



NTNU – Trondheim
Norwegian University of
Science and Technology

Towards a 3D model of the rat brain in AR as an educational tool

Ole Ravna

December 2020

PROJECT THESIS

Department of Computer Science

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Supervisor 1: Ekaterina Prasolova-Førland

Supervisor 2: Gabriel Kiss

Supervisor 3: Menno Witter

Preface

Here, you give a brief introduction to your work. What it is (e.g., a Master's thesis in RAMS at NTNU as part of the study program xxx and...), when it was carried out (e.g., during the autumn semester of 2021). If the project has been carried out for a company, you should mention this and also describe the cooperation with the company. You may also describe how the idea to the project was brought up.

You should also specify the assumed background of the readers of this report (who are you writing for).

Trondheim, 2012-12-16

(Your signature)

Ola Nordmann

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank the following persons for their great help during ...

If the project has been carried out in cooperation with an external partner (e.g., a company), you should acknowledge the contribution and give thanks to the involved persons. You should also acknowledge the contributions made by your supervisor(s).

O.N.

(Your initials)

Remark:

Given the opportunity here, the RAMS group would recognize Professor Emeritus Marvin Rausand for the work to prepare this template. Some minor modifications have been proposed by Professor Mary Ann Lundteigen, but these are minor compared to the contribution by Rausand.

Executive Summary

Here you give a summary of your work and your results. This is like a management summary and should be written in a clear and easy language, without many difficult terms and without abbreviations. Everything you present here must be treated in more detail in the main report. You should not give any references to the report in the summary – just explain what you have done and what you have found out. The Summary and Conclusions should be no more than two pages.

You may assume that you have got three minutes to present to the Rector of NTNU what you have done and what you have found out as part of your thesis. (He is an intelligent person, but does not know much about your field of expertise.)

Contents

Preface	i
Acknowledgment	ii
Executive Summary	iii
1 Introduction	2
1.1 Motivation	3
1.2 Problem Formulation	4
1.3 Objectives / Research Questions	4
1.4 Approach	4
1.5 Contributions	5
1.6 Limitations	5
1.7 Outline	5
2 Background	6
2.1 Glossary?	6
2.2 Augmented Reality	6
2.3 Graphics and Rendering	7
2.4 Neuro stuff	7
2.5 Equipment	9
2.6 Tools	9
2.7 Related work	11
3 Implementation	12
3.1 Requirements	12
3.2 Software Process	12
3.3 Validation / Testing	13
4 Results	14

<i>CONTENTS</i>	1
5 Conclusions	15
5.1 Summary and Conclusions	15
5.2 Discussion	15
5.3 Recommendations for Further Work	15
A Acronyms	16
B What to put in appendixes	17
B.1 Introduction	17
B.1.1 More Details	17
Bibliography	18

Chapter 1

Introduction

I feel I need the two following sections before the Motivation sections, as they are quick introductions to the basics. But maybe there is a better way?

Augmented Reality

Augmented Reality (AR) describes the use of computer technology to generate an audio-visual experience combining real-world impressions with computer generated graphics, and, *essentially*, the ability to interact seamlessly within both domains. Ever since its infancy medical usage of AR technology has been envisioned as a great potential. The idea of x-ray vision is seen both in science fiction and in genuine research dating all the way back to the 1930s when H. Steinhaus explored ways to visualize metal pieces inside the body (Sielhorst et al., 2008). There is now substantial interest in the use of AR within a wide array of medical fields as well as in industry and education. As an emerging technology there is still much research needed, and great leaps in hardware, software and sensor capabilities are bound to happen in the near future. Already AR shows promising results in both surgical settings and in education (Singh and Kharb, 2013).

Neuroanatomy

The study of neuroanatomy is concerned with the structural organization of the nervous system. This primarily means the brain and its structures, and is what this project will focus exclusively on. Within the study of neuroanatomy, the use of macroscopical brain dissections have long been the conventual practice for teaching the organization of the structures in the brain. Requiring cadavers and the single use of their brain, this method is highly resource intensive and has limited scalability. In addition, there are deeply con-

cerning ethical challenges with the use of animals in research, which will be discussed further in section 2.4.

1.1 Motivation

In light of the problems with physical brain dissections it is natural that the use of digital tools, three-dimensional modeling and visualization has been seeing growing use for educational purposes.

According to (Dalgarno and Lee, 2010) computer-aided learning generally increases understanding for anatomy. As anatomy in general, and neuroanatomy specifically are highly complex domains both visually and spatially, the ability to use the human senses in a real-world setting could result in greater intuition and understanding. With that in mind the use of augmented reality could be a natural way to virtualize the experience of a brain dissection, and further the unique capabilities of AR could enable innovative ways of learning. (Moro et al., 2017) shows the possibility of greater immersion and engagement while using augmented reality in teaching anatomy to medical students. This has also recently been shown with promising result by (Wish-Baratz et al., 2020), where COVID-lockdown required from-home teaching, and the use of HoloAnatomy, an anatomy application for the HoloLens, performed significantly better than even conventional in-class lectures.

