

# Econ 1042 PS1

Owen Asnis

2023-02-01

## Data Wrangling

```
kickers <- read_csv("kickers_v2.csv",  
                    show_col_types = FALSE) %>%  
  rename(., "ID" = "...1") %>%  
  mutate(Grass = if_else(Grass == TRUE, 1, 0))
```

## Question 1

a.)

```
min <- min(kickers$Distance)  
max <- max(kickers$Distance)  
mean <- round(mean(kickers$Distance),  
              digits = 3)  
median <- median(kickers$Distance)  
  
sprintf("Minimum distance: %s yards.", min)
```

```
## [1] "Minimum distance: 18 yards."
```

```
sprintf("Maximum distance: %s yards.", max)
```

```
## [1] "Maximum distance: 76 yards."
```

```
sprintf("Mean distance: %s yards", mean)
```

```
## [1] "Mean distance: 36.897 yards"
```

```
sprintf("Median distance: %s yards", median)
```

```
## [1] "Median distance: 37 yards"
```

b.) The minimum distance (18 yards) isn't lower for two reasons: First, the kicker must kick the ball through the end zone (accounting for 10 yards) in addition to the distance from the ball to the goal line, and second the ball is snapped a distance of 7 yards to the holder, who holds the ball for the kicker. Therefore, an 18 yard field goal actually begins at the 1 yard line, but the total distance of the kick is 10 yards (the end zone) + 7 yards (the snap) + 1 yard (the distance to the goal line) = 18 yards.

c.)

```
max_distance_kick <- kickers %>%
  filter(Distance == max(Distance))

print(max_distance_kick)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 9
##       ID Team   Year GameMinute Kicker      Distance ScoreDiff Grass Success
##   <dbl> <chr> <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1  3558 OAK    2008        30 Janikowski      76        15      1      0
```

The special circumstance that explains the maximum is that it occurred in the 30th minute of the game, the last minute of the 2nd quarter before halftime. Therefore, in this instance, the Oakland Raiders were forced to try to score, because the half was ending and possession is assigned based on the result of the coin toss after the 2nd quarter, and decided they had a better chance of scoring on a very long field goal rather than a hail mary.

## Question 2

```
forty_to_fortyfive <- kickers %>%
  filter(Distance %in% (40:45))

forty_to_fortyfive_success <- round((mean(forty_to_fortyfive$Success) * 100),
                                     digits = 3)

over_fortyfive <- kickers %>%
  filter(Distance > 45)

over_fortyfive_success <- round((mean(over_fortyfive$Success) * 100),
                                digits = 3)

sprintf("Kicks from 40 to 45 yards made: %s percent", forty_to_fortyfive_success)
```

```
## [1] "Kicks from 40 to 45 yards made: 79.215 percent"
```

```
sprintf("Kicks over 45 yards made: %s percent", over_fortyfive_success)
```

```
## [1] "Kicks over 45 yards made: 64.448 percent"
```

## Question 3

```
grass_only <- kickers %>%
  filter(Grass == 1)

make_rate_grass <- round((mean(grass_only$Success) * 100),
  digits = 3)

turf_only <- kickers %>%
  filter(Grass == 0)

make_rate_turf <- round((mean(turf_only$Success) * 100),
  digits = 3)

sprintf("Make rate on grass: %s percent", make_rate_grass)
```

```
## [1] "Make rate on grass: 82.393 percent"
```

```
sprintf("Make rate on turf: %s percent", make_rate_turf)
```

```
## [1] "Make rate on turf: 84.326 percent"
```

The make rate was slightly higher on turf.

```
m1 <- lm(Success ~ Grass, data = kickers)
coeftest(m1, vcov = vcovHC(m1))
```

```
##
## t test of coefficients:
##
##               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  0.8432614  0.0051154 164.8473 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Grass       -0.0193292  0.0070587  -2.7384  0.006184 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The difference is statistically significant at the 0.001 level. It could be the true effect of surface, because turf is consistently a smoother and more solid surface, whereas grass is more imperfect with divits and inconsistencies. Therefore, in some ways, it seems like turf provides better conditions for kickers. However, there are clear counterarguments, including that grass might be better in rainy and snowy conditions, because it would be less slippery than turf.

## Question 4

a.)

