Quantum Information Theory: Sheet 1

Otto Pyper

Exercise 1. a) By definition, if $\underline{u} \in J^n$ then

$$2^{-n(H(u)+\varepsilon)} \le p(u_1, \dots, u_n) \le 2^{-n(H(U)-\varepsilon)}$$

$$\implies -n(H(U)+\varepsilon) \le \log p(u_1, \dots, u_n) \le -n(H(U)-\varepsilon)$$

$$\implies H(U)-\varepsilon \le -\frac{1}{n}p(u_1, \dots, u_n) \le H(U)+\varepsilon$$

c) We have that $\mathbb{P}(T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}) = \sum_{u \in T^{(n)}} p(u)$. Therefore:

$$(1-\delta) < \mathbb{P}(T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}) \le |T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}| p_{\max} \le |T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}| 2^{-n(H(U)-\varepsilon)}$$

and the result follows. Similarly:

$$2^{-n(H(U)+\varepsilon)}|T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}| \le |T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}|p_{\min} \le \mathbb{P}(T_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}) \le 1$$

and again the result follows.

Exercise 2. p(0) = 0.4, p(1) = 0.6, binary source described by U_1, U_2, U_3 .

- 1. The most probable sequence in $\{0,1\}^3$ is 111, which occurs with probability 0.216
- 2. We first calculate the entropy, which is given by $H(U) = -0.4 \log 0.4 0.6 \log 0.6 \approx 0.971$. For $\varepsilon = 0.2$, the typical sequences are then those that occur with probability p, where $0.0876 \le p \le 0.201$. So the typical set is $\{001, 010, 100, 011, 101, 110\}$.
- 3. The total probability of these sequences is 0.72.
- 4. A smallest set of probability at least 0.72 is $\{111, 011, 101, 110\} \cup \{x\}$, for any $x \in \{001, 010, 100\}$.
- 5. This set of higher probability thus has its benefits in that it will yield a lower error rate in the compression scheme. However, it is in general impractical to use a 'high probability set' where the criteria for determining whether something is in the set or not is unclear; we had to made an arbitrary choice to create such a set. In proofs it is more convenient to have a more general, simpler definition of a typical set.

Exercise 3.

1. We have that $H(X) = -\sum_{x \in J_X} p(x) \log p(x) = -\sum_x \sum_y p(x,y) \log p(x)$, and hence:

$$-H(X,Y) + H(X) + H(Y) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$

which we recognise as the relative entropy of the two distributions $\{p(x,y)\}_{x,y}$ and $\{p(x)p(y)\}_{x,y}$, noting that the first is absolutely continuous with respect to the second since if p(x)p(y) = 0 then either p(x) = 0 or p(y) = 0, and in either case p(x,y) = 0 since not both of x, y can occur.

The relative entropy of two probability distributions is always non-negative, and equals zero if and only if the two probability distributions are identical, *i.e.* for each x, y we have p(x, y) = p(x)p(y); so X, Y are independent.

2. Define $f(\lambda)$ as:

$$f(\lambda) = H(\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q) - \lambda H(p) - (1 - \lambda)H(q)$$

$$= -\sum_{x} (\lambda p(x) + (1 - \lambda)q(x)) \log[\lambda p(x) + (1 - \lambda)q(x)] + \lambda \sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{x} q(x) \log q(x)$$

$$\therefore f'(\lambda) = H(q) - H(p) - \sum_{x} (p(x) - q(x)) \log[\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q] - \sum_{x} (p(x) - q(x))$$

$$\therefore f''(\lambda) = -\sum_{x} ((p(x) - q(x))^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda p(x) + (1 - \lambda)q(x)} \le 0$$

with equality iff p(x) = q(x) for all x. So f is concave, and f(0) = 0, f(1) = 0 hence $f(\lambda) \ge 0$ for all $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Exercise 4. The inequality (1) was derived using Jensen's inequality, for which equality holds iff the function φ in question is linear or the inputs are all equal; log is not linear hence equality holds in (1) iff q(x) = p(x) for all x.