The main problem with most academic implementations, like (Wish-Baratz et al., 2020), of AR in medical education is the use of head-mounted display (HMD) devices like the HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap, which in the near to mid-term future will have limited practical use in education, as a result of the high price-tag, combined with the still inadequate general use-case for these types of devices. This project will try to mend these challenges by having the lecturer using an HMD and having student view and interact with the lecture in an AR-based application running on their smartphone. This is possible because of the great leap in AR-performance seen in recent models of Android and especially iPhones, in combination with development platforms like Unity, Mixed Reality Toolkit and Photon (see section 2.6) which enables multiplatform development and real-time collaboration between devices.

Introduce Nevrolens and WHS brain

The aim of the project will be to create a seamless educational experience in Augmented Reality which can be valuable both on an HMD device and a modern smartphone. The focus will be on investigating its feasibility as an educational tool both in a lecture-type setting and for students to explore the brain anatomy independently.

1.2 Problem Formulation

What Remains to be Done?

1.3 Objectives / Research Questions

What follow are the research questions which motivates this project:

Main RQ: How can AR support teaching of rat brain anatomy and dissection for medical students?

- **Sub-RQ1:** How should interaction in be implemented in AR to accommodate medical professionals?
- **Sub-RQ2:** How will a collaborative experience shared between an HMD and a smart-phone compare to accommodate medical professionals?
- **Sub-RQ3:** Something about macro + microscopic visualization, some suggestions:
 - Can microscopical data seamlessly be integrated into a macroscopical model?
 - Can understanding be increased by integrating microscopical data into a macroscopical model?
 - Will having integrated microscopical data in a macroscopical model lead to greater understanding?

1.4 Approach

Research method

The research questions were derived through discussing the needs of the intended users with neuroscientists at the Kavli Institute. It was then narrowed down by a literature review, finding a lack of satisfactory substitutions for real brain dissections and especially finding no attempt at a practical multiplatform application for a more scalable use for students. The projects research question falls under the strategy of Design and Creation as the main goal




Figure 1.1: Model of the research process as illustrated in Oates (2006)

is to develop a useful application for medical education. The focus on a smartphone solution was further motivated by the COVID-pandemic making from-home learning quite essential and making the passing around of HMD devices an unwanted scenario. As part of an agile software development model the gathering of qualitative data from observations and interviews within the scope of user testing will be essential.

Development method

1.5 Contributions

The research product resulting from this project will be a new computer-based software application using augmented reality and running on multiple platforms like HoloLens 1 and 2, Android and more. The aim will be to develop an application that can bridge the gap between expensive head mounted displays and everyday smartphones which you will find in the pocket of any student, and to use this as a collaborative tool for learning neuroanatomy. Throughout the development period we will consult with medical professionals and gather feedback from students on the usability of the application.

Something about macro + micro

1.6 Limitations

1.7 Outline

Chapter 2

Background

2.1 Glossary?

2.2 Augmented Reality

(Jentoft, 2020) AR can be defined as a system that fulfills three basic features: a combination of real and virtual worlds, real-time interaction, and accurate 3D registration of virtual and real objects.

AR, MR, XR, VR

As a new field, this field suffers from naming disagreements. This is a confusing reality which needs to be addressed. There are differing view of what each acronym refers to, and even what they stand for. I will overlook most of this discussion, and simply explain what is meant by each acronym in the scope of this project.

AR Augmented Reality, exercises which implement a see-through effect to display 3D visuals on top of the real-world. The idea of holograms is a good stand-in for the effect of AR. This is the term which will be most used in this project.

MR Mixed Reality, anything within the spectrum between reality and pure visual 3D graphics, which blends computer generated visuals and reality. While the term was not invented by Microsoft¹, it is a term strongly associated with them, and in this project the term MR will generally only be used as a reference to Microsoft's products or concepts.

There term is also used by some as a subset of AR, so in conclusion it is a somewhat controversial term.

XR Extended Reality, much like MR this includes the whole spectrum of experiences blurring the line between the real and the virtual. However it does not have the Microsoft taint, nor the confusion of that term. And thus it is a more acceptable term, and it is what will be used here to describe the spectrum.

VR Virtual Reality, is enclosed experiences which completely surrounds the user within a computer generated world. This is a generally uncontroversial term and will be used for applications running on devices like the Oculus Rift and the HTC Vive.

2.3 Graphics and Rendering

Rasterization, Polygons and 3D models

I feel that there is some misunderstanding about what a 3D model is. Maybe go in-depth about what I mean by 3D model. I have probably already used the term for different things.

