## Owings - Oral defense, April 29, 2011

My dissertation develops a new paracomplete logic, starting with a propositional logic, and then an extension to a modal logic. I develop a corresponding tableaux proof system, for which I prove soundness and completeness results.

## 'Gappy' objects

The system is called GO, for 'gappy objects'. The initial motivation comes from views that attribute some sort of metaphysical indeterminacy, or 'gappy behavior', to a class of simple objects, or 'atoms'.

An early incarnation of this is in 1944, with a succinct (if simple) argument by WHF Barnes relating to sense-data. Roughly, sense-data are supposed to have exactly the properties they appear to have. So if a sense-datum appears F, then it is F. Given that there is a case where a sense-datum appears neither F nor non-F, it follows that it is neither F nor non-F.

Though intended as a sort of reductio against sense-data, it suggests the philosophical position that the Law of Excluded Middle could be challenged as a result of the peculiar logical behavior of atoms. Perhaps, though, if it were not for these deviant entities, we might not otherwise have reason to accept the failure of LEM.

## Background

A system is *paracomplete* if the Law of Excluded Middle fails:

$$B \not\vdash A \lor \neg A$$

A review of Strong Kleene  $(K_3)$ :

- » Adding a middle value  $\frac{1}{2}$ , the set of values is  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ .
- » A valuation  $\nu$  assigns each atomic parameter one of these values.
- $\nu(\neg A) = 1 \nu(A)$
- $\nu(A \wedge B) = \min \{ \nu(A), \nu(B) \}$
- $\nu(A \vee B) = \max\{\nu(A), \nu(B)\}$
- » Logical Consequence.  $B_1, \ldots, B_n \vdash A$  iff all valuations that assign each of  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  value 1 assign A value 1.

Truth tables for  $K_3$ :

GO

## Some notable features

$$B \not\vdash A \lor \neg A$$
$$B \vdash \neg (A \land \neg A)$$
$$\neg (A \lor \neg A) \not\vdash A \land \neg A$$
$$\neg (A \lor B) \not\vdash \neg A \land \neg B$$
$$\neg (A \land B) \not\vdash \neg A \lor \neg B$$

Gap operator:

$$\circ A := \neg (A \vee \neg A)$$

Conditional:

$$A \rightarrow B := (A \supset B) \lor (\circ A \land \circ B)$$

The result is similar to the Łukasiewicz  $\rightarrow$ :