

# Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Rohit Salgotra

rohits@agh.edu.pl

Faculty of Physics & Applied Computer Science,  
30-059 Kraków  
Poland

November 19, 2025

## Part I

# AI Laboratory Research Projects

## Syllabus Coverage (Labs + Projects)

Artificial Neural Networks, learning algorithms  
(supervised/unsupervised)

Evolutionary algorithms (GA/PSO/DE) and applications

Expert systems, knowledge representation, reasoning

Agent-based systems, basic architectures

Fuzzy logic, uncertainty handling, hybrid AI

Search / planning (used inside routing and task allocation)

**Outcome:** Each group completes *one* project with:

A working AI system (ANN/EA/Agent/Fuzzy Hybrid)

Experiments, figures, tables, and statistical tests

A short paper draft suitable for GECCO Student Workshop / CEC /  
IJCNN

# Lab Flow (Common to All Projects)

Lab	Theme and Output
1	Setup, dataset/simulation understanding, metrics $\Rightarrow$ baseline stats
2	ANN from scratch (MLP) $\Rightarrow$ working classifier/regressor
3	Optimizers (SGD/Momentum/Adam) $\Rightarrow$ comparison table
4	Intro to GA (EA baseline) $\Rightarrow$ simple optimizer integrated
5	<b>Small novelty:</b> e.g. self-adaptive / chaotic mutation
6	<b>Choose project:</b> one of the four routing/dispatch problems
7	Application layer: maps, routes, explanations, membership plots
8	Benchmarking + statistics: Wilcoxon/Friedman tables
9	Paper writing (IEEE LaTeX) $\Rightarrow$ full draft
10	Final validation + presentation $\Rightarrow$ submission-ready package

Each project *inherits* this structure and adds domain-specific details.

# The Four Project Themes

Each group chooses **one** theme:

## **Robust School-Bus Routing Under Uncertainty**

(ANN + GA under traffic uncertainty; Track A: ML + EA)

## **Smart Waste-Collection Routing with Uncertain Bin Levels**

(Agent-based + Expert rules; Track B: Agents + Knowledge Systems)

## **Ambulance Dispatch Optimization Under Random Demand**

(Fuzzy severity + EA; Track C: Hybrid Fuzzy + EA)

## **Energy-Efficient EV Routing in a Smart Campus**

(Hybrid ML/EA/Fuzzy; Track A or C)

All projects:

Use **synthetic or semi-simulated data** for locations and uncertainty.

Use **ANN** (Labs 2–3) and **EA** (Labs 4–5) as core tools.

Require one **small, clearly explained novelty**.

# Common Expectations for All Projects

**By the end of the semester, each group must deliver:**

A **code repository** (Python) with:

data generation / loading script,  
ANN training script,  
EA/GA optimization script,  
plotting utilities.

A **short paper** (IEEE LaTeX):

6–8 pages, clear structure, reproducible details.

A **5-minute presentation** in Lab 10.

**Assessment (indicative):**

Implementation: 35%   Experiments: 25%   Analysis: 20%

Writing: 15%   Presentation: 5%

## Part II

# Robust School-Bus Routing Under Uncertainty

# Motivation and Problem Statement

## Title (example):

*"An Averaging-Based Evolutionary Approach to Robust School-Bus Routing Under Traffic Uncertainty"*

## Motivation

School buses must pick up pupils from multiple stops and deliver them to school.

Traffic conditions and travel times are *uncertain* (accidents, congestion).

We want routes that are not only short, but *robust* under random delays.

## Problem (simplified)

Given:

- A set of student stops (coordinates),
- One or more buses with capacities,
- A school location (depot).

Find bus routes that minimize *expected total travel time* under uncertainty.



# Dataset / Simulation Setup

**Data Type:** Synthetic, small-city abstraction.

**What we will generate in code:**

$N$  student locations:  $(x_i, y_i)$  in a 2D plane.

School (depot) at a fixed coordinate.

Distance matrix  $D[i, j]$  = Euclidean distance between locations.

Travel-time uncertainty:

$$T_{ij} = D_{ij} \cdot (1 + \epsilon), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

Bus capacity: max number of students per bus (optional constraint).

**Expected Student Task:**

Write a `generate_bus_data()` function that:  
 creates coordinates,  
 computes  $D$ ,  
 stores them in `.csv` or Python objects.



# Algorithmic Design (High-Level)

## Two layers: ANN + GA under uncertainty



### ANN (Labs 2–3):

Input: route features (e.g. total distance, number of stops).

Output (optional): predicted travel time (or delay factor).

Use for analysis / as part of fitness if desired.

### GA (Labs 4–5):

Chromosome: a permutation of stops (ordering).

Fitness: expected total travel time under random traffic.

Operators: selection, crossover, mutation, elitism.

### Novelty (Lab 5):

Averaging-based robust fitness (evaluate route over multiple traffic scenarios).

Self-adaptive or chaotic mutation rate based on variance of cost.

# Work Flow



## 1–4 (common core):

W1: Generate base coordinates; plot scatter of stops and depot.