2.4 Neuro stuff

Ethics of dissection

The Waxholm Space Atlas of the Sprague Dawley Rat Brain

<https://www.nitrc.org/projects/whs-sd-atlas>

- What is a atlas
- WHS and ratbrain is open, used and developed by NTNU St Olavs and UiO
- Waxholm Space Atlas of the Sprague Dawley Rat Brain
- Discuss difference between graphical 3D model and 3D atlas

¹In fact the term was coined by Milgram and Kishino (1994), in this exact meaning.

This project makes use of high-resolution 3D-models of a rat brain. This brain model has been captured and manually delineated¹ by a collaboration between research groups at the University of Oslo and NTNU, and is in fact a highly accurate volumetric representations of the rat brain. This model is an open access community resource, intended as a free tool for education and research². Within the convectional rasterization rendering pipe-line of Nevrolens, a polygonal asset derived from this volumetric model is naturally used.

The model is simply referred to as *The Waxholm Space Atlas of the Sprague Dawley Rat Brain*. What follows is a brief explanation of each confusing part of the this name.

Waxholm Space

Waxholm Space (WHS) is a vector space defined as a standard reference space for the mouse brain and the rat brain (Papp et al., 2013). Its use as a coordinate system simplifies interoperability across atlases. It was developed by International Neuroinformatics Coordinating Facility (INCF) for the mouse brain, and has further been implemented in the rat brain by Papp et al. (2014). The following is the formal definition of WHS:




Figure 2.1: WHS (Papp et al., 2014)

The coordinate system for WHS is defined as a continuous Cartesian system with the origin in the brain determined by

- *the anterior commissure (AC) at the intersection between the mid sagittal plane,*
- *a coronal plane passing midway (rostro-caudal) through the anterior and posterior branches of AC, and*
- *a horizontal plane passing midway through the most dorsal and ventral aspect of the AC.*

Hawrylycz et al. (2011)

¹Delineation refers to the process of clearly defining different structures in the brain into separate namable parts.

²<https://www.nitrc.org/projects/whs-sd-atlas>

Figure 2.1 visualizes the axes through origin of WHS in the brain of a rat. Within the scope of this project WHS will be the local space of the rat brain model implemented in Nevrolens.

Brain Atlas

A brain atlas is a composite representation based on one or more datasets of a given brain. An atlas generally has the function of highlighting some specified aspects and relations in the brain, and is a convectional tool in neuroscientific research (Toga and Thompson, 2000). The convectional atlas is based on micrometer scale sliced sections in the brain, effectively creating two-dimensional layers through the brain. While functional, this "turns the brain into a book". Three-dimensional digital atlas are however relative newcomer on the neuro-imagery scene, by employing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) the resulting atlases are complete volumetric representation of the subject brain (Papp et al., 2014).

This volumetric model is the basis for the delineated 3D-model used in Nevrolens.

Sprague Dawley

Its a strain of laboratory rat.

2.5 Equipment

HoloLens 2

2.6 Tools

Unity

Unity is a game engine for developing 2D and 3D games. It has grown to become the most popular game engine used by single developers and small development teams because of its ease of use and simple licensing terms for independent developers. Because of its popularity and ease of use Unity has become a platform for 3D development within more widespread fields than video gaming, such as engineering, moviemaking and architecture. Within this project the critical reason for choosing Unity for our 3D development is the exceptional support for the HoloLens product line. As seen in the section 2.6, Microsoft has poured resources into developing a "relatively" robust open framework for using Unity

to develop for HoloLens. Alternatives to using Unity are slim, but one could be to use Unreal Engine, an 3D game engine with great support for VR and AR in general, however the support for HoloLens specific tools like Mixed Reality Toolkit is limited².

Mixed Reality Toolkit

Mixed Reality Toolkit (MRTK) is a open source, Microsoft-driven framework for Mixed Reality (MR) development. In practice it is Microsoft's SDK for HoloLens development, greatly simplifying development related to interaction, user interface and [...]. As it is a framework for MR in general, it supports other platforms like Android, iOS and VR devices such as HTC Vive and Oculus Rift with OpenVR. An alternative to using MRTK would be to us XRTK which is a community-driven fork of MRKT. Thought such a choice would be an exercise of free software principles, it also lends it self to better support for some devices, like the MagicLeap.

Photon

Not in use jet

Blender

Git

Git flow, Gitmoji, GitKraken, Git gud

GitKraken Boards

Kanban and such

Used both / separately for Nevrolens and Project thesis.

²Microsoft has a version of MRTK for Unreal, called [MRTK-Unreal](#). It seems to be stale however, not having any updates in the last six months in the time of writing.

