

Web Ontology Language

Pinar Yolum
Email: p.yolum@uu.nl

Department of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

- Describe things that have properties which have values
- Triples of <subject, predicate, object>
- Ex: <Eric Miller, hasTitle, Dr.>
- Need to uniquely identify each so that they can be processed without confusion
- RDF Graph: Show the triples with edges between two nodes

RDF graph



RDF syntax

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
  <rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:contact="http://www.w3.org/2000/10/swap/pim/contact#">
    <contact:Person
      rdf:about="http://www.w3.org/People/EM/contact#me">
      <contact:fullName>Eric Miller</contact:fullName>
      <contact:mailbox rdf:resource="mailto:em@w3.org" />
      <contact:personalTitle>Dr.</contact:personalTitle>
    </contact:Person>
  </rdf:RDF>
```

- Container: Subject and object need not be a single value
 - Bag: No order, allow duplicates
 - Seq: Order important, allow duplicates
 - Alt: Alternatives
- Collection: Enumerations where the entire list is given (e.g., a collection of three students to define class)
- Reification: RDF statements about other RDF statements

Reification example

```
<rdf:Description rdf:ID="item10245">
  <exterm:weight rdf:datatype="&xsd;decimal">2.4</exterm:weight>
</rdf:Description>
<rdf:Statement rdf:about="#triple12345">
  <rdf:subject rdf:resource =
    "http://www.example.com/2002/04/products#item10245"/>
  <rdf:predicate rdf:resource=
    "http://www.example.com/terms/weight"/>
  <rdf:object rdf:datatype="&xsd;decimal">2.4</rdf:object>
  <dc:creator rdf:resource=
    "http://www.example.com/staffid/85740"/>
</rdf:Statement>
```

Staff 85740 stated that item 10245 weights 2.4

Linked Data

- **Dbpedia:** `http://dbpedia.org/page/The_Lord_of_the_Rings`
- **Snomed CT:** `http://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/SNOMEDCT?p=classes&conceptid=root`
- **Linked Open Data Cloud:**
`https://lod-cloud.net/`

RDF schema (RDFS)

- RDF represents data with graphs
- RDFS represents guidelines to use the data
 - Used to describe the vocabulary for resources
 - Main representation is sets; RDF Schema provides the types that will be used in RDF statements
- RDF talks about objects; RDF schema defines classes for objects

RDF schema classes

- Classes have a property *rdf:type* and a value *rdfs:Class*
 - `ex:Person rdf:type rdfs:Class`
 - `ex:Book rdf:type rdfs:Class`
- Resources can be defined based on new classes
 - `ex:Player rdf:type ex:Person`
 - `ex:Instructor rdf:type ex:Person`
- Classes can be specialized using *rdfs:subClassOf* property
 - `ex:Footballer rdfs:subClassOf ex:Player`

RDF schema properties (1)

- Classes have properties denoted by *rdf:property*
- Properties can be specialized using *rdfs:subPropertyOf*
- Example:
 - `ex:driver rdf:type rdf:Property`
 - `ex:primaryDriver rdf:type rdf:Property`
 - `ex:primaryDriver rdfs:subPropertyOf ex:driver`

RDF schema properties (2)

- *rdfs:domain* denotes the values for which a property applies.
 - `ex:Book rdfs:type rdfs:Class`
 - `ex:author rdfs:type rdfs:Property`
 - `ex:author rdfs:domain ex:Book`
- *rdfs:range* denotes the range of values for a property
 - `ex:author rdfs:range ex:Person`
- A property can have 0 or more domain and range defined.

RDFS example

- MarriedWoman rdfs:subClassOf Woman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:domain MarriedWoman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:range Name.
- “Karen” hasMaidenName ”Stephens”
- What can we infer?

RDFS example

- MarriedWoman rdfs:subClassOf Woman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:domain MarriedWoman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:range Name.
- “Karen” hasMaidenName ”Stephens”
- What can we infer?
 - “Karen” rdf:type MarriedWoman
 - “Karen” rdf:type Woman
 - “Stephens” rdf:type Name

RDFS example

- MarriedWoman rdfs:subClassOf Woman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:domain MarriedWoman.
- hasMaidenName rdfs:range Name.
- “Karen” hasMaidenName “Stephens”
- What can we infer?
 - “Karen” rdf:type MarriedWoman
 - “Karen” rdf:type Woman
 - “Stephens” rdf:type Name
- Express above in DL.
 - MarriedWoman \sqsubseteq Woman
 - $\exists \text{ hasMaidenName}.T \sqsubseteq \text{MarriedWoman}$
 - $T \sqsubseteq \forall \text{ hasMaidenName}(\text{Name})$

RDF(S) vs. Java

- Building blocks in JAVA are classes
 - JAVA: Class book has an attribute author of type person
 - RDF: There is an author property between a book and a person
 - JAVA: You cannot talk about an author attribute without a class
 - RDF: You can if you don't specify a domain
- Scope of definition
 - JAVA: If you are talking about a newspaper, you need to define a new author attribute (Local scope)
 - RDF: Define an author property once. (Global scope)
- Matching of domains and ranges based on types
 - JAVA: Class sportsarticle has an attribute author of type male
 - JAVA: Class newsarticle has an attribute author of type female
 - RDF: Cannot match different domains with ranges

OWL Union, Intersection, Complement

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="food#Fruit">
  <owl:unionOf rdf:parseType=Collection>
    <owl:Class rdf:resource="food#SweetFruit" />
    <owl:Class rdf:resource="food#NonSweetFruit" />
  </owl:unionOf>
</owl:Class>

<owl:Class rdf:ID="food#SugaryBread">
  <owl:intersectionOf rdf:parseType=Collection>
    <owl:Class rdf:about="food#Bread" />
    <owl:Class rdf:about="food#SweetFruit" />
  </owl:intersectionOf>
</owl:Class>

<owl:Class>
  <owl:complementOf>
    <owl:Class rdf:about="food##Meat" />
  </owl:complementOf>
</owl:Class>
```