W2: Implement ANN (even if not strictly required for routing yet).

W3: Try different optimizers (SGD/Adam); record metrics on a simple supervised task (e.g. predict travel time from distance).

W4: Implement GA for TSP-style route optimization (no uncertainty yet).

## 5–10 (project-specialization):

W5: Add uncertainty in travel times; implement averaging-based fitness (5–10 scenarios).

W6: Fix hyperparameters (population size, mutation rates) and run multiple trials.

W7: Generate route plots, convergence curves, boxplots of travel time under uncertainty.

W8: Perform statistical comparison (baseline GA vs improved GA).

W9: Write paper draft (Intro, Method, Experiments, Results).

W10: Final reruns, polish figures, 5-minute project presentation.

# Deliverables & Evaluation

## Code Deliverables

Data generator: bus stops + depot + distance matrix.

GA optimizer with robust fitness evaluation.

Plotting scripts: routes, convergence, uncertainty distributions.

## Paper Components

Clear problem formulation.

Description of GA + robust/averaging approach.

Figures: map of stops, best route, convergence, boxplots.

Tables: average cost, std deviation, p-values.

## Target venues:

GECCO Student Workshop (very suitable).

CEC special sessions on routing / stochastic optimization.



## Part III

# Smart Waste-Collection Routing

# Motivation and Problem Statement

## Title (example):

*"An Agent-Based Evolutionary Approach to Smart Waste-Collection Routing Under Uncertain Bin Levels"*



## Motivation

Cities need efficient waste collection from distributed bins.

Bin fill levels are uncertain and vary over time.

Poor routing increases fuel use, congestion, and emissions.

## Simplified Problem

Given:

- Locations of waste bins,

- One or more trucks with limited capacity,

- Estimates of bin fill levels with uncertainty,

Design routes and decisions (visit/skip) to minimize cost while avoiding overflow.

# Dataset / Simulation Setup



**Data Type:** Synthetic city grid.

**Components to simulate:**

$N$  bin locations  $(x_i, y_i)$ .

Fill level estimate  $f_i \in [0, 100]\%$ .

Uncertainty in fill:  $f'_i = f_i + \eta$ ,  $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , clipped to  $[0, 100]$ .

Truck capacity: capacity in units of “total fill”.

Distance/cost matrix between bins and depot.

**In Lab 1:** students will:

Generate bin coordinates & fill levels.

Visualize them on a scatter plot (size  $\propto$  fill).

# Agent / Expert / EA Design

## Three layers:

### Expert rules:

Simple rules like: “IF fill  $< 40\%$  THEN skip bin”, etc.

Conflict resolution when capacity is tight.

### Agent layer:

Each truck is an agent traversing bins.

Agents can use rules and local decisions.

### GA layer:

Chromosome: visiting order + decisions about which bins to serve.

Fitness: distance cost + penalty for overflow/unserved full bins.

## Novelty possibilities:

Uncertainty-averaged fitness.

Hybrid: agent decisions + GA optimization.





# Work Flow

## 1–4:

- W1: Generate bin data (locations, fill levels) and visualize.
- W2: ANN (optional) to predict future fill from current + features.
- W3: Compare optimizers on a simple supervised task if ANN used.
- W4: Implement GA for simple routing (ignoring uncertainty and rules initially).

## 5–10:

- W5: Add expert rules and/or agent logic; integrate uncertainty in fill.
- W6: Combine GA with rules/agents; define final fitness.
- W7: Generate route plots, rule firing traces, and agent interaction diagrams.
- W8: Compare baseline GA vs GA+rules vs GA+agents (statistics).
- W9: Write paper describing agent-expert-EA architecture and results.
- W10: Final reruns, finalize visuals, present.

# Deliverables & Evaluation



## Code

Data generator for bins and uncertainty.

Agent simulation loop + expert rules.

GA optimizer integrated with agent decisions.

## Paper

System architecture diagram (agents + GA + rules).

Explanation of uncertainty model.

Figures: routes, agent traces, bin fill before/after.

Results tables with mean cost and violation counts.

## Part IV

# Project 3: Ambulance Dispatch Under Random Demand

# Motivation and Problem Statement

## Title (example):

*"A Fuzzy-Evolutionary Approach to Robust Ambulance Dispatch Under Random Emergency Demand"*



## Motivation

Emergency services must respond quickly to random calls.

Demand (where, when, severity) is highly uncertain.

Need to allocate ambulances and hospitals efficiently.

## Simplified Problem

Given:

Hospital / station locations,

Randomly generated emergency calls,

Estimated severity and travel times,

Assign ambulances to calls to minimize response time and prioritize severe cases.

# Dataset / Simulation Setup



**Data Type:** Synthetic.

## What to simulate:

$H$  hospitals,  $A$  ambulance bases (coordinates).

Random emergency call points over a map.

Severity score (e.g. 1–5) and time-of-day.

Distance/travel time matrix between bases, calls, and hospitals.

## Uncertainty:

Severity may be misclassified; travel times affected by random delays.

Use stochastic or fuzzy models to capture this.