```
distance_surface_correlation <- round(cor(kickers$Distance, kickers$Grass),
                                       digits = 3)

sprintf("Correlation between distance and surface: %s",
        distance_surface_correlation)
```

```
## [1] "Correlation between distance and surface: -0.003"
```

Coaches might be more reluctant to attempt longer kicks on grass because of its imperfect texture and also potentially because many grass fields are outdoors where wind conditions can drastically influence kicking whereas many turf fields are indoors where these conditions aren't a factor.

b.)

```
distance_makepc_correlation <- round(cor(kickers$Distance, kickers$Success),
                                       digits = 3)

sprintf("Correlation between distance and make percentage: %s",
        distance_makepc_correlation)
```

```
## [1] "Correlation between distance and make percentage: -0.337"
```

## Question 5

a.) The formula for omitted variable bias (in English) is: Short-Form Regression Coefficient = Long-Form Regression Coefficient + Omitted Variable Regression Coefficient x Correlation Between Original Variables.

b.) Given (a), when you add distance when estimating the effect of a kick being on grass, you would expect that the coefficient for surface would become more negative, because distance is negatively correlated with make percentage and is the omitted variable.

```
m2 <- lm_robust(Success ~ Grass + Distance, data = kickers)
summary(m2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = Success ~ Grass + Distance, data = kickers)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF
## (Intercept)  1.29988   0.0112628 115.413  0.000e+00  1.27780  1.32195 11184
## Grass        -0.01997   0.0066494  -3.004  2.671e-03 -0.03301 -0.00694 11184
## Distance     -0.01237   0.0003322 -37.218  6.044e-286 -0.01302 -0.01171 11184
```

```
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1142 ,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.1141
## F-statistic: 695.7 on 2 and 11184 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

When the regression is run with distance, the estimate for Grass becomes more negative, as expected. Specifically, it was -0.01933 (see Question 3) and now is -0.01997.

## Question 6

a.)

```
m3 <- lm_robust(Success ~ Distance + Grass + ScoreDiff + GameMinute,
                data = kickers)
summary(m3)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = Success ~ Distance + Grass + ScoreDiff +
##           GameMinute, data = kickers)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value    Pr(>|t|)    CI Lower    CI Upper
## (Intercept)  1.29871816  0.0128000 101.4621  0.000e+00  1.2736278  1.3238085
## Distance    -0.01237181  0.0003330 -37.1581  4.484e-285 -0.0130245 -0.0117192
## Grass       -0.01997242  0.0066489  -3.0039  2.672e-03 -0.0330054 -0.0069394
## ScoreDiff   -0.00010224  0.0003593  -0.2846  7.760e-01 -0.0008064  0.0006020
## GameMinute   0.00004408  0.0001991   0.2213  8.248e-01 -0.0003463  0.0004344
##
##              DF
## (Intercept) 11182
## Distance    11182
## Grass       11182
## ScoreDiff   11182
## GameMinute  11182
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1142 ,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.1139
## F-statistic: 347.8 on 4 and 11182 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

For every yard of distance added, the kick is roughly 1.2% less likely to be made. When the surface is grass, the kick is roughly 2% less likely to be made than if the surface was turf. For each point of score differential added, the kick is roughly 0.01% less likely to be made. And for each game minute gone by, the kick is 0.0004% more likely to be made. Therefore, it doesn't seem that kickers do better or worse late in the game and the score of the game doesn't seem to effect them, because both coefficients are so small that they are more likely to be caused by random noise.

b.)

