**Definition 1.** The two reward functions  $\mathcal{R}_1: S^{\otimes} \times 2^{E^{\otimes}} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2: S^{\otimes} \times E^{\otimes} \times S^{\otimes} \to \mathbb{R}$  are defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{R}_1(s^{\otimes}, \pi) = \begin{cases} r_n |\pi| & \text{if } [s^{\otimes}]_q \notin SinkSet, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where |E| means number of elements in the set E and  $r_n$  is a positive value.

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}(s^{\otimes}, e, s^{\otimes'}) = \begin{cases}
r_{p} & \text{if } \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \ (s^{\otimes}, e, s^{\otimes'}) \in \bar{F}_{i}^{\otimes}, \\
r_{sink} & \text{if } [\![s^{\otimes'}]\!]_{q} \in SinkSet, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where  $r_p$  and  $r_{sink}$  are the positive and negative value, respectively.

For a Markov chain  $MC_{SV}^{\otimes}$  induced by a product MDP  $D^{\otimes}$  with a supervisor SV, let  $S_{SV}^{\otimes} = T_{SV}^{\otimes} \sqcup R_{SV}^{\otimes 1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R_{SV}^{\otimes h}$  be the set of states in  $MC_{SV}^{\otimes}$ , where  $T_{SV}^{\otimes}$  is the set of transient states and  $R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$  is the recurrent class for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ , and let  $R(MC_{SV}^{\otimes})$  be the union of all recurrent classes in  $MC_{SV}^{\otimes}$ . Let  $\delta_{SV}^{\otimes i}$  be the set of transitions in a recurrent class  $R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$ , namely  $\delta_{SV}^{\otimes i} = \{(s^{\otimes}, e, s^{\otimes'}) \in \delta^{\otimes}; s^{\otimes} \in R_{SV}^{\otimes i}, P_T^{\otimes}(s^{\otimes'}|s^{\otimes}, e) > 0, P_E^{\otimes}(e|s^{\otimes}, SV(s^{\otimes})) > 0\}$ , and let  $P_{SV}^{\otimes} : S_{SV}^{\otimes} \times S_{SV}^{\otimes} \to [0, 1]$  such that  $P_{SV}^{\otimes}(s^{\otimes'}|s^{\otimes}) = \sum_{e \in SV(s^{\otimes})} P_T^{\otimes}(s^{\otimes'}|s^{\otimes}, e) P_E^{\otimes}(e|s^{\otimes}, SV(s^{\otimes}))$  be the transition probability under SV.

**Lemma 1.** For any supervisor SV and any recurrent class  $R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$  in the Markov chain  $MC_{SV}^{\otimes}$ ,  $MC_{SV}^{\otimes}$  satisfies one of the following conditions.

1. 
$$\delta_{SV}^{\otimes i} \cap \bar{F}_i^{\otimes} \neq \emptyset$$
,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

2. 
$$\delta_{SV}^{\otimes i} \cap \bar{F}_j^{\otimes} = \emptyset$$
,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 2.** An accepting recurrent class is defined as the recurrent class that has at least one accepting transitions in each accepting set  $\bar{F}_j^{\otimes}$  with  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M^{\otimes}$  be the product DES corresponding to a DES M and an LTL formula  $\varphi$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_1$  be a reward function for control patterns. If there exists a supervisor SV satisfying  $\varphi$  and it satisfies that there is no state  $s^{\otimes} \in S_{SV}^{\otimes}$  reachable from initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  such that  $[s^{\otimes}]_q \in SinkSet$ , then there exist a discount factor  $\gamma^*$ , a positive reward  $r_p^*(\mathcal{R}_1)$  that satisfies  $r_p^*(\mathcal{R}_1) >> ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}$ , and a negative reward  $r_{sink}^*(r_p, \mathcal{R}_1)$  that satisfies  $r_{sink}^*(r_p, \mathcal{R}_1) << -(r_p+||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty})$  such that any algorithm that maximizes the expected discounted reward with  $\gamma > \gamma^*$ ,  $r_p > r_p^*(\mathcal{R}_1)$ , and  $r_{sink} < r_{sink}^*(r_p^*, \mathcal{R}_1)$  will find, with probability one, a supervisor satisfying  $\varphi$  and it satisfies that there is no state  $s^{\otimes} \in S_{SV}^{\otimes}$  reachable from the initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  such that  $[s^{\otimes}]_q \in SinkSet$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there is an algorithym by which an optimal supervisor  $SV^*$  is obtained but  $SV^*$  does not satisfy the LTL formula  $\varphi$  or there is a state  $s_{sink}^{\otimes}$ 

reachable from the initial state such that  $[s_{sink}^{\otimes}]_q \in SinkSet$  under  $SV^*$ . Then, for any recurrent class  $R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$  in the Markov chain  $MC_{SV^*}^{\otimes}$  and any accepting set  $\bar{F}_j^{\otimes}$  of the product DES  $M^{\otimes}$ ,  $\delta_{SV^*,i}^{\otimes} \cap \bar{F}_j^{\otimes} = \emptyset$  holds for the first case by Lemma 1 and there is a recurrent class  $R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$  such that  $s_{sink}^{\otimes} \in R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$  for the second case. We consider the two cases separately.

