

18.102 Assignment 2

Octavio Vega

February 14, 2023

Problem 1

(a)

Proof. Let B be a Banach space. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{B}(B, B)$ and $\|I - T\| < 1$. Then by Geometric series,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I - T)^n\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|I - T\|^n = \frac{1}{1 - \|I - T\|} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

So the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n$ converges absolutely, which implies that it converges. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$T \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^n = [I - (I - T)] \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^n \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^n - \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^{n+1} \quad (3)$$

$$= I - (I - T)^{m+1}, \text{ by telescoping sum.} \quad (4)$$

By continuity of T ,

$$T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n = T \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^n \right) \quad (5)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T \sum_{n=0}^m (I - T)^n \quad (6)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [I - (I - T)^{m+1}] \quad (7)$$

$$= I, \quad (8)$$

since $\|I - T\| < 1$. We can similarly show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n = I$.

Thus, T is indeed invertible, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n \rightarrow T^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{B}(B, B)$. \square

(b)

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(B, B) | T^{-1} \text{ exists}\}$. We want to show that $\forall T \in \mathcal{I}$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $\|S - T\| < \delta \implies S \in \mathcal{I}$.

Choose $\delta = \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$, and write

$$S = T - (T - S) = T [I - T^{-1}(T - S)]. \quad (9)$$

If $\|S - T\| < \delta = \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} > \|S - T\| \quad (10)$$

$$= \|T - T [I - T^{-1}(T - S)]\| \quad (11)$$

$$= \|T\| \cdot \|I - [I - T^{-1}(T - S)]\| \quad (12)$$

$$\implies \|I - [I - T^{-1}(T - S)]\| < \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|T\|} = 1 \quad (13)$$

$$\implies \|T^{-1}(T - S)\| = \|I - T^{-1}S\| < 1. \quad (14)$$

So by (a), $T^{-1}S$ is invertible, which implies that S is invertible. Thus, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $S \in B_\delta(T)$, then $S \in \mathcal{I}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{I} is open. \square

Problem 2

(a)

Proof. To show that $\|v + W\|$ is a norm, we will show that it obeys positive definiteness, homogeneity, and the triangle inequality.

First, suppose that $0 = \|v + W\| = \inf_{w \in W} \|v + w\|$. Then since $\|\cdot\|_V$ is a norm on V ,

$$\|w + w\| = 0 \iff v + w = 0 \implies v = -w. \quad (15)$$

So \exists a sequence $\{w_k\}_k \subset W$ such that $w_k \rightarrow -v$. Since W is closed, $-v \in W \implies v \in V$. But then $v + W = 0 + W$ because $v \in W$.

Thus, $\|v + W\| = 0 \iff v = 0$ (definiteness).

Also, $\|v + W\| = \inf_{w \in W} \|v + w\| \geq 0$ because $\|\cdot\|_V$ is a norm, and $\|v + w\| \geq 0 \forall w \in W$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Then since $\lambda W = W$,

$$\|\lambda(v + W)\| = \|\lambda v + W\| \quad (16)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \|\lambda v + w\| \quad (17)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} |\lambda| \cdot \left\| v + \frac{w}{\lambda} \right\| \quad (18)$$

$$= |\lambda| \inf_{w \in W} \|v + w\| \quad (19)$$

$$= |\lambda| \cdot \|v + W\| \quad (\text{homogeneity}). \quad (20)$$

Now let $u + W, v + W \in V/W$. Then

$$\|(u + W) + (v + W)\| = \|u + v + W\| \quad (21)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \|u + v + w\| \quad (22)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \|u + v + 2w\| \quad (23)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \|u + w + v + w\| \quad (24)$$

$$\leq \inf_{w \in W} (\|u + w\| + \|v + w\|) \quad (25)$$

$$\leq \inf_{w \in W} \|u + w\| + \inf_{w \in W} \|v + w\| \quad (26)$$

$$= \|u + W\| + \|v + W\| \quad (\text{triangle inequality}). \quad (27)$$

Thus, $\|v + W\|$ is a norm on V/W . \square

(b)

Proof. Let $u \in V \setminus W$. Then by **(a)**, $\|u + W\| > 0$, since $u \neq 0$.