2.7 Related work

VRVisualization

HoloAnatomy

Insight Heart

SphenoBlock?

Noe HoloCare stuff?

Chapter 3

Implementation

3.1 Requirements

The first meeting initializing the project happened at VRLab Dragvoll in early September, here I was introduced to the general background and the problem description of how Witter and others envisioned the use of AR for neuroanatomical education. It was explained how cadavers for education are difficult to acquire and [...]. Another problem we discussed was related to the difference in medium between VR and AR. While the application VRVisualization did have many of the features envisioned, the fact that it was implemented in VR was problematic for the envisioned use cases. Being completely enclosed visually limits its use case in lectures and in any use case with collaboration in a physical space. Generally the loss of spatial awareness and eye contact as a result of using VR headsets was thought of as an impediment for using VR for such an application. Thus, we had an outline of a neuroanatomical education tool in AR using the HoloLens and

3.2 Software Process

Incremental development etc. #agile

Even though I have developed the Nevrolens application by myself, I have strived to use best practices for a software development workflow. These practices have generally grown out of the needs of a multi-developer setting, to simplify the needs for collaboration and version control. Though their value possibly increases exponentially by the number of team members, I have found value in the structure and clarity I find in the workflow. My workflow consist of

Where everything I learned in PU should shine!

3.3 Validation / Testing

Chapter 4

Results

Chapter 5

Conclusions, Discussion, and Recommendations for Further Work

5.1 Summary and Conclusions

5.2 Discussion

5.3 Recommendations for Further Work

- Collaboration, Networking
- Macro+micro implementation
- Importing new models
- Look into volumetric rendering

Appendix A

Acronyms

NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

AR Augmented Reality

MR Mixed Reality

XR Extended Reality

VR Virtual Reality

HMD Head-mounted display

WHS Waxholm Space

GPU Graphics Processing Unit

SDK Software Development Kit

COVID-19 Coronavirus Disease 2019

MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging

DTI Diffusion Tensor Imaging

Appendix B

What to put in appendixes

This is an example of an Appendix. You can write an Appendix in the same way as a chapter, with sections, subsections, and so on. An appendix may include list of code (in case you are programming), more details about results that you have presented in the report (could be a more complete description of results, in case you decided to focus on the most important ones in the main report), supplementary information and descriptions you have found relating to the system you are analysing, such as drawings. You may discuss with your supervisor what are relevant information for appendixes.

B.1 Introduction

B.1.1 More Details

Bibliography

- Dalgarno, B. and Lee, M. J. W. (2010). What are the learning affordances of 3-d virtual environments? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(1):10–32.
- Hawrylycz, M., Baldock, R. A., Burger, A., Hashikawa, T., Johnson, G. A., Martone, M., Ng, L., Lau, C., Larsen, S. D., Nissanov, J., Puellas, L., Ruffins, S., Verbeek, F., Zaslavsky, I., and Boline, J. (2011). Digital atlasing and standardization in the mouse brain. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 7(2):1–6.
- Milgram, P. and Kishino, F. (1994). A taxonomy of mixed reality visual displays. *IEICE Trans. Information Systems*, vol. E77-D, no. 12:1321–1329.
- Moro, C., Štromberga, Z., Raikos, A., and Stirling, A. (2017). The effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality in health sciences and medical anatomy. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 10(6):549–559.
- Oates, B. (2006). *Researching Information Systems and Computing*. SAGE Publications.
- Papp, E., Kjonigsen, L., Lillehaug, S., Johnson, G., Witter, M., Leergaard, T., and Bjaalie, J. (2013). Volumetric waxholm space atlas of the rat brain for spatial integration of experimental image data. In *Front. Neuroinform. Conference Abstract: Neuroinformatics 2013*.

- Papp, E. A., Leergaard, T. B., Calabrese, E., Johnson, G. A., and Bjaalie, J. G. (2014). Waxholm space atlas of the sprague dawley rat brain. *NeuroImage*, 97:374 – 386.
- Sielhorst, T., Feuerstein, M., and Navab, N. (2008). Advanced medical displays: A literature review of augmented reality. *Journal of Display Technology*, 4(4):451–467.
- Singh, V. and Kharb, P. (2013). A paradigm shift from teaching to learning gross anatomy: meta-analysis of implications for instructional methods. *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India*, 62(1):84 – 89.
- Toga, A. W. and Thompson, P. M. (2000). 40 - image registration and the construction of multidimensional brain atlases. In BANKMAN, I. N., editor, *Handbook of Medical Imaging*, Biomedical Engineering, pages 635 – 653. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Wish-Baratz, S., Crofton, A. R., Gutierrez, J., Henninger, E., and Griswold, M. A. (2020). Assessment of Mixed-Reality Technology Use in Remote Online Anatomy Education. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(9):e2016271–e2016271.