1. Assume that  $SV^*$  does not the LTL formula  $\varphi$ . By the assumption, the system under the supervisor  $SV^*$  can only obtain rewards in the set of transient states and rewards regarding sink states. We consider the best scenario in the assumption. Let  $p^k(s,s')$  be the probability of going to a state s' in k time steps after leaving the state s, and let  $Post(T_{SV^*}^{\otimes})$  be the set of states in recurrent classes that can be transitioned from states in  $T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}$  by one event occurrence. Let  $R_{SV^*}^{\otimes sink}$  be the union of the states  $s_{sink}^{\otimes}$  such that  $[s_{sink}^{\otimes}]_q \in SinkSet$ . Recall that  $r_{sink} < 0$ . Thus, for the initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  in the set of transient states, it holds that

$$\begin{split} V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{\otimes} \in T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}} \gamma^k p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes}) \\ &\{ \sum_{s^{\otimes\prime} \in T_{SV^*}^{\otimes} \cup Post(T_{\pi^*}^{\otimes})} \sum_{e \in SV(s^{\otimes})} P_T^{\otimes}(s^{\otimes\prime}|s^{\otimes}, e) P_E^{\otimes}(e|s^{\otimes}, SV(s^{\otimes})) \mathcal{R}(s^{\otimes}, SV(s^{\otimes}), e, s^{\otimes\prime}) \\ &+ \sum_{s^{\otimes\prime} \in R_{SV}^{\otimes sink}} P_{SV}^{\otimes}(s^{\otimes\prime}|s^{\otimes}) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma^l r_{sink} \} \\ &\leq r_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{\otimes} \in T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}} \gamma^k p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

By the property of the transient states, for any state  $s^{\otimes}$  in  $T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}$ , there exists a bounded positive value m such that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes}) < m$  [1]. Therefore, there exists a bounded positive value  $\bar{m}$  such that  $V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) < \bar{m} + \frac{1}{1-\gamma}||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}$ .

2. Assume that there is a state  $s_{sink}^{\otimes}$  reachable from the initial state such that  $[\![s_{sink}^{\otimes}]\!]_q \in SinkSet$  under  $SV^*$ . By the assumption, there is a recurrent class  $R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$  reachable from the initial state such that  $s_{sink}^{\otimes} \in R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$ . We consider the best scenario in the assumption. There exist a number l > 0, a state  $s_{sink}^{\otimes} \in Post(T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}) \cap R_{SV^*}^{\otimes i}$ , and a subset of transient states  $\{s_1^{\otimes}, \ldots, s_{l-1}^{\otimes}\} \subset T_{SV^*}^{\otimes}$  such that  $p(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s_1^{\otimes}) > 0$ ,  $p(s_i^{\otimes}, s_{i+1}^{\otimes}) > 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, l-2\}$ , and  $p(s_{l-1}^{\otimes}, s_{sink}^{\otimes}) > 0$  by the property of transient states. We have

$$V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) < Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes} \models \varphi) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k (r_p + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) + \gamma^l p^l(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s_{sink}^{\otimes}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{sink}$$

$$+ Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes} \not\models \varphi) (r_p + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{\otimes} \in T_{\pi^*}^{\otimes}} \gamma^k p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes})$$

$$< \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \{ Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes} \models \varphi) (r_p + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) + \gamma^l p^l(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s_{sink}^{\otimes}) r_{sink} \} + \bar{m}',$$

where  $\bar{m}'$  is a constant such that  $\bar{m}' > Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes} \not\models \varphi)(r_p + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{\otimes} \in T_{\pi^*}^{\otimes}} \gamma^k p^k(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s^{\otimes}).$  Therefore, if it holds that  $r_{sink} \leq -\frac{Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes} \models \varphi)}{\gamma^l p^l(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s_{sink}^{\otimes})}(r_p + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}),$  we then have  $V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) < \bar{m}'$  for any  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ .

Let SV be a supervisor satisfying  $\varphi$  and it satisfies that there is no state  $s^{\otimes} \in S_{SV}^{\otimes}$  reachable from initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  such that  $[\![s^{\otimes}]\!]_q \in SinkSet$ . We consider the following two cases.

