safeAl | the logical approach

ANNELINE DAGGELINCKX, MATTHIJS KEMP, and OTTO MÄTTAS, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

ACM Reference Format:

1 WEEK 1 ASSIGNMENTS

1.1 Defining reward functions

Below is a specification for a reward function in LTL for the following office world task: the agent is required to get to a state where coffee is true, and then back to the office, and after that maintain stop forever, all the while without stepping on decorations. Use coffee, office, stop and decs for propositions.

$$G(\neg decs) \land F(coffee \land F(office)) \land G(stop \leftrightarrow (coffee \land office))$$
(1)

In English, this translates to ...

1.2 Describing paths

Below is a path that satisfies $Gp \to Fq$ and does not satisfy $G(p \to Fq)$. Let λ be a path such that

$$\lambda[i...\infty] = s1s0^{\omega} = s1s0s0... \tag{2}$$

, where $s0: p=True, \ q=False$ and $s1: p=False, \ q=True.$ In English, this translates to ...

1.3 Tracing formulas

Below is an example formula that is true on some finite trace and false on all infinite traces.

$$F(\neg X \top)$$
 (3)

In English, this translates to ...

2 WEEK 2 ASSIGNMENTS

Following section holds assignments which have been carried out using the MCMAS software, version 1.3.0 [VAS Group 2021a]. MCMAS is an open-source, OBDD-based symbolic model checker tailored to the verification of Multi-Agent Systems (MAS). MAS descriptions are given by means of ISPL (Interpreted Systems Programming Language) programs. ISPL is an agent-based, modular language inspired by interpreted systems, a popular semantics in MAS.

Authors' address: Anneline Daggelinckx, a.daggelinckx@students.uu.nl; Matthijs Kemp, m.g.r.kemp@students.uu.nl; Otto Mättas, o.mattas@students.uu.nl, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80125, Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 3508 TC.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2021/5-ART \$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnn

2.1 Designing agents in ISPL

Below is a description for a vacuum cleaner agent in Interpreted Systems Programming Language (ISPL). The vacuum world domain (variables, actions, initial state, formulae) is given in the Coursework 1 document, assignment W2-1.

```
-- INFOMLSAI 2021 Vacuum Robot designed by
-- ANNELINE DAGGELINCKX, MATTHIJS KEMP and OTTO MATTAS
Agent Robot1
   Vars:
       inA: boolean;
       inB: boolean:
       cleanA: boolean;
       cleanB: boolean;
   end Vars
   Actions = {nil,move,suck};
   Protocol:
       inA=true or inB=true: {nil,move,suck};
   end Protocol
   Evolution:
       cleanA=true if inA=true and Action = suck;
       cleanA=true if cleanA=true and Action = nil;
       cleanB=true if inB=true and Action = suck;
       cleanB=true if cleanB=true and Action = nil;
       inA=true if inB=true and Action = move;
       inA=true if inA=true and Action = nil:
       inB=true if inA=true and Action = move;
       inB=true if inB=true and Action = nil;
   end Evolution
end Agent
Evaluation
       inA if Robot1.inA = true;
       inB if Robot1.inB = true;
       cleanA if Robot1.cleanA = true;
       cleanB if Robot1.cleanB = true;
end Evaluation
InitStates
       Robot1.inA = true and
       Robot1.inB = false and
       Robot1.cleanA = false and
       Robot1.cleanB = false;
end InitStates
Formulae
       (inA and !inB) and (!cleanA and !cleanB);
       inA -> EF inB:
       inA -> EF cleanA;
       EF (cleanA and cleanB);
       -- cleanB:
       -- AG inA:
       -- AF inB;
end Formulae
```

