

Applied Machine Learning

Logistic and Softmax Regression

Oumar Kaba



Admin

Quizz deadline tomorrow

Tutorials coming soon

Start assignment early

Learning objectives

- what are linear classifiers
- logistic regression
 - model
 - loss function
- maximum likelihood view
- multi-class classification

Classification problem

dataset of inputs

$$x^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

and discrete targets

$$y^{(n)} \in \{1, \dots, C\}$$

binary classification


$$y^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}$$

linear classification:

linear decision boundary $w^\top x + b$


how do we find these boundaries?

different approaches give different linear classifiers



Motivation

Logistic Regression is **the** most commonly reported data science method used in practice




from 2020 Kaggle's survey on the state of Machine Learning and Data Science, you can read the full version [here](#)


Linear regression for classification?

first idea

adapting linear regression to do classification?



Linear regression $y \in [0, 1]$



Logistic regression $y \in \{0, 1\}$

A linear classifier!

Linear regression for classification?

first idea

adapting linear regression to do classification?


Use 1 and 0 as the target value directly apply linear regression

Using L2 loss:

$$w^* = \arg \min_w \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (w^T x^{(n)} - y^{(n)})^2$$

How to get a binary output?

- Threshold $y = \mathbb{I}(f(x) > 0.5)$
- Interpret output as probability



Linear regression for classification?

first idea

adapting linear regression to do classification?




Use 1 and 0 as the target value directly apply linear regression

Sensitivity to Outliers, which can dominate the L2 loss (sum of least squares)

Example [D=1]: A single outlier can dominate the L2 loss

Decision boundary is a
D-1 hyperplane,
e.g. here a constant ($x=0.348$)

Fitted regression model is a D
dimensional hyperplane, here a line




Linear regression for classification?

first idea

adapting linear regression to do classification?

Use 1 and 0 as the target value directly apply linear regression

With L2 loss, correct prediction can have higher loss than the incorrect one!



Linear regression for classification?


first idea adapting linear regression to do classification?

more than one class? $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, C\}$

fit a linear model to each **class**: $w_c^* = \arg \min_{w_c} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (w_c^T x^{(n)} - \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = c))^2$

Use 1 and 0 as the target value directly
apply linear regression, only one class
maps to one, all other to zero

How to get the output class?
 $\hat{y}^{(n)} = \arg \max_c f_c(x) = \arg \max_c w_c^T x^{(n)}$



Linear regression for classification?

first idea adapting linear regression to do classification?

more than one class? $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, C\}$

fit a linear model to each **class**: $w_c^* = \arg \min_{w_c} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (w_c^T x^{(n)} - \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = c))^2$

Use 1 and 0 as the target value directly
apply linear regression, only one class
maps to one, all other to zero

How to get the output class?


$$\hat{y}^{(n)} = \arg \max_c f_c(x) = \arg \max_c w_c^T x^{(n)}$$

decision boundary between any two classes

$$w_c^T x = w_{c'}^T x$$

$$(w_c - w_{c'})^T x = 0$$

Example:




Logistic function

Idea: apply a squashing function to $w^\top x \rightarrow \sigma(w^\top x)$

desirable property of $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- | all $w^\top x > 0$ are squashed close together
- | all $w^\top x < 0$ are squashed together

logistic function has these properties



some useful properties of $\sigma(x)$

$$1 - \sigma(x) = \sigma(-x)$$

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{e^x}{1+e^x}$$

the decision boundary is

$$\sigma(w^\top x) = \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow w^\top x = 0$$

still a linear decision boundary

Logistic regression: model


$$f_w(x) = \sigma(w^\top x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^\top x}}$$

logistic function
squashing function
activation function

ζ logit

note the linear decision boundary

Generally, $\sigma(w^\top x)$ has a linear decision boundary for any monotonically increasing $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$



classifiers $\sigma(w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2)$ for different weights: $w = [w_1, w_2]$

Logistic regression: model

recall the way we included a **bias** parameter $x = [1, x_1]$

the input feature is generated uniformly in [-5,5]

for all the values less than 2 we have $y=1$ and $y=0$ otherwise


a good fit to this data is the one shown (green)

$$f_w(x) = \sigma(w^\top x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^\top x}}$$

in the model shown $w \approx [9.1, -4.5]$

that is $\hat{y} = \sigma(-4.5x_1 + 9.1)$

what is our model's decision boundary?