1. Assume that the initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  is in a recurrent class  $R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$  for some  $i \in \{1, ..., h\}$ . For any accepting set  $\bar{F}_{j}^{\otimes}$ ,  $\delta_{SV}^{\otimes i} \cap \bar{F}_{j}^{\otimes} \neq \emptyset$  holds by the definition of SV. The expected discounted reward for  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  is given by

$$V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) = \mathbb{E}^{SV}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k \mathcal{R}(s_k, \pi_k, e_k, s_{k+1}) | s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]$$
 (3)

For each path  $\rho = s_0 \pi_0 e_0 s_1 \dots s_i \pi_i e_i s_{i+1} \dots \in S(2^E E S)^{\omega}$ , the stopping time  $\hat{k}$  of first returning to the initial state is defined as  $\hat{k}(\rho) = \min_i \{i | s_i = s_0\}$ . We consider the worst scenario of returning the initial state in this case. It holds that

$$\begin{split} V^{S\bar{V}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > & \mathbb{E}^{S\bar{V}}[\gamma^{\hat{k}-1}r_p + \gamma^{\hat{k}-1}V^{S\bar{V}}(s_{init}^{\otimes})|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}] \\ \geq & \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}-1|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]}r_p + \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}-1|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]}V^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > & \frac{\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{SV}}[\hat{k}-1|s_0=s_{init}^{\otimes}]}r_p}{1-\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{SV}}[\hat{k}-1|s_0=s_{init}^{\otimes}]}} \\ > & \frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}r_p}{1-\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}, \end{split}$$

where the second inequality holds since it holds that  $\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\gamma^{\hat{k}}|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}] \geq \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]}$  by Jensen's inequality,  $\hat{K} = \lceil \mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}] \rceil$ , and the fourth inequality holds since it holds that  $\gamma^{\hat{K}} < \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]}$  and  $\frac{1}{1-\gamma^{\hat{K}}} < \frac{1}{1-\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}|s_0 = s_{init}^{\otimes}]}$  for any  $\gamma \in (0,1)$ . We set  $r_p^*$  and  $r_{sink}^*$  to satisfy  $\frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1-\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}r_p^* > \frac{1}{1-\gamma}||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}$  and  $r_{sink}^* \leq -\frac{Pr_{SV*}^{M}(s_{init}^{\otimes}|-\varphi)}{\gamma^l p^l(s_{init}^{\otimes},s_{sink}^{\otimes})}(r_p^* + ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty})$ . Therefore, for a reward function  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , there exist  $\gamma^* < 1$  satisfying  $\frac{\gamma^{*\hat{K}-1}}{1-\gamma^{*\hat{K}-1}}r_p^* - \frac{1}{1-\gamma^*}||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty} > m$  for any m > 0 such that  $\gamma > \gamma^*$ ,  $r_p > r_p^*$ , and  $r_{sink} < r_{sink}^*$  imply  $V^{SV}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes})$  since for  $m = \max\{\bar{m}, \bar{m}'\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) - V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > & \frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1 - \gamma^{\hat{K}-1}} r_p - (m + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) \\ = & (\frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1 - \gamma^{\hat{K}-1}} r_p - \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) - m \end{split}$$

by the settings of  $\gamma^*$ ,  $r_p^*$ , and  $r_{sink}^*$ , we have

$$V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) - V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > 0 \tag{4}$$

2. Assume that the initial state  $s_{init}^{\otimes}$  is in the set of transient states  $T_{SV}^{\otimes}$ ,  $P_{SV}^{M^{\otimes}}$  ( $s_{init}^{\otimes} \models \varphi$ ) > 0 holds by the definition of SV. For a recurrent class  $R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$  such that  $\delta_{SV,i}^{\otimes} \cap \bar{F}_{j}^{\otimes} \neq \emptyset$  for each accepting set  $\bar{F}_{j}^{\otimes}$ , there exist a number l' > 0, a state  $\hat{s}^{\otimes}$  in  $Post(T_{SV}^{\otimes}) \cap R_{SV}^{\otimes i}$ , and a subset of transient states  $\{s_{1}^{\otimes}, \dots, s_{l'-1}^{\otimes}\} \subset T_{SV}^{\otimes}$  such that  $p(s_{init}^{\otimes}, s_{1}^{\otimes}) > 0$ ,  $p(s_{i}^{\otimes}, s_{i+1}^{\otimes}) > 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, l'-2\}$ , and  $p(s_{l'-1}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) > 0$  by the property of transient states. Hence, it holds that  $p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) > 0$  for the state  $\hat{s}^{\otimes}$ . For each path  $\rho = s_{0}\pi_{0}e_{0}s_{1} \dots s_{i}\pi_{i}e_{i}s_{i+1} \dots \in S(2^{E}ES)^{\omega}$ , the stopping time  $\hat{k}$  of first returning to of first returning to the state  $\hat{s}^{\otimes}$  is defined as  $\hat{k}(\rho) = \min_{i}\{i > l' | s_{i} = \hat{s}^{\otimes}\}$ . Thus, by ignoring positive rewards in  $T_{SV}^{\otimes}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} V^{S\bar{V}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) = & \mathbb{E}^{SV}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{k} \mathcal{R}(s_{k}, \bar{SV}(s_{k}), e_{k}, s_{k+1}) | s_{0} = s_{init}^{\otimes}] \\ \geq & \mathbb{E}^{SV}[\gamma^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{k} \mathcal{R}(s_{k+l}, \bar{SV}(s_{k+l}), e_{k+l}, s_{k+l+1}) | s_{0} = s_{init}^{\otimes}] \\ \geq & \gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \mathbb{E}^{\bar{SV}}[\gamma^{\hat{k}-1} r_{p} + \gamma^{\hat{k}-1} V^{\bar{SV}}(\hat{s}^{\otimes}) | s_{l'} = \hat{s}^{\otimes}] \\ \geq & \gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \{ \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}-1|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]} r_{p} + \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}-1|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]} V^{\bar{SV}}(\hat{s}^{\otimes}) \}. \end{split}$$

