

Knowledge Representation

Pinar Yolum
Email: p.yolum@uu.nl

Department of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

Knowledge representation

- Information about the world so that an agent can use to do its tasks
- What content do we want to put into an agent's knowledge base?
- What are the different properties of the content?
 - Example: Physical Objects
 - Example: Events
- What are the languages for representation?
 - First order logic
 - Description logics
 - Semantic networks
 - Frames

Can we do these on the Web?

- Meaningful queries to search engines: Find me movies that were shot in Utrecht but are not about Utrecht.
- Meaningful filtering of recommendation: Get a recommendation of a restaurant where the food is served on time.
- Meaningful composition of reviews: Buy a reliable tablet PC that can run Ubuntu.

- Giving meaning to the Web
- Agents can understand and process the Web on their own
- Make inferences (rather than smart queries as in DB)
- E-commerce: Offer services with descriptive content
- Search: Describe word or documents to allow meaningful search (not just keyword)

- A specification of a conceptualization or a set of knowledge terms for a particular domain, including
 - The vocabulary
 - The semantic interconnections
 - Some rules of inference
- An agent can use the ontology to reason about its environment
- As new information becomes available, the agent can add them to the knowledge base and make new inferences
- The ontology can be individual or common


Ontology components

- *Concepts* organized as a hierarchy
- Inheritance (isA) relation
 - Build a taxonomy
 - Example: A human is a mammal
- Part-whole (isPartOf) relation
 - Build a mereology
 - Example: A steering wheel is part of a car
- *Concept properties* with values (e.g., age of a human)
- *Concept relations* among concepts (e.g., a human uses a steering wheel)
- *Concept instances* that correspond to individuals (e.g., Pinar is an instance of a human)

Common ontologies

- A shared representation is essential to successful communication and coordination
 - For humans: physical, biological, and social world
 - For computational agents: common ontology (terms used in communication)
- Example: Dbpedia
(<https://wiki.dbpedia.org/services-resources/ontology>)
- Example: BBC Ontologies:
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/ontologies>

Example common ontology



Example from: Service Oriented Computing: Semantics, Processes, Agents. Singh and Huhns, 2005

Description Logics (DL)

- **Decidable** fragment of first-order logic
- Contains only unary and binary predicates
- Different syntax than first-order logic and does not use variables
- Family of logics that differ in their expressivity

Represent all concepts as sets

- Intersection: $X \sqcap Y$ (set of things that are both in X and Y)
 - father \equiv parent \sqcap malePerson
 - FOL?
- Union: person \equiv malePerson \sqcup femalePerson (set of things that are in either X or Y)
- Complementary: $\neg X$ (set of things that are not in X)

Restrictions

A constraint set on a relationship value:

- Universal restriction: $\forall R.C$, where all the relation values should be in C .
 - *hasOnlySons* $\equiv \forall \text{hasChild.malePerson}$
- Existential restriction: $\exists R.C$, where at least one relation value is in C .
 - *hasASon* $\equiv \exists \text{hasChild.malePerson}$
 - How many sons? How many daughters?

Cardinality Restrictions

- Minimum cardinality: $\geq nR.C$
 - The class of things that have at least n relations to C
 - $\text{person} \sqcap \geq 5 \text{hasChild.femalePerson}$
- Maximum cardinality: $\leq nR.C$

Other Constructs

- Enumeration: List all the elements of the class
 - $\text{myFriends} \equiv \{\text{mary, susan, pinar}\}$
 - Possible to use it without a name
 - $\exists \text{hasFriend.}\{\text{mary, susan, pinar}\}$
- Top: Class of everything (\top)
 - Everybody has only sons
 - $\top \sqsubseteq \forall \text{hasChild.malePerson}$
- Bottom: The empty class (\perp)
 - Nobody has only sons.
 - $\forall \text{hasChild.malePerson} \sqsubseteq \perp$

Concept inclusion and equivalence

- Concept Inclusion: Every X is a Y ; thus X is a subset of Y .
 - $X \sqsubseteq Y$: student \sqsubseteq person
 - Y can be a class itself or a class defined through other classes
 - student \sqsubseteq person \sqcap young
 - student \sqsubseteq person $\sqcap \forall \text{hasFriend.young}$
- Equivalence: Every X is a Y ; every Y is a X .
 - $X \equiv Y$: student \equiv person
 - Every student is a person and every person is a student

Assertions

Talk about individuals that are in classes or relations

- Role assertions: $R(x,y)$
 - R relates x and y
 - `hasFriend(mary, susan)`
- Class assertions: $C(x)$
 - x belongs to class C
 - `student(mary)`

Exercise

Given person, hasChild, hasFriend, malePerson, happyPerson, femalePerson, define the following:

- parents have at least one child
- parents with exactly five children
- married men are happy people
- no parent is happy
- everybody has only happy friends
- people who have the same friends are happy
- people with an unhappy child are not happy

Reasoning: Consistency

Given a knowledge base, is there a contradiction between axioms?

- $\text{femalePerson} \sqsubseteq \text{happyPerson}$
- $\text{malePerson} \sqsubseteq \neg \text{happyPerson}$
- $\text{malePerson}(\text{john})$
- $\text{happyPerson}(\text{john})$

It is allowed to say this but it will create an inconsistency.
How can an agent deal with this?

Reasoning: Inference

Given a knowledge base, is one class a subclass of another one?

- Query: $\exists hasChild.\{mary\} \sqsubseteq happyPerson$
- Are all parents of mary included in happyPerson?
- Check for all parents

Reasoning: Inference

- Given a knowledge base, is one individual instance of a class?

happyPerson(mary)?

- Given a knowledge base, list all individuals with the given property


$\text{malePerson} \sqcap \exists \text{hasChild}.\{\text{mary}\} \sqsubseteq \text{happyPerson}$

Find ...

Standards and Tools

- Web Ontology Language (OWL): W3C Standard, Description Logic equivalent
- Ontology editors: Protégé
- Ontology APIs: OWL API
- Query language: OWL DL
- Ontology Reasoners: Pellet, Fact++, Hermit

Example Ontology



- *Concepts* represent a class of individuals (e.g., `wig : wig` is an instance of *Object*).
- Object properties relate different individuals with a specific relation (e.g., `includesObject` relates a `:Medium` to a `:wig`).
- Data properties relate data values to individuals (e.g., `isOrdinary` relates `:wig` to either *true* or *false*).

Various Syntax

OWL Constructor	DL Syntax	Manchester	Example
intersectionOf	$C \sqcap D$	C AND D	Fruit AND Wine
unionOf	$C \sqcup D$	C OR D	Wine OR Fruit
complementOf	$\neg C$	NOT C	NOT Wine
oneOf	$\{a\} \sqcup \{b\}$	$\{a\} \sqcup \{b\}$	$\{White\} \sqcup \{Red\} \sqcup \{Rose\}$
someValuesFrom	$\exists R.C$	R SOME C	hasColor SOME WineColor
allValuesFrom	$\forall R.C$	R ONLY C	hasColor ONLY $\{Red, Rose\}$
minCardinality	$\geq NR$	R MIN N	hasColor MIN 3
maxCardinality	$\leq NR$	R MAX N	hasColor MAX 3
cardinality	$= NR$	R EXACTLY N	hasColor EXACTLY 1
hasValue	$\exists R\{a\}$	R VALUE a	hasColor VALUE White