Logistic regression: the loss

to find a good model, we need to define the **cost (loss) function**

the **best model** is the one with the lowest cost

cost is the sum of **loss** values for individual points

zero-one loss

misclassification error

$$L_{0/1}(\hat{y}, y) = \mathbb{I}(y \neq \hat{y})$$
$$\sigma(w^\top x)$$

L2 loss

least squared error

$$L_2(\hat{y}, y) = \frac{1}{2}(y - \hat{y})^2$$
$$\sigma(w^\top x)$$

- not a continuous function (in w)
- hard to optimize

squashing resolves some problems and
loss is continuous but

- hard to optimize (non-convex in w)

Logistic regression: the loss

third idea use the cross-entropy loss

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -y \log(\hat{y}) - (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$$

$$\sigma(w^\top x)$$

- it is convex in w
- probabilistic interpretation (soon!)



examples

correctly classified $L_{CE}(y = 1, \hat{y} = .9) = -\log(.9) \approx 0.1$ smaller than $L_{CE}(y = 1, \hat{y} = .5) = -\log(.5) = 0.69$

incorrectly classified $L_{CE}(y = 0, \hat{y} = .9) = -\log(.1) \approx 2.3$ larger than $L_{CE}(y = 0, \hat{y} = .5) = -\log(.5) = 0.69$

Cost function

we need to optimize the cost wrt. parameters

first: simplify $L_{CE}(y, \hat{y}) = -y \log(\hat{y}) - (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(w^T x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^T x}}$$

$$J(w) = \sum_{n=1}^N -y^{(n)} \log(\sigma(w^\top x^{(n)})) - (1 - y^{(n)}) \log(1 - \sigma(w^\top x^{(n)}))$$

substitute logistic function

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-w^\top x}}\right) = -\log(1 + e^{-w^\top x})$$

substitute logistic function

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-w^\top x}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{w^\top x}}\right) = -\log(1 + e^{w^\top x})$$

$$\sigma(x) = 1 - \sigma(-x)$$

simplified cost $J(w) = \sum_{n=1}^N y^{(n)} \log(1 + e^{-w^\top x}) + (1 - y^{(n)}) \log(1 + e^{w^\top x})$

Example: binary classification

classification on **Iris flowers dataset**:

(*a classic dataset originally used by Fisher*)

classifying Iris flowers

N = 150 instances of flowers


D=4 features {the length and the width of the sepals and petals}

C=3 classes {setosa, versicolor, virginica} : 50 samples of each

index	sl	sw	pl	pw	label
0	5.1	3.5	1.4	0.2	Setosa
1	4.9	3.0	1.4	0.2	Setosa
...					
50	7.0	3.2	4.7	1.4	Versicolor
...					
149	5.9	3.0	5.1	1.8	Virginica



Iris Data (red=setosa,green=versicolor,blue=virginica)



Example: binary classification

classification on **Iris flowers dataset**:

(a classic dataset originally used by Fisher)

$N = 150$ instances of flowers


$D=4$ features {the length and the width of the sepals and petals}

$C=3$ classes {setosa, versicolor, virginica} : 50 samples of each

$N_c = 50$ samples with $D=4$ features,
for each of $C=3$ species of Iris flower



$N_1 = 50$ samples with $D=1$ features
for one class and $N_0 = 100$ samples
with $D=1$ features for the other class





our setting

2 classes
(blue vs others)


1 features
(petal width + bias)

Example: binary classification


$J(w)$ as a function of these weights




$$w = [0, 0]$$



$$\leftarrow \sigma(w_0^* + w_1^*x)$$



Gradient



how did we find the optimal weights?
(in contrast to linear regression, no closed form solution)

$$\text{cost: } J(w) = \sum_{n=1}^N y^{(n)} \log (1 + e^{-w^\top x^{(n)}}) + (1 - y^{(n)}) \log (1 + e^{w^\top x^{(n)}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{taking partial derivative } \frac{\partial}{\partial w_d} J(w) &= \sum_n -y^{(n)} x_d^{(n)} \frac{e^{-w^\top x^{(n)}}}{1+e^{-w^\top x^{(n)}}} + x_d^{(n)} (1 - y^{(n)}) \frac{e^{w^\top x^{(n)}}}{1+e^{w^\top x^{(n)}}} \\ &= \sum_n -x_d^{(n)} y^{(n)} (1 - \hat{y}^{(n)}) + x_d^{(n)} (1 - y^{(n)}) \hat{y}^{(n)} = \sum_n x_d^{(n)} (\hat{y}^{(n)} - y^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\text{gradient}} \quad \nabla J(w) = \sum_n x^{(n)} (\hat{y}^{(n)} - y^{(n)}) \sigma(w^\top x^{(n)})$$

$$\text{compare to gradient for linear regression} \quad \nabla J(w) = \sum_n x^{(n)} (\hat{y}^{(n)} - y^{(n)}) w^\top x^{(n)}$$