As with the case 1, we have

$$V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) \ge \gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \frac{\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}-1|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]} r_p}{1 - \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{SV}[\hat{k}-1|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]}}$$
$$> \gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1} r_p}{1 - \gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}$$
(5)

where the third inequality holds since it holds that  $\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{\gamma}^{\hat{k}}|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}] \geq \gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]}$  by Jensen's inequality,  $\hat{K}=\lceil\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]\rceil$ , and the fifth inequality holds since it holds that  $\gamma^{\hat{K}}<\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]}$  and  $\frac{1}{1-\gamma^{\hat{K}}}<\frac{1}{1-\gamma^{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}[\hat{k}|s_{l'}=\hat{s}^{\otimes}]}$  for any  $\gamma\in(0,1)$ . We set  $r_p^*$  and  $r_{sink}^*$  to satisfy  $\gamma^{l'}p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes},\hat{s}^{\otimes})\frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1-\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}r_p^*>\frac{1}{1-\gamma}||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}$  and  $r_{sink}^*\leq-\frac{Pr_{SV^*}^{M^{\otimes}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}|=\varphi)}{\gamma^{l}p^{l}(s_{init}^{\otimes},s_{ink}^{\otimes})}(r_p^*+||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty})$  for any  $\gamma\in(0,1)$ . Therefore, for the reward function  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , there exist  $\gamma^*<1$  satisfying  $\gamma^{l'}p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes},\hat{s}^{\otimes})\frac{\gamma^{*\hat{K}-1}}{1-\gamma^{*\hat{K}-1}}r_p^*-\frac{1}{1-\gamma^*}||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}>m$  for any m>0 such that  $\gamma>\gamma^*$ ,  $r_p>r_p^*$ , and  $r_{sink}< r_{sink}^*$  imply  $V^{\bar{S}\bar{V}}(s_{init}^{\otimes})>V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes})$  since for  $m=\max\{\bar{m},\bar{m}'\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) - V^{\bar{SV}^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > & \gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1 - \gamma^{\hat{K}-1}} r_p - (m + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) \\ = & (\gamma^{l'} p^{l'}(s_{init}^{\otimes}, \hat{s}^{\otimes}) \frac{\gamma^{\hat{K}-1}}{1 - \gamma^{\hat{K}-1}} r_p - \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} ||\mathcal{R}_1||_{\infty}) - m \end{split}$$

by the settings of  $\gamma^*$ ,  $r_p^*$ , and  $r_{sink}^*$ , we have

$$V^{\bar{SV}}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) - V^{SV^*}(s_{init}^{\otimes}) > 0$$

$$\tag{6}$$

The results contradict the optimality assumption of  $SV^*$ 

## References

- [1] R. Durrett, *Essentials of Stochastic Processes*, 2nd Edition. ser. Springer texts in statistics. New York; London; Springer, 2012.
- [2] L. Breuer, "Introduction to Stochastic Processes," [Online]. Available: https://www.kent.ac.uk/smsas/personal/lb209/files/sp07.pdf
- [3] S.M. Ross, Stochastic Processes, 2nd Edition. University of California, Wiley, 1995.
- [4] S. Singh, T. Jaakkola, M. L. Littman, and C. Szepesvári, "Convergence results for single-step on-policy reinforcement learning algorithms" *Machine Learning*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 287–308, 1998.

[5] J. Kretínský, T. Meggendorfer, S. Sickert, "Owl: A library for  $\omega$ -words, automata, and LTL," in *Proc. 16th International Symposium on Automated Technology for Verification and Analysis*, 2018, pp. 543–550.