OWL equivalence and disjointness

```
<owl:Class rdf:about="food#Fruit">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="food#EdibleThing" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#Fowl" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#Dessert" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#Meat" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#OtherTomatoBasedFood" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#Pasta" />
  <owl:disjointWith rdf:resource="food#Seafood" />
  <owl:equivalentClass>
    <owl:Class>
      <owl:unionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
        <rdf:Description rdf:about="food#NonSweetFruit" />
        <rdf:Description rdf:about="food#SweetFruit" />
      </owl:unionOf>
    </owl:Class>
  </owl:equivalentClass>
</owl:Class>
```

Enumeration

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Continent">  
  <owl:oneOf rdf:parseType="Collection">  
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Europe"/>  
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Africa"/>  
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#America"/>  
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Asia"/>  
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Australia"/>  
  </owl:oneOf>  
</owl:Class>
```

OWL properties



Transitive property

$P(x,y)$ and $P(y,z)$ implies $P(x, z)$

```
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="locatedIn">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="owl#TransitiveProperty"/>
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="owl#Thing"/>
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource="#Region"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>
<Region rdf:ID="SantaCruzMountainsRegion">
  <locatedIn rdf:resource="#CaliforniaRegion"/>
</Region>
<Region rdf:ID="CaliforniaRegion">
  <locatedIn rdf:resource="#USRegion"/>
</Region>
```

Symmetric property

$P(x,y) \text{ iff } P(y,x)$

```
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="adjacentRegion">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="owl:SymmetricProperty" />
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#Region" />
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource="#Region" />
</owl:ObjectProperty>
<Region rdf:ID="MendocinoRegion">
  <locatedIn rdf:resource="#CaliforniaRegion" />
  <adjacentRegion rdf:resource="#SonomaRegion" />
</Region>
```

Inverse property

$P1(x,y) \text{ iff } P2(y,x)$

```
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="hasMaker">  
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="owl:FunctionalProperty" />  
</owl:ObjectProperty>  
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="producesWine">  
  <owl:inverseOf rdf:resource="#hasMaker" />  
</owl:ObjectProperty>
```

Functional property

$P(x, y)$ and $P(x, z)$ implies $y = z$

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="VintageYear" />
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="hasVintageYear">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="owl:FunctionalProperty" />
  <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#Vintage" />
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource="#VintageYear" />
</owl:ObjectProperty>
```

Inverse functional property

$P(y,x)$ and $P(z,x)$ implies $y=z$

```
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="hasMaker" />
<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:ID="producesWine">
  <rdf:type rdf:resource="owl#InverseFunctionalProperty" />
  <owl:inverseOf rdf:resource="#hasMaker" />
</owl:ObjectProperty>
```