```
m4 <- lm_robust(Success ~ Distance + Grass + ScoreDiff + GameMinute + Kicker,
                 data = kickers)
summary(m4)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = Success ~ Distance + Grass + ScoreDiff +
##           GameMinute + Kicker, data = kickers)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper
## (Intercept)    1.26592530      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## Distance       -0.01245612      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## Grass          -0.02455670      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## ScoreDiff      -0.00003034      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## GameMinute      0.00004117      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerAndersen  0.04381603      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerAndrus    -0.36643808      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBailey     0.11159334      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBarth      0.07745615      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBironas    0.07604501      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBoswell    0.12479251      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBrien     -0.45973686      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBrindza   -0.23718359      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBrown      0.03112579      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBryant     0.05718160      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBuehler   -0.03196493      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerBullock    0.03495995      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCarney     0.00371087      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCarpenter  0.06895324      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCatanzaro  0.09253760      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCoons      0.06276277      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCortez    -0.11747215      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCoutu     -0.70642277      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCrosby     0.01127434      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerCundiff    -0.03312640      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerDawson     0.06070381      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerEdinger   -0.07194958      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerElam       0.03501133      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerElling    -0.59504591      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerFeely      0.04762822      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerFolk       0.01124171      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerForbath    0.05426925      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerFrance    -0.04723015      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerFranks     0.03612936      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerFreese    -0.35621015      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerGano       0.01730043      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerGostkowski 0.06009622      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerGould      0.06640870      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerGraham     0.03744593      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
## KickerGramatica  0.01663024      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN      NaN
```

## KickerHall	0.02865402	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHanson	0.06731450	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHartley	0.01052404	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHauschka	0.07433508	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHenery	0.01834460	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHocker	-0.09164184	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerHopkins	0.10415283	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerJanikowski	0.05137517	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerKaeding	0.04316970	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerKasay	0.06534438	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerKoenen	-0.41151939	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerLambo	0.07430028	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerLindell	0.03251634	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerLongwell	0.04079047	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMare	-0.00996415	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMcManus	0.06306189	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMedlock	-0.14234608	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMehlhaff	-0.10266952	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMurray	0.10034741	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerMyers	0.06213240	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerNedney	0.07429009	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerNovak	0.03247871	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerNugent	0.00830262	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerParkey	0.06655629	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerPeterson	0.05376993	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerPettrey	-0.40343016	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerPotter	-0.06651648	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerPrater	0.05309480	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerRackers	0.05314020	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerRayner	-0.06415445	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerReed	0.02355016	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerSantos	0.05739172	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerSchmitt	-0.16049987	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerScifres	0.25472643	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerScobee	0.03290450	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerStitser	-0.00925080	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerStover	0.04534670	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerSturgis	0.00799754	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerSuccop	0.04197136	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerSuisham	0.02981151	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerTucker	0.10472919	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerTynes	-0.01301411	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerVanderjagt	-0.02027932	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerVinatieri	0.06188170	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerWalsh	0.07112426	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerWilkins	0.04380249	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
## KickerZuerlein	0.02767417	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
##	DF					
## (Intercept)	11100					
## Distance	11100					
## Grass	11100					
## ScoreDiff	11100					
## GameMinute	11100					
## KickerAndersen	11100					

## KickerAndrus	11100
## KickerBailey	11100
## KickerBarth	11100
## KickerBironas	11100
## KickerBoswell	11100
## KickerBrien	11100
## KickerBrindza	11100
## KickerBrown	11100
## KickerBryant	11100
## KickerBuehler	11100
## KickerBullock	11100
## KickerCarney	11100
## KickerCarpenter	11100
## KickerCatanzaro	11100
## KickerCoons	11100
## KickerCortez	11100
## KickerCoutu	11100
## KickerCrosby	11100
## KickerCundiff	11100
## KickerDawson	11100
## KickerEdinger	11100
## KickerElam	11100
## KickerElling	11100
## KickerFeely	11100
## KickerFolk	11100
## KickerForbath	11100
## KickerFrance	11100
## KickerFranks	11100
## KickerFreese	11100
## KickerGano	11100
## KickerGostkowski	11100
## KickerGould	11100
## KickerGraham	11100
## KickerGramatica	11100
## KickerHall	11100
## KickerHanson	11100
## KickerHartley	11100
## KickerHauschka	11100
## KickerHenery	11100
## KickerHocker	11100
## KickerHopkins	11100
## KickerJanikowski	11100
## KickerKaeding	11100
## KickerKasay	11100
## KickerKoenen	11100
## KickerLambo	11100
## KickerLindell	11100
## KickerLongwell	11100
## KickerMare	11100
## KickerMcManus	11100
## KickerMedlock	11100
## KickerMehlhaff	11100
## KickerMurray	11100
## KickerMyers	11100



