

LDMS USER GROUP MEETING

AUGUST 28, 2023

BEST PRACTICES



- 1. Best practices and common configuration tools and approaches for generalized LDMS deployments
 - 1. Discuss a path forward for collaboratively developing a common configuration approach for resilient large-scale deployment in HPC environments. Example:
 - 1. Identify successful large-scale deployments and document approaches to configuration, deployment, and management
 - 2. Identify characteristics of configuration and management associated with difficult deployments
 - 3. Define and develop solution(s) that remove problematic associations and utilize/improve upon successes
 - 2. Put sub-working group together to:
 - 1. focus on identification, development, and testing of promising solutions
 - 2. report back to larger group as progress warrants

BEST PRACTICES



- How to test/query/monitor current configuration
- How to push out configuration changes
- How to manage aggregators (Maestro) vs. Samplers (currently "manual")
 - What about resiliency and load balancing for configuration management tools
- Need some tools updates for testing and sharing currently running software version
- Need HPE on board to ensure that HSM/LDMS works and manageable
- What is the boundary between the running configuration and "static" configuration that is store on disk
 - Maestro manages a configuration in etcd (distributed database)
 - Maestro does poll entities under its control/management
 - Sampler configuration will be configured with a "file" using the -c option for systems at scale

BEST PRACTICES DISCUSSION



- Tom Tucker
 - We seem to be missing some elements of the configuration, specifically what is the set of all running daemons, roles, deployment, etc..
- Jim Brandt
 - What are the currently used tools and use cases?
 - Let's get that all documented and shared for the community at large
- Chris Morrone
 - Should not become the "Maestro" working group
 - Some entities will not be using Maestro at all
- Evan Donato
- Cory Lueninghoener
 - How do we appropriately managing/integrating Maestro based configuration vs. Ansible, Chef, etc...?
 - It would be nice to have initial working configuration and tools for the various use cases

MULTI-TENANCY (COMPUTE NODES MAY RUN MULTIPLE JOBS/USERS)



- 1. Define realistic use-case scenarios to drive data collection/attribution at sub-node and possibly subsystem levels (e.g., CPU, GPU, and memory resources)
- 2. Identify gaps in current LDMS ecosystem with respect to accommodation of multi-tenant use case scenarios
- 3. Put sub-working group together to:
 - 1. focus on identification of resources to engineer and develop solutions to fill gaps
 - 2. provide timeline estimates
 - 3. report back to larger group as progress warrants

MT DISCUSSION



8/28/23

- Mike Showerman
 - Current data definitions in use are insufficient to properly handle MT
 - What data is even available for each job on a system, e.g. meminfo, vmstat are systemwide
 - Others are, e.g. GPUS, CPUS
 - Employ cgroups as part of our sampler infrastructure
- Jim Brandt
 - What capabilities do we currently have vs. what we need to create
- Chris Morrone
 - Need to explore data labelling at the outset

©2023 Open Grid Computing, Inc.

GOING FORWARD



• Jim will send out Doodle polls for each WG and interested parties will respond.