(2) is proved similarly using Jensen; let P denote the r.v. that takes values p(x) each with probability p(x). Then we have:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in J_X} p(x) \log p(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in J_X} \log \frac{1}{p(x)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\log \frac{1}{P}]$$

$$\leq \log \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{P} = \log |J_X|$$

so again by Jensen we have equality iff the values that P takes are constant, i.e. each $x \in J_X$ occurs with equal probability. Hence we have equality in (2) iff X is uniform.

Exercise 5. We have already seen that

$$I(X : Y) := H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y) = D(\{p_{X,Y}(x,y)\} | \{p_X(x)p_Y(y)\})$$

Moreover, it can be seen that:

$$\begin{split} H(Y|X) &\coloneqq \sum_{x \in J} p_X(x) H(Y|X = x) \\ &= -\sum_{x \in J} p_X(x) \sum_{y \in J} p_{Y|X}(y|x) \log p_{Y|X}(y|x) \\ &= -\sum_{x,y \in J} p(x,y) \log p(y|x) \\ &= -\sum_{x,y \in J} p(x) p(y|x) \log \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(x)} \\ &= -D(\{p(x,y)\}_{x,y \in J} ||\{p(x)/|J|\}_{x,y \in J}) + \sum_{x,y} p(x) p(y|x) \log |J| \\ &= \log |J| - D(\{p(x,y)\}_{x,y \in J} ||\{p(x)/|J|\}_{x,y \in J}) \\ &= -D(\{p(x,y)\}_{x,y \in J} ||\{p(x)\}_{x,y \in J}) \end{split}$$

where we remark that the latter function on x, y in the relative entropy is not a probability distribution.

Exercise 6.

- 1. We know that H(X|Y) = H(X,Y) H(Y), and I(X:Y) = H(X) + H(Y) H(X,Y). It is then easy to see that I(X:Y) = H(X) H(X|Y).
- 2. If X, Y are independent then H(X|Y) = H(X), so I(X : Y) = H(X) H(X|Y) = H(X) H(X) = 0.

Exercise 7.

1. I believe that by 'equal' here it is mean that P(X = x | Y = x) = 1 for all x, but this isn't generally how I would interpret equal; I would say they are equal if they are i.i.d, for instance, or if they have the same distribution but are not independent (and this could split into a variety of cases).

In this case we have $I(X:Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x) - \sum_{x} p(x) H(X|Y=x)$. $H(X|Y=x) = \sum_{x'} p(x'|x) \log p(x'|x) = 0$. So I(X:Y) = H(X).

2. I(X:Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y). Therefore:

$$I(X:Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\log 2^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\log 2^{-1} - H(X|Y)$$

= 1 - p(Y = 0)H(X|Y = 0) - p(Y = 1)H(X|Y = 1)

Note that $p(Y=0) = p(Y=0|X=1)p(X=1) + p(Y=0|X=0)p(X=0) = \frac{1}{2}(1-p) + \frac{1}{2}p = \frac{1}{2}$. In particular, p(x|y) = p(y|x).

So $H(X|Y=1) = -p(1|1)\log p(1|1) - p(0|1)\log p(0|1) = -p\log p - (1-p)\log(1-p) = h(p)$. Similarly H(X|Y=0) = h(p). So $I(X:Y) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}h(p) - \frac{1}{2}h(p) = 1 - h(p)$.

Exercise 8. WLOG say $p(0) = 1 - \varepsilon$. Then we have:

$$\begin{split} H(X) &= -\sum_{x \in J} p(x) \log p(x) \\ &= -(1-\varepsilon) \log (1-\varepsilon) - \sum_{x \neq 0} p(x) \log p(x) \end{split}$$

Now consider the function $f(x) = x \log(x)$. This function is convex:

$$f(x) = x \log(x)$$

$$\implies f'(x) = \log(x) + \frac{1}{\log_{e}(2)}$$

$$\implies f''(x) = \frac{1}{x \log_{e}(2)}$$

so f is convex for 0 < x < 1. So given t_i and x_i such that $\sum t_i = 1$, we have that $f(\sum t_i x_i) \le \sum t_i f(x_i)$. Setting $t_i = \frac{1}{m-1}$ and $x_i = p(x)$ then gives:

$$f(\sum p(x)/(m-1)) \le \frac{1}{m-1} \sum p(x) \log p(x)$$

$$\implies (m-1)f(\varepsilon/(m-1)) \le \sum p(x) \log p(x)$$

$$\implies \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon/(m-1)) \le \sum p(x) \log p(x)$$

$$\therefore H(X) \le -(1-\varepsilon) \log(1-\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon/(m-1))$$

$$= h(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \log(m-1)$$

which is the desired inequality.