```
## KickerNedney      11100
## KickerNovak       11100
## KickerNugent      11100
## KickerParkey      11100
## KickerPeterson    11100
## KickerPettrey     11100
## KickerPotter      11100
## KickerPrater      11100
## KickerRackers     11100
## KickerRayner      11100
## KickerReed        11100
## KickerSantos      11100
## KickerSchmitt     11100
## KickerScifres     11100
## KickerScobee      11100
## KickerStitser     11100
## KickerStover      11100
## KickerSturgis     11100
## KickerSuccop      11100
## KickerSuisham     11100
## KickerTucker      11100
## KickerTynes       11100
## KickerVanderjagt  11100
## KickerVinatieri   11100
## KickerWalsh       11100
## KickerWilkins     11100
## KickerZuerlein    11100
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1273 ,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.1205
## F-statistic:      NA on 86 and 11100 DF,  p-value: NA
```

Kicker fixed effects corrects for the skill of each individual kicker. Adjusted R-squared increases from 0.1139 to 0.1205, which makes sense because this is a more complex model and seems to be better fitted.

## Question 7

a.)

```
new_data <- kickers %>%
  filter(Kicker == "Tucker",
         ScoreDiff == -11,
         Year == 2015,
         GameMinute == 30)

tucker_lm_predict <- round((predict(m4, newdata = new_data) * 100),
                           digits = 3)

sprintf("Probability of Justin Tucker making specified kick: %s percent",
        tucker_lm_predict)

## [1] "Probability of Justin Tucker making specified kick: 99.854 percent"
```

b.)

```
new_data
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 9
##       ID Team   Year GameMinute Kicker Distance ScoreDiff Grass Success
##   <dbl> <chr> <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 11082 BAL    2015         30 Tucker         30        -11     0     1
```

The distance of the kick was only 30 yards and Justin Tucker is one of the best kickers in NFL history, so that prediction does seem reasonable to me.

```
average_lm_predict <- round((tucker_lm_predict - 0.10472919),
                             digits = 3)

sprintf("Probability of an average kicker making specified kick: %s percent",
        average_lm_predict)
```

```
## [1] "Probability of an average kicker making specified kick: 99.749 percent"
```

## Question 8

a.)

```
m5 <- glm(Success ~ Distance + Grass + ScoreDiff + GameMinute + Kicker,
          family = binomial(),
          data = kickers)

tucker_glm_predict <- round((predict(m5, newdata = new_data,
                                     type = "response") * 100),
                             digits = 3)

sprintf("Probability of Justin Tucker making specified kick: %s percent",
        tucker_glm_predict)
```

```
## [1] "Probability of Justin Tucker making specified kick: 97.063 percent"
```

b.) The coefficients look so different for the logistic regression versus the OLS regression, because coefficients in logistic regressions show a value of log odds whereas coefficients in OLS regressions show how each interval of one variable changes the other variable (Source: “Interpreting Coefficients in Linear and Logistic Regression” by Jonathan Benton).

## Question 9

a.)

```
kickers %>%
  group_by(Kicker) %>%
  summarise(make_rate = mean(Success),
            total_makes = sum(Success)) %>%
  arrange(desc(make_rate)) %>%
  filter(total_makes > 200) %>%
  head(1)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 3
##   Kicker      make_rate total_makes
##   <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Gostkowski    0.877           300
```

According to this analysis, Stephen Gostkowski was the best kicker in the NFL over this period. Gostkowski had the highest make percentage among kickers with at least 200 total makes in the dataset. In other words, he was consistently a high percentage kicker, and as a New England Patriots fan, this feels very right!

```
kickers %>%
  filter(Distance > 45) %>%
  group_by(Kicker) %>%
  summarise(total_makes = sum(Success),
            over_fortyfive_percentage = mean(Success)) %>%
  filter(total_makes > 50) %>%
  arrange(desc(over_fortyfive_percentage)) %>%
  head(1)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 3
##   Kicker      total_makes over_fortyfive_percentage
##   <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Vinatieri      67           0.770
```

According to this analysis, Adam Vinatieri was the best kicker in the NFL over this period. Vinatieri had the highest make percentage of attempts over 45 yards among kickers with at least 50 total makes above 45 yards in the dataset. In other words, Vinatieri was consistently a high percentage kicker from long range, and once again, as a Patriots fan, this feels right!

b.)

