Sunday, November 21, 2021 9:23 PM (x, f(x)) but the nature of f is unknown. What to try? Halfspaces? Kerrels? Which one (1)? deep Feed Forward network benein answer: with nonlinear activations Prain to minimize error of output Gradient Descent 1811 $f(x) = \sum_{i} w_{i} U_{i}$

 $f(x) = 2 w_i u_i$ $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} = u_i$ $f(x) = \sum_i w_i u_i \left(\sum_{i_1} w_{i_1} i u_{i_1}\right)$

Oi,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{i}i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial w_{i}i} = w_{i} \cdot w_{i}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{i} w_{i} \cdot \left(\sum_{i} w_{i}, u_{i}, \left(\sum_{i} w_{i}, u_{i}\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{i}} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial w_{i}}$$

$$= \sum_{i} w_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial u_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial w_{i}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} w_{i} \cdot w_{i}, i\right) w_{i}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} w_{i} \cdot w_{i}, i\right) w_{i}$$
Compute $g = 0$ for all u suffices

Backpate $g = 0$ for all u suffices

For node u

$$- send \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_{i}} u_{i} delow. \quad u_{i}$$

$$- send $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_{i}} u_{i} delow. \quad u_{i}$$$

 $S = \frac{3f}{2}$ Pf. Induction + chain Rule. base: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial f} = 1$. of Ju from U Ui, receives Hence computes induction hypothesis $\sum_{u} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_{i_1}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{i_1}}.$ The: O(M). Fast, general, but is it any good? - If no hidden layer then 6D -> OPT. It hidden layers, havily overparametrized more parameters than data
GD -> OPT. (But does it generalize?) This. Networks can approximate any

New Section 2 Page 3

Meural Networks can approximate any continuous function. [Cyberko 89, Horrik-structionbe-While89] In fact, depth 2 suffices! Sufficiently wide (= 1 hidden layer)
But depth can help — I functions that reed a large representation with small depth and a small representation with moderate depth.
In 1 neds activation units to be "signoidal" $ \nabla(x) \rightarrow 0 \qquad x \rightarrow -\infty $ $ \nabla(x) \rightarrow 1 \qquad x \rightarrow \infty $
What about ReLU? put two together:

put two Rogelhon: