CSCI5802 Software Engineering

Peer Evaluation

Team Number: Group 10

Submitter Name: Wyatt Kormick

Team/Peer Appraisal

The following team/peer appraisals will be used in part to determine how much effort each team member has spent on the assignment. Each team member **must** fill out a team/peer appraisal packet. First, to fill out the team participation section divide 100 points among the members of your project team, according to how you believe they contributed to the project as a whole. Next, for each team member, including yourself, you must also fill out a team member appraisal form. You should be honest **without** being vengeful. These are to be done independently of the other members of your team—your team members will never see your evaluation packet.

Please hand in the entire team/peer appraisal packet via the Moodle course page by the assignment due date.

Team Participation

Please divide 100 points between your teammates (including yourself) according to their/your level of participation in your team's project. If you feel that all members contributed equally, then assign each team member 25 points (assuming you were on a team of four). If on the other hand, you feel that one member did far more work than all others and one member was not helpful, you might give the more active member 35 points, the less active member 15 points, and the other two members 25 points. If you per chance had five team members, 20 points per member indicates equal effort. Please be fair.

Team Number:	10	
	Name	% Effort
Member 1:	Wyatt Kormick	37
Member 2:	Nathan Daily	33
Member 3:	Ross Faber	30
Member 4:		
Member 5:		

Team Member Appraisal

To follow are team member appraisal forms for each member of your team. Please fill out each form. Again, be honest but fair. In section A, you should single out each team member's major responsibility during each phase and how well it/they were accomplished. Please put any additional comments about a team member on the back (or bottom) of her/his form.

Member 1	(You):	W	yatt l	Kormick

Appraisal: For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines:

1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		2
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		3
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		1
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		3
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		1
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		3
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		2
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:		3
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		3
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

Member 2 (name):	Nathan Dail	y

Appraisal: For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		2
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		3
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		2
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		3
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		2
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		2
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		1
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		2
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:		2
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		2
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

A. Additional Comments

Member 3 (name	e):	Ross Faber

A. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		3
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		1
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		3
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		2
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		1
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		2
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		3
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:		2
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		2
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

B. Additional Comments