &= (v_1 + w_1) + (v_2 + w_2) + 2(v_3 + w_3)x + (v_1 + w_1)x^2 \\ &= T(v + w) \end{aligned}$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda v) &= T(\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \lambda v_3) \\ &= \lambda v_1 + \lambda v_2 + 2\lambda v_3x + \lambda v_1x^2 \\ &= \lambda(v_1 + v_2 + 2v_3x + v_1x^2) \\ &= \lambda Tv \end{aligned}$$

So T is linear.

α is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 : First we show that α is independent in \mathbb{R}^3 , then we show that it spans \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that $a(1, 1, 0) + b(1, 1, 1) + c(0, 1, 1) = (0, 0, 0)$. Then $a + b = 0$, $a + b + c = 0$, and $b + c = 0$. So $a = -b$, and $c = -b$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a + b + c \\ &= -b + b - b \\ &= -b \end{aligned}$$

so $b = 0$. Then since $a + b = 0$ and $b + c = 0$, $a = 0$ and $c = 0$, so $a = b = c = 0$, thus α is independent in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. A straightforward computation gives us $v = (v_2 - v_3)(1, 1, 0) + (v_1 - v_2 + v_3)(1, 1, 1) + (v_2 - v_1)(0, 1, 1)$, so α spans \mathbb{R}^3 , and thus α is an ordered basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Compute $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ and $[T^{-1}]_{\beta}^{\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, 1, 0) &= 2 + x^2 \\ T(1, 1, 1) &= 2 + 2x + x^2 \\ T(0, 1, 1) &= 1 + 2x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[T(1, 1, 0)]_\beta &= (2, 0, 1) \\ [T(1, 1, 1)]_\beta &= (2, 2, 1) \\ [T(0, 1, 1)]_\beta &= (1, 2, 0)\end{aligned}$$

So $[T]_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We know that $[T^{-1}]_\beta^\alpha = ([T]_\alpha^\beta)^{-1}$, so $[T^{-1}]_\beta^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$

2

Let $\dim W = k$. $W \subset V$, so $k < n$. Let $\gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be an ordered basis for W , $\alpha = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis for V . By the replacement theorem, there are $n - k$ vectors in α which, when added to γ , make it a basis for V . Suppose WLOG that these vectors are v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n , and let $\beta = \{w_1, \dots, w_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$. $T(W) \subset W$, so we can write any vector $T(w)$, where $w \in W$, as a linear combination of vectors in γ . Specifically, we can write it without any vectors we added from α . Thus we construct $[T]_\beta^\beta$.

The first k columns are $[T(w_i)]_\beta$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. As we mentioned, $T(w_i)$ can be written as a linear combination of vectors in γ without any of the vectors we added from α . So the bottom $n - k$ entries of each $[T(w_i)]_\beta$ are all 0. In other words, $[T]_\beta^\beta$ has a $n - k$ -by- $n - k$ matrix of zeros in the bottom left. $[T]_\beta^\beta$ is n -by- n , so the rest of A , B , and C are k -by- k , k -by- $n - k$, and $n - k$ -by- $n - k$ matrices, as desired.

3

V is finite dimensional, so we have that $\text{Nullity } T + \text{Rank } T = \dim V$.

Note that $\text{ran}(T^{k+1}) \subseteq \text{ran}(T^k)$, since $T^{k+1}(v) = T^k(T(v))$. If we take k to be at least n , we see that $\text{ran}(T^{k+1}) = \text{ran}(T^k)$ (note that this can be the case for smaller values of k). Let $v \in \text{ran}(T^k) \cap \ker(T^k)$. Then $v = T^k(w)$ for some $w \in V$. $v \in \text{ran}(T^k) \cap \ker(T^k)$, so $T^k(v) = 0$. $T^k(v) = T^k(T^k(w)) = T^{2k}(w) = 0$. $k \geq n$, so $\text{ran}(T^k) = \text{ran}(T^{2k})$, and so $T^{2k}(w) \in \text{ran}(T^k)$, and $T^{2k}(w) = 0$, so $w \in \ker(T^{2k})$. $\ker(T^{2k}) = \ker(T^k)$ by a similar argument, so $w \in \ker(T^k)$. Thus since $v = T^k(w)$, $v = 0$. v was arbitrarily chosen from $\text{ran}(T^k) \cap \ker(T^k)$, and so $\text{ran}(T^k) \cap \ker(T^k) = \{0\}$.

By the dimension theorem, we have $\dim \text{ran}(T^k) + \dim \ker(T^k) = \dim V$, and $\text{ran}(T^k) \cap \ker(T^k) = \{0\}$, so since $\text{ran}(T^k) + \ker(T^k) = V$, we have that

$V = \text{ran}(T^k) \oplus \ker(T^k)$, as desired.

4

4.a

ST is invertible, so ST is bijective, thus S is surjective and T is injective. Similarly, TS is bijective, and so T is surjective and S is injective. Thus S and T are both bijective and hence invertible.

4.b

Let $V = P(\mathbb{R})$. Define $T, S : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$ by $Tf(x) \mapsto \int_0^x f(x)dx$, $Sf(x) \mapsto \frac{d}{dx}f(x)$.

T is not surjective, since $1 \in P(\mathbb{R})$ is not in the range of T . S is not injective, since $0 \neq 1 \in P(\mathbb{R})$ is in the kernel of S . Hence neither S nor T is invertible.

Let $f(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i \in P(\mathbb{R})$. $T(\sum_i a_i x^i) = \sum_i \frac{a_i x^{i+1}}{i+1}$, and $S(\sum_i \frac{a_i x^{i+1}}{i+1}) = \sum_i (i+1) \frac{a_i x^i}{i+1} = f(x)$, so $ST = 1_{P(\mathbb{R})}$, and is thus invertible, as desired.