

Lecture 2: Categorization (Part 1)

*Lecturer: Anderson Todd**Scribes: Ousmane Amadou*

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

2.1 An Overview of Cognitive Science (CogSci)

2.1.1 Motivation

Key writing points: (1) Black box approach (2) Examples of cogsci in real life (Machine learning, Stock Crash,) (3) Literacy As machine learning is picking up (quickly), also hitting a problem that many of these problems are "black boxes" (not understanding how it actually works, or how it works under the hood)

2.1.2 Theoretical Constucts and Plausibility

Key writing points: (1) Metaphors and multi aptness (2) Convergence of evidence (works for multiple streams of evidence) example:

2.1.3 What is CogSci?

Cogsci seeks to develop a common language for describing **cognitive phenomena** that can be understood through multiple disciplines.

There are three models of cognitive science:

1. Generic Nominalism

- The weakest definition of CogSci
- In this vision, CogSci is not it's own discipline. Instead, it is a generic term describing the study of mind in CogSci's subdisciplines.
- This vision is generally not accepted in third generation cognitive science

2. Interdisciplinary Ecclecticism

- A stonger definition than generic nominalism, not the strongest
- This approach is characterized by drawing from CogSci's sub disciplines to analyze the mind. Instead of holding to a single paradigm or framework of thought, IE seeks to integrate knowledge from all the sub disciplines to gain insight into the mind.
- Analogy: Interfaith dialgoue

- This model, however, is very unstable. Typically this model either devolves into generic nominalism or evolves into synoptic integration.

3. Synoptic Integration

- The strongest definition of CogSci.
- CogSci, under this model, is a unique discipline. Doing CogSci is deliberate.

2.2 Naturalistic Imperative

The Naturalistic Imperative is a term coined by John Vervaeke. To understand the naturalistic imperative it is useful to look at previous scientific revolutions

- Generic step of the algorithm is to swap a basic variable with a non basic variable. For now assume that we have selected basic variable x_p and non-basic variable x_q to swap
- x_p can be swapped with x_q if and only if $Y_{pq} \neq 0$ because if Y_{pq} is equal to 0 then column vector Y_q can be represented as linear combination of $m - 1$ basis vectors i.e.

$$Y_q = \sum_{i=1, i \neq p}^m y_{iq} * I_i$$

and hence Y_q cannot be included in basic solution

- Now make q^{th} column as $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1_p & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where 1_p signifies 1 at p^{th} position. For that divide p^{th} row of matrix $\begin{bmatrix} I & Y \end{bmatrix}$ and matrix $\begin{bmatrix} Y(0) \end{bmatrix}$ by Y_{pq} and apply the row operation $R_i \Rightarrow R_i - Y_{iq} * R_p$

2.3 Determining the Leaving Variable p

- While applying row transformation of $\begin{bmatrix} I & Y \end{bmatrix}$ rows of $\begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix}$ also changes and are given by

$$Y_{i0}' = Y_{i0} - Y_{iq} * Y_{p0}/Y_{i0}$$

Condition $Y_{i0}' \geq 0$ must satisfy otherwise x_q would not be a BFS.

- So choose p such that

$$p \in S = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{Y_{i0}/Y_{iq} | Y_{iq} \geq 0\}$$

- If number of elements in S is > 1 then the would become degenerate. Since non-degeneracy is assumed

$$p = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{Y_{i0}/Y_{iq} | Y_{iq} \geq 0\}$$

2.4 Determining the Entering Variable q

- We Know that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ x_{NB} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_B = Y_0 - Yx_{NB}$$

$$\text{Where } \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ x_{NB} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

- Initial Cost:

$$\begin{aligned} c^T \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ x_{NB} \end{bmatrix} &= c_B^T x_B + c_{NB}^T x_{NB} \\ &= c_B^T x_B \\ &= c_B^T Y_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } x_{NB} = 0 \text{ and } I * x_B + Y * x_{NB} = Y_0$$

- Now cost is

$$\begin{aligned} c^T \begin{bmatrix} x_B \\ x_{NB} \end{bmatrix} &= c_B^T x_B + c_{NB}^T x_{NB} \\ &= c_B^T (Y_0 - Yx_{NB}) + c_{NB}^T x_{NB} \\ &= c_B^T Y_0 + (c_{NB} - Y^T c_B)^T x_{NB} \end{aligned}$$

- Now we can choose q such for which $(c_{NB} - Y^T c_B)_q < 0$
- Formalizing the above concept

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & Y_{1,m+1} & Y_{1,m+2} & \dots & Y_{1,n} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & Y_{2,m+1} & Y_{2,m+2} & \dots & Y_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & Y_{m,m+1} & Y_{m,m+2} & \dots & Y_{m,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_m \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{10} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_{m0} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_{n0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(c_{NB} - Y^T c_B)^T x_{NB} = \sum_{j=m+1}^n (c_j - Z_j) * x_j$$

$$\text{Where } Z_j = \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_{i,j} * c_i)$$

- To determine the entering variable choose j such that $(c_j - Z_j) < 0$

2.4.1 Theorem 8.1.

Given a non-degenerate Basic Feasible Solution with objective value Z' . Suppose $c_j - Z_j' < 0$ for some j there is a feasible solution with objective value $< Z'$. Also if variable x_j can be substituted for a variable in the basis for a new BFS, we get new BFS with value $Z_0 < 0$. If this cannot be done then the solution is unbounded.

2.5 Optimality condition

The Basic Feasible Solution is optimal if

$$\forall, \quad c_j - Z_j \geq 0$$

2.6 Some Points to Ponder

- If there does not exist p to replace then we have founded the recession direction and the cost can be reduced to $-\infty$
- In the worst case the Simplex Algorithm might visit all the extreme points. Example - Klee Minty cube

2.7 Duality

Every linear programming problem, referred to as a primal problem, can be converted into a dual problem, which provides an upper bound to the optimal value of the primal problem. The primal problem is:

$$\min_x c^T x$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$\text{Where } A \in R^{m \times n}, \text{ Rank}(A) = m$$

with the corresponding symmetric dual problem,

$$\max_y b^T y$$

$$A^T y \leq c$$

$$\text{Where } A \in R^{m \times n}, \text{ Rank}(A) = m$$