Affine TES: Type and Effect System

Orpheas van Rooij

May 2023

1 Language

```
\begin{array}{l} {\rm op} ::= + \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid not \mid and \mid or \\ v ::= () \mid \ell \; (\in Loc) \mid b \; (\in Bool) \mid i \; (\in Int) \mid \lambda x. \, e \mid \odot \; (\in {\rm op}) \mid (v,v) \mid {\rm cont} \; \ell \; N \\ e ::= v \mid x \mid e \; e \mid (e,e) \mid {\rm let} \; (x,x) = e \; {\rm in} \; e \mid {\rm if} \; e \; {\rm then} \; e \; {\rm else} \; e \\ \mid {\rm do} \; e \mid {\rm shallow\text{-}try} \; e \; {\rm with} \; e \mid e \mid {\rm deep\text{-}try} \; e \; {\rm with} \; v \mid e \mid {\rm eff} \; e \; K \\ N ::= \bullet \mid N \; e \mid v \; N \mid (N,e) \mid (v,N) \mid {\rm let} \; (x,x) = N \; {\rm in} \; e \mid {\rm if} \; N \; {\rm then} \; e \; {\rm else} \; e \\ \mid {\rm do} \; N \mid {\rm deep\text{-}try} \; e \; {\rm with} \; v \mid N \\ K ::= N \mid {\rm shallow\text{-}try} \; K \; {\rm with} \; e \mid e \mid {\rm deep\text{-}try} \; K \; {\rm with} \; v \mid e \end{array}
```

Figure 1: Syntax of effect values, values, expressions, and evaluation contexts

2 Head Reduction

```
v_1 \, \llbracket \odot \rrbracket \, v_2 = v
          let (x_1,x_2)=(v_1,v_2) in e_3 / \sigma \;\;\leadsto\;\;\; e_3\left[v_1/x_1\right]\left[v_2/x_2\right] / \sigma
                  if true then e_1 else e_2 \; / \; \sigma \;\;\; \leadsto \;\;\; e_1 \; / \; \sigma
               if false then e_1 else e_2 \ / \ \sigma \ \leadsto \ e_2 \ / \ \sigma
                                                        \operatorname{do} v \mathrel{/} \sigma \quad \leadsto \quad \operatorname{eff} v \, \bullet \, / \, \sigma
                 shallow-try v with h \mid r \mid \sigma \quad \leadsto \quad r \mid v \mid \sigma
                       deep-try v with h\mid r\mid\sigma \implies r\mid v\mid\sigma
shallow-try (eff v N) with h | r / \sigma \longrightarrow h v (cont \ell N) / \sigma[\ell \mapsto false]
                                                                                      \ell \notin \text{dom } \sigma
      \texttt{deep-try}\;(\texttt{eff}\;v\;N)\;\texttt{with}\;h\mid r\;/\;\sigma\quad\rightsquigarrow\quad h\;v\;(\texttt{deep-try}\;(\texttt{cont}\;\ell\;N)\;\texttt{with}\;h\mid r)\;/\;\sigma[\ell\mapsto\texttt{false}]
                                                                                      \ell \notin \text{dom } \sigma
                 (\operatorname{cont} \ell \ N) \ v \ / \ \sigma[\ell \mapsto \operatorname{false}] \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad N[v] \ / \ \sigma[\ell \mapsto \operatorname{true}]
                                      (\mathsf{eff}\ v_1\ N)\ e_2\ /\ \sigma \quad \leadsto \quad \mathsf{eff}\ v_1\ (N\ e_2)\ /\ \sigma
                                      v_1 \left( \mathsf{eff} \ v_2 \ N \right) \ / \ \sigma \quad \leadsto \quad \mathsf{eff} \ v_2 \left( v_1 \ N \right) \ / \ \sigma
   \mathsf{do}\left(\mathsf{eff}\ v\ N\right)/\sigma\quad\leadsto\quad\mathsf{eff}\ v\left(\mathsf{do}\ N\right)/\sigma
 deep-try e_1 with v_2 \mid (\mathsf{eff} \ v_3 \ N) \ / \ \sigma \quad \leadsto \quad \mathsf{eff} \ v_3 \ (\mathsf{deep\text{-}try} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{with} \ v_2 \mid N) \ / \ \sigma
```

Figure 2: The head reduction relation

3 Types

$$\begin{array}{c} \tau,\,\kappa,\,\iota::=\,\mathrm{unit}\mid\mathrm{bool}\mid\mathrm{int}\mid\tau\stackrel{\rho}{\multimap}\tau\mid\tau\ast\tau\\ \rho::=\left\langle\right\rangle\mid\tau\Rightarrow\tau \end{array}$$