Probabilistic view

Interpret the prediction as class **probability** $\hat{y} = p_w(y = 1 \mid x) = \sigma(w^\top x)$

the log-ratio of class probabilities is linear

$$\log \frac{\hat{y}}{1-\hat{y}} = \log \frac{\sigma(w^\top x)}{1-\sigma(w^\top x)} = \log \frac{1}{e^{-w^\top x}} = w^\top x$$

logit function

is the inverse of logistic, read more [here](#)

We have a Bernoulli likelihood

$$p(y^{(n)} \mid x^{(n)}; w) = \text{Bernoulli}(y^{(n)}; \sigma(w^\top x^{(n)})) = \hat{y}^{(n)^{y^{(n)}}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(n)})^{1-y^{(n)}}$$

conditional **likelihood** of the labels given the inputs

$$L(w) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(y^{(n)} \mid x^{(n)}; w) = \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{y}^{(n)^{y^{(n)}}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(n)})^{1-y^{(n)}}$$

Maximum likelihood & logistic regression

likelihood $L(w) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(y^{(n)} | x^{(n)}; w) = \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{y}^{(n)}^{y^{(n)}} (1 - \hat{y}^{(n)})^{1-y^{(n)}}$

find w that maximizes log likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &= \max_w \sum_{n=1}^N \log p_w(y^{(n)} | x^{(n)}; w) \\ &= \max_w \sum_{n=1}^N y^{(n)} \log(\hat{y}^{(n)}) + (1 - y^{(n)}) \log(1 - \hat{y}^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

= $\min_w J(w)$ the cross entropy cost function!

so using cross-entropy loss in logistic regression is maximizing conditional likelihood

we saw a similar interpretation for linear regression (L2 loss maximizes the conditional Gaussian likelihood)

Multiclass classification

using this probabilistic view we extend logistic regression to multiclass setting

binary classification: Bernoulli likelihood:

$$\text{Bernoulli}(y \mid \hat{y}) = \hat{y}^y (1 - \hat{y})^{1-y} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \hat{y} \in [0, 1]$$

$\begin{cases} \hat{y} & y = 1 \\ 1 - \hat{y} & y = 0 \end{cases}$

↓

using logistic function to ensure this $\hat{y} = \sigma(z) = \sigma(w^T x)$

C classes: categorical likelihood

$$\text{Categorical}(y \mid \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \prod_{c=1}^C \hat{y}_c^{\mathbb{I}(y=c)} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum_c \hat{y}_c = 1$$

↓

achieved using softmax function

$$\begin{cases} \hat{y}_1 & y = 1 \\ \hat{y}_2 & y = 2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{y}_C & y = C \end{cases}$$

Softmax

generalization of logistic to > 2 classes:

- **logistic:** $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ produces a single probability
 - probability of the second class is $(1 - \sigma(z))$
- **softmax:** $\mathbb{R}^C \rightarrow \Delta_C$ recall: probability simplex $p \in \Delta_c \rightarrow \sum_{c=1}^C p_c = 1$

$$\hat{y}_c = \text{softmax}(z)_c = \frac{e^{z_c}}{\sum_{c'=1}^C e^{z_{c'}}} \quad \text{so} \quad \sum_c \hat{y} = 1$$

example $\text{softmax}([1, 1, 2, 0]) = [\frac{e}{2e+e^2+1}, \frac{e}{2e+e^2+1}, \frac{e^2}{2e+e^2+1}, \frac{1}{2e+e^2+1}]$

$$\text{softmax}([10, 100, -1]) \approx [0, 1, 0]$$

if input values are large, softmax becomes similar to argmax
similar to logistic this is also a squashing function

Multiclass classification

C classes: categorical likelihood

$$\text{Categorical}(y \mid \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \prod_{c=1}^C \hat{y}_c^{\mathbb{I}(y=c)} \quad \text{using softmax to enforce sum-to-one constraint}$$

$$\hat{y}_c = \text{softmax}([\mathbf{w}_1^\top \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_C^\top \mathbf{x}])_c = \frac{e^{\mathbf{w}_c^\top \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{\mathbf{w}_{c'}^\top \mathbf{x}}}$$

so we have one parameter **vector** for each class

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = [w_{1,1}, w_{1,2}, \dots, w_{1,D}]$$

to simplify equations we write $\mathbf{z}_c = \mathbf{w}_c^\top \mathbf{x}$

$$\hat{y}_c = \text{softmax}([z_1, \dots, z_C])_c = \frac{e^{z_c}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}}}$$

