

Learning Distributions of Meant Color – Supplementary Materials

Anonymous ACL submission

1 On the Conditional Independence of Color Channels given a Color Name

As discussed in the main text, we conducted a superficial investigation into the truth of our assumption that given a color name, the distributions of the hue, value and saturation are statistically independent.

We note that this investigation is, by no means, conclusive though it is suggestive. The investigation focusses around the use of Spearman’s rank correlation. This correlation measures the monotonicity of the relationship between the random variables. A key limitation is that the relationship may exist but be non-monotonic. This is almost certainly true for any relationship involving channels, such as hue, which wrap around. In the case of such relationships Spearman’s correlation will underestimate the true strength of the relationship. Thus, this test is of limited use in proving the conditional independence. However, it is a quick test to perform and does suggest that the conditional independence assumption may not be so incorrect as one might assume.

For the Monroe Color Dataset training data given by $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times T$, where \mathbb{R}^3 is the value in the color-space under consideration, and T is the natural language space. The subset of the training data for the description $t \in T$ is given by $V|_t = \{(\tilde{v}_i, t_i) \in V \mid t_i = t\}$. Further let $T_V = \{t_i \mid (\tilde{v}, t_i) \in V\}$ be the set of color names used in the training set. Let $V_{\alpha|t}$ be the α channel component of $V|_t$, i.e. $V_{\alpha|t} = \{v_\alpha \mid ((v_1, v_2, v_3), t) \in V|_t\}$.

The set of absolute Spearman’s rank correlations between channels a and b for each color name is given by $S_{ab} = \{|\rho(V_{a|t}, V_{b|t})| \mid t \in T_V\}$.

We consider the third quartile of that correlation as the indicative statistic in Table 1. That is to say for 75% of all color names, for the given color-space, the correlation is less than this value.

Color-Space	$Q3(S_{12})$	$Q3(S_{13})$	$Q3(S_{23})$	max
HSV	0.1861	0.1867	0.1628	0.1867
HSL	0.1655	0.2147	0.3113	0.3113
YCbCr	0.4005	0.4393	0.3377	0.4393
YIQ	0.4088	0.4975	0.4064	0.4975
LCHab	0.5258	0.411	0.3688	0.5258
DIN99d	0.5442	0.4426	0.4803	0.5442
DIN99	0.5449	0.4931	0.5235	0.5449
DIN99o	0.5608	0.4082	0.5211	0.5608
RGB	0.603	0.4472	0.5656	0.603
Luv	0.5598	0.6112	0.4379	0.6112
LCHuv	0.6124	0.4072	0.3416	0.6124
HSI	0.2446	0.2391	0.6302	0.6302
CIELab	0.573	0.4597	0.639	0.639
xyY	0.723	0.5024	0.4165	0.723
LMS	0.968	0.7458	0.779	0.968
XYZ	0.9726	0.8167	0.7844	0.9726

Table 1: The third quartile for the pairwise Spearman’s correlation of the color channels given the color name.

Of the 16 color-spaces considered, it can be seen that the HSV exhibits the strongest signs of conditional independence – under this (mildly flawed) metric. More properly put, it exhibits the weakest signs of non-independence. This includes being significantly less correlated than other spaces featuring circular channels such as HSL and HSI.

Our overall work makes the conditional independence assumption, much like n-gram language models making Markov assumption. The success of the main work indicates that the assumption does not cause substantial issues.