# Fuzzy + EA Design



## Fuzzy Layer (Labs 6–7):

Fuzzy variables:

Severity: low / medium / high.

Distance: short / medium / long.

Congestion: low / high (optional).

Rules:

E.g. “IF severity is high AND distance is short THEN priority is very high”.

## EA Layer (Labs 4–5, 8):

Chromosome: assignment of ambulances to calls.

Fitness: weighted combination of response time, severity coverage, fairness.

Novelty: GA tunes fuzzy membership parameters or rule weights.

# Work Flow

## 1–4:

- W1: Generate hospitals, bases, emergency calls.
- W2: ANN to predict simple risk index (optional).
- W3: Train ANN with different optimizers (optional).
- W4: Implement basic GA for assigning calls to ambulances ignoring fuzziness.

## 5–10:

- W5: Introduce uncertainty (random delays, severity noise).
- W6: Design fuzzy variables and rules for severity-based prioritization.
- W7: Integrate fuzzy priority into GA fitness; visualize membership functions.
- W8: Compare baseline GA vs GA+Fuzzy; run multiple trials; statistical tests.
- W9: Write paper draft (focus on hybrid Fuzzy+EA system).
- W10: Final reruns, refine plots, present.

# Deliverables & Evaluation



## Code

Emergency call simulator.

Fuzzy inference system.

GA-based dispatcher integrated with fuzzy priority.

## Paper

Fuzzy membership plots and rule base.

Architecture of Fuzzy+EA dispatcher.

Response time statistics, priority coverage metrics.

**Target:** Strong candidate for IJCNN / WCCI student tracks (if well executed).



## Part V

# Energy-Efficient EV Routing in Smart Campus

# Motivation and Problem Statement

## Title (example):

*"Hybrid Evolutionary-Fuzzy Routing for Energy-Efficient Electric Vehicles in Smart Campus Networks"*



## Motivation

EVs have limited battery; routing impacts energy usage.

Buildings in a campus generate trips; we want energy-aware routing.

Weather, load, and driving conditions are uncertain.

## Simplified Problem

Given:

- Campus building locations,

- Trip requests and demands,

- EV battery capacities and consumption model,

Design routes minimizing total energy and travel time.

# Dataset / Simulation Setup

**Data Type:** Semi-synthetic.

**Possible setup:**

Use simple synthetic campus (grid of buildings) or real coordinates (optional).

Define trips as pickup/delivery tasks between buildings.

Energy usage per edge:

$$E_{ij} = \alpha D_{ij} + \beta \cdot \text{load} + \gamma \cdot \text{grade} + \epsilon$$

Uncertainty from weather (temperature, wind) or random term  $\epsilon$ .

**Lab 1 task:**

Generate building nodes and trip requests.

Visualize campus graph.

# Hybrid ANN / Fuzzy / EA Design



## Core Components:

### **ANN (Labs 2–3):**

Predict energy consumption (or travel time) from edge features.

### **Fuzzy module (optional, Lab 6–7):**

Fuzzy rules for “energy-efficient vs risky” segments.

### **GA/DE (Labs 4–5, 8):**

Optimize route sequences, subject to battery constraints.

Novelty: tune fuzzy membership, or adaptive penalties for energy overuse.

# Work Flow

## 1–4:

W1: Generate campus graph and trip data.

W2: ANN to predict energy usage per edge or per trip.

W3: Optimizer comparison on ANN training (SGD vs Adam).

W4: Basic GA for route optimization ignoring energy uncertainty.

## 5–10:

W5: Add battery constraints and random variation in energy usage.

W6: Optionally add fuzzy labels for “low/med/high energy” edges.

W7: Generate route visualizations and energy vs distance plots.

W8: Statistical comparison of baseline vs improved hybrid method.

W9: Write paper emphasizing energy-optimization aspects.

W10: Final reruns and project presentation.

# Deliverables & Evaluation



## Code

Campus graph generator.

ANN energy predictor.

GA/DE-based EV router with constraints.

## Paper

Diagrams of campus network and EV routes.

Figures: trade-off curves (energy vs distance vs time).

Tables with performance metrics; p-value tests.

# Common Paper Structure (All Projects)

## Suggested IEEE-style structure



### **Introduction**

Problem, motivation, contributions (what is new?).

### **Related Work** (short, focused)

Mention routing/dispatch/EA/uncertainty papers.

### **Problem Formulation**

Sets, variables, objective(s), and constraints (at least conceptually).

### **Methodology**

ANN, EA, fuzzy/agent components; novelty described clearly.

### **Experimental Setup**

Data generation, parameters, metrics, protocol.

### **Results and Discussion**

Tables, figures, statistical tests; interpretation.

### **Conclusion and Future Work**

# Final Notes for Students



Start **simple** (small graphs, fewer nodes), then scale up gradually.

Focus on **clarity**: explain every design decision.

A small but **well-justified** novelty + solid experiments is better than an over-complicated, unstable system.

Keep all code **versioned** and seeds fixed for reproducibility.

Ask for help early with:

- GA operators,
- fuzzy rule design,
- plotting and LaTeX integration.

## Questions?