Likelihood for multiclass classification

C classes: categorical likelihood

Categorical($y \mid \hat{y}$) = $\prod_{c=1}^C \hat{y}_c^{\mathbb{I}(y=c)}$ using softmax to enforce sum-to-one constraint

$$\hat{y}_c = \text{softmax}([z_1, \dots, z_C])_c = \frac{e^{z_c}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}}} \text{ where } z_c = w_c^\top x$$

substituting softmax in Categorical likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{likelihood } L(\{w_c\}) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^C \text{softmax}([z_1^{(n)}, \dots, z_C^{(n)}])_c^{\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=c)} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^C \left(\frac{e^{z_c^{(n)}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}} \right)^{\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=c)} \end{aligned}$$

One-hot encoding

likelihood

$$L(\{w_c\}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^C \left(\frac{e^{z_c^{(n)}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}} \right)^{\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=c)}$$

log-likelihood

$$\ell(\{w_c\}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=c) (z_c^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}})$$

one-hot encoding for labels $y^{(n)} \rightarrow [\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=1), \dots, \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)}=C)]$

convert that feature into C binary features

Example: $y^{(n)} \in \{1, 2, 3\} \Rightarrow y^{(n)} \in \{[1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 1]\}$

side note

we can also use this encoding for categorical **features**

$$x_d^{(n)} \rightarrow [\mathbb{I}(x_d^{(n)}=1), \dots, \mathbb{I}(x_d^{(n)}=C)]$$

Food Name	Categorical #	Calories
Apple	1	95
Chicken	2	231
Broccoli	3	50

Apple	Chicken	Broccoli	Calories
1	0	0	95
0	1	0	231
0	0	1	50

one-hot example from [here](#)

One-hot encoding

likelihood

$$L(\{w_c\}) = \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^C \left(\frac{e^{z_c^{(n)}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}} \right)^{\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = c)}$$

log-likelihood

$$\ell(\{w_c\}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = c) (z_c^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}})$$

one-hot encoding for labels $y^{(n)} \rightarrow [\mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = 1), \dots, \mathbb{I}(y^{(n)} = C)]$
 $z^{(n)} = [z_1^{(n)}, z_2^{(n)}, \dots, z_C^{(n)}], \quad z_c^{(n)} = w_c^\top x^{(n)}$

using this encoding from now on

log-likelihood $\ell(\{w_c\}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(y^{(n) \top} z^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}} \right)$

Implementing the cost function

softmax cross entropy cost function is the negative of the log-likelihood
similar to the binary case

$$J(\{w_c\}) = - \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (y^{(n)^\top} z^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}) \right) \text{ where } z_c = w_c^\top x$$

naive implementation of log-sum-exp causes over/underflow

we could run into very large or small numbers inside the exponential

prevent this using this one trick!

$$\log \sum_c e^{z_c} = \bar{z} + \log \sum_c e^{z_c - \bar{z}}$$

$$\text{where } \bar{z} \leftarrow \max_c z_c$$

this bring the numbers in exponent close to zero and makes the log-sum-exp numerically stable

Optimization

given the training data $\mathcal{D} = \{(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}_n$

find the best model parameters $\{w_c\}_c$

by minimizing the cost (maximizing the likelihood of \mathcal{D})


$$J(\{w_c\}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N (y^{(n)^\top} z^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}) \text{ where } z_c = w_c^\top x$$

need to use gradient descent (for now calculate the gradient)

$$\nabla J(w) = [\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1,1}} J, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1,D}} J, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{C,D}} J]^\top$$

length $C \times D$

Gradient



need to use gradient descent (for now calculate the gradient)

$$J(\{w_c\}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N (y^{(n)^\top} z^{(n)} - \log \sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}) \quad \text{where } z_c = w_c^\top x$$

using chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{c,d}} J = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial J}{\partial z_c^{(n)}} \frac{\partial z_c^{(n)}}{\partial w_{c,d}} = \sum_n (\hat{y}_c^{(n)} - y_c^{(n)}) x_d^{(n)}$$

this looks familiar!

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \\ x_d^{(n)}$$

$$-\hat{y}_c^{(n)} + \frac{e^{z_c^{(n)}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{z_{c'}^{(n)}}}$$

so the derivative of log-sum-exp is softmax

$$\downarrow \\ \hat{y}_c^{(n)}$$

Summary

- logistic regression: logistic activation function + cross-entropy loss
 - cost function
 - probabilistic interpretation
 - using maximum likelihood to derive the cost function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gaussian likelihood} & \rightleftharpoons & \text{L2 loss} \\ \text{Bernoulli likelihood} & & \text{cross-entropy loss} \end{array}$$

- multi-class classification: softmax + cross-entropy
 - cost function
 - one-hot encoding
 - gradient calculation (will use later!)