Exercise 9. Let q_j be the probability distribution given by $\{p(x_{i+j-1}|y_j)\}_i$, and let $Q = \sum_{j=1}^m p(y_j)q_j$ be the distribution given by their weighted sum.

Then $\mathbb{P}(Q=1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_j) p(x_j|y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(x_j,y_j) = 1 - \varepsilon$. Hence we can apply (8) to the random variable Q to see that $H(Q) \leq h(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \log(m-1)$.

However, since H is itself concave, we have that:

$$H(Q) = H(\sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_j)q_j)$$
$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_j)H(q_j)$$

Note that q_j has identical entropy to $X|Y = y_j$; the probabilities are the same, they just apply to different values that the variable can take; this has no impact on entropy.

Hence $H(X|Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(y_j)H(q_j) \le H(Q) \le h(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \log(m-1)$, as required.

Exercise 10. We can express H(Y, Z, X) - H(X, Y, Z) = 0 as:

$$\begin{split} 0 = & H(Y) + H(Z|Y) + H(X|Y,Z) \\ - & (H(X) + H(Y|X) + H(Z|X,Y)) \end{split}$$

But $H(Z|X,Y)=\sum_{x,y}p(x,y)H(Z|X=x,Y=y)=\sum_{x,y}p(x,y)H(Z|Y=y)=\sum_{y}p(y)H(Z|Y=y)=H(Z|Y)$. So the above simplifies to:

$$H(Y) - H(Y|X) + H(X|Y,Z) - H(X) = 0$$

and I(X:Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X), I(X:Z) = H(X) - H(X|Z), so we have that

$$I(X : Y) - I(X : Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z)$$

= $I(X : Y|Z) > 0$

since the mutual information between any two r.v.s is non-negative, as can be seen here:

$$H(X|Z) - H(X|Y,Z) = -\sum_{x,y,z} p(x,y,z) \log \frac{p(x,z)p(y,z)}{p(z)p(x,y,z)}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[-\log \frac{p(x,z)p(y,z)}{p(x,y,z)p(z)}\right]$$

i.e. is the expectation of the negative logarithm of the random variable that takes the value p(x, z)p(y, z)/(p(z)p(x, y, z)) with probability p(x, y, z). Then, by Jensen:

$$H(X|Z) - h(X|Y,Z) \ge -\log \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{p(x,z)p(y,z)}{p(z)p(x,y,z)}\right]$$

$$= -\log \left(\sum_{x,y,z} \frac{p(x,z)p(y,z)}{p(z)}\right)$$

$$= -\log \left(\sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \sum_{x} p(x|z)\right)$$

$$= -\log \left(\sum_{y,z} p(y,z)\right)$$

$$= 0$$

Exercise 11. Let p(X = 0) = q, and p(X = 1) = 1 - q. We then calculate I(X : Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y).

Note that H(X|Y=0) = H(X|Y=1) = 0, since the outputs 0 and 1 can only arise from inputs 0 and 1 respectively.

So H(X|Y) = p(Y = e)H(X|Y = e) = ph(q). Moreover, H(X) is the binary entropy h(q).

Hence I(X:Y)=(1-p)h(q), which is maximised at q=1/2, giving $\mathcal{C}=(1-p)=2/3$ for p=1/3.

Exercise 12. If $a \neq -1$, 1 then the output uniquely identifies the input; H(X|Y) = 0, so the capacity is the max of H(X) = h(q), which is achieved at q = 1/2, giving capacity 1.

If a = 1, then we have exactly the same situation as the above, with p = 1/2, e = 1, and 2 is now recognised as 1. So the capacity of this channel is given by the same formula, which is $\max(1-p)h(q)$. p = 1/2, so the capacity is 1/2. Ditto a = -1.

Exercise 13. This is trivial.

Exercise 14.