# Model checking ATL with Imperfect Information

Natasha Alechina Brian Logan

Utrecht University
n.a.alechina@uu.nl b.s.logan@uu.nl

## **ATL**<sub>ir</sub>

- models of ATL<sub>ir</sub> are called Concurrent Epistemic Game Structures (CEGS)
- a CEGS is a CGS with epistemic indistinguishability relations added, one for each agent
- $M = \langle Agt, St, \{\sim_i | i \in Agt\}, \mathcal{V}, Act, d, o \rangle$
- ATL<sub>ir</sub> semantics:
- $\langle\!\langle a \rangle\!\rangle_{ir} \gamma$ : agent a has a memoryless uniform strategy to enforce  $\gamma$ , and this strategy works from all the states a considers possible (the 2nd condition is called strong uniformity)
- $\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle_{ir} \gamma$ : agents in A have a joint memoryless uniform strategy to enforce  $\gamma$ , and it works from all the states indistinguishable by  $\sim_A^E = \bigcup_{a \in A} \sim_a$

#### **Notation**

- in lecture 8/1, coalition modalities \(\langle A \rangle \rangle\_{ir}\) were subscripted with \(\textit{ir}\) to stress they have a different meaning from \(\langle A \rangle \rangle\) in the lectures in weeks 6 & 7
- however, it is more common to use the same ⟨⟨A⟩⟩ syntax whatever the semantics, and instead subscript the semantic relation: |=<sub>ir</sub>

### Semantics of ATL<sub>ir</sub>

#### Definition (Semantics of ATL<sub>ir</sub>)

$$M, q \models_{ir} \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle X \varphi$$

 $M, q \models_{ir} \langle\langle A \rangle\rangle G \varphi$ 

 $M, q \models_{ir} \langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle \varphi_1 U \varphi_2$ 

iff there is a collective uniform strategy  $s_A$  such that, for every path  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{q' \sim \frac{F}{A}q} out(q', s_A)$ , we have  $M, \lambda[1] \models_{ir} \varphi$  iff there is a collective uniform strategy  $s_A$  such that, for every path  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{q' \sim \frac{F}{A}q} out(q', s_A)$ , we have  $M, \lambda[i] \models_{ir} \varphi$  for all  $i \geq 0$  iff there is a collective uniform strategy  $s_A$  such that, for every path  $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ 

 $\bigcup_{q' \sim \frac{F}{A}q} out(q', s_A)$ , we have  $M, \lambda[i] \models_{ir} \varphi_2$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , and  $M, \lambda[j] \models_{ir} \varphi_1$  forall  $0 \leq j < i$ ;

Week 8/2

#### How to model check ATL<sub>ir</sub>?

- fixpoint equivalences no longer hold
- we therefore need a different model checking algorithm
- basic idea: given a model M, generate all possible memoryless uniform strategies for the coalition A
- each strategy induces a new model  $M_i$  (where A just have a single joint action in each state, assigned by the strategy), and we check whether the property  $\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle \varphi$  holds in at least one such model

# Deterministic algorithm for checking $M, q \models_{ir} \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle \varphi$

#### In the initial model M

- $d: Agt \times St \rightarrow 2^{Act}$  defines actions available to an agent in a state
- e.g.,  $d(a_1, q_1) = \{\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_{m_1}^1\}, d(a_2, q_1) = \{\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_{m_2}^2\}, \text{ etc.}$

We generate new models  $M_1, \ldots, M_N$ , where  $N = O(|Act|^{|St|k})$ 

- |Act| is the number of actions available
- |St| is the number of states in M, and
- k is the number of agents in A

#### Induced models

- in each M<sub>i</sub>, each agent in A is restricted to a single action
- e.g.,  $d_1(a_1, q_1) = \{\alpha_1^1\}, d_1(a_2, q_1) = \{\alpha_1^2\}, \dots d_1(a_k, q_1) = \{\alpha_1^k\}$
- the  $d_i$  are such that, if two states q, q' are indistinguishable for an agent  $a_j, q \sim_j q'$ , then  $d_i(a_j, q) = d_i(a_j, q')$ , i.e., the agents' strategies are uniform
- the models  $M_1, \ldots, M_N$  therefore encode all possible uniform strategies for the coalition A

# Model checking $M, q \models_{ir} \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle \varphi$

- for each induced model,  $M_i$ , we run the standard ATL model checking algorithm  $MCHECK(M_i, \varphi)$  to label the states  $[\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle\varphi]_{M_i}$  in which the uniform strategy encoded by  $M_i$  makes  $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle\varphi$  true
- we check whether  $q \in [\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle arphi]_{M_i}$
- for strong uniformity, we check if  $\forall q' \in St$  such that  $q \sim_A^E q'$  it holds that  $q' \in [\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle \varphi]_{M_i}$
- i.e., that the uniform strategy encoded by  $M_i$  enforces  $\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle \varphi$  in all states indistinguishable from q
- if such  $M_i$  is found,  $M, q \models_{ir} \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle \varphi$  holds

# Non-deterministic algorithm for checking $M, q \models_{ir} \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle \varphi$

- idea: when dealing with a subformula ((A))φ, guess a uniform strategy s<sub>A</sub> for A
- remove from M all A's actions not conforming to s<sub>A</sub>
- check whether in the resulting model, all states  $q' \sim_A^E q$  satisfy  $\langle\!\langle A \rangle\!\rangle \varphi$  (in fact, can even use CTL model checking of  $A\varphi$ , since we are checking all paths generated by  $s_A$ )
- $\Delta_2^P = P^{NP}$  complexity: we need an NP oracle for guessing uniform strategies,the rest of the computation is polynomial
- ATL<sub>ir</sub> model checking is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete, so there is no polynomial algorithm (unless  $P = \Delta_2^P$  which is even more unlikely than P = NP).

Week 8/2

#### **MCMAS**

- unfortunately mcmas 1.1.0 does not yet have uniform strategies implemented
- from 1.2.1, there is a -uniform option
- it is implemented using the deterministic algorithm above, without the check for strong uniformity (but if the set of initial states includes all states connected by  $\sim_A^E$ , then strong uniformity holds too)