Figure 3: Syntax of types, and row signatures

4 Typing Rules

```
UNIT \Gamma \models (): \rho : \text{unit} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Bool} \qquad \text{Int} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Op} \\ 0 \models \tau : \kappa : \\ \hline \Gamma \models (): \rho : \text{unit} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Bool} \qquad \text{Int} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Op} \\ \hline \Gamma \models i : \rho : \text{int} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Op} \\ 0 \models \tau : \kappa : \\ \hline \Gamma \models 0 : \rho : \tau \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} \kappa \end{array} \end{array}
```

Figure 4: Semantic typing rules

5 Protocol

Figure 5: Definition of a protocol

6 Extended Weakest Precondition

The extended Weakest Precondition that we will use for the semantic typing is an enhancement of the usual weakest precondition that captures safety to incorporate reasoning with effects and effect handlers.

The $ewp\ e\ \langle\Psi\rangle\{\Phi\}$ specifies that expression e can either call an effect according to protocol Ψ or it evaluates safely such that if it evaluates to a value that value satisfies Φ .

```
Extended \ weakest \ precondition
ewp \ v \ \langle \Psi \rangle \{\Phi\} \ \triangleq \ | \Rightarrow \Phi(v) 
ewp \ (\text{eff} \ v \ N) \ \langle \Psi \rangle \{\Phi\} \ \triangleq \ (\uparrow \Psi) \ v \ (\lambda w. \triangleright ewp \ N[w] \ \langle \Psi \rangle \{\Phi\}) 
ewp \ e \ \langle \Psi \rangle \{\Phi\} \ \triangleq \ \forall \sigma. \ S(\sigma) \Rightarrow k 
\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \exists \ e', \ \sigma'. \ e \ / \ \sigma \longrightarrow e' \ / \ \sigma' \Rightarrow k \\ \forall \ e', \ \sigma'. \ e \ / \ \sigma \longrightarrow e' \ / \ \sigma' \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow k \\ S(\sigma') \ * \ ewp \ e' \ \langle \Psi \rangle \{\Phi\} \end{array} \right.
Upward \ closure
(\uparrow \Psi) \ v \ \Phi \ \triangleq \ \exists \ \Phi'. \ \Psi \ v \ \Phi' \ * \ (\forall w. \ \Phi'(w) \ -* \ \Phi(w))
```

Figure 6: Definition of the weakest precondition

7 Semantic Interpretation

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{V}[\![\mathsf{unit}]\!](v) & \triangleq & \ulcorner v = () \urcorner \\ & \mathcal{V}[\![\mathsf{bool}]\!](v) & \triangleq & \exists \, b. \, \ulcorner v = \#b \urcorner \\ & \mathcal{V}[\![\mathsf{int}]\!](v) & \triangleq & \exists \, i. \, \ulcorner v = \#i \urcorner \\ & \mathcal{V}[\![\tau \xrightarrow{\rho} \kappa]\!](v) & \triangleq & \forall \, w. \, \mathcal{V}[\![\tau]\!](w) \, -\! * \, ewp \, (v \, w) \, \langle \mathcal{R}[\![\rho]\!] \rangle \{\mathcal{V}[\![\kappa]\!]\} \\ & \mathcal{V}[\![\tau * \kappa]\!](v) & \triangleq & \exists \, v_1 \, v_2. \, \ulcorner v = (v_1, v_2) \urcorner * \, \mathcal{V}[\![\tau]\!](v_1) * \, \mathcal{V}[\![\kappa]\!](v_2) \end{split}$$

Interpretation of a row

$$\mathcal{R}[\![\langle\rangle]\!] \triangleq \bot$$

$$\mathcal{R}[\![\tau \Rightarrow \iota]\!] \triangleq !x(x) \{\mathcal{V}[\![\tau]\!](x)\}. ?y(y) \{\mathcal{V}[\![\kappa]\!](y)\}$$

Interpretation of typing judgments

$$\begin{split} \Gamma \vDash e \ : \ \rho \ : \ \tau \quad &\triangleq \quad \forall \ vs. \ \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket (vs) \ \twoheadrightarrow \ ewp \ e[vs] \ \langle \mathcal{R} \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \rangle \{ \mathcal{V} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \} \\ \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket (vs) \quad &\triangleq \quad \forall \ \{x \mapsto \tau\} \subseteq \Gamma. \ \mathcal{V} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket (vs(x)) \end{split}$$

Figure 7: Interpretation of types, rows, and typing judgments