Restriction

- A unique feature of description logics
- Like division: define classes in terms of a restriction that they satisfy with respect to a given property
- Key primitives
 - someValuesFrom a specified class
 - allValuesFrom a specified class
 - hasValue equal to a specified individual or data type
 - minCardinality
 - maxCardinality

Restriction

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Wine">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="food#PotableLiquid" />
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="food#hasMaker" />
      <owl:allValuesFrom rdf:resource="food#Winery" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Restriction

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Wine">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="food#PotableLiquid" />
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="food#hasMaker" />
      <owl:allValuesFrom rdf:resource="food#Winery" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

- $\text{Wine} \sqsubseteq \text{food\#PotableLiquid} \sqcap \forall \text{hasMaker.Winery}$

Restriction

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Wine">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="food#PotableLiquid" />
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#locatedIn" />
      <owl:someValuesFrom rdf:resource="#Region" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Restriction

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Wine">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="food#PotableLiquid" />
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#locatedIn" />
      <owl:someValuesFrom rdf:resource="#Region" />
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

- $\text{Wine} \sqsubseteq \text{food\#PotableLiquid} \sqcap \exists \text{locatedIn.Region}$

- OWL is about content, not the syntax
- Statements from different documents about the same URI are automatically conjoined
- OWL declarations may seem conflicting
 - Declare that no one can have more than one mother
 - Declare Mary is John's mother
 - Declare Jane is John's mother
- What would DBMS say? What would OWL reasoning say?

- OWL is about content, not the syntax
- Statements from different documents about the same URI are automatically conjoined
- OWL declarations may seem conflicting
 - Declare that no one can have more than one mother
 - Declare Mary is John's mother
 - Declare Jane is John's mother
- What would DBMS say? What would OWL reasoning say?
- A DBMS would declare an integrity violation
- An OWL reasoner would say $Mary = Jane$

Axioms for instances

To define instances, whether they are the same or different

```
<ex:Country rdf:ID='Iran' />
<ex:Country rdf:ID='Persia'>
  <owl:sameIndividualAs rdf:resource='#Iran' />
</ex:Country>
```

```
<owl:AllDifferent>
  <owl:distinctMembers rdf:parseType='Collection'>
    <ex:Country rdf:ID='Russia' />
    <ex:Country rdf:ID='India' />
    <ex:Country rdf:ID='USA' />
  </owl:distinctMembers />
</owl:AllDifferent>
```


Example: Wine color

Wine color can only be White, Rose, or Red.

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="WineColor">
  <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#WineDescriptor"/>
  <owl:oneOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#White"/>
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Rose"/>
    <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Red"/>
  </owl:oneOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Example: White wine

White Wine are wine that have color white.

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="WhiteWine">
  <owl:intersectionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
    <owl:Class rdf:about="#Wine"/>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#hasColor"/>
      <owl:hasValue rdf:resource="#White"/>
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:intersectionOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Example: All wines

All wines have color white.

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Wine">
  <rdfs:subClassOf>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#hasColor"/>
      <owl:hasValue rdf:resource="#White"/>
    </owl:Restriction>
  </rdfs:subClassOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Example: Non-sweet white wine

WhiteNonSweetWine is WhiteWine with Dry or OffDry sugar level.

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="WhiteNonSweetWine">
  <owl:intersectionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
    <owl:Class rdf:about="#WhiteWine" />
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#hasSugar" />
      <owl:allValuesFrom>
        <owl:Class>
          <owl:oneOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
            <owl:Thing rdf:about="#Dry" />
            <owl:Thing rdf:about="#OffDry" />
          </owl:oneOf>
        </owl:Class>
      </owl:allValuesFrom>
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:intersectionOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Example: Zinfandel

Zinfandel is Wine that is made of at most 1 ZinfandelGrape.

```
<owl:Class rdf:ID="Zinfandel">
  <owl:intersectionOf rdf:parseType="Collection">
    <owl:Class rdf:about="#Wine" />
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#madeFromGrape" />
      <owl:hasValue rdf:resource="#ZinfandelGrape" />
    </owl:Restriction>
    <owl:Restriction>
      <owl:onProperty rdf:resource="#madeFromGrape" />
      <owl:maxCardinality rdf:datatype="#xsd:nonNegativeInteger">1</owl:ma
    </owl:Restriction>
  </owl:intersectionOf>
</owl:Class>
```