Also, since $\|u + W\| = \inf_{w \in W} \|u + w\|$, $\exists w \in W$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\|u + W\| \leq \|u + w\|, \text{ and} \quad (28)$$

$$\|u + w\| \leq \|u + W\| + \epsilon \|u + W\|. \quad (29)$$

Now let $v = \frac{u+w}{\|u+w\|}$. Then $v \in V$ and $\|v\| = 1$. We have

$$\|v + W\| = \inf_{w \in W} \|v + w\| \quad (30)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \left\| \frac{u+w}{\|u+w\|} + w \right\| \quad (31)$$

$$= \inf_{w \in W} \left\| \frac{u+w+w\|u+w\|}{\|u+w\|} \right\| \quad (32)$$

$$\geq \inf_{w \in W} \left\| \frac{u+w+w\|u+w\|}{\|u+W\|(1+\epsilon)} \right\| \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|u+W\|(1+\epsilon)} \inf_{w \in W} \|u+w(1+\|u+w\|)\| \quad (34)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|u+W\|(1+\epsilon)} \inf_{w \in W} \|u+w\| \quad (35)$$

$$= \frac{\|u+W\|}{\|u+W\|(1+\epsilon)} \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} = \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \quad (37)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \quad (38)$$

$$\geq 1 - \epsilon. \quad (39)$$

□

Problem 3

Proof. Let $\{v_n\}_n$ be a sequence of elements in V . Suppose that the series $\sum_n (v_n + W)$ is absolutely summable, i.e. that $\sum_n \|v_n + W\|$ converges. Since $\|v_n + W\| = \inf_{w \in W} \|v_n + w\|$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists w_n \in W$ such that

$$\|v_n + w_n\| \leq \|v_n + W\| + 2^{-n} \quad (40)$$

$$\implies \sum_n \|v_n + w_n\| \leq \sum_n \|v_n + W\| + \sum_n 2^{-n} \quad (41)$$

$$= \sum_n \|v_n + W\| + 1. \quad (42)$$

Then by comparison, $\sum_n \|v_n + w_n\|$ converges, so $\sum_n (v_n + w_n)$ converges.

Since V is a Banach space, then, by closure, $\exists v \in V$ such that

$v = \sum_n (v_n + w_n)$. Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v + W - \sum_{n=1}^N (v_n + W) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (v_n + w_n) + W - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (v_n + W) \quad (43)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n + W - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (v_n + W) \quad (44)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n + W - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (v_n + W) \quad (45)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n + W - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N v_n - W \quad (46)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N v_n = 0. \quad (47)$$

So $\sum_n (v_n + W) = v + W$, thus $\sum_n (v_n + W)$ converges in V/W .

Therefore V/W is a Banach space. \square

Problem 4

(a)

Proof. Let $\{v_n\}_n$ be a sequence of elements in $\ker(T)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v \in V$ and $Tv_n \rightarrow w \in W$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\implies Tv_n = 0 \quad (48)$$

$$\implies \{Tv_n\}_n \rightarrow w = 0. \quad (49)$$

By continuity of T ,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tv_n = T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n\right) = Tv, \quad (50)$$

so $v \in \ker(T)$. Hence $\ker(T)$ is closed. \square

(b)

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $V/\ker(T)$ is isomorphic to $\text{range}(T)$. Then \exists isomorphism $S : V/\ker(T) \rightarrow \text{range}(T)$. We claim that the operator defined via $S(v + \ker(T)) = Tv$ satisfies this.

First, we show that S is linear. Let $v_1, v_2 \in V/\ker(T)$. Then by linearity of T ,

$$S(v_1 + v_2 + \ker(T)) = T(v_1 + v_2) \quad (51)$$

$$= Tv_1 + Tv_2 \quad (52)$$

$$= S(v_1 + \ker(T)) + S(v_2 + \ker(T)). \quad (53)$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Then by linearity of T and since $\lambda \cdot \ker(T) = \ker(T)$,

$$S(\lambda(v + \ker(T))) = S(\lambda v + \ker(T)) \quad (54)$$

$$= T(\lambda v) \quad (55)$$

$$= \lambda T v \quad (56)$$

$$= \lambda S(v + \ker(T)). \quad (57)$$

Thus, S is linear.

Next, we show that S is bounded. We have

$$\|S\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|S(v + \ker(T))\| \quad (58)$$

$$= \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|Tv\| \quad (59)$$

$$= \|T\|. \quad (60)$$

Thus S is bounded, since $T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$. So, S is indeed an isomorphism, which confirms that $V/\ker(T)$ is isomorphic to $\text{range}(T)$.

Now we proceed to the main part of the proof, where we will show that the above implies that $\text{range}(T)$ is closed.

Note that by problems 2 and 3, the space $V/\ker(T)$ is a Banach space because we showed in (a) that $\ker(T)$ is a proper closed subspace of V , and V is a Banach space.

