18.100A Assignment 2

Octavio Vega

February 11, 2023

Problem 1

Proof. (By contradiction).

Suppose instead that $xy \leq xz$. Then

$$\implies xy - xz \le 0$$

$$\implies x(y-z) \le 0.$$

Since x < 0 by assumption, it must then be true that $y - z \ge 0$. But then

$$\implies y \ge z \implies \iff$$

which is a contradiction since we assumed that y < z. Thus, xy > xz.

Problem 2

(a)

Proof. We want to show that $\exists b \in S$ such that $\forall a \in A, a \leq b$.

Since S is ordered, then for every $x, y \in S$, we have that either x < y, x > y, or x = y. But since $A \subset S$, then $\forall a \in A, a \in A \implies a \in S$.

$$\implies \forall a, b \in A$$
, either $a < b$, $a > b$, or $a = b$.

So A is also ordered. Since A is finite, then $\exists a_0 \in A$ such that $\forall a \in A, a_0 \geq a$.

Thus, A is bounded. \Box

(b)

Proof. (By contradiction).

Assuming A is finite, suppose instead that there is no maximal element in A. Choose an element $a_1 \in A$. Then, since a_1 is not the maximum, $\exists a_2 \in A$ such that $a_1 < a_2$. But a_2 is also not the maximum of A, so $\exists a_3 \in A$ such that

 $a_2 < a_3$. Continuing in this manner, we find an increasing sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of A, i.e. such that

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} < \dots$$
 (1)

But because this sequence is infinite and contained in A, this contradicts the assumption that A is finite. Thus, there must exist a maximal element in A.

To show that there exists a minimum element, we recreate the same argument from above where instead, supposing that there is no minimal element, we demonstrate that we can construct an infinite decreasing sequence

 $\cdots a_n < \cdots < a_2 < a_1$ of elements of A, once again arriving at a contradiction.

Therfore, both $\inf A$ and $\sup A$ exist in A.

Problem 3

Proof. Since b is an upper bound for A, then $\forall a \in A, a \leq b$.

Suppose $b \neq \sup A$. Then \exists some other element $c \in A$ such that $c = \sup A$, since by problem 2, A must have a supremum because it is finite and a subset of an ordered set. But since $b \in A$, then $b \leq c$.

However, we assumed that b is an upper bound for A, so since $c \in A$, this imples that $b \ge c$. Thus we have that $b \le c$ and $b \ge c$, so it must hold that b = c.

Therefore $b = \sup A$, as desired.

Problem 4

Proof. Suppose $\sup A \notin A$, and let $x_0 \in A$. Towards a contradiction, suppose that $\forall x \in A, x \leq x_0$. Then x_0 is an upper bound for A.

Since $x_0 \in A$, then by problem 3, $x_0 = \sup A$. But we assumed $\sup A \notin A$, so contradiction.

So for any $x_0 \in A$, $\exists x_1$ such that $x_1 > x_0$. We can repeat the logic above to show that this holds for arbitrary $x_i \in A$, since no x_i can both be an upper bound for A while also being contained in A. Thus $\forall x_i \in A$, $\exists x_{i+1}$ such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$.

So we obtain an infinite decreasing sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of A. Hence, these elements form a countably infinite subset of A, since $|\{x_i|i\in\mathbb{N}\}|=|\mathbb{N}|$.

Therefore, A does indeed contain a countably infinite subset.

Problem 5

(a)

Proof. (Arithmetic Mean - Geometric Mean Inequality)

Consider the difference $\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}$. We compute:

$$0 \le (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2 = x + y - 2\sqrt{xy}$$

$$\implies 2\sqrt{xy} \le x + y$$
(2)
(3)

$$\implies 2\sqrt{xy} \le x + y \tag{3}$$

Dividing both sides of (3) by 2, we obtain the desired result: $\sqrt{xy} \leq \frac{x+y}{2}$.

(b)

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\sqrt{xy} = \frac{x+y}{2}$. Then

$$\implies 2\sqrt{xy} - x - y = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\implies x + y - 2\sqrt{xy} = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\implies \left(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\implies \sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\implies \sqrt{x} = \sqrt{y}$$
 (8)

$$\implies x = y.$$
 (9)

 (\Leftarrow) Suppose x = y. Then

$$\frac{x+y}{2} = \frac{x+x}{2} = x \tag{10}$$

$$=\sqrt{x}\cdot\sqrt{x}=\sqrt{x}\cdot\sqrt{y}\tag{11}$$

$$=\sqrt{xy}. (12)$$

Thus,
$$\frac{x+y}{2} = \sqrt{xy} \iff x = y$$
.

Problem 6

TODO TODO TODO TODO

Problem 7

(a)

Proof. Let $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0 \text{ and } x^3 < 2\}$. So $\forall x \in E, x^3 < 2$. Then

$$\implies x^3 - 2 < 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\implies \left(x - 2^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \left(x^2 + 2^{\frac{1}{3}}x + 2^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) < 0. \tag{14}$$

But $x > 0 \implies x^2 + 2^{\frac{1}{3}}x + 2^{\frac{2}{3}} > 0$, so $\forall x \in E$,

$$\implies x - 2^{\frac{1}{3}} < 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\implies x < \sqrt[3]{x}.\tag{16}$$

Thus, E is bounded above.

(b)