Example: Zinfandel instance

SaucelitoCanyonZinfandel is an instance of Zinfandel that is located in ArroyoGrandeRegion, has a maker named SaucelitoCanyon, is dry, has moderate flavor and has body medium.

```
<Zinfandel rdf:ID="SaucelitoCanyonZinfandel">
  <locatedIn rdf:resource="#ArroyoGrandeRegion"/>
  <hasMaker rdf:resource="#SaucelitoCanyon"/>
  <hasSugar rdf:resource="#Dry"/>
  <hasFlavor rdf:resource="#Moderate"/>
  <hasBody rdf:resource="#Medium"/>
</Zinfandel>
```

Expressiveness Limitations (1)

OWL DL cannot express the following:

- Non-tree models
 - Instance variables are implicit in OWL restrictions, OWL cannot express conditions that require that two variables be identified
 - Example: Siblings (two people who have the same parents)
- Specialized properties
 - Cannot state that the child of a mammal must be a mammal and so on without
 - Defining new child properties for each class
 - Similar to impossibility of domain-range matching

Expressiveness Limitations (2)

- Constraints among individuals
 - Cannot define tall person: class of persons whose height is above a certain threshold (current average)
 - Can define ETHusband: class of persons who have been married to Elizabeth Taylor (constant)
- Cannot capture defeasibility (also known as nonmonotonicity)
 - Birds fly
 - Penguins are birds
 - Penguins don't fly

Resources

- **Wine ontology:** <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-owl-guide-20040210/wine.rdf>
- **Food ontology:** <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-owl-guide-20040210/food.rdf>
- **W3C:** <http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-overview/>
- **Editor: Protégé** <http://protege.stanford.edu/>
- **Reasoners:**
 - **Pellet:** <http://www.mindswap.org/2003/pellet/index.shtml>
 - **Jena:** <http://jena.sourceforge.net/>
 - **OWLAPI:**
<https://github.com/owlcs/owlapi/wiki>

- Check ontologies for consistency, infer subsumption relations, answer DL queries
- Examples: Hermit, Fact++, Pellet
- OWL provides conformance tests for reasoners
- The reasoners can be used inside Protégé or as APIs inside programs

- Check consistency of an ontology
- Individual queries: Returns the set of individuals that satisfy a given description
- Class queries: Returns the class that is either a superclass, subclass, ancestor, or descendant based on what is asked for
- Frame the query in OWL DL

Manchester Syntax

- A conjunction of descriptions
- Class name
- DataProperty
 - value individual
 - some range
 - min/max/exactly YYY
- ObjectProperty
 - some/only restriction
 - value individual

Example DL Queries

1 Wine

- Return: BancroftChardonnay,
ChateauChevalBlancStEmilion

2 Wine and hasColor value Red

- Return: ChateauChevalBlancStEmilion,
ChiantiClassico, ...

3 Wine and locatedIn value FrenchRegion

- Return: ChateauChevalBlancStEmilion...

Example DL Queries

- ④ Wine and locatedIn some (Region and adjacentRegion value MendocinoRegion)
 - Wine from Regions that are adjacent to MendocinoRegion
 - Return: CotturiZinfandel, GaryFarrelMerlot, ...
- ⑤ CaliforniaWine and not (hasBody value Medium)
 - Open world assumption
 - Return: CotturiZinfandel, ElyseZinfandel,...
 - Wine and hasColor value Red

Finding similarity

- Mostly among concepts; different than inference
- When you want to refer to things approximately
 - Ex: Things that are similar to chair
 - Might find table because both are furniture
 - Might find couch because both are used to sit on
- Need to evaluate how similar a concept is to a given concept
- Various metrics with various biases

Tversky's metric

- Designed for comparing similarity of two vectors
- Can be adopted for ontology concepts through measuring property similarity
- Two concepts are identical if their properties are the same
- Can be adapted for individuals by comparing property values

$$S = \frac{\alpha(\textit{common})}{\alpha(\textit{common}) + \alpha(\textit{different})}$$

Wu and Palmer's metric

- The similarity between c_1 and c_2 is estimated in relation to c_0 , which is the most specific concept subsuming them.
- N_1 is the number of edges between c_1 and c_0 .
- N_2 is the number of edges between c_2 and c_0 .
- N_0 is the number of IS-A links of c_0 from the root of the taxonomy.

$$S = \frac{2 * N_0}{N_1 + N_2 + 2 * N_0}$$