

Wyatt Kormick

#### Assignment 5 CSCI4041

DUE: **Monday**, Nov 21 10:00pm (+1hr55m grace period) Fall 2016

1. The transpose of a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  is the graph  $G^T = (V, E^T)$ , where  $E^T = \{ (v, u) \mid (u, v) \in E \}$ . Thus,  $G^T$  is  $G$  with all its edges reversed. Write efficient algorithms for computing  $G^T$  from  $G$ , for both the adjacency-list and adjacency-matrix representations of  $G$ . Analyze the running times of your algorithms.

```
//A is the original adjacency list
ALIST-TRANSPOSE(A)
  n = |V|
  B = new AdjList of length n
  for i = 1 to n
    B[i] = nil
  for i = 1 to n
    while A[i] != nil
      Append(i, B[A[i]])
      A[i] = next A[i] node
  return B
```

```
//Where A is a square n x m matrix (m=n)
//A is formatted as an array stored in row-major order
AMAT-TRANSPOSE(A)
  N=length(A)
  for n = 1 to N-1
    for m = n+1 to N
      swap(A[n][m], A[m][n])
  return A
```

ALIST-TRANSPOSE has a while loop nested inside a for loop. The for loop runs  $|V|$  times and the while loop runs the length of the linked list at the index of the list.  $O(V+x)$

AMAT-TRANSPOSE has a for loop inside of a for loop. The outer runs  $N-1$  times, the inner runs  $N-(n+1)$  times.  $O(VE)$

2. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph in which each vertex  $u$  in  $V$  is labeled with a unique integer  $L(u)$  from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |V|\}$ . For each vertex  $u$  in  $V$ , let  $R(u) = \{v \in V : u \text{ has path to } v\}$  be the set of vertices that are reachable from  $u$ . Define  $\min(u)$  to be the vertex in  $R(u)$  whose label is minimum, i.e.,  $\min(u)$  is the vertex such that  $L(v) = \min \{L(w) : w \in R(u)\}$ . Give an  $O(V+E)$ -time algorithm that computes  $\min(u)$  for all vertices  $u$  in  $V$ .

3. Let  $e$  be a maximum-weight edge on some cycle of connected graph  $G = (V, E)$ . Prove that there is a minimum spanning tree of  $G^0 = (V, E - e)$  that is also a minimum spanning tree of  $G$ . That is, there is a minimum spanning tree of  $G$  that does not include  $e$ .

$M$  is a minimum spanning tree of  $G^0$

Since  $G^0$  and  $G$  contain the same vertices,  $M$  is also a spanning tree of  $G$

Suppose  $M$  isn't an MST of  $G$

Then there must be a  $M'$  that is a MST containing edge  $e$  with weight less than  $M$

$e$  is a maximum-weight edge on some cycle

$M''$  can be made by removing edge  $e$  and adding some edge  $(a,b)$

$M''$  has to be a tree with a weight less than  $M'$  because it contains some edge other than  $e$

But then  $M'$  isn't a minimum spanning tree, so there is a contradiction and  $M$  is a minimum spanning tree of  $G$

4. Prove that a graph has a unique minimum spanning tree if, for every cut of the graph, there is a unique light edge crossing the cut. Show that the converse is not true by giving a counterexample.

Suppose there is not a unique MST, then there are two (at least)

Let us call these two  $M$  and  $M'$

$M$  and  $M'$  have at least one edge that is different  $(a,b)$

$(a,b)$  crosses a cut in  $G$ . Since  $M'$  does not contain  $(a,b)$ , it must contain another edge  $(c,d)$  that crosses the same cut.

Suppose  $(a,b)$  is the light edge crossing the cut (since it must be either  $(a,b)$  or  $(c,d)$ )

$M'$  does not contain  $(a,b)$ , there must be some path in  $M'$  from  $a$  to  $b$

This path must cross the cut somewhere

Suppose it is at edge  $(c,d)$

Since  $(a,b)$  is a unique minimum edge, the weight of  $(c,d)$  is more than  $(a,b)$

Replace  $(c,d)$  in  $T'$  with  $(a,b)$  to get a smaller weight tree

This is a contradiction, as  $T'$  was not a MST

Converse Counterexample

$V = \{w, x, y, z\}$

$E = \{(w, x, 1), (x, y, 1), (y, z, 1)\}$

$S = \{a, d\}$

$(w, x)$  and  $(y, z)$  cross the cut  $(S, V-S)$  and are light edges

There is only one possible spanning tree

5.

- a. Suppose that all edge weights in a graph are integers in the range from 1 to  $|V|$ . How fast can you make Kruskal's algorithm run? What if the edge weights are integers in the range from 1 to  $W$  for some constant  $W$ ?

For 1 to  $|V|$ : Counting sort can be used to sort the edges to reduce the time to

$O(E+V) = O(E)$  time. Reduces the entire algorithm to  $O(E\alpha(E,V))$  time  
For 1 to W: Counting sort will sort the edge weights in time  $O(W+E) = O(E)$ . Reduces the entire algorithm to  $O(E\alpha(E,V))$  time

b. Suppose that all edge weights in a graph are integers in the range from 1 to  $|V|$ . How fast can you make Prim's algorithm run? What if the edge weights are integers in the range from 1 to W for some constant W ?

For 1 to  $|V|$ : The run time cannot be improved beyond  $O(E + V \log V)$

For 1 to W: Use an array implementation containing doubly linked lists to reduce the run time to  $O(E)$