Let $\{w_j\}_j$ be a sequence in $\text{range}(T)$ such that $w_j \rightarrow w \in W$. Then $\{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Since S^{-1} is a continuous linear operator, then $\{S^{-1}(w_j)\}_j$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $V/\ker(T)$.

Since $V/\ker(T)$ is a Banach space, then it is complete. So $\exists v \in V/\ker(T)$ such that

$$S^{-1}(w_j) \rightarrow v. \quad (61)$$

By continuity, $S(S^{-1}(w_j)) \rightarrow S(v)$, then

$$\implies \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} w_j = w = S(v) \quad (62)$$

$$\implies w \in \text{range}(T). \quad (63)$$

Thus, $\text{range}(T)$ is closed in W .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\text{range}(T)$ is closed. Then $\text{range}(T) \subset W$ is a Banach space. The operator $S : V/\ker(T) \rightarrow \text{range}(T)$ as defined before is a well-defined, bijective, bounded linear operator, i.e. $S \in \mathcal{B}(V/\ker(T), \text{range}(T))$. Then by the Open Mapping theorem, $S^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\text{range}(T), V/\ker(T))$.

Thus S is an isomorphism, and we are done. \square

Problem 5

(a)

Proof. Let $b \in \ell^1$, $\epsilon > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the truncated sequence

$$a := \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_N, 0, 0, \dots\}. \quad (64)$$

Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^N k|b_k| < \infty$, so $a \in W$. We choose N such that $\sum_{k=1}^N |b_k| > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| - \epsilon$. [Note that this is always possible since the infinite series converges, so its sequence of partial sums also converges.] Then we have

$$\|a - b\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \quad (65)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N |b_k - b_k| + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |0 - b_k| \quad (66)$$

$$= \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \quad (67)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| - \sum_{k=1}^N |b_k| \quad (68)$$

$$< \epsilon. \quad (69)$$

So we have shown that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $b \in \ell^1$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a - b\|_1 < \epsilon$, i.e. $B(b, \epsilon) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Thus W is dense in ℓ^1 .

Now consider the sequence $\{b_k\}_k$ given by $b_k = \frac{1}{k^2}$. Then $\sum_k b_k$ converges absolutely ($p > 1$), but

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|b_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot \frac{1}{k^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \quad (70)$$

diverges by Harmonic series. So $b \in \ell^1$ but $b \notin W$. Hence $\ell^1 \neq W$.

Thus we conclude that W is a proper, dense subset of ℓ^1 . \square

(b)

Proof. Define the graph of T by

$$\Gamma(T) := \{(a, Ta) \mid a \in W\} \subset W \times \ell^1. \quad (71)$$

Let $\{x_n\}_n$ be a sequence in W . Then $Tx_n \in \ell^1$, i.e. $(x_n, Tx_n) \in \Gamma(T)$.

Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Tx_n \rightarrow y$. By definition of T , we have $(Tx_n)_k = k(x_n)_k$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Tx_n)_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(x_n)_k \quad (72)$$

$$= k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n)_k \quad (73)$$

$$= kx_k \quad (74)$$

$$= (Tx)_k \quad (75)$$

$$= y_k. \quad (76)$$

Thus $Tx = y \in \ell^1$. Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x = \{x_k\}_k$. Then

$$\implies \sum_k k|x_k| = \sum_k k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n)_k < \infty \quad (77)$$

$$\implies x \in W. \quad (78)$$

Hence, we have shown that $\begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ Tx_n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(T)$.

Thus, $\Gamma(T)$ is closed.

Now let $e_n = \{\delta_{kn}\}_k$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\|e_n\| = \sum_k |\delta_{kn}| = 1, \quad (79)$$

so $e_n \in \ell^1$. Furthermore,

$$\sum_k k|\delta_{kn}| = n < \infty, \quad (80)$$

so $e_n \in W$. However,

$$\|Te_n\| = \|\{k\delta_{kn}\}_k\| = \sum_k k|\delta_{kn}| = n. \quad (81)$$

Since we can do this for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|T\| \geq n \implies \|T\| = \infty$.

[*Note:* It is somewhat tempting to take the result of equation (81) to suggest that since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is finite, then $\|T\| < \infty$ so T must be bounded. However, this is not necessarily true because even though each $\sum_k k|a_k|$ is finite, the supremum over all $\|a\|_1 = 1$ of the sum may not be. For instance, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n = \infty$, even though each n is finite.]

Therefore we conclude that the graph of T is closed, but T is not bounded.

□