2.1.1 Proving formulae. The team has extracted a witness for the formula

$$EF(cleanA \wedge cleanB)$$
 (4)

which indicates both rooms A and B being clean in some future state. Below, you can see the proof which has been generated via command-line interface (CLI) for MCMAS with the help of a **-c** flag as described by [VAS Group 2021b].

```
Formula number 4: (EF (cleanA && cleanB)), is TRUE in the
 The following is a witness for the formula:
 < 0 1 2 3 >
 States description:
 ----- State: 0 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Cleaner
 cleanA = false
 cleanB = false
 location = inA
----- State: 1 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Cleaner
 cleanA = true
 cleanB = false
 location = inA
-----
----- State: 2 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Cleaner
 cleanA = true
 cleanB = false
 location = inB
----- State: 3 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Cleaner
 cleanA = true
 cleanB = true
 location = inB
_____
```

2.1.2 Disproving the formulae. The team has extracted a counterexample for the formula

$$AGinA$$
 (5)

which indicates all possible future paths lead to room A. Below, you can see the proof which has been generated via command-line interface (CLI) for MCMAS with the help of a **-c** flag as described by [VAS Group 2021b].

, Vol. 1, No. 1, Article . Publication date: May 2021.

2.2 Modifying ISPL definitions

This section focuses on modifying the agent definitions in order to notice the evolution and changes in how the agent behaves. The updated robot carriage world domain details are given in the Coursework 1 document, assignment W2-2.

2.2.1 Translation from English to CTL. Firstly, a translation to Computation Tree Logic (CTL) is needed in order to describe the rules in ISPL.

English:

- (1) it is possible to avoid pos2 forever
- (2) it is possible to be in position pos2 in the next step
- (3) it is possible in the future to be in position pos1 and in the next step after that in pos2
- (4) it is possible to be in pos0 until reaching pos2
- (5) it is possible to be in pos0 or pos1 until reaching pos2
- (6) it is possible to always have pos1 reachable in at most 2 steps

CTL:

- (1) EG!pos2
- (2) EX pos2
- (3) EX (pos1 and EX pos2)
- (4) E (pos0 U pos2)
- (5) E ((pos0 or pos1) U pos2)
- (6) EG (EX (pos1) or EX (EX pos1))
- 2.2.2 *Proofs.* Secondly, the proofs are given through witnesses and counterexamples which have been generated via command-line interface (CLI) for MCMAS with the help of a **-c** flag as described by [VAS Group 2021b].
 - (1) EG !pos2

(2) EX pos2

Formula number 5: (EX pos2), is FALSE in the model The following is a counterexample for the formula:

(3) EX (pos1 and EX pos2)

```
Formula number 6: (EX (pos1 && (EX pos2))), is TRUE
      in the model
 The following is a witness for the formula:
  < 0 1 >
  < 1 2 >
 States description:
----- State: 0 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos0
----- State: 1 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos1
----- State: 2 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos2
```

(4) E (pos0 U pos2)

(5) E ((pos0 or pos1) U pos2)

```
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
pos = pos2
```

(6) EG (EX (pos1) or EX (EX pos1))

```
Formula number 9: (EG ((EX pos1) || (EX (EX
     pos1)))), is TRUE in the model
 The following is a witness for the formula:
  < 0 0 >
  < 0 1 >
  < 1 2 >
 States description:
----- State: 0 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos0
----- State: 1 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos0
----- State: 2 -----
Agent Environment
Agent Robot1
 pos = pos1
```

2.3 Describing cases for algorithms

Below is a case for a model checking algorithm for CTL. Details about the modality are given in the Coursework 1 document, assignment W2-3.

$$E((\phi U\psi) and \neg \psi) \tag{6}$$

In English, this translates to \dots

REFERENCES

Imperial College London VAS Group. 2021a. MCMAS. Retrieved May 6, 2021 from https://vas.doc.ic.ac.uk/software/mcmas/

Imperial College London VAS Group. 2021b. MCMAS v1.2.2: User Manual. Retrieved May 6, 2021 from https://vas.doc.ic.ac.uk/software/mcmas/manual.pdf