```
kickers %>%
  filter(Kicker == "Gostkowski") %>%
  group_by(Year) %>%
  summarise(make_rate = mean(Success))
```

```
## # A tibble: 10 x 2
##   Year make_rate
```

```
##      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1  2006      0.824
## 2  2007      0.846
## 3  2008      0.9
## 4  2009      0.812
## 5  2010      0.769
## 6  2011      0.868
## 7  2012      0.846
## 8  2013      0.929
## 9  2014      0.947
## 10 2015      0.925
```

Overall, Gostkowki's make rate is fairly stable over time. There are some ebbs and flows, but generally he's hovering around the low 80% range to low 90% range.

```
kickers %>%
  filter(Distance > 45,
         Kicker == "Vinatieri") %>%
  group_by(Year) %>%
  summarise(over_fortyfive_percentage = mean(Success))
```

```
## # A tibble: 11 x 2
##   Year over_fortyfive_percentage
##   <dbl>                <dbl>
## 1  2005                0.5
## 2  2006                0.8
## 3  2007               0.333
## 4  2008               0.667
## 5  2009                0.5
## 6  2010               0.875
## 7  2011               0.857
## 8  2012               0.714
## 9  2013               0.846
## 10 2014               0.818
## 11 2015               0.889
```

Vinatieri's make rate of attempts over 45 yards isn't stable over time. Specifically, between 2005-2009, Vinatieri's make rate for attempts over 45 yards falls below his rate for the whole dataset in 4 of 5 years, and between 2010-2014, his make rate is above his rate for the whole dataset in 4 of 5 years. Altogether, this shows that Vinatieri's make rate of attempts over 45 yards rose with more experience.

## Question 10

```
simple <- kickers %>%
  mutate(kick = 1) %>%
  group_by(Kicker) %>%
  summarise(make_rate = mean(Success),
            total_kicks = sum(kick)) %>%
```

```

arrange(desc(make_rate)) %>%
mutate(total_kicks_squared = (total_kicks)^2)

m6 <- lm_robust(make_rate ~ total_kicks,
                data = simple)
summary(m6)

```

```

##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = make_rate ~ total_kicks, data = simple)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) CI Lower CI Upper DF
## (Intercept) 0.7007075  0.0398416   17.59 2.172e-29 0.6214353 0.7799797 81
## total_kicks 0.0005596  0.0001732    3.23 1.787e-03 0.0002149 0.0009043 81
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1393 ,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.1287
## F-statistic: 10.44 on 1 and 81 DF,  p-value: 0.001787

```

```

m7 <- lm_robust(make_rate ~ total_kicks + total_kicks_squared,
                data = simple)
summary(m7)

```

```

##
## Call:
## lm_robust(formula = make_rate ~ total_kicks + total_kicks_squared,
##           data = simple)
##
## Standard error type: HC2
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      CI Lower
## (Intercept)    0.650982968 0.052265287   12.455 2.030e-20  0.546971733
## total_kicks     0.001774469 0.000612362    2.898 4.847e-03  0.000555829
## total_kicks_squared -0.000003588 0.000001642   -2.185 3.181e-02 -0.000006855
##              CI Upper DF
## (Intercept)    0.7549942039 80
## total_kicks     0.0029931091 80
## total_kicks_squared -0.0000003201 80
##
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2227 ,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.2033
## F-statistic: 6.423 on 2 and 80 DF,  p-value: 0.002588

```

The regression with a linear specification shows some support to the conjecture that kickers get better with experience in this dataset, as for every additional kick a kicker performs, their make rate slightly increases. The regression with a quadratic specification shows similar support at first, but eventually, shows that kickers performance would decline. A way to interpret this is that kickers get better with experience at first, but their performance eventually declines with age. With this being said, these estimates certainly aren't perfect, as they don't consider many potential confounding variables and don't study how kickers' make rates change throughout this specific dataset.

## Question 11

1. Precipitation? - Precipitation would negatively bias the kicker, because it's harder to kick in rainy or snowy conditions.
2. Centered Spot? - A centered spot would positively bias the kicker, because it's more of a straight shot for the kicker to make the attempt.
3. Blocked? - A blocked kick would negatively bias the kicker, because even though the attempt wasn't made, it wasn't the kicker's fault (it was the lineman's fault).

Note: I worked with Ty Thabit on this problem set.